Phase Diagrams



QCD Phase Diagram – Ask ChatGPT

tell me about QCD phase diagram

The Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) phase diagram represents the various phases of matter and their transitions as a function of temperature and baryon density in the context of QCD, the theory that describes the strong nuclear force. Understanding the QCD phase diagram is crucial for exploring the behavior of nuclear matter, particularly at extreme conditions. The primary phases and features of the QCD phase diagram include:

- Hadronic Phase: At low temperatures and low baryon densities, nuclear matter exists in the hadronic phase. In this phase, quarks and gluons are confined within hadrons, which are color-neutral particles like protons, neutrons, and mesons. The strong force is dominant in this phase, binding quarks together.
- Quark-Gluon Plasma (QGP) Phase: At high temperatures and/or high energy densities, it is believed that nuclear matter undergoes a phase transition to the quark-gluon plasma. In this phase, quarks and gluons are no longer confined within hadrons but are free to move independently. The QGP is thought to have existed in the early universe shortly after the Big Bang.
- 3. Crossover Region: Between the hadronic phase and the QGP phase, there is a region where the transition between these phases is not a sharp phase transition but rather a crossover. In this region, there is a gradual change from hadronic matter to quark-gluon plasma. The exact location and nature of this crossover are areas of ongoing research.
- 4. Critical Point: In the QCD phase diagram, it is hypothesized that there may be a critical point, which is a unique set of conditions (specific temperature and baryon density) where certain properties of the system change dramatically. The existence and precise location of the critical point are still subjects of active research and experimentation.

The QCD phase diagram is a topic of great interest in nuclear and particle physics. Researchers seek to better understand the behavior of nuclear matter under extreme conditions, such as those found in high-energy nuclear collisions, to gain insights into the early universe and the fundamental forces that govern it.

Experiments at particle accelerators like the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) and the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) are conducted to study the properties of matter at high temperatures and energy densities, effectively exploring the QCD phase diagram. The experimental results help refine our understanding of the phase transitions and critical points in the QCD phase diagram.

QCD Phase Diagram



QCD Phase Diagram

<u>2023 LRP</u>



Outline

Monday: QCD Phase Transition and QGP Properties

Tuesday: Beam Energy Scan (BES) Program and Selected Results from BES-I

Thursday: BES-II Status, Prospects and Future

Format:

Mainly Lectures Questions any time + Quizzes (first two lectures)

QCD and QCD Phase Transition

Introduction to Heavy Ion Collisions

QGP Signatures and Experimental Evidences

Recent Developments and Future Directions at $_{\rm B} = 0$

Quantum Chromodynamics

QCD – theory to describe quarks and gluons, and strong interaction between them

$${\cal L}_{
m QCD} = ar{\psi}_i \left(i \gamma^\mu (D_\mu)_{ij} - m \, \delta_{ij}
ight) \psi_j - rac{1}{4} G^a_{\mu
u} G^{\mu
u}_a$$

<section-header><text><text>







QCD is not just a stronger version of QED

QCD is a non-abelian field theory! Gluons can self-interact!

http://pdg.lbl.gov

Running Coupling and Asymptotic Freedom



QCD is calculable perturbatively at high energy scale!

Color Confinement



Lattice QCD



S. Durr et al, Science 322 (2008) 1224

- 4D Euclidean lattice
- Choice of mass scale
- QCD gauge fields
- → q/g and 2+(1)+(1) QCD Monte Carlo technique \rightarrow statistics with super computer

 \rightarrow continuum limit

→ physical mass

Lattice QCD calculations often considered as the first-principle results!

Deconfinement – QCD Phase Transition



Lattice QCD calculations predict a phase transition at sufficiently high temperature

Ideal gas of quark-gluon system in thermal equilibrium:



$$g_H = 3,$$
 $g_{QGP} = \frac{7}{8}(g_q + g_{\bar{q}}) + g_g = \frac{7}{8}N_cN_sN_f \times 2 + (N_c^2 - 1)N_s = 37$

B: "bag" constant. $B^{1/4} \sim 200$ MeV estimated from 3-quark proton radius

$$T_c = \left(B \frac{90}{\pi^2 \Delta g}\right)^{1/4} \sim 144 \text{ MeV}$$

Quiz: Estimate ideal QGP gas energy density at T = 200 MeV

T_c and Equation-of-State from Lattice QCD



(2+1) flavor Physical quark mass Continuum extrapolation Lattice QCD predicts at μ_B = 0: $T_c = 156.5 \pm 1.5 \text{ MeV}$ cross over phase transition

Introduction to Heavy Ion Collisions

Heavy Ion Collisions



Recall: energy density for ideal QGP gas at 200 MeV: 2.5 GeV/fm³



Initial Bjorken energy density $\epsilon_{Bj} = \frac{1}{A_{\perp}\tau} \frac{dE_T}{dy}$ RHIC (200 GeV):~ 5 GeV/fm³ in central Au+Au collisions

Kinematics



Natural unit: $\hbar = c = kB = 1$ $\hbar c = 197.3 \text{ MeV} \cdot \text{fm}$

Collision energy:

Center-of-mass (CoM) energy per nucleon-nucleon pair: $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}}$ Fixed-target: single beam energy (E_b) / kinetic energy (T=E_b-m_u) SPS energy 158 A GeV \leftarrow kinetic energy

Quiz: SPS 158 A GeV (T) and RHIC 3.85 GeV (E_b) \rightarrow CoM $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$? Quiz: Show y is additive in Lorentz transformation, and Ed³ σ /dp³ is boost-invariant. Quiz: QCD T_c @ _B=0 in standard unit (Kelvin).

