

# The dipole portal to heavy neutrinos at future colliders (experiments)

Jing-yu Zhu (朱景宇)

Shanghai Jiao Tong University

15th Aug 2023, CEPC味物理-新物理和相关探测技术研讨会

1

# Outline

Background

Current constraints/sensitivities from cosmological, astrophysical and laboratory observations

Sensitivities at future FCC/high-luminosity LHC

Summary and outlook

## Motivations:

The neutrino portal to heavy neutrinos (active-

sterile neutrino transition magnetic moments): >

 $\mathcal{L} = d_{\alpha} \overline{\nu}_{\alpha L} \sigma^{\mu \nu} \nu_4 F_{\mu \nu} + \text{h.c.}$ 



- This Lagrangian is technically only valid at energies below the EW scale;
- Above the EW scale, we need construct UV-completion theory (Model dependent);
- Agnostic about the UV origin of this operator and study its phenomenological implications at energies below EW scale in most experiments.
- > Model-building discussion
- > A promising way to test the existence of sterile neutrinos
- > Anomalies(XENON1T, ANITA, MiniBooNE, muon g-2 anomalies)
- > A possible way to answer the questions: are neutrinos Dirac or Majorana particles?





Low energy electron-like excess

MicroBooNE Kopp et. al. PRL2021

Anomaly still exists

- The bounds on  $d_a$  ( $\alpha$ =e,  $\mu$ ,  $\tau$ ) come from various
- laboratory, astrophysical and cosmological observations, for example the ones derived from
- > neutrino oscillation experiments (solar, atmospheric, reactor),
- > dark matter experiments,
- > the observation of high-energy neutrinos
- by studying
- > coherent elastic neutrino-nucleus scattering (CEvNS),
- > elastic neutrino-electron scattering,
- > deep inelastic interactions
- etc.

# Supernova neutrinos



> Below the curve, the induced cooling effect is too weak

- Above the interaction becomes strong enough so that steriles cannot escape the collapsing core
- > If the sterile is too heavy, the gravitational pull will also prevent it from leaving the supernova, leading to the vertical cut-off of the exclusion curve

# Cosmology



The dipole interaction alters the expansion and cooling rates of the universe, leading to a corrected neutron-to-proton ratio and baryon-to-photon ratio. The final <sup>4</sup>He abundance depends on  $M_N$  and neutrino magnetic moment (up to 200 MeV).

## Coherent neutrino-nucleus elastic scttering (CEvNS)



[Bolton, Deppisch, Fridell, Harz, Hati, Kulkarni, 2022PRD]

# Dirac or Majorana?

$$\Gamma^{\mathrm{M}}_{N o 
u_{eta} \gamma} = 2\Gamma^{\mathrm{D}}_{N o 
u_{eta} \gamma} = rac{(\mu^{eta}_{
u N})^2 m_N^3}{4\pi}$$



More forward emissions of high energy  $\gamma$  in Majorana vs. Dirac case Clear distrinction for  $E_{\gamma} > E_{\nu}/2$ 

[Bolton, Invisible22] the outgoing photon energy  $E_{\gamma}$  and angle  $\theta_{\gamma}$  10

#### [Ovchynnikov, Schwetz, Zhu, PRD2023]

#### Including high-energy tail of DUNE



# Ultrahigh energy neutrino telescopes



- The incoming neutrino flux can be severely affected by the conversion process before reaching the neutrino detector
- The UHE neutrinos are then detected by an in-ice volume (for all neutrino flavors), and an atmospheric radio or imaging telescope (for tau neutrinos)
  - Much heavier neutrino masses can be detected (up t0 30 TeV)
  - 2. Depend on rare high-energy neutrino events from the universe

## More...





Upscattering of atmospheric neutrinos in the interior of the Earth [Gustafson,Plestid,Shoemak er,2205.02234] Solar neutrinos [Li, Xia, 2203.16525] 2007.05513 2010.04193 2105.09357 2108.12998 2109.05032 2109.09545 2110.02233 etc.

# Sensitivities at future FCC/high-luminosity LHC

 $\mathcal{L}_{\text{dipole}} = \bar{L} (d_{\mathcal{W}} \mathcal{W}^a_{\mu\nu} \tau_a + d_B B_{\mu\nu}) \tilde{H} \sigma^{\mu\nu} N + \text{h.c.}$ 

 $\mathcal{L}_{\text{dipole}}^{\text{eff}} = \bar{\nu}_{\alpha L} (d_{\alpha} F_{\mu\nu} - d_Z Z_{\mu\nu}) \sigma^{\mu\nu} N + d_W \bar{l}_L W_{\mu\nu} \sigma^{\mu\nu} N + \text{h.c.}$ 

$$|d_Z/d_{\alpha}| \in (0, \cot \theta_W), \quad |d_W/d_{\alpha}| \in \left(0, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sin \theta_W}\right)$$

Experiment	$N_W$	$N_Z$
HL-LHC	$6\cdot 10^{11}$	$1.5\cdot 10^{11}$
FCC-hh	$1.2\cdot 10^{13}$	$9\cdot 10^{12}$
FCC-ee	$5\cdot 10^8$	$5\cdot 10^{12}$

The searches at colliders prefer observing a displaced decay vertex (Single photon signal can not work well).

[Ovchynnikov, Zhu, JHEP2023]

#### Lepton collider



 $p + p \rightarrow W + X$ ,  $W \rightarrow N + l$ ,  $N \rightarrow l'^+ + l''^- + \nu_l$ 

JHEP 07 (2022) 081

### New selection rules at FCC-ee

 $\theta_{ll}$ 

 $l^{-}$ 





 $m_{ee} = \sqrt{(p_{e^+} + p_{e^-})^2}$ 

# **Results at FCC/LHC**



[Zhang, Liu, PRD2023]

- Probe masses up to 30 GeV and two orders lower than current constraints
- LHC and FCC complement each other

Comparable sensitivities with FCC-ee in the case of CEPC

Yu Zhang's talk later at 18th

- Various ways to constrain/search for the dipole portal signals
- Big new parameter space which can be probed through future experiments
- For heavier neutrinos will be complementally detected at colliders and neutrino telescopes
- > Next step and more general: Dipole + mixing

## Thank you for your attention!