#### A UV-regulated Theory of nonlinear Diffusion





#### Navid Abbasi

#### In collaboration with

#### Matthias Kaminski (University of Alabama) Omid Tavakol (University of California, Irvine)

# 0. Outline

- A few words about diffusion
- The main problem with Fick's law
- Kadanoff-Martin resolution of the problem
- In experiment
- Nonlinear version of the Kadanoff-Martin resolution
- In experiment
- Long-time tail
- Discussion (QGP)

• In the simplest setup,

"relaxation of a nonuniform density in a gas at uniform temperature"

• In the simplest setup,

"relaxation of a nonuniform density in a gas at uniform temperature" the mechanism involved is "the transport of particles from one place to another"

• In the simplest setup,

"relaxation of a nonuniform density in a gas at uniform temperature" the mechanism involved is "the transport of particles from one place to another"

 Instead of looking at particles at the microscopic level, an effective dissipative process for the conserved density is considered.

• In the simplest setup,

"relaxation of a nonuniform density in a gas at uniform temperature" the mechanism involved is "the transport of particles from one place to another"

• Instead of looking at particles at the microscopic level, an <u>effective dissipative process</u> for the conserved density is considered.

diffusion

Fick's law: Diffusion of a U(1) charge in a non-fluctuating background

$$\partial_t n + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} = 0$$
  
 $\mathbf{J} + D \nabla n = 0$ 

Fick's law: Diffusion of a U(1) charge in a non-fluctuating background

Fick's law: Diffusion of a U(1) charge in a non-fluctuating background

$$\partial_t n + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} = 0$$

$$\mathbf{J} + D \nabla n = 0$$

$$\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{D} \quad \mathbf{\nabla}^2 n = 0$$

$$\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{D} \quad \mathbf{D}$$

Fick's law: Diffusion of a U(1) charge in a non-fluctuating background

$$\partial_t n + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} = 0$$
$$\mathbf{J} + D \nabla n = 0$$

Problem:

the correlation functions in the hydro limit fail to satisfy the sum-rules:

$$\partial_t n - D \nabla^2 n = 0$$
[Fick, 1895]
$$\mathbf{J}$$

$$G_{nn}^R(\omega, \mathbf{k}) = \frac{\chi D \mathbf{k}^2}{-i\omega + D \mathbf{k}^2}$$
[Kovtun, J.Phys.A (2012)]

Fick's law: Diffusion of a U(1) charge in a non-fluctuating background

$$\partial_t n + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} = 0$$
$$\mathbf{J} + D \nabla n = 0$$

Problem:

the correlation functions in the hydro limit fail to satisfy the sum-rules:

$$\partial_t n - D \nabla^2 n = 0$$
[Fick, 1895]
$$\mathbf{I}$$

$$G_{nn}^R(\omega, \mathbf{k}) = \frac{\chi D \mathbf{k}^2}{-i\omega + D \mathbf{k}^2}$$
[Kovtun, J.Phys.A (2012)]

1. 
$$-\int \frac{d\omega}{\pi} \frac{\mathrm{Im}G^R_{nn}(\omega,\mathbf{k})}{\omega} = \chi$$

2. 
$$-\int \frac{d\omega}{\pi} \omega \operatorname{Im} G_{nn}^{R}(\omega, \mathbf{k}) \sim D\mathbf{k}^{2}$$

[Kadanoff, Martin, Annals of Physics (1963)]

Diffusion of a U(1) charge in a non-fluctuating background:

$$\partial_t n + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} = 0$$
  
 $\mathbf{J} + D \nabla n = 0$ 

Diffusion of a U(1) charge in a non-fluctuating background:

$$\partial_t n + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} = 0$$
  
 $\tau \partial_t \mathbf{J} + \mathbf{J} + D \nabla n = 0$ 

Diffusion of a U(1) charge in a non-fluctuating background:

$$\frac{\partial_t n + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} = 0}{\tau \partial_t \mathbf{J} + \mathbf{J} + D \nabla n = 0}$$
 
$$\overrightarrow{\tau} \frac{\partial_t n + \nabla \mathbf{J} = 0}{\tau \partial_t \mathbf{J} + \mathbf{J} + D \nabla n = 0}$$

