

# QED Contributions to $\Xi_c - \Xi_c'$ mixing

#### Shi Yu-Ji 施瑀基 华东理工大学

#### 2023年11月4日

Cooperated with Zhi-Fu Deng, Jun Zeng, and Wei Wang arXiv:2309.16386

- $\succ$   $\Xi_c$  semi-leptonic decays from experiments and theory
- Interpretation by SU(3) breaking effect
- >  $\Xi_c \Xi_c'$  mixing from SU(3) breaking
- >  $\Xi_c \Xi_c'$  mixing angle in LFQM
- Conclusion

# $\Xi_c$ semi-leptonic decays Deviation between exp & th

# $\Xi_c$ semi-leptonic decays

**Belle:** *Phys.Rev.Lett.* 127 (2021) 12, 121803

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{B}(\Xi_c^0 \to \Xi^- e^+ \nu_e) &= 1.31 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.38\%, \\ \mathcal{B}(\Xi_c^0 \to \Xi^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu) &= 1.27 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.37\%, \\ \mathcal{B}(\Xi_c^0 \to \Xi^- e^+ \nu_e) / \mathcal{B}(\Xi_c^0 \to \Xi^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu) &= 1.03 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.01. \end{split}$$

Alice: Phys.Rev.Lett. 127 (2021) 27, 272001

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{B}(\Xi_c^0 \to \Xi^- e^+ \nu_e) &= 2.5 \pm 0.8\% \\ \text{from} \; \begin{cases} \mathcal{B}(\Xi_c^0 \to \Xi^- e^+ \nu_e) / \mathcal{B}(\Xi_c^0 \to \Xi^- \pi^+) = 1.38 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.22 \\ \mathcal{B}(\Xi_c^0 \to \Xi^- \pi^+) = 1.8 \pm 0.7\% \end{split}$$

**Editors' Suggestion** 

#### Lattice:

 $\mathcal{B}(\Xi_c^0 \to \Xi^- e^+ \nu_e) = 2.38(0.30)_{\text{stat.}}(0.32)_{\text{syst.}} \%$   $\mathcal{B}(\Xi_c^0 \to \Xi^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu) = 2.29(0.29)_{\text{stat.}}(0.31)_{\text{syst.}} \%$   $\mathcal{B}(\Xi_c^+ \to \Xi^0 e^+ \nu_e) = 7.18(0.90)_{\text{stat.}}(0.98)_{\text{syst.}} \%$   $\mathcal{B}(\Xi_c^+ \to \Xi^0 \mu^+ \nu_\mu) = 6.91(0.87)_{\text{stat.}}(0.93)_{\text{syst.}} \%$ 

Chinese Physics C Vol. 46, No. 1 (2022) 011002

#### First lattice QCD calculation of semileptonic decays of charmed-strange baryons $\Xi_c^*$

| Qi-An Zhang(张其安) <sup>1</sup>  | Jun Hua(华俊) <sup>2</sup> | Fei Huang(黄飞) <sup>2</sup> | Renbo Li(李任博)3             | Yuanyuan Li(李园园) <sup>3</sup>    |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Caidian Lü(吕才典) <sup>4,5</sup> | Peng Sun(孙鹏)3*           | Wei Sun(孙玮) <sup>4</sup>   | Wei Wang(王伟) <sup>2‡</sup> | Yibo Yang(杨一玻) <sup>6,7,8§</sup> |

# $\Xi_c$ semi-leptonic decays

### LCSR: Phys.Rev.D 104 (2021) 5, 054030

Semileptonic  $\Xi_c$  baryon decays in the light cone QCD sum rules

T. M. Aliev,<sup>1,\*</sup> S. Bilmis,<sup>1,2,†</sup> and M. Savci<sup>1,‡</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, Middle East Technical University, Ankara, 06800, Turkey