Major Heavy Ion Collision Facilities





AGS (~ 8 GeV)



RHIC (3 - 200 GeV)



FAIR (2-5 GeV)

Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider



Quiz: Estimate how much gold does RHIC smash every RHIC-Run (~6 months).
 - 10⁹ ions per bunch, ~100 bunches per store (avg. 8 hr), down time ~50%

Heavy Ion Collision Evolution



RHIC 200 GeV collisions:

Two nuclei collide passage time: $2R/\gamma \sim 0.1$ fm/c Pre-equilibrium: < 1 fm/c~ 5-10 fm/c QGP evolution: Hadronization: Hadron gas evolution: chemical freeze-out kinetic freeze-out ~ 10-20 fm/c

Heavy Ion Collisions - Geometry



Number of participants: Npart

(a)

(b)

200

0

n

Number of binary collisions: N_{bin} or N_{coll}

Au+Au $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200 \text{ GeV}$

0-5% most centra

400

 N_{ch}^{raw} ($|\eta| < 0.5$)

 $^{10^{-2}}$ $^{10^{-2}}$ $^{10^{-2}}$ $^{10^{-2}}$ • Data (|Vz^{TPC}| < 6cm) — Glauber MC ideally to trace impact parameter 30-40% 20-30% 0-20% 40-50% 5-10% Experimentally determined through final state multiplicity distributions and a fit to Glauber 10⁻⁶ MC/Data 2

centrality classes / bias N_{part} and N_{bin} etc.

Collision centrality

Model calculations:

600

Chemical Freeze-out

Chemical FO: inelastic collisions cease and particle yields freeze FO parameters extracted through thermal model fit to particle yield (ratio)



Thermal model description:

Grand Canonical Ensemble: quantum numbers conversed on average

$$N_i^{GC} = \frac{g_i V}{2\pi^2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\mp 1)^{k+1} \frac{m_i^2 T}{k} K_2 \left(\frac{km_i}{T}\right) e^{\beta k\mu_i}$$

$$\mu_i = B_i \mu_B + S_i \mu_S + Q_i \mu_Q, \qquad \beta \equiv 1/T$$

Canonical Ensemble:

quantum numbers conversed eventby-event

Complications: Ensemble applicability / Feed-down contributions *Quiz:* $pbar/p \sim 0.8$ at 200 GeV, determine _B (ignore _{S, Q} and $T_{ch} = 160$ MeV).

Kinetic Freeze-out

Kinetic FO: elastic collisions cease and particle momenta freeze FO parameters extracted through Blast Wave model fit to particle p_T spectra



Multi-strange/charm hadrons freeze-out earlier than light hadrons - FO temperature close to T_c

QGP Signatures and

Experimental Evidences

Jet Quenching in QGP Medium



X.N. Wang and M. Gyulassy, PRL 68 (1992) 1480

6

QGP Signature – Jet Quenching



SPS: R_{AA} increases with p_T

RHIC/LHC: $R_{AA} \sim 0.1 - 0.2$ at $p_T > 6$ GeV/c

Suppression due to hot and dense medium – QGP signature

pQCD works reasonably well in describing the large suppression of R_{AA}

Quiz: $pQCD \sigma \propto 1/p_T^6$, assuming $R_{AA} = 0.2$ flat, what is the equivalent Δp_T ?

Mass/Flavor Dependence of Parton Energy Loss





consistent with energy loss hierarchy

$$\Delta E_g > \Delta E_q > \Delta E_c > \Delta E_b$$

Parton Energy Loss



collisional (elastic) energy loss



radiative energy loss for heavy quarks suppressed due to "dead-cone" effect



Energy loss hierarchy for both radiative and collisional energy loss

 $\Delta E_g > \Delta E_q > \Delta E_c > \Delta E_b$

Collective Flows



$$E\frac{d^3N}{dp^3} = \frac{d^2N}{2\pi p_T dp_T dy} (1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2v_n \cos[n(\phi - \Psi_{rp})])$$
$$v_n = \langle \cos[n(\phi - \Psi_{rp})] \rangle$$

 v_0 : radial flow v_1 : directed flow v_2 : elliptic flow v_3 : triangular flow $v_{4,5,6}$...





Geometry anisotropy

Momentum anisotropy

QGP pushes partons to flow!

Hydrodynamics

Energy-momentum conservation

$$\partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu}(x) = 0$$

 $T^{\mu\nu} = eu^{\mu}u^{\nu} - (p+\Pi)\Delta^{\mu\nu} + \pi^{\mu\nu}$

Equation-of-State

Lattice input for $_{\rm B} = 0$

Initial and freeze-out conditions



Glauber/CGC(in), Cooper-Fryer(out)



QGP Signature - Partonic Collectivity



 v_2 of light, strange and charm hadrons follow the same universal trend - low p_T : mass ordering \rightarrow hydrodynamic behavior

- intermediate p_T : Number-of-Constituent-Quark (NCQ, n_q) scaling

Partonic Collectivity

Shear Viscosity-to-entropy Ratio



Quark Recombination / Coalescence



Perfect Liquid / sQGP



What is discovered is not what you planned



Columbus's Discovery of America





QGP Evidence – Quarkonia Suppression

T. Masui and H. Satz, PLB 178 (1986) 416

Quarkonia suppression in QGP medium due to color screening

- smoking-gun for deconfined medium





QGP Evidence – Net-Proton Cumulant Ratios

Multiplicity cumulants → susceptibilities of conserved quantities Calculable in Lattice QCD

$$\delta N = N - \langle N \rangle \quad C_1 = \langle N \rangle, C_2 = \left\langle (\delta N)^2 \right\rangle$$
$$C_3 = \left\langle (\delta N)^3 \right\rangle, C_4 = \left\langle (\delta N)^4 \right\rangle - 3 \left\langle (\delta N)^2 \right\rangle^2$$
$$C_2 = \sigma^2, \ S = C_3 / (C_2)^{3/2}, \kappa = C_4 / (C_2)^2$$