Diffusion of a U(1) charge in a non-fluctuating background:

tł and does satisfy the sum-rules:

Diffusion of a U(1) charge in a non-fluctuating background:

$$\partial_t n + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} = 0$$

$$\tau \partial_t \mathbf{J} + \mathbf{J} + D \nabla n = 0$$

$$\mathbf{\nabla}^2 n = 0$$

t and does satisfy the sum-rules:

1. 
$$-\int \frac{d\omega}{\pi} \frac{\mathrm{Im}G_{nn}^{R}(\omega,\mathbf{k})}{\omega} = \chi$$

2. 
$$-\int \frac{d\omega}{\pi} \omega \operatorname{Im} G_{nn}^{R}(\omega, \mathbf{k}) = \frac{D\chi}{\tau} \mathbf{k}^{2}$$

[Kadanoff, Martin, Annals of Physics (1963)]

Measuring transport in the Hubbard model: ultracold 6Li in a 2D optical lattice



[Brown et al, Science, (2019) 1802.09456]

Measuring transport in the Hubbard model: ultracold 6Li in a 2D optical lattice



 $\partial_t^2 n + \Gamma \partial_t n + \Gamma D k^2 n = 0$ 

[Brown et al, Science, (2019) 1802.09456]

Measuring transport in the Hubbard model: ultracold 6Li in a 2D optical lattice



[Brown et al, Science, (2019) 1802.09456]

 $\partial_t^2 n + \Gamma \partial_t n + \Gamma D k^2 n = 0$  $\tau \partial_t^2 n + \partial_t n - D \nabla^2 n = 0$ 

Measuring transport in the Hubbard model: ultracold 6Li in a 2D optical lattice



[Brown et al, Science, (2019) 1802.09456]



Measuring transport in the Hubbard model: ultracold 6Li in a 2D optical lattice



- The lesson we learned:
- $au \equiv \Gamma^{-1}$  can be measured experimentally.

A (4)(4)

Temperature (t)

8

0

0 1 2

[Brown et al, Science, (2019) 1802.09456]

Theory of diffusive fluctuations:

[Chen-Lin, Delacretaz, Hartnoll, PRL (2019)]

$$\mathcal{L} = iT^2\kappa(\nabla\varphi_a)^2 - \varphi_a\left(\dot{\varepsilon} - D\nabla^2\varepsilon\right) + \nabla^2\varphi_a\left[\frac{1}{2}\lambda\varepsilon^2 + \frac{1}{3}\lambda'\varepsilon^3\right] + icT^2(\nabla\varphi_a)^2\left[\widetilde{\lambda}\varepsilon + \widetilde{\lambda}'\varepsilon^2\right] + \cdots$$

Theory of diffusive fluctuations:

[Chen-Lin, Delacretaz, Hartnoll, PRL (2019)]

$$\mathcal{L} = iT^{2}\kappa(\nabla\varphi_{a})^{2} - \varphi_{a}\left(\dot{\varepsilon} - D\nabla^{2}\varepsilon\right) + \nabla^{2}\varphi_{a}\left[\frac{1}{2}\lambda\varepsilon^{2} + \frac{1}{3}\lambda'\varepsilon^{3}\right] + icT^{2}(\nabla\varphi_{a})^{2}\left[\widetilde{\lambda}\varepsilon + \widetilde{\lambda}'\varepsilon^{2}\right] + \cdots$$

Theory of diffusive fluctuations:

[Chen-Lin, Delacretaz, Hartnoll, PRL (2019)]

$$\mathcal{L} = iT^{2}\kappa(\nabla\varphi_{a})^{2} - \varphi_{a}\left(\dot{\varepsilon} - D\nabla^{2}\varepsilon\right) + \nabla^{2}\varphi_{a}\left[\frac{1}{2}\lambda\varepsilon^{2} + \frac{1}{3}\lambda'\varepsilon^{3}\right] + icT^{2}(\nabla\varphi_{a})^{2}\left[\widetilde{\lambda}\varepsilon + \widetilde{\lambda}'\varepsilon^{2}\right] + \cdots$$