<sup>2</sup> TUBITAK ULAKBIM, Ankara, 06510, Turkey

| Decay Channel                       | Present Work      |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|
| $\Xi_c^0  ightarrow \Xi^- e^+  u_e$ | $1.85\pm0.56$     |
| $\Xi_c^0 \to \Xi^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu$   | $1.79\pm0.54$     |
| $\Xi_c^+ \to \Xi^0 e^+ \nu_e$       | $5.51 \pm 1.65$   |
| $\Xi_c^+ \to \Xi^0 \mu^+ \nu_\mu$   | $5.34 \pm 1.61$   |
| $\Xi_c^+ 	o \Lambda^0 e^+ \nu_e$    | $0.092\pm0.028$   |
| $\Xi_c^+ 	o \Lambda^0 \mu^+  u_\mu$ | $0.089 \pm 0.027$ |

#### Eur. Phys. J. A (2012) 48: 2

#### Light cone QCD sum rules study of the semileptonic heavy $\Xi_Q$ and $\Xi'_Q$ transitions to $\Xi$ and $\Sigma$ baryons

K. Azizi<sup>1,a</sup>, Y. Sarac<sup>2,b</sup>, and H. Sundu<sup>3,c</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Physics Department, Doğuş University, Acıbadem-Kadıköy, 34722 Istanbul, Turkey

<sup>2</sup> Electrical and Electronics Engineering Department, Atilim University, 06836 Ankara, Turkey

<sup>3</sup> Department of Physics, Kocaeli University, 41380 Izmit, Turkey

#### **QCDSR:**

| arXiv: <u>2103.09436</u> | $\mathcal{B}(\Xi_c^0 \to \Xi^- e^+ \nu_e) = 3.4 \pm 1.7\%.$ | by Zhen-Xing Zhao |
|--------------------------|---|-------------------|
|--------------------------|---|-------------------|

| $\Xi_c \to \Xi e^+ \nu_e$   | $(7.26 \pm 2.54) \times 10^{-2}$ |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| $\Xi_c 	o \Xi \mu^+  u_\mu$ | $(7.15 \pm 2.50) \times 10^{-2}$ |

#### SU(3) analysis:

| (a). Equal masses of initial an   | $SU(3)_f$      | $SU(3)_f$     | $SU(3)_f$    | Branching ratio   |
|---|----------------|---------------|--------------|---|
| final baryons;  | (c)            | (b)           | (a)          |   |
| (b). Constant helicity amplitud   | $3.2\pm0.3$    | $3.6\pm0.4$   | $3.6\pm0.4$  | $10^2 \mathcal{B}(\Lambda_c^+ \to \Lambda e^+ \nu_e)$     |
| -V(A) = V(A) + 2 + - + i - i + - + - +  | $3.2\pm0.3$    | $3.6\pm0.4$   | $3.5\pm0.5$  | $10^2 \mathcal{B}(\Lambda_c^+ \to \Lambda \mu^+ \nu_\mu)$ |
| $H_{\lambda_2\lambda_W}^{\nu(A)} = a_{\lambda_2\lambda_W}^{\nu(A)}(q^2)(\mathbf{B_n})_j^i T^j(3)(\mathbf{B_c})$ | $10.7\pm0.9$   | $9.8 \pm 1.1$ | $11.9\pm1.3$ | $10^2 \mathcal{B}(\Xi_c^+ \to \Xi^0 e^+ \nu_e)$           |
|   | $10.8 \pm 0.9$ | $9.8 \pm 1.1$ | $11.6\pm1.7$ | $10^2 \mathcal{B}(\Xi_c^+ \to \Xi^0 \mu^+ \nu_\mu)$       |
| in the heavy quark limit  | $2.7\pm0.2$    | $2.4\pm0.3$   | $3.0\pm0.3$  | $10^2 \mathcal{B}(\Xi_c^0 \to \Xi^- e^+ \nu_e)$           |
|   | $2.7\pm0.2$    | $2.4\pm0.3$   | $2.9\pm0.4$  | $10^2 \mathcal{B}(\Xi_c^0 \to \Xi^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu)$       |