Net-proton cumulant ratios decrease as multiplicity increases
 → consistent with Lattice QCD for thermalization + crossover transition
Recent Developments and

Future Directions at $_{\rm B} = 0$

Properties of sQGP



Precision determination of sQGP properties (T, p-dep etc.) and their relations

$$\eta/s, \hat{q}, \hat{e}, \mathcal{D}_s, \sigma_{\mathrm{EM}}$$
 ...

Future Direction: Heavy Quark Transport in sQGP



Heavy quark transport – to probe QGP with comprehensive p_T coverage - unique insights to both perturbative and non-perturbative regimes

Future Direction: Heavy Quark Transport in sQGP



Future Direction: Dileptons



Hard scattering Bremsstrahlung QGP phase QGP radiation Hadron phase Resonance (ρ) decay

Freeze-out Long-lived particle (π, η) decay

Thermal dileptons: no strong interaction, no blue-shift effect

Golden probe to characterize hot QCD medium at different stages!

Dileptons – Chiral Properties



STAR, PRC 107 (2023) L061901

P. Hohler and R. Rapp, PLB 731 (2013) 103

Significant broadening of dilepton low mass spectra from SPS to RHIC - ρ in-medium interactions with baryons in the hot QCD medium - consistent with (partial) CSR in the hot QCD medium

Dileptons – Medium Thermometer





STAR, QM2022

$$\frac{dN}{dM_{ee}} \propto M_{ee}^{3/2} e^{-M_{ee}/T}$$

First "clean" measurement of hadronic medium and sQGP temperatures

Dileptons to Prob QGP Properties at Different Stages

Thermal dileptons at IMR (1.1 < M < 3. GeV/c²)



Partonic or Hadronic thermal source – Elliptic flow

H.J. Xu et al., PRC 89 (2014) 064902

Polarization (angular distribution) to probe the degree of thermalization

$$rac{d\sigma}{d\Omega^*} \propto 1+lpha\cos heta^*$$

Initial Drell-Yan, fully polarized α =1Completely thermalized, isotropic α =0

E. Shuryak, 1203.1012



Summary



Homework

- 1) Estimate ideal QGP gas energy density at T = 200 MeV.
- 2) SPS single beam kinetic energy 158 A GeV (T) and RHIC single beam energy 3.85 GeV (E_b). Convert them into CoM energies.
- 3) Show rapidity (y) is additive in Lorentz transformation, and Ed³σ/dp³ is boost-invariant.
- 4) QCD T_c @ $_B=0$ in standard unit (Kelvin).
- 5) Estimate how much gold does RHIC smash every RHIC-Run (~6 months). - 10⁹ ions per bunch, ~100 bunches per store (avg. 8 hr), down time ~50%
- 6) $pbar/p \sim 0.8 at 200 \text{ GeV}$, determine _B (ignore _{S, Q} and $T_{ch} = 160 \text{ MeV}$).
- 7) pQCD $\sigma \propto 1/p_T^6$, assuming $R_{AA} = 0.2$ flat, what is the equivalent Δp_T ?

High Energy Frontiers



Chirality / Vorticity – Qiye Shou, Qinghua Xu

Answers

- 1) Calculate ideal QGP gas energy density at T = 200 MeV $\varepsilon = 3P = g_{QGP} \frac{\pi^2}{30} T^4 \sim 2.5 \text{ GeV/fm}^3 @ \text{T} = 200 \text{ MeV}$
- 1) SPS single beam kinetic energy 158 A GeV (T) and RHIC single beam energy 3.85 GeV (E_b). Convert them into CoM energies
- 3) Show y is additive in Lorentz transformation, and $Ed^{3}\sigma/dp^{3}$ is boost-invariant.
- 4) QCD T_c @ _B=0 in standard unit (Kelvin).
- 5) Estimate how much gold does RHIC smash every RHIC-Run (~6 months). 10⁹ ions per bunch, ~100 bunches per store (avg. 8 hr), down time ~50%
- 6) $pbar/p \sim 0.8$ at 200 GeV, determine _B (ignore _{S, Q} and T_{ch} = 160 MeV).
- 7) pQCD $\sigma \propto 1/p_T^6$, assuming $R_{AA} = 0.2$ flat, what is the equivalent Δp_T ?

Tuesday Presentation

QCD Phase Structure at Finite B

Beam Energy Scan Program at RHIC

Selected Results from BES-I

Preparation for BES-II

QCD Phase Diagram



QCD Phase Structure at Finite B



Is There a Critical End Point (CEP)?



Model calculations suggest a first-order phase transition at sufficiently high $_{\rm B}$ Is there a critical end point (CEP) of first order phase transition?

