## Freeze-in Bino Dark Matter

# in High Scale Supersymmetry

arXiv: **2308.14438** (to appear in *Physical Review D* )

with Chengcheng Han (韩成成), Mengchao Zhang (张孟超), Jin Min Yang (杨金民)

#### Peiwen WU (吴 培文) Southeast University (东南大学)



17<sup>th</sup> workshop on TeV Physics and Beyond SM Dec. 15-19, 2023, Nanjing





#### Outline

#### Motivation

- Freeze-In bino DM in SUSY
- Model setup and phenomenology
  - particle spectrum: modified High Scale SUSY
  - interactions: dim-5 vs dim-6
  - DM production feature: UV vs IR
- Results and discussion
- Summary

### Supersymmetry

#### **Theoretically important**

- Haag–Łopuszański–Sohnius (HLS) theorem
  SUSY is the only way to nontrivially mix 4-D Minkowski spacetime and continuous internal symmetries, when both anti-/commutating generators are considered
- necessary for string theory
- may solve the naturalness problem (aesthetical choice)

#### Phenomenologically rich

- viable DM candidate
- SM gauge coupling unification
- possible origins of exp. anomalies

### Nature of DM is still unknow

- cosmological / astrophysical hints of existence of DM are strong
- among various possibilities, particle nature of DM is under search in many experiments





D. Clowe, et al, astro-ph/0608407

Begeman et al, 1991, MNRAS, 249, 523.