Chao-Qiang Geng, Chia-Wei Liu, Tien-Hsueh Tsai, Shu-Wei Yeh *Phys.Lett.B* 792 (2019) 214-218

#### SU(3) analysis with SU(3) breaking:

Xiao-Gang He, Fei Huang, Wei Wang, Zhi-Peng Xing Phys.Lett.B 823 (2021) 136765  $\sim$ 1

Mass matrix: 
$$M = \begin{pmatrix} m_u & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_d & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_s \end{pmatrix} \sim m_s \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = m_s \times \omega.$$

|   |                           |                              | channel                                     |              |
|---|---------------------------|------------------------------|---|--------------|
|   |                           |                              | Channer                                     | $\mathbf{e}$ |
| channol                                 | branching                 | ratio(%)                     | $\Lambda_c^+  ightarrow \Lambda^0 e^+  u_e$ |              |
| Channer                                 | experimental data         | SU(3) symmetry               | $\Lambda_c^+ 	o \Lambda^0 \mu^+ \nu_\mu$    |              |
| $\Lambda_c^+ \to \Lambda^0 e^+ \nu_e$   | $3.6 \pm 0.4$ [33]        | $3.6 \pm 0.4$ (input)        | $\Xi_c^+ \to \Xi^0 e^+ \nu_e$               |              |
| $\Lambda_c^+ 	o \Lambda^0 \mu^+  u_\mu$ | $3.5 \pm 0.5$ [33]        | $3.5\pm0.5~(\mathrm{input})$ | $\Xi_c^0  ightarrow \Xi^- e^+  u_e$         |              |
| $\Xi_c^+ 	o \Xi^0 e^+ \nu_e$            | $2.3 \pm 1.5$ [33]        | $12.17 \pm 1.35$             | $\Xi_c^0 	o \Xi^- \mu^+  u_\mu$             |              |
| $\Xi_c^0 	o \Xi^- e^+ \nu_e$            | $1.54 \pm 0.35 \ [4,  5]$ | $4.10\pm0.46$                | fit parameter                               | ,            |
| $\Xi_c^0 	o \Xi^- \mu^+  u_\mu$         | $1.27 \pm 0.44$ [4]       | $3.98\pm0.57$                | (pole model)                                | _            |
|   | •                         |                              | 0   |              |

| No SU(3 | ) breaking |
|---------|------------|
|---------|------------|

| ahannal                                   | branching ratio(%)      |                                |                          |  |
|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| channel                                   | experimental data       | fit data(pole model)           | fit data(constant).      |  |
| $\Lambda_c^+\to\Lambda^0 e^+\nu_e$        | $3.6\pm0.4$             | $3.61\pm0.32$                  | $3.62\pm0.32$            |  |
| $\Lambda_c^+ \to \Lambda^0 \mu^+ \nu_\mu$ | $3.5\pm0.5$             | $3.48\pm0.30$                  | $3.45\pm0.30$            |  |
| $\Xi_c^+ \to \Xi^0 e^+ \nu_e$             | $2.3\pm1.5$             | $3.89\pm0.73$                  | $3.92\pm0.73$            |  |
| $\Xi_c^0 \to \Xi^- e^+ \nu_e$             | $1.54\pm0.35$           | $1.29\pm0.24$                  | $1.31\pm0.24$            |  |
| $\Xi_c^0 	o \Xi^- \mu^+  u_\mu$           | $1.27\pm0.44$           | $1.24\pm0.23$                  | $1.24\pm0.23$            |  |
| fit parameter                             | $f_1 = 1.01 \pm 0.87,$  | $\delta f_1 = -0.51 \pm 0.92$  | $\chi^2/d \circ f = 1.6$ |  |
| (pole model)                              | $f_1' = 0.60 \pm 0.49,$ | $\delta f_1' = -0.23 \pm 0.41$ | $\chi / u.o.j = 1.0$     |  |
| fit parameter                             | $f_1 = 0.86 \pm 0.92,$  | $\delta f_1 = -0.25 \pm 0.88$  | $\chi^2/d \circ f = 1.0$ |  |
| (constant)                                | $f_1' = 0.85 \pm 0.36,$ | $\delta f_1' = -0.43 \pm 0.50$ | $\chi$ / u.o.f = 1.9     |  |

