Prospect for Measurement of CP-violation phase ϕ_s study in the $B_s \to J/\psi\phi$ channel at future Z factories

X.Li[1], M.Ruan[2], Mingrui Zhao[13] base on the work arxiv:2205.10565

Date: 08/14/23

[1]China Institute of Atomic Energy
[2]Institute for High Energy Physics
[3]Niels Bohr Institute, Copenhagen University

CEPC 味物理-新物理和相关探测技术研讨会

Outline

1. Introduction

2. Prospect for measurement of CPV observables

3. Summary

Physics motivation

○ CKM parameter:

   ○ In neutral B meson decays to a final state the interference between the amplitude for the direct decay and the amplitude for decay after oscillation, leads to a time-dependent CP-violating asymmetry between the decay time distributions of B and anti-B mesons.

   ○ $\phi_s = -arg(-V_{ts}V_{tb}^*/V_{cs}V_{cb}^*)$

   ○ SM: small CPV phase-ϕ_s

   ○ Contributions from physics beyond the SM could lead to much larger values of ϕ_s.

○ B_s decay parameters:

   ○ $\Delta\Gamma_s \equiv \Gamma_L - \Gamma_H$,$\Gamma_s \equiv (\Gamma_L + \Gamma_H)/2$.

   ○ Able to be calculated with heavy quark expansion (HEQ) theory.

Similar study by R. Aleksan et al. :arxiv:2107.02002, arxiv:2107.05311

Extract the observables $\phi_s, \Gamma_s, \Delta\Gamma_s$ from the time dependent angular distribution.

$$\frac{d^4\Gamma(B_s \to J/\psi\phi)}{dtd\Omega} \propto \sum_{k=1}^{10} h_k(t)f_k(\Omega),$$

where

$$h_k(t|B_s) = N_k e^{-\Gamma_s t} \left[a_k \cosh(\frac{1}{2}\Delta\Gamma_s t) + b_k \sinh(\frac{1}{2}\Delta\Gamma_s t) + c_k \cos(\Delta m_s t) + d_k \sin(\Delta m_s t) \right]$$

$$h_k(t|\bar{B}_s) = N_k e^{-\Gamma_s t} \left[a_k \cosh(\frac{1}{2}\Delta\Gamma_s t) + b_k \sinh(\frac{1}{2}\Delta\Gamma_s t) - c_k \cos(\Delta m_s t) - d_k \sin(\Delta m_s t) \right]$$

$f_k(\Omega)$: amplitude function.

$b_k \sim \pm|\lambda|\cos(\phi_s)$, $d_k \sim \pm|\lambda|\sin(\phi_s)$

$\sigma(\phi_s) \propto 1/\sqrt{N_{\mathrm{eff}}}$

○ $N_{\mathrm{eff}} \propto N_{b\bar{b}}$

○ $N_{\mathrm{eff}} \propto$ Efficiency

○ $N_{\mathrm{eff}} \propto$ Tagging power

○ $\sigma_{\phi_s} \propto 1/e^{-\frac{1}{2}\Delta m_s^2 \sigma_t^2}$

Define:
$$\xi = 1/\left(\sqrt{N_{b\bar{b}} \times \varepsilon \times Br} \times \sqrt{p} \times \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\Delta m_s^2 \sigma_t^2) \right)$$

Then: $\sigma(\phi_s, FE) = \xi_{FE} \times \frac{\sigma(\phi_s, EE)}{\xi_{EE}}$

Numbers are quoted from *Eur.Phys.J.C79(2019)706*

$$\xi = 1/\left(\sqrt{N_{b\bar{b}} \times \varepsilon \times Br} \times \sqrt{p} \times \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\Delta m_s^2 \sigma_t^2)\right)$$

○ $N_{b\bar{b}} \times \varepsilon \times Br = 11700$. Avoid considering the efficiency on LHCb.
  ○ $\mathscr{L}_{\text{int}} = 1.9\text{fb}^{-1}, b\bar{b}$ cross-section:$144\,\mu\text{b}, Br = 20\% \times 0.001 \times 0.06 \times 0.5$.
  ○ $\varepsilon = 7\%$, where the $b\bar{b}$ is already in the acceptance, reasonable estimation.
○ Tagging power $p = 4.73\%$.
○ Decay time resolution: 45.5 fs.

ξ:

○ $\xi_{\text{LHCb}} = 0.018, \sigma(\phi_s, \text{LHCb}) = 0.041\text{rad}$.
○ $\xi_{\text{LHCb}} = 0.0014$, $\sigma(\phi_s, \text{HL-LHCb}) = \xi_{\text{HL-LHCb}} \times \sigma(\phi_s, \text{lhcb})/\xi_{\text{LHCb}} = 3.2$ mrad
  (HL-LHC: $N_{\text{HL-LHCb}} = N_{\text{LHCb}} \times \frac{300\,\text{fb}^{-1}}{1.9\,\text{fb}^{-1}} \times \frac{14\,\text{TeV}}{13\,\text{TeV}}$)

$$\xi = 1/\left(\sqrt{N_{b\bar{b}} \times \varepsilon \times Br} \times \sqrt{p} \times \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\Delta m_s^2 \sigma_t^2) \right)$$

○ Tera-Z: 0.152×10^{12}, 10-Tera-Z: 1.52×10^{12}

○ $Br = 20\% \times 0.001 \times 0.06 \times 0.5 \times 2$. ($J/\psi$ can also be reconstructed from e^+e^- on CEPC)

$$\xi = 1/\left(\sqrt{N_{b\bar{b}} \times \varepsilon \times Br} \times \sqrt{p} \times \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\Delta m_s^2 \sigma_t^2)\right)$$

Reconstruction:

○ Assume that we can distinguish $b\bar{b}$ events from other events.