Model Predictions on QCD CEP at Finite B



55

Lattice QCD on CEP

At finite _B: Tyler expansion method – sign problem makes it challenging

$$rac{p(T,\mu_B)}{T^4} = rac{p(T,0)}{T^4} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} rac{1}{n!} rac{\partial^{\mathrm{n}}(p/T^4)}{\partial (rac{\mu_B}{T})^n} igg|_{\mu_B = 0} igg(rac{\mu_B}{T}igg)^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n(T)igg(rac{\mu_B}{T}igg)^n$$



Experimentally Accessing Phase Diagram

Empirical fit to chemical FO parameters



E-by-E simu from hydro calculation



Beam Energy Scan Program at RHIC

Beam Energy Scan Program at RHIC



Baryon Chemical Potential μ_B

BES-I (2010 – 2014): _B: 70 – 400 MeV 62.4, 39, 27, 19.6, 14.6, 11.5, 7.7

BES-II (2018 – 2021): _B: 150 – ~720 MeV

Collider mode 27, 19.6, 17.3, 14.6, 11.5, 9.2, 7.7

Fixed Target mode 13.7, 11.5, 9.2, 7.7, 7.2, 6.2, 5.2, 4.5, 3.9, 3.5, 3.2, 3.0

Why Should We Return to AGS/SPS Energies?

Established evidences for sQGP formation at 200 GeV *jet quenching partonic collectivity*





Theoretical advances in understanding heavyion collisions pQCD for energy loss in medium standardized hydro model

Experimental technique advances machine dev. allowing high stat. collision much improved detector technologies





Community expansion new generation of researchers

STAR Detector (2010-2014)



STAR Detector Upgrade 2010

Full Barrel Time-of-Flight (BTOF) in physics data taken starting 2010



DAQ 1000 TPC read-out upgrade to enable large statistics (both MB and triggered) datasets to take advance of RHIC luminosity upgrade

Quiz: time resolution needed for STAR TOF to allow 3σ separation of protons from π/K ? (L~ 2.1m)

BES-I (2010-2014)

Pilot run 2009, Au+Au 9.2 GeV, 3k events. - one Ph.D. thesis, one publication

STAR Central Au+Au @ 7.7 GeV

2010 Au+Au 7.7 GeV

BES Phase-I

Year	√s _{NN} (GeV)	Events by STAR (10 ⁶)
2010	62.4	67
2010	39	130
2011	27	70
2011	19.6	36
2014	14.5	20
2010	11.5	12
2010	7.7	5

Particle Identification



PID in Full Momentum Region

rdE/dx for PID beyond TOF



8% dE/dx resolution → ~ 2 σ separation between pions / K+p dE/dx + TOF + rdE/dx. →

Identification of pions and protons in full momentum region

Quiz: Can this be applied to kaons? Any way to identify K+/- at high p?

Signatures



Selected Results from BES-I

Chemical Freeze-out Properties

Statistical thermal model **THERMUS** used for extract chemical freeze-out parameters

Particle yields/ratios including all measured particles (π^{\pm} , K[±], p, \overline{p} , K_S, Λ , $\overline{\Lambda} \Xi^{-} \overline{\Xi}$) used in the fit

Grand canonical ensemble (GCE)Strangeness canonical ensemble (SCE)

$$\begin{split} N_i^{GC} &= \frac{g_i V}{2\pi^2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\mp 1)^{k+1} \frac{m_i^2 T}{k} K_2 \left(\frac{km_i}{T}\right) e^{\beta k\mu_i} \\ N_i^S &= \left(\frac{Z_{S-S_i}}{Z_S}\right) \left. N_i^{GC} \right|_{\mu_S=0} \end{split}$$

THERMUS: Comput. Phys. Commun. 180 (2009) 84



Energy Dependence of Chemical Freeze-out



Kinetic Freeze-out Properties



Evidences of the Formation of sQGP at 200 GeV

"Jet Quenching"

- Significant suppression in particle yield at high p_T in central heavy ion collisions

<u>"Partonic Collectivity"</u>

Strong collective flow, even for multi-strange hadrons (φ, Ω) and charmed hadrons (D⁰)
Flow driven by Number-of-Constituent-Quark (NCQ) in hadrons





Disappearance of R_{cp} Suppression



STAR, PRL 121 (2018) 032301





- Baryon-meson splitting reduces and disappears with decreasing energy.
- Ω/ϕ ratio falls off at 11.5 GeV.
Breakdown of Universal NCQ-scaling



STAR, PRL 110 (2013) 142301, PRC 88 (2013) 014902

- Significant difference between baryon-antibaryon v_2 at lower energies.
- No clear baryon/meson grouping for anti-particles at <=11.5 GeV.

Universal NCQ scaling is broken at lower energies!

Dielectron Production



• Systematic measurements of dielectron mass spectra over a broad energy range.

• Low mass enhancement persists down to 19.6 GeV.

• Theoretical calculations of in-medium ρ broadening with similar baryon densities from 19.6 - 200 GeV reproduce LMR excesses.

> One main goal: Search for onset of the sQGP thermal radiation

In-medium ρ broadening R. Rapp: private communications

1

First Order Phase Transition Search



Observables:

Transverse momentum spectrum

- flattening of $< p_T >$ could be an indication of 1st order phase transition

L. van Hover, PLB 118 (1982) 138

Directed flow of protons

- A hydro model calculation including 1st order phase transition predicts a doublesign change in the proton directed flow slope parameter.

H. Stocker, NPA 750 (2005) 121

Flatness in $< m_T >$



Flatness of $< m_T >$ could be an indicative of mixed-phase

- caution: m_T contains contributions from thermal + collectivity

Quiz: invariant spectrum follows $exp(-m_T/T)$, derive $< m_T >$

Dip in Directed Flow of (Net-)Protons



• Proton v_1 slope changes sign from + to – between 7.7 and 11.5 GeV and remains small but negative up to 200 GeV.