1

#### SU(3) breaking



Mixing angle

#### From semi-leptonic decays

 $|\theta_c| = 0.137(5)\pi ~(\approx 24^\circ)$  from  $\Xi_c^0 \to \Xi^- e^+ \nu_e$  (LFQM)

Chao-Qiang Geng, Xiang-Nan Jin, Chia-Wei Liu *Phys.Lett.B* 838 (2023) 137736

#### From non-leptonic decays

LHCb: 
$$\frac{\mathcal{B}(\Xi_{cc}^{++} \to \Xi_{c}^{+\prime} \pi^{+})}{\mathcal{B}(\Xi_{cc}^{++} \to \Xi_{c}^{+} \pi^{+})} \equiv \frac{\mathcal{B}'}{\mathcal{B}} = 1.41 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.1$$
  
Phys. Rev. Lett. 121, 162002 (2018) JHEP 05 (2022) 038

#### To fit this ratio:

 $\theta = 16.27^{\circ} \pm 2.30^{\circ} \text{ or } 85.54^{\circ} \pm 2.30^{\circ}$  (LFQM)

Hong-Wei Ke, Xue-Qian Li, *Phys.Rev.D* 105 (2022) 9, 096011

$$\Xi_c - \Xi_c'$$
 mixing in QCDSR

$$\Pi^{(\prime)}(p) = i \int d^4x e^{ip \cdot x} \langle 0|T\{J^{(\prime)}(x)\bar{J}^{(\prime)}(0)\}|0\rangle,$$

$$i \int d^4x e^{ip \cdot x} \langle 0|T\{J(x)\bar{J}^{\prime}(0)\}|0\rangle = 0$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} J \\ J' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & \sin\theta \\ -\sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} J_0 \\ J_1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad i \int d^4x e^{ip \cdot x} \langle 0|T\{J(x)\bar{J}(0)\}|0\rangle = 0,$$
$$i \int d^4x e^{ip \cdot x} \langle 0|T\{J'(x)\bar{J}(0)\}|0\rangle = 0.$$

$$\begin{cases} \theta_c = (1.2 \sim 2.8)^\circ \text{ for the } Q = c \\\\ \theta_b = (0.28 \sim 0.34)^\circ \text{ for the } Q = b \text{ Heavy quark limit: } \theta \to 0 \end{cases}$$

*Eur.Phys.J.C* 83 (2023) 10, 961

### Revisiting $\Xi_Q - \Xi'_Q$ mixing in QCD sum rules

Xiao-Yu Sun<sup>1</sup>, Fu-Wei Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Yu-Ji Shi<sup>2,a</sup>, Zhen-Xing Zhao<sup>1,b</sup>

<sup>1</sup> School of Physical Science and Technology, Inner Mongolia University, Hohhot 010021, China
 <sup>2</sup> School of Physics, East China University of Science and Technology, Shanghai 200237, China

$$\Xi_c - \Xi_c'$$
 mixing in LQCD



#### $\Xi_c - \Xi'_c$ mixing From Lattice QCD

Phys.Lett.B 841 (2023) 137941

Hang Liu,<sup>1</sup> Liuming Liu,<sup>2,3</sup> Peng Sun,<sup>2,3</sup> Wei Sun,<sup>4</sup> Jin-Xin Tan,<sup>1</sup> Wei Wang,<sup>1,5,\*</sup> Yi-Bo Yang,<sup>6,7,8,3</sup> and Qi-An Zhang<sup>9,†</sup>