○ Assume that we have perfect ability to distinguish leptons with hadrons.

○ ϕ candidates: $1.017 - 1.023\,\mathrm{GeV}/c^2$, two hadron tracks.

○ J/ψ candidates: $3.07 - 3.14\,\mathrm{GeV}/c^2$, two lepton tracks.

○ B_s^0 candidates: $5.28 - 5.46\,\mathrm{GeV}/c^2$, combination of all J/ψ ϕ candidates.

Extraction of ϕ_s require a clean background.

The number of background events are 1.7×10^5 times larger than the number of signal events.

In pure background (from simulation):

- The probability to find a J/ψ candidate is 0.4%.
- The probability to find a ϕ candidate is 3.6%.
- The probability to get a B_s^0 candidate from $J/\psi\,\phi$ combination is 4.6%.
- Total: 6.7×10^{-6}.

The background is of same magnitude with the signal.

ξ for CEPC (Efficiency)

Vertex χ^2: reject background.

$\varepsilon = 75\%$ with 1% background level.

Signal χ^2 distribution:

○ Background: very large spread χ^2 distribution.

○ $\chi^2 < 0.1$ keeps 95% of the signal and reject 99.2% of the background.

ξ for CEPC (Tagging power)

$$\xi = 1/\left(\sqrt{N_{b\bar{b}} \times \varepsilon \times Br} \times \sqrt{p} \times \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\Delta m_s^2 \sigma_t^2)\right)$$

20% of the tagging power can be easily achieved with a naive algorithm and with assumption of perfect pid. (Same side + Opposite side algorithm)

Dependence on particle identification:

Corretly identification rate: $1 - \omega$, misidentification probability: $\omega/2$

Considering the particle identification from the detector simulation, the tagging power is:

○ Intrinsic tagging power (without considering the effects from the readout electronics): 19.1%.

○ Realistic/conservative tagging power (if the particle identification resolution is degraded by 30% with respect to the intrinsic case): 17.4%.

ξ for CEPC (Time resolution)

$$\xi = 1/\left(\sqrt{N_{b\bar{b}} \times \varepsilon \times Br} \times \sqrt{p} \times \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\Delta m_s^2 \sigma_t^2) \right)$$

Obtained from detector simulation.
Proper decay time: $t = \dfrac{m l_{xy}}{p_T}$
Fit with sum of three gaussian.

$$\sigma_{\text{eff}} = \sqrt{-\frac{2}{\Delta m_s^2} \ln(\sum_i f_i e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma_i^2 \Delta m_s^2})} = 4.7 \text{ fs}.$$

(Reminder LHCb: 45 fs)

The excellect time resolution benefits from the precise vertex reconstruction and large energy of B_s.

ξ for CEPC (Summary)

Putting all the components together: $\xi_{\mathsf{CEPC}} = 0.0019$ (Tera-Z), $\sigma(\phi_s, \mathsf{CEPC}) = 4.3\mathrm{mrad}$.

	LHCb(HL-LHC)	CEPC(Tera-Z)	CEPC/LHCb
$b\bar{b}$ statics	43.2×10^{12}	0.152×10^{12}	$1/284$
Acceptance×efficiency	7%	75%	10.7
Br	6×10^{-6}	12×10^{-6}	2
Flavour tagging (perfect pid)	4.7%	20%	4.3
Time resolution $(\exp(-\frac{1}{2}\Delta m_s^2 \sigma_t^{2^2}))$	0.52	1	1.92
scaling factor ξ	0.0014	0.0019	0.8
$\sigma(\phi_s)$	3.3 mrad	4.3 mrad	
Flavour tagging (realistic/conservative pid)	4.7%	17.3%	3.7
$\sigma(\phi_s)$	3.3 mrad	4.6 mrad	

- Time resolution and tagging power dependence for observables.
- ϕ_s resolution has potential to be improved with better tagging power.
- $\Delta\Gamma_s$(and also Γ_s) has weak dependence: lose the factor of 4.3×1.92.

Results

○ Black point: SM global fit (CKMfitter group/UTfit collaboration) + HQE (Proc.Int.Sch.Phys.Fermi 137(1998)329,Adv.Ser.Direct.High Energy Phys.15(1998)239) prediction.

○ ATLAS and CMS results are from ATL-PHYS-PUB-2018-041 and CMS-PAS-FTR-18-041.

Summary

- Competitive ϕ_s resolution for CEPC(Tera-Z) and LHCb(HL-LHC).
  - Expected ϕ_s resolution: CEPC(Tera-Z) is a little worse than LHCb(HL-LHC).
  - CEPC has potential to improve the flavour tagging to get better ϕ_s resolution with better algorithm.
- Only in the 10-Tera-Z configuration, can Z factories be competitive to the LHCb(HL-LHC) for $\Delta\Gamma_s$ and Γ_s measurements.
- Particle identification is critical.
  - We assume perfect distinguish between lepton and hadron.
  - Hadron pid is not used in reconstruction. With the information, a better efficiency is expected.
  - Tagging power drop fast with particle misidentification.
- Vertex reconstruction is critical for background suppression.

Thank you for your attention!