• At quadratic order:  $\partial_t \varepsilon - D \nabla^2 \varepsilon = 0$ 

Theory of diffusive fluctuations:

[Chen-Lin, Delacretaz, Hartnoll, PRL (2019)]

$$\mathcal{L} = iT^{2}\kappa(\nabla\varphi_{a})^{2} - \varphi_{a}\left(\dot{\varepsilon} - D\nabla^{2}\varepsilon\right) + \nabla^{2}\varphi_{a}\left[\frac{1}{2}\lambda\varepsilon^{2} + \frac{1}{3}\lambda'\varepsilon^{3}\right] + icT^{2}(\nabla\varphi_{a})^{2}\left[\tilde{\lambda}\varepsilon + \tilde{\lambda}'\varepsilon^{2}\right] + \cdots$$

- At quadratic order:  $\partial_t \varepsilon D \nabla^2 \varepsilon = 0$
- 1-loop renormalization gives:  $\frac{\delta D}{D} = \frac{f_d}{c \ell_{\rm th}^d} \lambda_D$   $\frac{\delta \sigma}{\sigma} = \frac{f_d}{\ell_{\rm th}^d} \frac{T}{\chi \mu^2} \lambda_\sigma$

Theory of diffusive fluctuations:

[Chen-Lin, Delacretaz, Hartnoll, PRL (2019)]

$$\mathcal{L} = iT^{2}\kappa(\nabla\varphi_{a})^{2} - \varphi_{a}\left(\dot{\varepsilon} - D\nabla^{2}\varepsilon\right) + \nabla^{2}\varphi_{a}\left[\frac{1}{2}\lambda\varepsilon^{2} + \frac{1}{3}\lambda'\varepsilon^{3}\right] + icT^{2}(\nabla\varphi_{a})^{2}\left[\widetilde{\lambda}\varepsilon + \widetilde{\lambda}'\varepsilon^{2}\right] + \cdots$$

- At quadratic order:  $\partial_t \varepsilon D \nabla^2 \varepsilon = 0$
- 1-loop renormalization gives:  $\frac{\delta D}{D} = \frac{f_d}{c \ell_{th}^d} \lambda_D$   $\frac{\delta \sigma}{\sigma} = \frac{f_d}{\ell_{th}^d} \frac{T}{\chi \mu^2} \lambda_\sigma$
- In strongly correlated but non-degenerate fermions  $\ell_{
  m th}$  is found to saturate around the lattice scale at high temperatures:  $\ell_{
  m th}\sim a$

And since  $\chi \sim 1/(Ta^d)$ , fluctuations become of order one.

Theory of diffusive fluctuations:

[Chen-Lin, Delacretaz, Hartnoll, PRL (2019)]

[Brown et al, Science, (2019) 1802.09456]

$$\mathcal{L} = iT^{2}\kappa(\nabla\varphi_{a})^{2} - \varphi_{a}\left(\dot{\varepsilon} - D\nabla^{2}\varepsilon\right) + \nabla^{2}\varphi_{a}\left[\frac{1}{2}\lambda\varepsilon^{2} + \frac{1}{3}\lambda'\varepsilon^{3}\right] + icT^{2}(\nabla\varphi_{a})^{2}\left[\widetilde{\lambda}\varepsilon + \widetilde{\lambda}'\varepsilon^{2}\right] + \cdots$$

- At quadratic order:  $\partial_t \varepsilon D \nabla^2 \varepsilon = 0$
- 1-loop renormalization gives:  $\frac{\delta D}{D} = \frac{f_d}{c \ell_{th}^d} \lambda_D$   $\frac{\delta \sigma}{\sigma} = \frac{f_d}{\ell_{th}^d} \frac{T}{\chi \mu^2} \lambda_\sigma$
- In strongly correlated but non-degenerate fermions  $\ell_{
  m th}$  is found to saturate around the lattice scale at high temperatures:  $\ell_{
  m th}\sim a$