- v₁ slopes for other particles are negative.
- "net-proton" v_1 slope shows a minimum around 11.5-19.6 GeV.
- UrQMD models cannot explain data.

$$v_1^p = r \cdot v_1^{\bar{p}} + (1-r) \cdot v_1^{\text{net}-p}$$
$$r = \bar{p}/p$$

Critical End Point Search

Lattice calculation of QCD critical point at finite $_{\rm B}$ is still challenging. At critical point with an infinite system

- correlation length should diverge
- susceptibilities should diverge

Proposed Experimental Observables:

$$\chi_n^B = -\frac{1}{3^n} \frac{\partial^n f/T^4}{\partial \hat{\mu}_q^n}$$

Moments of conserved quantities: net-baryon number, net-strangeness, net-charge etc.

- directly related to the susceptibility ratios (calculable from Lattice QCD)
- sensitive to correlation lengths (M. A. Stephanov, PRL 102 (2009) 032301)



Quiz: what are skewness and kurtosis for a Gaussian distribution ($,\sigma$)?

"Non-monotonic" - Higher Moments of Net-protons



• $\kappa^* \sigma^2$ in peripheral collisions ~ 1

• Non-monotonic distribution vs. collision energy for $\kappa^* \sigma^2$ in central collisions - evidence of CEP?

• UrQMD (no QCD CEP) shows a monotonic dependence vs. energy

STAR, PRL 126 (2021) 092301

Summary from BES-I



Many observables show drastic change at energies below 20 GeV - compared to the results obtained at 200 GeV (formation of sQGP)

→ Beam Energy Scan Phase II with focused energies + increased statistics

Preparation for BES-II

Proposal for BES-II

Observables:

 R_{CP} up to $p_T = 5 \text{ GeV/}c$ Elliptic Flow (ϕ mesons) Chiral Magnetic Effect Directed Flow (protons) Azimuthal Femtoscopy (protons) Net-Proton Kurtosis Dileptons

Require increase of beam luminosities with electron cooling - *LEReC*





STAR Detector Upgrades for BES-II

inner TPC upgrade

Endcap TOF

iTPC Upgrade:

- Rebuilds the inner sectors of the TPC
- Continuous coverage
- Improves dE/dx
- Extends η coverage from 1.0 to 1.5
- Lowers p_T cut-off from 125 MeV/c to 60 MeV/c

Endcap TOF Upgrade:

- Rapidity coverage is critical
- PID at η = 1.1 to 1.5
- Improves the fixed target program
- Provided by CBM at FAIR

EPD Upgrade:

Event Plane Detector

- Improves trigger
- Reduces background
- Allows a better and independent reaction plane measurement critical to BES physics

inner TPC (iTPC) Upgrade



Significantly improved tracking efficiency at $1 < \eta < 1.5$ Improved dE/dx and momentum resolution Tracking threshold pushed to 60 MeV/c (125 MeV/c) engineering run in 2018 fully operation in 2019

Quiz: iTPC 40 layers (r= 56-128cm), tracking need 15 hits minimum (B=0.5 T), derive tracking p_T threshold.

Endcap TOF (eTOF) Upgrade



Event Plane Detector (EPD) Upgrade



Summary



With the LEReC and STAR upgrade detectors, RHIC launched Beam Energy Scan Phase-II from 2019 - 2021

high statistics

- extended kinematic coverage
- extended PID capability

54.4 GeV in 2017 and 27 GeV in 2018 are broadly considered as BES-II as well, though these datasets didn't benefit from the detector upgrades

89

Hoeworks

- 1) Time resolution needed for STAR TOF to allow 3σ separation of protons from π/K ? (L~ 2.1m)
- 2) Can the rdEdx method be applied to kaons? Any way to identify K+/- at high p?
- 3) Invariant spectrum follows $exp(-m_T/T)$, derive $< m_T >$.
- 4) What are skewness and kurtosis for a Gaussian distribution (,σ)?
- 5) iTPC 40 layers (r= 56-128cm), tracking need 15 hits minimum (B=0.5 T), derive tracking p_T threshold.

Thursday Presentation

Beam Energy Scan Phase-II Data Taken

Recent Results from BES-II

High $_{\rm B}$ Physics: Future Prospects from BES-II and Beyond

RHIC Beam Energy Scan Program



	$\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ (GeV)	Beam E (GeV)	# of Good Events	BES-I
2017	54.4		1350 M	
2018	27		560 M	70 M
	7.2	26.5 (FXT)	155 M	
	3.0	3.85 (FXT)	258 M	
2019	19.6		582 M	36 M
	14.6		324 M	20 M
	7.7	31.2 (FXT)	50.6 M	
	3.9	7.3 (FXT)	52.7 M	
	3.2	4.59 (FXT)	201 M	
2020	11.5		235 M	12 M
	9.2		162 M	
	7.7	31.2 (FXT)	112 M	
	7.2	26.5 (FXT)	317 M	
	6.2	19.5 (FXT)	118 M	
	5.2	13.5 (FXT)	103 M	
	4.8	11.5 (FXT)	235 M	
	4.5	9.8 (FXT)	108 M	
	3.9	7.3 (FXT)	117 M	
	3.5	5.75 (FXT)	116 M	
2021	17.3		250 M	
	7.7		101 M	5 M
	13.5	100 (FXT)	50.7 M	
	11.5	70 (FXT)	51.7 M	
	9.1	44.5 (FXT)	53.9 M	
	3.0	3.85 (FXT)	2.0 B	

