Entanglement suppression and low-energy scattering of heavy mesons

Tao-Ran Hu

University of Chinese Academy of Sciences

hutaoran21@mails.ucas.ac.cn

September 26th, 2024

1

Based on Phys. Rev. D 110, 014001 (2024) by Tao-Ran Hu, Su Chen and Feng-Kun Guo.

BACKGROUND

- Where does symmetry come from?
- Can symmetry be derived from even more fundamental principles?

BACKGROUND

- Where does symmetry come from?
- Can symmetry be derived from even more fundamental principles?

Accidental, emergent symmetries in the infrared

[Beane:2018oxh] Silas R. Beane, David B. Kaplan, Natalie Klco, and Martin J. Savage. **Entanglement Suppression and Emergent Symmetries of Strong Interactions.** Phys. Rev. Lett. 122, 102001 (2019). [Low:2021ufv] Ian Low and Thomas Mehen. **Symmetry from entanglement suppression.** Phys. Rev. D 104, 074014 (2021).

BACKGROUND

- Where does symmetry come from?
- Can symmetry be derived from even more fundamental principles?

[Beane:2018oxh] Silas R. Beane, David B. Kaplan, Natalie Klco, and Martin J. Savage. **Entanglement Suppression and Emergent Symmetries of Strong Interactions.** Phys. Rev. Lett. 122, 102001 (2019).

[Low:2021ufv] Ian Low and Thomas Mehen. **Symmetry from entanglement suppression.** Phys. Rev. D 104, 074014 (2021).

EXAMPLES OBSERVER

- $O(1,3)$
- \cdot U(1)×SU(2)×SU(3)
- Scale invariance during a second-order phase transition • YES
- Superfluidity / superconductivity U(1)
- Wignar's SU(4) in NN scattering
- All symmetries that large-N limit predicts

• NO

- NO
- YES
- YES

• YES

[Kaplan:1995yg]

MAIN IDEA

- In quantum information science, one can quantify the entanglement of an operator.
- S-Matrix is also an operator, so it is conceivable to assign an entanglement measure $E(S)$ to it.
- It is natural to consider extreme cases, such as when the entanglement of the S-matrix reaches its maximum or minimum value.

$$
E(S)=0?
$$

FIRST APLICATION IN LOW-ENERGY QCD

- [Beane:2018oxh] reveals some intriguing clues:
- After requiring $E(S) = 0$, the inherent $SU(2)_{\text{isospin}} \times SU(2)_{\text{spin}}$ symmetry of nucleon-nucleon scattering enlarges to Wigner's $SU(4)$ symmetry.

 $(p \uparrow, p \downarrow, n \uparrow, n \downarrow)$ as fundamental representation

- Leading to the conjecture:
- Entanglement suppression could be the origin of emergent symmetries.

FIRST APLICATION IN LOW-ENERGY QCD

- [Beane:2018oxh] reveals some intriguing clues:
- After requiring $E(S) = 0$, the inherent $SU(2)_{\text{isospin}} \times SU(2)_{\text{spin}}$ symmetry of nucleon-nucleon scattering enlarges to Wigner's $SU(4)$ symmetry.

 $(p \uparrow, p \downarrow, n \uparrow, n \downarrow)$ as fundamental representation

- Leading to the conjecture:
- Entanglement suppression could be the origin of emergent symmetries. $H \to G \supset H$

DEFINITIONS (FOR BIPARTITE SYSTEM)

- Density matrix: $\rho = \ket{\psi}\bra{\psi}$
- Reduced density matrix: $\rho_1 = \text{Tr}_2(\rho)$ (tracing over subsystem 2)
- Entanglement measure (entropy): $E(|\psi\rangle) = 1 \text{Tr}_1[\rho_1^2]$
- Entanglement power: $E(U) = E(U|\psi\rangle), | \psi \rangle = | \psi_1 \rangle \otimes | \psi_2 \rangle$
- The entanglement measure quantifies the entanglement in a quantum state $|\psi\rangle$, while the entanglement power measures the ability of a quantum-mechanical operator U to generate entanglement by averaging over all tensor-product states it acts on.

S-MATRIX

• In general, a low-energy scattering event can entangle position, spin, and flavor quantum numbers, and it is therefore natural to assign an entanglement power to the S-matrix for such a scattering process.

$$
S=\sum_{I,J}P_{IJ}e^{2i\delta_{IJ}}
$$

• We define P_{IJ} the projection operators onto subspaces of definite isospin and total angular momentum, and δ_{IJ} the corresponding phase shift.

$$
S^{\,\dag}S\,{=}\,1
$$

MATCHING TO AMPLITUDES

• Feynman rules for $\mathcal{L} = \sum_{i=1,2} \phi_i^{\dagger} \left(i \partial_0 - m_i + \frac{\nabla^2}{2m_i} \right) \phi_i - C_0 \, \phi_1^{\dagger} \phi_2^{\dagger} \phi_1 \phi_2$ $i\mathscr{M} = -iC_0 + (-iC_0)(iG) (-iC_0) + \cdots$ $\qquad \qquad \searrow \qquad + \searrow \qquad + \searrow \qquad + \searrow \qquad +$ $=-\frac{i}{C_0^{-1}-G}$ $S = e^{2i\delta} = 1 + \frac{\mu p}{\pi} i \mathscr{M} \quad G = i \! \int \! \frac{\mathrm{d}k^0 \mathrm{d}^3 \bm{k}}{(2\pi)^4} \bigg[\Big(k^0 - \frac{\bm{k}^2}{2m_1} + i\epsilon \Big) \Big(E - k^0 - \frac{\bm{k}^2}{2m_2} + i\epsilon \Big) \bigg]^{-1} \; .$ $M = \frac{2\pi}{\mu} \frac{1}{p \cot \delta - ip}$ = $-\frac{\mu}{2\pi} (\Lambda + ip)$, PDS