And since  $\chi \sim 1/(Ta^d)$ , fluctuations become of order one.

ultracold atom realization of the Hubbard model

[NA, Kaminski, Tavakol, 2212.11499]

Instead of fitting data with the linear equation



$$\tau \partial_t^2 n + \partial_t n - D \nabla^2 n = 0$$

[NA, Kaminski, Tavakol, 2212.11499]

Instead of fitting data with the linear equation



 $\tau \partial_t^2 n + \partial_t n - D \nabla^2 n = 0$  $\tau, D$ [Brown et al, Science, (2019) 1802.09456]

[NA, Kaminski, Tavakol, 2212.11499]

 $\tau \partial_t^2 n + \partial_t n - D \nabla^2 n = 0$ Instead of fitting data with the linear equation в А 0.1 Ω -0.1 0.1  $au\,,\,\,\, D$ Amplitude ( $\delta n_{\uparrow}$ ) Amplitude (arb) 50 -0.1 [Brown et al, Science, (2019) 1802.09456] 0.1 -0.1 0 0.1 0 -0.1 -0.5 DMD 0 2 4 6 10 -10 0 Time (ħ/t)

• One has to include the nonlinear effects.

Position (sites)

[NA, Kaminski, Tavakol, 2212.11499]



- One has to include the nonlinear effects.
- Self-interactions:  $D(n) = D + \lambda_D n + \frac{\lambda'_D}{2} n^2 + \cdots$   $\lambda_D = dD(n)/dn$  $\lambda'_D = d^2D(n)/dn^2$

[NA, Kaminski, Tavakol, 2212.11499]



- One has to include the nonlinear effects.
- Self-interactions:  $D(n) = D + \lambda_D n + \frac{\lambda'_D}{2} n^2 + \cdots$   $\lambda_D = dD(n)/dn$  $\lambda'_D = d^2D(n)/dn^2$

$$\left| \mathbf{E}[n] \equiv \tau \partial_t^2 n + \partial_t n - \nabla^2 \left( D n + \frac{\lambda_D}{2} n^2 + \frac{\lambda'_D}{6} n^3 \right) = 0 \right|$$

UV-regulated nonlinear theory of diffusion

[NA, Kaminski, Tavakol, 2212.11499]







[NA, Kaminski, Tavakol, 2212.11499]





[NA, Kaminski, Tavakol, 2212.11499]

By including the nonlinear effect

- Gamma is effectively unchanged.
- D is significantly affected.

# 8. Towards the EFT of UV-regulated diffusion

MSR effective action: [Martin, Siggia, Rose, PRA (1973)]

$$\mathcal{L} = iT\sigma(\nabla n_a)^2 - n_a \left(\tau \partial_t^2 n + \partial_t n - D\nabla^2 n + iT\chi\lambda_\sigma n(\nabla n_a)^2 + \frac{\lambda_D}{2}\nabla^2 n_a n^2 + \frac{1}{2}iT\chi\lambda'_\sigma n^2(\nabla n_a)^2 + \frac{\lambda'_D}{6}\nabla^2 n_a n^3$$
### 8. Towards the EFT of UV-regulated diffusion

MSR effective action: [Martin, Siggia, Rose, PRA (1973)]

$$\mathcal{L} = iT\sigma(\nabla n_a)^2 - n_a \left(\tau \partial_t^2 n + \partial_t n - D\nabla^2 n\right)$$
$$+ iT\chi\lambda_\sigma n(\nabla n_a)^2 + \frac{\lambda_D}{2}\nabla^2 n_a n^2$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2}iT\chi\lambda'_\sigma n^2(\nabla n_a)^2 + \frac{\lambda'_D}{6}\nabla^2 n_a n^3$$

Equation of motion for n:

$$E[n] \equiv \tau \partial_t^2 n + \partial_t n - \nabla^2 \left( D n + \frac{\lambda_D}{2} n^2 + \frac{\lambda'_D}{6} n^3 \right) = 0$$

<sup>[</sup>NA, Kaminski, Tavakol, 2212.11499]