Electron Cooling - LEReC



Key Findings from STAR BES-I



Many different features observed at low energies, while uncertainties at < 20 GeV are limited \rightarrow Beam Energy Scan Phase-II (high statistics + extended kinematic coverage/PID capability)

STAR Detector Upgrades for BES-II

inner TPC upgrade

Endcap TOF

iTPC Upgrade:

- Rebuilds the inner sectors of the TPC
- Continuous coverage
- Improves dE/dx
- Extends η coverage from 1.0 to 1.5
- Lowers p_T cut-off from 125 MeV/c to 60 MeV/c

Endcap TOF Upgrade:

- Rapidity coverage is critical
- PID at η = 1.1 to 1.5
- Improves the fixed target program
- Provided by CBM at FAIR

EPD Upgrade:

Event Plane Detector

- Improves trigger
- Reduces background
- Allows a better and independent reaction plane measurement critical to BES physics

Detector Acceptance Coverage



STAR @ BES-II



STAR Fixed-Target (FXT) Configuration



Courtesy of Benjamin Kimelman

STAR Acceptance: Collider vs. Fixed-target



Fixed-target Mode



Particle Identification



Proton Acceptance in Center-of-Mass Frame in FXT



Dashed box:

- 0.4<p_T<2.0 GeV/c
- |y|<0.5
- Used in collider net-proton cumulant measurements

At 3.0 GeV, STAR with iTPC+eTOF covers full range of $0.4 < p_T < 2.0$, |y| < 0.5 for proton cumulants measurements.

101

Datasets from Beam Energy Scan Phase-II

- x10-20 more statistics compared to BES-I at collider energies
- 8 collider energies (7.7 54.4 GeV) / 12 fixed-target energies (3.0 13.5 GeV)



Detector Performance



Recent Results from BES-II

Particle Yield and Chemical Freeze-out

200 62.4 39 27 19.6 11.5 7.7 GeV PRC 96 (2017) 044904 180 Au+Au Collisions (a) Au+Au 7.7 GeV 0-5% Au+Au 39 GeV 0-5% 170 100 16 Yields T_{ch} (MeV) 10 150 140 Data Data 0.1 00-05% SCE Model SCE Model Cleymans et al. 130 ···· Andronic et al. 60-80% Std. Dev. 2 Grand Canonical Ensemble (Yield Fit) 120 300 100 200 400 μ_B (MeV) $\pi^{+}\pi^{-}K^{+}K^{-}p\overline{p}\Lambda\overline{\Lambda}\Xi\overline{\Xi}$ $\pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^- p \overline{p}$ $\Lambda \overline{\Lambda} \Xi \overline{\Xi}$ 7.7 GeV 200 62.4 39 27 19.6 11.5 180 Statistical model THERMUS used to extract • Au+Au Collisions (b) 170 FO parameters Particle yields/ratios (π^{\pm} , K[±], p, \overline{p} , K_S, Λ , $\overline{\Lambda}$, Ξ^{-} , $\overline{\Xi}^{+}$) 160 T_{ch} (MeV) midrapidity / 4π 150 140 $N_i^{GC} = \frac{g_i V}{2\pi^2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\mp 1)^{k+1} \frac{m_i^2 T}{k} K_2\left(\frac{km_i}{T}\right) e^{\beta k\mu_i}$ Grand Canonical 00-05% Cleymans et al. 130 30-40% Ensemble ····· Andronic et al. 60-80% Strangeness Canonical Ensemble (Yield Fit) $N_i^S = \left(\frac{Z_{S-S_i}}{Z_S}\right) N_i^{GC} \Big|_{\mu_S=0}$ 120 200 300 **Canonical Ensemble** 100 400 μ_{B} (MeV)

Strange Production and "Canonical Suppression"



Multi-strange Hadrons in Au+Au Collisions at 3 GeV



At 3 GeV Au+Au collisions:

- ϕ/K ratio ~5 σ > 0 (~Grand Canonical Ensemble)
- φ/K and φ/Ξ favors Canonical Ensemble

 event-by-event strangeness conservation
 However, same r_c parameter cannot describe φ/K

 and φ/Ξ simultaneously in central collisions

 threshold: 2.9 GeV (φ), 3.2 GeV (Ξ)





STAR, PLB 831 (2022) 137152

Different Kinetic Freeze-out Properties at 3 GeV



 \rightarrow Different medium properties in Au+Au collisions @ 3 GeV

Azimuthal Anisotropic Collectivity



momentum space anisotropy \leftrightarrow $V_1, V_2 \dots$

pressure gradient in system evolution Equation-of-State (EoS)
Directed/Elliptic Flows at 3 GeV



- Midrapidity v_1 slope positive for all particles (negative at high energies)
- Midrapidity v₂ negative for all particles (positive at high energies)
- UrQMD/JAM with baryonic mean field describe proton v_1/v_2 data

Particle Collectivity at Au+Au 3 GeV



STAR, PLB 827 (2022) 137003



Au+Au collisions at 3 GeV:

- Negative midrapidity v₂ for all particles
- No Number-of-Constituent-Quark (NCQ) scaling
- UrQMD with baryonic mean-field potential qualitatively consistent with data

 \rightarrow Equation-of-State dominated by baryonic interactions in 3 GeV Au+Au collisions.

