

The 15th Workshop on QCD Phase Transition and Relativistic Heavy-Ion Physics (QPT 2023)

Scaling behaviors of heavy flavor meson suppression and flow in different nuclear collision systems at the LHC

Shu-Qing Li (Jining University)

with Wen-Jing Xing, Xiang-Yu Wu, Shanshan Cao, Guang-You Qin

arXiv:2108.06648 Published on Eur. Phys. J. C (2021) 81:1035

Dec 17th 2023 Zhuhai









• Introduction

- Langevin-hydrodynamics framework
- Numerical results
- Summary



Introduction: Heavy flavor quenching in heavy ion collision



- Heavy Ion Collision
 - high temperature and density experimental conditions

• QGP

- the strongly-coupled nature of this fluid-like QGP
- Heavy flavor Quenching and anisotropic flow
 - the two most important signatures



Introduction: Heavy flavor quenching and anisotropic flow





Introduction: Experimental results at the RHIC and LHC

RHIC Au+Au

Phys. Rev. Lett. 121,229901(E)(2018)



QPT 2023, Dec 15 – 19, Zhuhai



Introduction: Experimental results at the RHIC and LHC LHC Pb+Pb



QPT 2023, Dec 15 – 19, Zhuhai



Introduction: Experimental results in small collision systems

RHIC d-Au



large anisotropic flows have been observed in small collision systems.

implying the possible formation of mini-QGP in such small systems.

R(pPb) of D mesons is consistent with unity in p-Pb collisions.

> The collectivity observed in small systems originates from :

final state QGP effects

from initial state gluon saturation effects

QPT 2023, Dec 15 – 19, Zhuhai



Introduction: Theoretical current status

One possible way

- Disentangle the initial state and final state contributions to jet observables.
- Scan the jet quenching effect across various sizes of nuclear collision systems.
- This would bridge the gap between large and small systems.
- May hopefully help dentify the boundary across which QGP disappears.

Theoretical efforts

- Explore the nuclear modification effects on high p_T hadrons in systems smaller than Pb–Pb collisions at the LHC enegies.
- How parton energy loss depends on the size of collision systems.

Chin. Phys. C 43, 044101 (2019) Phys. Rev. C 102, 041901 (2020) Phys. Rev. C 101, 021901 (2020) Phys. Rev. C 103, 054903 (2021) Phys. Rev. Lett. 126, 192301 (2021)

Our work

Using heavy quarks to probe QGP with different sizes.





Langevin-hydrodynamics framework

 $D_{s}(2\pi T) = 4$

- □ Four collision systems
 - ✓ Pb–Pb @5.02 TeV
 - ✓ Xe-Xe @5.44 TeV
 - ✓ Ar–Ar @5.85 TeV
 - ✓ O–O @6.5 TeV
- Three collision centralities
 - ✓ 0-10%
 - ✓ 30-40%
 - ✓ 60-80%

- □ Two heavy mesons
 - ✓ D meson
 - ✓ B meson
- □ Two physical observabiles
 - ✓ R_{AA} vs. p_T , v_2 vs. p_T ✓ R_{AA} vs. < N_{part} >, v_2 vs. < N_{part} > ✓ R_{AA} vs. centrality, v_2 vs. centrality ✓ v_2 / ε_2 vs. < N_{part} >





- A suppression of the D⁰ R_{AA} at low p_T, while an enhancement (anti-shadowing) at high p_T. this shadowing effect has little impact on the D⁰ v₂.
- The results are consistent with the exerimental data. This helps confirm the satisfactory path-length dependence of parton energy loss embedded in our transport model.
- A clear hierarchy in the heavy meson R_{AA}. Larger heavy quark energy loss in more central collisions leads to a smaller nuclear modification factor. A maximum for elliptic flow v₂ in semi-central/peripheral collisions.

D⁰ have smaller R_{AA} and larger v₂ than B⁰ because charm quarks have much smaller mass than bottom quarks.







- Similar to previous results for Pb–Pb collisions.
- Parton energy loss becomes weaker inside a smaller collision system.
- The larger heavy flavor meson R_{AA} and smaller v₂ inside a smaller collision system within the same centrality.



- Amount of energy loss effects are found for both charm and bottom quarks in the most central collisions with O–O collisions.
- From central to peripheral collisions, heavy flavor meson R_{AA} increases and approaches unity at high p_T in peripheral collisions.
- The rise-and-fall structure of R_{AA} at low p_T region is due to the coalescence mechanism in heavy hadron formation in the presence of QGP medium.
- The heavy flavor meson v₂ first increases and then decreases as a function of centrality class due to the competing effects between parton energy loss and geometric anisotropy of the collision zone.







- The heavy flavor meson R_{AA} increases from central to peripheral collisions due to smaller heavy quark energy loss in more peripheral collisions.
- v₂ first increases and then decreases due to the competing effects between parton energy loss and medium geometry.

- A clearly observe the hierarchies of both R_{AA} and v₂ of heavy flavor mesons.
 R_{AA} : Pb-Pb < Xe-Xe < Ar-Ar < O-O v₂. : Pb-Pb > Xe-Xe > Ar-Ar > O-O
- D mesons have much smaller R_{AA} and much larger v₂ than B mesons due to the mass dependence of charm and bottom quark energy loss through the QGP.