### 8. Towards the EFT of UV-regulated diffusion

MSR effective action: [Martin, Siggia, Rose, PRA (1973)]

$$\mathcal{L} = iT\sigma(\nabla n_a)^2 - n_a \left(\tau \partial_t^2 n + \partial_t n - D\nabla^2 n + iT\chi\lambda_\sigma n(\nabla n_a)^2 + \frac{\lambda_D}{2}\nabla^2 n_a n^2 + \frac{1}{2}iT\chi\lambda'_\sigma n^2(\nabla n_a)^2 + \frac{\lambda'_D}{6}\nabla^2 n_a n^3\right)$$

Equation of motion for n:

$$\mathbf{E}[n] \equiv \tau \partial_t^2 n + \partial_t n - \nabla^2 \left( D n + \frac{\lambda_D}{2} n^2 + \frac{\lambda'_D}{6} n^3 \right) = 0$$

- Leading effects caused by the nonlinear term in E[n]=0
- ~ the effect of 1-loop corrections on the  $G^R_{nn}(\omega,{\bf k})$

<sup>[</sup>NA, Kaminski, Tavakol, 2212.11499]

• The response function: 
$$G_{nn}^{R}(\omega, \mathbf{k}) = \frac{i(\sigma + \delta\sigma(\omega, \mathbf{k}))\mathbf{k}^{2}}{-i\tau\omega^{2} + \omega + iD\mathbf{k}^{2} + \Sigma(\omega, \mathbf{k})}$$



• The response function: 
$$G_{nn}^{R}(\omega, \mathbf{k}) = \frac{i(\sigma + \delta\sigma(\omega, \mathbf{k}))\mathbf{k}^{2}}{-i\tau\omega^{2} + \omega + iD\mathbf{k}^{2} + \Sigma(\omega, \mathbf{k})}$$



• Rewriting as 
$$G_{nn}^{R}(\omega, \mathbf{k}) = \frac{i \sigma \mathbf{k}^{2}}{-i(\tau + \delta \tau(\omega, \mathbf{k}))\omega^{2} + \omega + i(D + \delta D(\omega, \mathbf{k}))\mathbf{k}^{2}}$$

[NA, Kaminski, Tavakol, 2212.11499]

• The response function: 
$$G_{nn}^{R}(\omega, \mathbf{k}) = \frac{i(\sigma + \delta\sigma(\omega, \mathbf{k}))\mathbf{k}^{2}}{-i\tau\omega^{2} + \omega + iD\mathbf{k}^{2} + \Sigma(\omega, \mathbf{k})}$$



• Rewriting as 
$$G_{nn}^{R}(\omega, \mathbf{k}) = \frac{i \sigma \mathbf{k}^{2}}{-i(\tau + \delta \tau(\omega, \mathbf{k}))\omega^{2} + \omega + i(D + \delta D(\omega, \mathbf{k}))\mathbf{k}^{2}}$$

•  $\delta \tau(\omega, \mathbf{k}) = 0$ 

• 
$$\delta D(\omega, \mathbf{k}) = \frac{\lambda_D^2 T \chi}{4D^2} (-i\omega) (\tau D)^{\frac{2-d}{2}} \alpha_d(\omega, \mathbf{k}) (1 - i\tau\omega) \left(\frac{2 + D\mathbf{k}^2 \tau - \tau\omega(3i + \tau\omega)}{-D\mathbf{k}^2 \tau + (i + \tau\omega)^2}\right)^2$$

• The response function: 
$$G_{nn}^{R}(\omega, \mathbf{k}) = \frac{i(\sigma + \delta\sigma(\omega, \mathbf{k}))\mathbf{k}^{2}}{-i\tau\omega^{2} + \omega + iD\mathbf{k}^{2} + \Sigma(\omega, \mathbf{k})}$$



• Rewriting as 
$$G_{nn}^{R}(\omega, \mathbf{k}) = \frac{i \sigma \mathbf{k}^{2}}{-i(\tau + \delta \tau(\omega, \mathbf{k}))\omega^{2} + \omega + i(D + \delta D(\omega, \mathbf{k}))\mathbf{k}^{2}}$$