30

#### Some SUSY particles seems to be heavy

• Esthetical requirement about naturalness problem may need to be relaxed

ATLAS SUSY Searches* - 95% CL Lower Limits ATLAS Preliminary								
101	Model	Si	gnature	• ∫⊥	<i>dt</i> [fb <sup>-1</sup>	Mass limit		Reference
Inclusive Searches	$\tilde{q}\tilde{q},  \tilde{q} {\rightarrow} q \tilde{\chi}_1^0$	0 e, µ mono-jet	2-6 jets 1-3 jets	$\stackrel{E_{T_{iiss}}^{miss}}{E_{T}^{miss}}$	139 139	i [1x, 8x Degen.] 1.0 i [8x Degen.] 0.9	1.85 m( $\tilde{\ell}_1^0$ )<400 GeV m( $\tilde{q}$ )-m( $\tilde{\ell}_1^0$ )=5 GeV	2010.14293 2102.10874
	$\tilde{g}\tilde{g}, \tilde{g} \rightarrow q \bar{q} \tilde{\chi}_1^0$	0 e, µ	2-6 jets	$E_T^{miss}$	139	Forbidden	2.3 m( $\tilde{k}_{1}^{0}$ )=0 GeV 1.15-1.95 m( $\tilde{k}_{1}^{0}$ )=1000 GeV	2010.14293 2010.14293
	$\tilde{g}\tilde{g}, \tilde{g} \rightarrow q\bar{q}W\tilde{\chi}_1^0$	1 e,μ ee.μμ	2-6 jets 2 jets	rmiss	139		2.2 m( $\tilde{k}_1^0$ )<600 GeV	2101.01629
	$gg, g \rightarrow qq(ver) r_1$ $\tilde{g}\tilde{g}, \tilde{g} \rightarrow qqWZ\tilde{\chi}_1^0$	0 e,μ SS e,μ	7-11 jets 6 jets	$E_T^{miss}$	139 139		1.97 m(t <sup>2</sup> <sub>1</sub> )<600 GeV m(t <sup>2</sup> <sub>1</sub> )=200 GeV	2008.06032 1909.08457
	$\tilde{g}\tilde{g}, \tilde{g} \rightarrow t \bar{t} \tilde{t} \tilde{t}_1^0$	0-1 e,μ SS e,μ	3 <i>b</i> 6 jets	$E_T^{\rm miss}$	139 139		2.45      m(t <sup>2</sup> <sub>1</sub> )<500 GeV        1.25      m(g)·m(t <sup>2</sup> <sub>1</sub> )=300 GeV	2211.08028 1909.08457
3 <sup>rd</sup> gen. squarks direct production	$\tilde{b}_1 \tilde{b}_1$	0 <i>e</i> , <i>µ</i>	2 b	$E_T^{\rm miss}$	139	5 <sub>1</sub> 5 <sub>1</sub> 0.68	$\begin{array}{ccc} 1.255 & m(\tilde{\chi}^0_1){<}400\text{GeV} \\ 10\text{GeV}{<}\Delta m(\tilde{b}_1,\tilde{\chi}^0_1){<}20\text{GeV} \end{array}$	2101.12527 2101.12527
	$\tilde{b}_1 \tilde{b}_1, \tilde{b}_1 \rightarrow b \tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow b h \tilde{\chi}_1^0$	0 e,μ 2 τ	6 b 2 b	$E_T^{\rm miss}$ $E_T^{\rm miss}$	139 139	Forbidden 0.13-0.85	$\begin{array}{c} \Delta m(\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0},\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}){=}130~\text{GeV},~m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}){=}100~\text{GeV}\\ \Delta m(\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0},\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}){=}130~\text{GeV},~m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}){=}0~\text{GeV} \end{array}$	1908.03122 2103.08189
	$\tilde{t}_1 \tilde{t}_1, \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow t \tilde{\chi}_1^0$	0-1 e,μ	≥ 1 jet 3 iets/1 h	$E_T^{miss}$ $E^{miss}$	139	Earbidden 0.65	1.25 m( $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ )=1 GeV	2004.14060, 2012.03799
	$\tilde{t}_1 \tilde{t}_1, \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow w b \chi_1$ $\tilde{t}_1 \tilde{t}_1, \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow \tilde{\tau}_1 b \nu, \tilde{\tau}_1 \rightarrow \tau \tilde{G}$	1-2 T	2 jets/1 b	$E_T^{miss}$	139	Forbidden	1.4 m(t)=500 GeV	2108.07665
	$\tilde{t}_1 \tilde{t}_1, \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow c \tilde{\chi}_1^0 / \tilde{c} \tilde{c}, \tilde{c} \rightarrow c \tilde{\chi}_1^0$	0 e,μ 0 e,μ	2 c mono-jet	$E_T^{miss}$ $E_T^{miss}$	36.1 139	0.85	$m(\xi_1^0)=0 \text{ GeV}$ $m(\tilde{r}_1,\tilde{c})-m(\tilde{k}_1^0)=5 \text{ GeV}$	1805.01649 2102.10874
	$\tilde{t}_1 \tilde{t}_1, \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow t \tilde{\chi}_2^0, \tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow Z/h \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ $\tilde{t}_2 \tilde{t}_2, \tilde{t}_2 \rightarrow \tilde{t}_1 + Z$	1-2 e,μ 3 e,μ	1-4 b 1 b	$E_T^{miss}$ $E_T^{miss}$	139 139	1 0.067 2 Forbidden 0.86	-1.18 $m(\tilde{\ell}_1^0)=500 \text{ GeV}$ $m(\tilde{\ell}_1^0)=360 \text{ GeV}, m(\tilde{\ell}_1)-m(\tilde{\ell}_1^0)=40 \text{ GeV}$	2006.05880 2006.05880
	${\tilde \chi}_1^\pm {\tilde \chi}_2^0$ via WZ	Multiple ℓ/jets ee, μμ	≥ 1 jet	$E_T^{miss}$ $E_T^{miss}$	139 139	<sup>μα</sup> / $\tilde{k}^0_{\mu}$ 0.96 <sup>μα</sup> / $\tilde{k}^0_{\mu}$ 0.205	$m(\tilde{k}_1^0)=0$ , wino-bino $m(\tilde{k}_1^+)-m(\tilde{k}_1^0)=5$ GeV, wino-bino	2106.01676, 2108.07586 1911.12606