# $\Xi_c - \Xi_c'$ mixing from SU(3) breaking

The Lagrangian can be decomposed into SU(3) conserving and breaking terms:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}+\text{QED}} &= \mathcal{L}_0 + \Delta \mathcal{L} \\ \mathcal{L}_0 &= \sum_q \bar{\psi}_q (i \not\!\!\!D - m_u) \psi_q + e \sum_q e_s \bar{\psi}_q \mathcal{A} \psi_q \\ &+ e e_c \bar{\psi}_c \mathcal{A} \psi_c, \end{split}$$

$$The same mass and charge for u, d, s, \\ &\text{SU(3) conserving} \\ \Delta \mathcal{L} &= \bar{\psi}_s (m_u - m_s) \psi_s + e (e_u - e_s) \bar{\psi}_u \mathcal{A} \psi_u$$

The mass and charge differences are attributed to the SU(3) breaking terms

Hamiltonian: 
$$H = H_0 + \Delta H_1$$

The SU(3) conserving Hamiltonian is diagonalized by  $\Xi_c^{\overline{3}}$  and  $\Xi_c^{6}$ :

$$H_0|\Xi_c^{\bar{3}}\rangle = m_{\Xi_c^{\bar{3}}}|\Xi_c^{\bar{3}}\rangle, \ \ H_0|\Xi_c^6\rangle = m_{\Xi_c^6}|\Xi_c^6\rangle$$

The full Hamiltonian is diagonalized by  $\Xi_c$  and  $\Xi_c'$ :

$$H|\Xi_c\rangle = m_{\Xi_c}|\Xi_c\rangle, \ \ H|\Xi_c'\rangle = m_{\Xi_c'}|\Xi_c'\rangle$$

Transformation between doublets:  $|S\rangle = (|\Xi_c^{\overline{3}}\rangle, |\Xi_c^6\rangle)^T$ ,  $|P\rangle = (|\Xi_c\rangle, |\Xi_c^\prime\rangle)^T$ 

$$|P\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & \sin\theta\\ -\sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix} |S\rangle = U|S\rangle.$$

In the basis of  $|S\rangle = (|\Xi_c^{\overline{3}}\rangle, |\Xi_c^6\rangle)^T$ :  $\mathbf{H} = \begin{pmatrix} \langle \Xi_{c}^{\bar{3}}(S_{z}') | H | \Xi_{c}^{\bar{3}}(S_{z}) \rangle & \langle \Xi_{c}^{6}(S_{z}') | H | \Xi_{c}^{3}(S_{z}) \rangle \\ \langle \Xi_{c}^{\bar{3}}(S_{z}') | H | \Xi_{c}^{6}(S_{z}) \rangle & \langle \Xi_{c}^{6}(S_{z}') | H | \Xi_{c}^{6}(S_{z}) \rangle \end{pmatrix}$  $|S\rangle = U^T |P\rangle$  $=2(2\pi)^3\delta^{(3)}(\vec{0})\delta_{S_zS'_z}$  $\times \begin{pmatrix} m_{\Xi_c}^2 \cos^2\theta + m_{\Xi_c'}^2 \sin^2\theta & (m_{\Xi_c}^2 - m_{\Xi_c'}^2) \cos\theta \sin\theta \\ (m_{\Xi_c}^2 - m_{\Xi_c'}^2) \cos\theta \sin\theta & m_{\Xi_c}^2 \sin^2\theta + m_{\Xi_c'}^2 \cos^2\theta \end{pmatrix}$  $\langle \Xi_c^6(S'_z) | H | \Xi_c^3(S_z) \rangle$  $= (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\vec{0}) \delta_{S_z S'_z} (m_{\Xi_z}^2 - m_{\Xi'}^2) \sin 2\theta.$ 