• 
$$\delta \tau(\omega, \mathbf{k}) = 0$$
  
•  $\delta D(\omega, \mathbf{k}) = \frac{\lambda_D^2 T \chi}{4D^2} (-i\omega)(\tau D)^{\frac{2-d}{2}} \alpha_d(\omega, \mathbf{k}) (1 - i\tau\omega) \left(\frac{2 + D\mathbf{k}^2 \tau - \tau \omega(3i + \tau\omega)}{-D\mathbf{k}^2 \tau + (i + \tau\omega)^2}\right)^2$ 



• The response function: 
$$G_{nn}^{R}(\omega, \mathbf{k}) = \frac{i\left(\sigma + \delta\sigma(\omega, \mathbf{k})\right)\mathbf{k}^{2}}{-i\tau\omega^{2} + \omega + iD\mathbf{k}^{2} + \Sigma(\omega, \mathbf{k})}$$



• Rewriting as 
$$G_{nn}^{R}(\omega, \mathbf{k}) = \frac{i \sigma \mathbf{k}^{2}}{-i(\tau + \delta \tau(\omega, \mathbf{k}))\omega^{2} + \omega + i(D + \delta D(\omega, \mathbf{k}))\mathbf{k}^{2}}$$

• 
$$\delta au(\omega,{f k})=0$$
 in agreement with result of our fitting

• 
$$\delta D(\omega, \mathbf{k}) = \frac{\lambda_D^2 T \chi}{4D^2} (-i\omega) (\tau D)^{\frac{2-d}{2}} \alpha_d(\omega, \mathbf{k}) (1 - i\tau\omega) \left(\frac{2 + D\mathbf{k}^2 \tau - \tau \omega (3i + \tau\omega)}{-D\mathbf{k}^2 \tau + (i + \tau\omega)^2}\right)^2$$



In a simple diffusive system without a UV gapped mode

	linear response
$G_{nn}(\omega, \mathbf{k})$	$\frac{2T\chiD\mathbf{k}^2}{\omega^2 + (D\mathbf{k}^2)^2}$
$G_{nn}(t,\mathbf{k})$	$T  \chi  e^{-D \mathbf{k}^2 t}$
$G_{nn}(t, \mathbf{k} = 0)$	$T  \chi$

In a simple diffusive system without a UV gapped mode

	linear response	leading nonlinear cont.
$G_{nn}(\omega, \mathbf{k})$	$\frac{2T\chiD\mathbf{k}^2}{\omega^2 + (D\mathbf{k}^2)^2}$	$-\frac{2}{\omega} \operatorname{Im} \frac{T\chi D \mathbf{k}^4 \delta D(\omega, \mathbf{k})}{(-i\omega + D\mathbf{k}^2)^2}$
$G_{nn}(t,\mathbf{k})$	$T  \chi  e^{-D \mathbf{k}^2 t}$	$\frac{\lambda_D^2}{D^2} T^2 \chi^2 \frac{e^{-D\mathbf{k}^2 t}}{\sqrt{2\pi t}}$
$G_{nn}(t, \mathbf{k} = 0)$	$T\chi$	$\frac{\lambda_D^2}{D^2}  \frac{T^2  \chi^2}{\sqrt{2\pi t}}$

In a simple diffusive system without a UV gapped mode

	linear response	leading nonlinear cont.	
$G_{nn}(\omega, \mathbf{k})$	$\frac{2T\chiD\mathbf{k}^2}{\omega^2 + (D\mathbf{k}^2)^2}$	$-\frac{2}{\omega} \mathrm{Im} \frac{T\chi D \mathbf{k}^4 \delta D(\omega, \mathbf{k})}{(-i\omega + D\mathbf{k}^2)^2}$	
$G_{nn}(t,\mathbf{k})$	$T\chie^{-D{\bf k}^2t}$	$\frac{\lambda_D^2}{D^2} T^2 \chi^2 \frac{e^{-D\mathbf{k}^2 t}}{\sqrt{2\pi t}}$	
$G_{nn}(t,\mathbf{k}=0)$	$T  \chi$	$\frac{\lambda_D^2}{D^2} \frac{T^2 \chi^2}{\sqrt{2\pi t}}$	
	Long-time tail		