	$ ilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}  ilde{\chi}_1^{\mp}$ via $WW$	2 e, µ		$E_T^{miss}$	139	0.42	$m(\tilde{X}_1^0)=0$ , wino-bino	1908.08215
	$\tilde{\chi}_1^* \tilde{\chi}_2^o$ via Wh	Multiple ℓ/jets		$E_T^{miss}$	139	ζ <sup>*</sup> <sub>1</sub> /X <sup>*</sup> <sub>2</sub> Forbidden 1.0	6 $m(\tilde{x}_1^0)=70$ GeV, wino-bino $m(\tilde{x}_1^0)=70$ GeV, $mo-bino$	2004.10894, 2108.07586
Sct ~	$\chi_1 \chi_1$ via $\epsilon_L / \nu$ $\tilde{\tau} \tilde{\tau}, \tilde{\tau} \rightarrow \tau \tilde{\chi}_1^0$	2 ε,μ 2 τ		$E_T^{miss}$	139	τ [τ <sub>L</sub> , τ <sub>R.L</sub> ] 0.16-0.3 0.12-0.39	$m(c,v)=0.5(m(c_1)+m(c_1))$ $m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)=0$	1908.08213
dire	$\tilde{\ell}_{L,R} \tilde{\ell}_{L,R}, \tilde{\ell} \rightarrow \ell \tilde{\chi}_1^0$	2 e, μ ee, μμ	0 jets ≥ 1 jet	$E_T^{miss}$ $E_T^{miss}$	139 139	0.256	m(ξ <sup>2</sup> )=0 m(ξ <sup>2</sup> )=10 GeV	1908.08215 1911.12606
	$\tilde{H}\tilde{H}, \tilde{H} \rightarrow h\tilde{G}/Z\tilde{G}$	0 e, µ	$\geq 3 b$	$E_{T_{miss}}^{miss}$	36.1	n 0.13-0.23 0.29-0.88	$BR(\tilde{\chi}^0_J \rightarrow h\tilde{G})=1$	1806.04030
		$0 e, \mu \ge$	2 large jets	$E_T^{L_{miss}}$	139	4 0.55 H 0.45-0.93	$BR(\tilde{x}_1 \rightarrow ZG)=1$ $BR(\tilde{x}_1 \rightarrow ZG)=1$	2103.11684 2108.07586
		2 e,µ	≥ 2 jets	$E_T^{\rm miss}$	139	й 0.77	$BR(\tilde{\chi}_1^0 \to Z\tilde{G}) = BR(\tilde{\chi}_1^0 \to h\tilde{G}) = 0.5$	2204.13072
Long-lived particles	Direct $\tilde{\chi}_1^* \tilde{\chi}_1^-$ prod., long-lived $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$	Disapp. trk	1 jet	$E_T^{miss}$	139	54 0.66 1 0.21	Pure Wino Pure higgsino	2201.02472 2201.02472
	Stable g R-hadron	pixel dE/dx		$E_T^{miss}$	139		2.05	2205.06013
	Metastable $\tilde{g}$ H-hadron, $\tilde{g} \rightarrow qq \chi_1^-$ $\tilde{\ell}\tilde{\ell}, \tilde{\ell} \rightarrow \ell\tilde{G}$	Displ. lep		$E_T^{miss}$	139	$f_{\mu}(x) = 10 \text{ ms}$	2.2 $m(\tilde{x}_1)=100 \text{ GeV}$ $\tau(\tilde{\ell})=0.1 \text{ ns}$	205.06013
		pixel dE/dx		$E_T^{\text{miss}}$	139	0.34	$\tau(\tilde{\ell}) = 0.1 \text{ ns}$ $\tau(\tilde{\ell}) = 10 \text{ ns}$	2011.07812 2205.06013
	$\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_1^{\mp} / \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ , $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \rightarrow Z \ell \rightarrow \ell \ell \ell$	3 e, µ	0 inte	rmiss	139	$\tilde{r}_{1}^{T}/\tilde{k}_{0}^{0}$ [BR( $Z\tau$ )=1, BR( $Ze$ )=1] 0.625 1.0	5 Pure Wino	2011.10543
	$\chi_1 \chi_1 / \chi_2 \rightarrow WW/ZUUUvv$ $\tilde{\varrho}\tilde{\varrho}, \tilde{\varrho} \rightarrow aa \tilde{\chi}_1^0, \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \rightarrow aaa$	4 e, µ	-5 large jets	LT	36.1	$x_1/x_2 = [A_{i33} \neq 0, A_{12k} \neq 0]$ 0.95 $z = [m(\tilde{X}_1^0) = 200 \text{ GeV}, 1100 \text{ GeV}]$	1.3 1.9 Large $\lambda_{11}^{\prime\prime}$	1804.03568
>	$\tilde{t}\tilde{t}, \tilde{t} \rightarrow t \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}, \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow t b s$		Multiple		36.1	[\$"223=20-4, 10-2] 0.55 1.0	5 m( $\tilde{X}_{1}^{0}$ )=200 GeV, bino-like	ATLAS-CONF-2018-003
RPV	$\tilde{t}\tilde{t}, \tilde{t} \rightarrow b\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}, \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm} \rightarrow bbs$		$\geq 4b$		139	Forbidden 0.95	m( $\tilde{\ell}\tilde{1}$ )=500 GeV	2010.01015
	$\tilde{t}_1 \tilde{t}_1, \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow \sigma s$ $\tilde{t}_1 \tilde{t}_1, \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow q \ell$	2 e, µ	2b		36.1	0.42 0.61	0.4-1.45 BR( <i>i</i> <sub>1</sub> → <i>be</i> / <i>bµ</i> )>20%	1710.05544
		1μ	DV		136	$I_1$ [1e-10< $\lambda'_{23k}$ <1e-8, 3e-10< $\lambda'_{23k}$ <3e-9] 1.0	<b>1.6</b> BR( $\bar{t}_1 \rightarrow q\mu$ )=100%, cos $\theta_t$ =1	2003.11956
	$\chi_1^{-}/\chi_2^{-}/\tilde{\chi}_1^{0}, \tilde{\chi}_{1,2}^{0} \rightarrow tbs, \tilde{\chi}_1^{-} \rightarrow bbs$	1-2 e, µ	≥6 jets		139	0.2-0.32	Pure higgsino	2106.09609
							J	
*Only phen	a selection of the available ma omena is shown. Many of the	ass limits on n limits are bas	new states sed on	s or	1	-1	1 Mass scale [TeV]	