- The rescaled v₂/ε₂ is mainly determined by the amount of parton energy loss, thus scales with the system size or Npart between different collision systems. This behavior is very similar to heavy meson R_{AA}.
- The main source of the heavy meson v₂/ε₂, the coupling of heavy quark motion to the QGP flow and the hadronization process can also affect the final state heavy meson v₂.
- Such breaking is more prominent for low energy heavy quarks and when the bulk radial flow is strong.





Summary

- Within the Langevin-hydrodynamics framework, we have performed a systematic study on the system size dependence of heavy quark energy loss in heavy-ion collisions at the LHC energies.
- A clear system size dependence of heavy meson R_{AA}, their v₂ simultaneously depends on the size and anisotropy of the QGP.
- A clear mass dependence of parton energy loss that yields smaller R_{AA} and larger v₂ of D mesons than B mesons for the same collision system and the same centrality class.
- The bulk-eccentricity-rescaled heavy meson elliptic flow (v_2/ϵ_2) is found to scale with Npart.
- This work provides a crucial bridge between large and small systems of relativistic nuclear collisions.
- Future system-size-scan experiments on jet quenching is expected to help resolve several open questions in high-energy nuclear physics, such as the precise path-length dependence, mass dependence of parton energy loss, and help dentify the boundary across which QGP disappears





(3 + 1)-dimensional CLVisc hydrodynamic model

the equation of motion and the dissipative equation

Phys. Rev. C 97, 064918 (2018) Phys. Rev. C 98, 024913 (2018)

$$\partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0$$

$$\pi^{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\nu}\sigma^{\mu\nu} - \tau_{\pi}[\Delta^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha\beta}u^{\lambda}\partial_{\lambda}\pi^{\alpha\beta} + \frac{4}{3}\pi^{\mu\nu}\theta] \qquad (1)$$

□ The full initial entropy density distribution

$$S(\tau_0, x, y, \eta_s) = Ks(x, y)H(\eta_s) | \tau_0 \qquad (2)$$

\square The local entropy density s(x, y)

$$s(x, y) = \left(\frac{T_A^{p} + T_B^{p}}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$
 (3)

□ The envelope functions

$$H(\eta_{s}) = \exp[-\frac{(|\eta_{s}| - \eta_{0})^{2}}{2\sigma_{\eta_{s}}^{2}}\theta(|\eta_{s}| - \eta_{0})] \quad (4)$$

□ the Woods–Saxon distribution

$$\rho(r,\theta) = \frac{\rho_0}{1 + \exp[\frac{r - R(\theta)}{d}]} [1 + \omega \frac{r^2}{R(\theta)^2}] \quad (5)$$

Nucleus	R _θ 【fm】	d[fm]	ω	β ₂	β4
²⁰⁸ Pb	6.62	0.546	0	0	0
¹²⁹ Xe	5.40	0.590	0	0.180	0
⁴⁰ Ar	3.53	0.542	0	0	0
¹⁶ O	2.608	0.513	-0.051	0	0

 $\eta/s=0.16$, $T_c=137$ MeV, $\tau_0=0.6$ fm/c



The modified Langevin equation

 $-\eta_{D}(p)\vec{p}+\vec{\xi}$

• classical Langevin equation

Elastic Process

 $d \vec{p}$

dt

- The fluctuation-dissipation relation $\eta_D(p) = \kappa/(2TE)$.
- The momentum diffusion coefficient of HQ κ .
- The spatial diffusion coefficient $D_s \equiv T/[M\eta_D(0)] = 2T^2/\kappa$



recoil due to gluon emissiom $\vec{f}_g = -d\vec{p}_g / dt$

Phys. Rev. C 88, 044907 (2013)

Phys. Rev. C 92, 024907 (2015)

Inelastic Process

 $\rightarrow \vec{p}_{g}$ is the momentum of the emitted gluon

$$P_{rad}(t,\Delta t) = \langle N_g(t,\Delta t) \rangle = \Delta t \int dx dk_{\perp}^2 \frac{dN_g}{dx dk_{\perp}^2 dt}$$

> Radiated gluon spectrum (Higher-twist)

$$\frac{dN_g}{dxdk_{\perp}^2 dt} = \frac{2\alpha_s P(x)\hat{q}}{\pi k_{\perp}^4} \sin^2(\frac{t-t_i}{2\tau_f})(\frac{k_{\perp}^2}{k_{\perp}^2 + x^2 M^2})^4$$

g



Hybrid coalescence-fragmentation model

Physics Letters B 807 (2020) 135561

T_c=160MeV





Coalescence(recombination) Low p partons combine with thermal partons into hadrons Fragmentation High p partons fragment into hadrons [Low p parPeterson FONNL, Pythia, etc]

Instantaneous coalescence: coalescence probability~wavefunction overlap Probability: Wigner function $f_M^W \equiv \left| \left\langle M \left| q_1, q_2 \right\rangle \right|^2$

Encodes information of microscopic hadron structures

$$f_{M}^{W}(\vec{r},\vec{q}) = g_{M} \int d^{3}r' e^{-i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{r}'} \phi_{M}(\vec{r}+\frac{\vec{r}'}{2}) \phi_{M}^{*}(\vec{r}-\frac{\vec{r}'}{2})$$