In a simple diffusive system without a UV gapped mode

	linear response	leading nonlinear cont.
$G_{nn}(\omega, \mathbf{k})$	$\frac{2T\chiD\mathbf{k}^2}{\omega^2 + (D\mathbf{k}^2)^2}$	$-\frac{2}{\omega} \mathrm{Im} \frac{T\chi D \mathbf{k}^4 \delta D(\omega, \mathbf{k})}{(-i\omega + D\mathbf{k}^2)^2}$
$G_{nn}(t,\mathbf{k})$	$T  \chi  e^{-D \mathbf{k}^2 t}$	$\frac{\lambda_D^2}{D^2} T^2 \chi^2 \frac{e^{-D\mathbf{k}^2 t}}{\sqrt{2\pi t}}$
$G_{nn}(t, \mathbf{k} = 0)$	$T  \chi$	$\frac{\lambda_D^2}{D^2} \frac{T^2 \chi^2}{\sqrt{2\pi t}}$
		[Michailidis, A

Long-time tail

.10564]

• The appearance of  $t^{-d/2}$  in  $G_{nn}(t, \mathbf{k})$ is related to non-analyticity of  $G_{nn}(\omega, \mathbf{k}) \sim \begin{cases} \sqrt{|\omega|^{d-2}} & d \text{ odd} \\ \log |\omega| & d \text{ even} \end{cases}$ 

[Kovtun, Yaffe, PRD (2003)]

In a simple diffusive system without a UV gapped mode

	linear response	leading nonlinear cont.
$G_{nn}(\omega, \mathbf{k})$	$\frac{2T\chiD\mathbf{k}^2}{\omega^2 + (D\mathbf{k}^2)^2}$	$-\frac{2}{\omega} \mathrm{Im} \frac{T \chi D  \mathbf{k}^4 \delta D(\omega, \mathbf{k})}{(-i\omega + D \mathbf{k}^2)^2}$
$G_{nn}(t,\mathbf{k})$	$T  \chi  e^{-D \mathbf{k}^2 t}$	$\frac{\lambda_D^2}{D^2} T^2 \chi^2 \frac{e^{-D\mathbf{k}^2 t}}{\sqrt{2\pi t}}$
$G_{nn}(t, \mathbf{k} = 0)$	$T\chi$	$rac{\lambda_D^2}{D^2} rac{T^2  \chi^2}{\sqrt{2\pi t}}$
	*	

#### Long-time tail

• The appearance of  $t^{-d/2}$  in  $G_{nn}(t, \mathbf{k})$ is related to non-analyticity of  $G_{nn}(\omega, \mathbf{k}) \sim \begin{cases} \sqrt{|\omega|^{d-2}} & d \text{ odd} \\ \log |\omega| & d \text{ even} \end{cases}$ 

• Branch points are produced due to the interactions.

<sup>[</sup>Kovtun, Yaffe, PRD (2003)]

• All our calculations are analytic except for  $\mathfrak{G}_{nn}^{(1)}(t, \mathbf{k})$ we find the inverse Fourier transform numerically. because of this complicated analytic structure!



• All our calculations are analytic except for  $\mathfrak{G}_{nn}^{(1)}(t, \mathbf{k})$ we find the inverse Fourier transform numerically. because of this complicated analytic structure!



• The late time behavior (long-time tail) of it can be calculated analytically:

$$\mathfrak{G}_{nn}^{(1)}(t,\mathbf{k}) = g \frac{\left(1 + \sqrt{1 - \tau D \mathbf{k}^2}\right)^4}{(1 - \tau D \mathbf{k}^2)^{1/4}} \frac{e^{(-1 + \sqrt{1 - \tau D \mathbf{k}^2})\frac{t}{\tau}}}{\sqrt{2\pi D t}}$$

• All our calculations are analytic except for  $\mathfrak{G}_{nn}^{(1)}(t, \mathbf{k})$ we find the inverse Fourier transform numerically. because of this complicated analytic structure!