CMS SUS-21-008-pas

simplified models, c.f. refs. for the assumptions made

#### ATLAS ATL-PHYS-PUB-2023-005

### **DM-focused SUSY particle spectrum, concerning exp. results**

• High Scale SUSY, Split SUSY, other variations...

most SUSY sparticles are assumed to be heavy

 $\{\tilde{f}, \tilde{G}, H^0, A^0, H^{\pm}\}$ 

all/part of Electroweak-ino sector are light

 $\{\tilde{B}, \tilde{W}, \tilde{H}_u, \tilde{H}_d\}$ 



#### in this work DM-focused MSSM particle spectrum

• High Scale SUSY, Split SUSY, other variations...

most SUSY sparticles are assumed to be heavy

$$\{\tilde{f}, \tilde{G}, H^0, A^0, H^{\pm}\} \quad \{\tilde{H}_u, \tilde{H}_d\}$$
  
in this work

• all/part of Electroweak-ino sector are light

 $\{ \widetilde{B}, \widetilde{W}, \widetilde{H}_u, \widetilde{H}_d \}$ in this work

$$\sim M_{SUSY}$$

**Energy Scale** 

#### MSSM wino $\widetilde{W}$ DM < 5 TeV is <u>NOT</u> favored by indirect exp.





respective masses. Therefore, for the cuspy profiles, we can exclude wino annihilations for masses below 5 TeV and

FIG. 3. Upper limits for the four DM density profiles considered in this Letter: the cuspy Einasto Galactic density profile (red solid line), the NFW profile (cyan dashed line), a DM core according to Ref. [56] (yellow dashed line), and the Burkert fit from Ref. [53] (green dotted line), compared against the total  $\langle \sigma v \rangle$  corresponding to annihilation of two SUSY winos [i.e.,  $SU(2)_L$  triplets] into a  $\gamma\gamma$  pair according to Refs. [11,13–15] (gray solid line; see text for details). The vertical blue hatched region indicates wino masses from 2.7 to 3.0 TeV which are consistent with the observed DM relic density [14].

### **bino** $\tilde{B}$ DM is the opposite, interacting *too weakly* with SM



#### **bino** $\tilde{B}$ DM is the opposite, interacting *too weakly* with SM

How about *Freeze-In* ?



Hall, Jedamzik, March-Russell, West 0911.1120









0.001



### **MSSM setup in this work**

#### Mass spectrum and DM production processes



### Phenomenology



- to meet the observed DM abundance
  - interplay with Big Bang Nuclear-synthesis (BBN)

- collider constraints on Long-Lived particle (LLP)
  - disappearing / displaced track



- DM direct/indirect signal
  - negligible for bino in our setup

#### **Main results**

#### DM abundance, mass scale of heavy sector



#### DM abundance, mass scale of heavy sector



if a universal heavy scale is assumed:  $O(\mu) \sim O(m_{\tilde{f}})$ dim-6 contributions will be negligible

### Wino Freeze-Out effects properly included

For benchmark wino mass  $M_{\widetilde{W}} = 2$  TeV

Hall, Jedamzik, March-Russell, West 0911.1120



 $\widetilde{W} \rightarrow \widetilde{B} h$  contribute 25% (1%) to  $Y_{\widetilde{B}}$  for  $M_{\widetilde{B}} = 1$  (0.1) TeV