Extracting 
$$\Xi_c - \Xi_c'$$
 mixing angle  
On the another hand:  $H = H_0 + \Delta H$ .  
 $(\Xi_c^6|H_0|\Xi_c^3) = 0$   
 $H_0$  conserves SU(3) symmetry  
 $(\Xi_c^6(S'_2)|H|\Xi_c^3(S_z))$   
 $= (2\pi)^3\delta^{(3)}(\vec{0})\langle \Xi_c^6(S'_2)|\Delta \mathcal{H}(0)|\Xi_c^3(S_z)\rangle$  An unkown  
matrix element  
 $\langle \Xi_c^6(S'_2)|H|\Xi_c^3(S_z)\rangle$   
 $= (2\pi)^3\delta^{(3)}(\vec{0})\delta_{S_zS'_z}(m_{\Xi_c}^2 - m_{\Xi'_c}^2)\sin 2\theta$ . Mixing angle  
Extracting  $\theta$   $\implies$   $\sin 2\theta = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{S_z}\frac{\langle \Xi_c^6(S_z)|\Delta \mathcal{H}(0)|\Xi_c^3(S_z)\rangle|}{m_{\Xi_c}^2 - m_{\Xi'_c}^2}$ 

$$\Delta \mathcal{H}_m = (m_s - m_u)\bar{s}s$$

# Calculate a three-point correlation function on lattice:



Hang Liu, Wei Wang, Qi-An Zhang, arXiv:2309.05432

QCD contribution to  $\Xi_c - \Xi_c'$ 



18

# The three-quark picture of LFQM



### Three-quark picture in LFQM



Spin:  $\Psi_{\bar{3}} = A\bar{u}_{\lambda_3}(p_3) \left( \vec{P} + M_0 \right) (-\gamma_5) C\bar{u}_{\lambda_2}^T(p_2) \bar{u}_{\lambda_1}(p_1) u \left( \bar{P} \right) \Phi(x_i, k_{i\perp})$ 

$$\begin{split} \Phi(x_i, k_{i\perp}) &= \sqrt{\frac{e_1 e_2 e_3}{x_1 x_2 x_3 M_0}} \phi(\vec{k}_1, \beta_1) \phi(\frac{\vec{k}_2 - \vec{k}_3}{2}, \beta_{23}), \\ \phi(\vec{k}, \beta) &= 4 \left(\frac{\pi}{\beta^2}\right)^{\frac{3}{4}} e^{\frac{-k_\perp^2 - k_z^2}{2\beta^2}}, \end{split}$$

Zhen-Xing Zhao, Fu-Wei Zhang, Xiao-Hui Hu, Yu-Ji Shi Phys.Rev.D 107 (2023) 11, 116025

**Momentum:** 

# **LFQM** Calculation



The charm quark spin is changed by photon, a  $1/m_c$  effect.

$$ar{h}_v i(v \cdot D) h_v - rac{1}{2m_Q} ar{h}_v 
ot\!\!D^\perp \sum_{n=0}^N \left(rac{-i(v \cdot D)}{2m_Q}
ight)^n 
ot\!\!D^\perp h_v$$
Spin conserved
Spin changed

$$m_Q \uparrow \longrightarrow \theta_{QED} \downarrow$$

# **LFQM** Calculation



# **Mixing Angle**





- > To match the measured semi-leptonic decay BF, the required  $\Xi_c \Xi_c'$  mixing angle is around  $10^\circ 30^\circ$
- > QCD contributes only  $\sim 1^{\circ}$
- ➢ QED contribution is much smaller: 0.04°
- > It seems that the  $\Xi_c \Xi_c'$  mixing effect is too small to affect the  $\Xi_c$  decays

Any other mechanisms to explain the gap between exp. & th. ?

# $K_1(1270) - K_1(1400)$ mixing

$$\begin{pmatrix} |K_1(1270)\rangle \\ |K_1(1400)\rangle \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_{K_1} & \sin\theta_{K_1} \\ -\sin\theta_{K_1} & \cos\theta_{K_1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |K_{1B}\rangle \\ |K_{1A}\rangle \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\theta_{K_1} = 22^\circ \pm 7^\circ \text{ or } \theta_{K_1} = 68^\circ \pm 7^\circ$$

Yu-Ji Shi, Jun Zeng, Zhi-Fu Deng, arXiv:2310.20429

# Thank you for your attention !