• The late time behavior (long-time tail) of it can be calculated analytically:

$$\mathfrak{G}_{nn}^{(1)}(t,\mathbf{k}) = g \frac{\left(1 + \sqrt{1 - \tau D \mathbf{k}^2}\right)^4}{(1 - \tau D \mathbf{k}^2)^{1/4}} \frac{e^{(-1 + \sqrt{1 - \tau D \mathbf{k}^2})\frac{t}{\tau}}}{\sqrt{2\pi D t}}$$

[NA, Kaminski, Tavakol, 2212.11499]

• The gapped mode doesn't affect the tail, while the exponential factor changes.

Our theory can be well applied to systems with a slowly-relaxing mode;
e.g. the QGP near the critical point

[Stephanov, Rajagopal, Shuryak, PRL (1998)] [Sogabe, Yin, JHEP (2022)]

• Our theory can be well applied to systems with a slowly-relaxing mode; e.g. the QGP near the critical point

[Stephanov, Rajagopal, Shuryak, PRL (1998)] [Sogabe, Yin, JHEP (2022)]

- In the simplest setup,
  - Take the background being a non-fluctuating Bjorken flow
  - Consider a U(1) self-interacting charge on top of this flow
  - We estimate the nonlinear contribution to the late time behavior of this density:

• Our theory can be well applied to systems with a slowly-relaxing mode; e.g. the QGP near the critical point

[Stephanov, Rajagopal, Shuryak, PRL (1998)] [Sogabe, Yin, JHEP (2022)]

- In the simplest setup,
  - Take the background being a non-fluctuating Bjorken flow
  - Consider a U(1) self-interacting charge on top of this flow
  - We estimate the nonlinear contribution to the late time behavior of this density:

$$\Delta \langle n(\tau_p) \rangle = a T \chi^2 \mu \frac{\lambda_D^2}{D^2} \frac{1}{(D\tau_p)^{3/2}} \left( 1 - \frac{11}{8} \frac{\tau}{\tau_p} + \cdots \right)$$

[NA, Kaminski, Tavakol, 2212.11499] [Martinez, Schafer, PRC (2019)]

• Our theory can be well applied to systems with a slowly-relaxing mode; e.g. the QGP near the critical point

[Stephanov, Rajagopal, Shuryak, PRL (1998)] [Sogabe, Yin, JHEP (2022)]

- In the simplest setup,
  - Take the background being a non-fluctuating Bjorken flow
  - Consider a U(1) self-interacting charge on top of this flow
  - We estimate the nonlinear contribution to the late time behavior of this density:

$$\Delta \langle n(\tau_p) \rangle = a T \chi^2 \mu \frac{\lambda_D^2}{D^2} \frac{1}{(D\tau_p)^{3/2}} \left( 1 - \frac{11}{8} \frac{\tau}{\tau_p} + \cdots \right)$$

[NA, Kaminski, Tavakol, 2212.11499] [Martinez, Schafer, PRC (2019)]

- It is interesting to
  - Make the background dynamical
  - Repeat the calculation near the critical point

[Akamatsu, Mazeliauskas, Teaney, PRC (2017)] [Martinez, Schafer, PRC (2019)]

## Thank you for your attention

- [Kadanoff, Martin, Annals of Physics (1963)]
- [Kovtun, J.Phys.A (2012)]
- [Brown et al, Science, (2019) 1802.09456]
- [NA, Kaminski, Tavakol, 2212.11499]
- [Chen-Lin, Delacretaz, Hartnoll, PRL (2019)]
- [Kovtun, Yaffe, PRD (2003)]
- [Michailidis, Abanin, Delacretaz, 2310.10564]
- [Sogabe, Yin, JHEP (2022)]
- [Stephanov, Rajagopal, Shuryak, PRL (1998)]
- [Akamatsu, Mazeliauskas, Teaney, PRC (2017)]
- [Martinez, Schafer, PRC (2019)]
- [Fick, 1895]
- [Martin, Siggia, Rose, PRA (1973)]



• The gapped mode doesn't affect the tail, while the exponential factor changes.