New Updates at 3.2 and 14.6 GeV from QM



(Net-)Proton Directed Flow



- Nearly all particle v_1 slopes are positive at 3 GeV
- Proton/net-proton v_1 vs. energy show a minimum
 - Connection to 1st order phase transition?
 - model predicts a dip at much lower energy



Kaon Anti-flow from QM23



Zuowen Liu (STAR), QM 2023

Dielectrons Production



115

Heavy-Ion Collisions – "Light Hypernuclei Factory"



A. Andronic et al., PLB 697 (2011) 203



Hypernuclei in heavy-ion collisions

- hyperon-nucleon (Y-N) interaction
- EoS under high baryon density region

 connection to compact stars
 - Lifetime, binding energy
 - Production yields, collective flows
 - New hypernuclei states

Hypernuclei Structure: Y-N Interaction

arXiv: 2110.09513



Nature Physics 16 (2020) 409

 $^{3}_{\Lambda}H$



 Λ loosely bounded inside hypertriton, lifetime impacted by final state interactions (π -N)

Hypernuclei Production dN/dy in Heavy-Ion Collisions



- JAM + coalescence reasonably consistent with data
- Coalescence parameters ↔ Y-N interaction

nuclei	(r₀/fm, p₀/GeV)	B/A (B_{Λ}) (MeV)
d	(4.5, 0.3)	1.1
t	(4.0, 0.3)	2.8
$^{3}_{\Lambda}H$	(4.0, 0.12)	~0.3
$^{4}_{\Lambda}H$	(4.0, 0.3)	~2.6



- Thermal model w/ CE consistent with ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}H$, but underestimate ${}^{4}_{\Lambda}H$
- Transport models (JAM or PHQMD) reasonably consistent with data

Hypertriton Collective Flow



Both midrapidity $< p_T >$ and dv_1/dy follow the mass number scaling

Coalescence production mechanism dominant for hypernuclei at 3 GeV

Energy Dependence of Hypertriton Production



- Hadronic transport + coalescence models qualitatively describe the data
- Thermal model calculation ~2 times higher than data in BES-II energies

Energy Dependence of (Net-) Proton High Moments



C₆/C₂ Cumulant Ratios



STAR, PRL 127 (2021) 262301 (2021), PRL 130 (2023) 082301



Increasingly negative C_6/C_2 down to 7.7 GeV (1.7 σ) – consistent with Lattice expectation

 $C_6/C_2 > 0$ at 3 GeV, consistent with UrQMD calculation

High Moments Measurements in FXT Dataset





Volume Fluctuation Effect at Low Energies



STAR, PRC 107 (2023) 024908

Low collision energies → much reduced multiplicity → worsened centrality resolution / large volume fluctuation Currently corrections rely on models (model-dependence) → A data-driven way to assess the IVF?

Summary



High _B Physics:

Future Prospects from BES-II and Beyond

High _B Physics Goals



Search and locate the QCD CEP or 1st-order phase boundary
 Constrain the QCD Equation-of-State at high density region

Nuclear Matter Equation-of-State at High Density



Prospects from (Net-)Proton Fluctuations at BES-II



Hypernuclei Measurements



Two-Particle Correlation (HBT)

Two-particle momentum space correlation function

$$C(\mathbf{p_1}, \mathbf{p_2}) = \frac{P_{1,2}(\mathbf{p_1}, \mathbf{p_2})}{P_1(\mathbf{p_1}) \cdot P_2(\mathbf{p_2})}$$

$$C(\mathbf{k}^*) = \int S(\mathbf{k}^*, \mathbf{r}^*) |\Psi(\mathbf{k}^*, \mathbf{r}^*)|^2 d^4 \mathbf{r}^* \qquad k^* = \frac{1}{2} \cdot |\mathbf{p}_1^* - \mathbf{p}_2^*|$$

emitting source wave function between two particles

Experimentally, this is measured via

$$C(k^{\star}) = \mathcal{N} \frac{N_{\text{corr}}(k^{\star})}{N_{\text{uncorr}}(k^{\star})} = \mathcal{N} \frac{N_{\text{same-evt}}(k^{\star})}{N_{\text{mix-evt}}(k^{\star})}$$

• Fireball source size

Ta store and ch

- Strong interactions
- Coulomb interaction

Baryon-Baryon/Hyperon Correlations



 $p-\Lambda/d-\Lambda$ strong interaction parameters through Lednicky-Lyuboshitz fit - New insights in Y-N and hypernuclei structure

New 2.0B Dataset at 3 GeV in 2021!





Highest $_B \sim 720-750 \text{ MeV}$ RHIC has reached

<u>2.0 B</u> high statistics data with iTPC+eTOF recorded in 2021

- Proton cumulants (C₁-C₆) in full rapidity window
- Dielectron yield/ T_{slope}
- \\$\\$/\\$\\ yield with low p_T reach for better systematics
- Hypernuclei production and search for $\Lambda\Lambda$ -hypernuclei
- N-N/Y-N/Y-Y correlations

• . .

Next Phase BES Program at CBM@FAIR



Compressed Baryonic Matter (CBM) @ FAIR facility, Germany ($\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.9 - 4.9$ GeV) physics anticipated to start in ~2027+ Collision rate ~ 10 MHz, dedicated detectors enabling unprecedented statistics

Next Phase BES Program at CBM@FAIR



#1 priority in Europe NuPECC LRP 2017

Complete urgently the construction of the ESFRI flagship FAIR and develop and bring into operation the experimental programme of its four scientific pillars APPA, CBM, NUSTAR and PANDA.