Figure 9. Wino DM relic abundance as function of DM mass  $m_{\chi}$  computed with Born cross

Beneke, Szafron, Urban 2009.00640

#### **UV & IR** feature of different Freeze-In processes

Energy

Scale

- we focus on dim-5 operators
- Bino mass choice can affect the specific IR/UV ratio



cosmic

evolution

#### Interplay between DM abundance & BBN: <u>constrained</u> T<sub>RH</sub> range:

SUSY electroweak-inos must decay before BBN epoch



#### Interplay between DM abundance & BBN: <u>constrained</u> T<sub>RH</sub> range:

Freeze-In bino scenario imply connection between the *two characteristic scales* in MSSM





#### **Collider signal profile**

 $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \to \tilde{\chi}_2^0 \pi^{\pm}$ 

 $\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \to \tilde{\chi}_1^0 h$ 

disappearing track,  $M_{\widetilde{W}} > 0.5$  TeV is allowed. our benchmark  $M_{\widetilde{W}} = 2$  TeV still allowed

 $\tau > O(10^{-2}) s$  making  $\tilde{\chi}^0$  leave the whole detector before decay. BBN limits on our set-up result in NO displaced vertex at collider.

## $pp \to \tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_1^{\mp}, \tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_2^0$



Fig. 7 Exclusion limits at 95% CL obtained in the electroweak production channel with the pure-wino scenario. The limits are shown as a function of the chargino lifetime and mass. The black dashed line shows the median expected value, and the yellow band shows the  $1\sigma$  uncertainty band around the expected limits. The red line shows the observed limits and the red dotted lines show the  $1\sigma$  uncertainty from the signal cross-section. The blue and violet broken lines show the observed limits from the ATLAS results in Refs. [16, 18] respectively. The dashed gray line shows the predicted chargino lifetime in the almost pure wino-LSP scenario at the two-loop level [7]



**Fig. 2.** The expected and observed constraints on chargino lifetime and mass for a purely wino LSP in the context of AMSB, where the chargino lifetime is explicitly varied. The chargino branching fraction is set to 100% for  $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \pi^{\pm}$ . Shown are the full Run 2 results, derived from the results of the search in the 2017 and 2018 data sets combined with those of Ref. [17], obtained in the 2015 and 2016 data sets. The region to the left of the curve is excluded at 95% CL. The prediction for the chargino lifetime from Ref. [28] is indicated as the dashed line.

#### Discussion

#### **Higgs sector**

- current limits rely on the chosen uncertainty range of SM inputs (e.g. top Yukawa), relaxing e.g. to  $3\sigma$  can easily make out setup compatible with 125 GeV Higgs
- fine tuned, as expected



#### Discussion

#### non-universal gaugino masses

•  $\tilde{B}$ ,  $\tilde{W}$  at O(1) TeV,  $\tilde{G}$  at high scale:

#### possible UV completion

- ascribing distinct representations to SUSY breaking superfield  $\Phi$  with non-vanishing F-terms, then applying linear combination
- fine tuned, as expected

TABLE II. Ratios of gaugino masses for *F*-terms in representations of  $SU(5) \subset SO(10)$ , with the normal (nonflipped) embedding.

<i>SO</i> (10)	<i>SU</i> (5)	$M_1:M_2:M_3$
1	1	1:1:1
54	24	$-\frac{1}{2}:-\frac{3}{2}:1$
210	1	1:1:1
	24	$-\frac{1}{2}:-\frac{3}{2}:1$
	75	-5:3:1
770	1	1:1:1
	24	$-\frac{1}{2}:-\frac{3}{2}:1$
	75	-5:3:1
	200	10:2:1





Martin, 0903.3568

### Summary

- Supersymmetry manifests the symmetry faith of modern physics, but may not meet aesthetical taste in pheno. studies
- if sparticles are heavy, Freeze-In bino can be a simple DM realization
  - only gauge couplings involved
  - UV / IR features highlighted in cosmic DM production
  - linking scales of  $M_{SUSY} \sim 10^{13-14}$  GeV and  $T_{RH} \sim 10^{4-6}$  GeV
  - cosmic history (e.g. BBN) apply further interplay between  $M_{SUSY}$  and  $T_{RH}$

which may reveal underlying details of SUSY breaking & inflation