MuPECC

CBM Scientific Goals - I



- $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ (μ_B) coverage for full mid-rapidity coverage
- dedicated instrument for controlling initial volume fluctuation



CBM Scientific Goals - II



2023 LRP draft

US participation in the international collaboration of the Compressed Baryonic Matter experiment at this facility, driven by unprecedented beams from the superconducting heavy-ion synchrotron SIS100, will allow the US nuclear physics program to build on its successful exploration of the QCD phase diagram, use the expertise gained at RHIC to make complementary measurements, and contribute to achieving the scientific goals of the BES program.

A NEW ERA OF DISCOVERY THE 2023 LONG RANGE PLAN FOR NUCLEAR SCIENCE



Backup

Available Datasets

	$\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ (GeV)	Beam E (GeV)	# of Good Events	BES-I
2017	54.4		1350 M	
2018	27		560 M	70 M
	7.2	26.5 (FXT)	155 M	
	3.0	3.85 (FXT)	258 M	
2019	19.6		582 M	36 M
	14.6		324 M	20 M
	7.7	31.2 (FXT)	50.6 M	
	3.9	7.3 (FXT)	52.7 M	
	3.2	4.59 (FXT)	201 M	
2020	11.5		235 M	12 M
	9.2		162 M	
	7.7	31.2 (FXT)	112 M	
	7.2	26.5 (FXT)	317 M	
	6.2	19.5 (FXT)	118 M	
	5.2	13.5 (FXT)	103 M	
	4.8	11.5 (FXT)	235 M	
	4.5	9.8 (FXT)	108 M	
	3.9	7.3 (FXT)	117 M	
	3.5	5.75 (FXT)	116 M	
2021	17.3		250 M	
	7.7		101 M	5 M
	13.5	100 (FXT)	50.7 M	
	11.5	70 (FXT)	51.7 M	
	9.1	44.5 (FXT)	53.9 M	
	3.0	3.85 (FXT)	2.0 B	

Data production all ready Analyses ongoing

To come soon

Particle Emission Source Size



- Non-monotonic energy dependence of pion source size? softest ∂p point?
- BES-II (collider+FXT) data allow us to systematically measure pion, kaon, proton (and others) source sizes and their energy/centrality dependence

Baryon-Baryon/Hyperon Interactions

Lednicky-Lyuboshitz formulism:

Scattering amplitude:

$$f(k) = (\frac{1}{f_0} + \frac{1}{2}d_0k^2 - ik)^{-1}$$



- Important ingredients for nuclear matter Equation-of-State
- Search for exotic states (e.g. *H*-dibaryon)

Energy Dependence of Dielectron Yield

arXiv: 1810.10159





- Mild energy dependence of dielectron yield from SPS to top RHIC energy
- Potential 1st-order phase transition (PT) signature

 \rightarrow latent heat leads to an increase in lifetime / dielectron yield

Dielectrons – Slope Parameter


US-CBM White Paper

arXiv: 2209.05009

. . .

QCD Phase Structure and Interactions at High Baryon Density: Completion of BES Physics Program with CBM at FAIR

BNL, UC Davis, UCLA, UCR, Duke, UH, UIC, UIUC, IU, KSU, LBNL, MSU, UNC, NCSU, OSU, Pepperdine, Purdue, SBU, Rice, UW, WSU

Executive Summary

In order to complete the Beam Energy Scan (BES) physics program, including the search for the QCD critical point, the extraction of the hyperon-nucleon interaction, and the determination of constraints on the nuclear matter equation of state at high baryon density, active US participation in the international collaboration of the Compressed Baryonic Matter (CBM) experiment at FAIR* is scientifically necessary and cost effective.

Without these measurements in the FAIR/CBM energy region, the scientific program pioneered at RHIC with the BES program would risk to be terminated prematurely in the US, and some of the key physics questions may remain unanswered. ... US participation in CBM will not only greatly enhance its physics program, but will also strengthen US leadership in nuclear physics.

Dielectrons Mass Spectra (17.3 – 200 GeV)



- Enhancement in dilepton invariant mass spectra at 0.3-0.7 GeV/c² from 17.3 – 200 GeV
- In-medium ρ -broadening through interactions with baryons describe data consistently from SPS to RHIC

BES-II Datasets and Data Quality

$\sqrt{s_{\!N\!N}}$ (GeV)	# of Good Events	BES-I
54.4	1350 M	
27	560 M	70 M
19.6	582 M	36 M
17.3	250 M	
14.6	324 M	20 M
11.5	235 M	12 M
9.2	162 M	
7.7	101 M	5 M
3.0	2000 M	

• other FXT datasets not listed

green – datasets calibrated/produced

Critical Point Search

Lattice calculation of QCD critical point (CP) at finite _B is still challenging. - Recent development (Tyler series) predicts CP location: = 2400 MeV or $= \sqrt{T} > 3$

 $_{\rm B}$ > 400 MeV or $_{\rm B}/T$ > 3 (*F. Karsch, 2021*)

Proposed Experimental Observables:

Moments of conserved quantities: net-baryon number, net-strangeness, net-charge etc.

- directly related to the susceptibility ratios (calculable from Lattice QCD)
- sensitive to correlation lengths (M. A. Stephanov, PRL 102 (2009) 032301)



Net-proton Cumulant Ratios from BES-I



p-value from χ²-test

Moments	HRG GCE	HRG EV	HRG CE	UrQMD
		(r = 0.5 fm)		
Sσ	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.0754	< 0.001
<u>κσ</u> ²	0.00553	0.0145	0.0450	0.0221

Non-monotonic energy dependence of net-proton C_4/C_2 (3.1 σ) from BES-I - connection to critical point?