MATCHING TO AMPLITUDES

• Feynman rules for $\mathcal{L} = \sum_{i=1,2} \phi_i^{\dagger} \left(i \partial_0 - m_i + \frac{\nabla^2}{2 m_i} \right) \phi_i - C_0 \, \phi_1^{\dagger} \phi_2^{\dagger} \phi_1 \phi_2$ $i\mathscr{M} = -iC_0 + (-iC_0)(iG) (-iC_0) + \cdots$ $\qquad \qquad \searrow \qquad + \searrow \qquad + \searrow \qquad + \searrow \qquad +$ $=-\frac{i}{C_0^{-1}-G}$ $S=e^{2i\delta}=1+\frac{\mu p}{\pi}i\mathscr{M}\;\;\; G=i\!\int\!\frac{\mathrm{d}k^0\mathrm{d}^3\bm{k}}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[\Big(k^0-\frac{\bm{k}^2}{2m_1}+i\epsilon\Big)\Big(E-k^0-\frac{\bm{k}^2}{2m_2}+i\epsilon\Big)\Big]^{-1}$ $\mathcal{M} = \frac{2\pi}{\mu} \frac{1}{p \cot \delta - ip}$ = $-\frac{\mu}{2\pi} (\Lambda + ip)$, PDS $p\cot\delta = -\,\frac{2\pi}{\mu C_\alpha} - \Lambda\,.$

ENTANGLEMENT SUPPRESSION

- Entanglement power of S-matrix: $E(S)$
- Require $E(S)$ to vanish: $E(S) = 0$
- Constraints for phase shifts δ
- Constraints for amplitudes M
- Constraints for Lagrangian $\mathcal L$

ENTANGLEMENT SUPPRESSION

- Entanglement power of S-matrix: $E(S)$
- Require $E(S)$ to vanish: $E(S) = 0$
- Constraints for phase shifts δ
- Constraints for amplitudes M

Based on the assumption: The physical region produces minimal entanglement.

• Constraints for Lagrangian $\mathcal L$

A RECENT WORK

$$
B = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\Sigma^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\Lambda}{\sqrt{6}} & \Sigma^+ & p \\ \Sigma^- & -\frac{\Sigma^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\Lambda}{\sqrt{6}} & n \\ \Xi^- & \Xi^0 & -\frac{2\Lambda}{\sqrt{6}} \end{pmatrix}
$$

• [Liu:2022grf] Qiaofeng Liu, Ian Low, and Thomas Mehen. **Minimal entanglement and emergent symmetries in low-energy QCD.** Phys. Rev. C 107, 025204 (2023).

$$
\mathcal{L}_{LO}^{n_f=3} = -c_1 \langle B_i^{\dagger} B_i B_j^{\dagger} B_j \rangle - c_2 \langle B_i^{\dagger} B_j B_j^{\dagger} B_i \rangle - c_3 \langle B_i^{\dagger} B_j^{\dagger} B_i B_j \rangle \n- c_4 \langle B_i^{\dagger} B_j^{\dagger} B_j B_i \rangle - c_5 \langle B_i^{\dagger} B_i \rangle \langle B_j^{\dagger} B_j \rangle \n- c_6 \langle B_i^{\dagger} B_j \rangle \langle B_j^{\dagger} B_i \rangle,
$$

• Entanglement suppression can be utilized to determine the relation between these six LECs.

RESULTS OF [Liu:2022grf]

OUR INTEREST

• Extend the study of entanglement suppression to heavy systems?

FIGRES EXADERED FROM HEAVY QUARK Q and light quark q

OUR INTEREST

• Extend the study of entanglement suppression to heavy systems?

FIGRES EXADERED FRANCH CODE HEAVY QUARK Q and light quark q

Numerous intriguing near-threshold structures!

OUR INTEREST

• Extend the study of entanglement suppression to heavy systems? • Heavy quark Q and light quark q

Observation of a Narrow Charmoniumlike State in Exclusive $B^{\pm} \rightarrow K^{\pm} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} I$ / ψ Decays $X(3872)$

S.-K. Choi et al. (Belle Collaboration) Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 262001 - Published 23 December 2003

Letter | Open access | Published: 16 June 2022

Observation of an exotic narrow doubly charmed tetraquark

LHCb Collaboration

 T_{cc} (3875)⁺

Numerous intriguing

near-threshold structures!

HADRONIC MOLECULES?

- The interaction between a pair of ground-state heavy mesons near threshold is closely related to formation of hadronic molecular states.
- Non-relativistic approximation
- Lowest partial wave (S-wave) dominance
- Constant contact potential at leading order

HEAVY-QUARK SPIN SYMMETRY

- Mass of heavy quark $m_Q \gg \Lambda_{\rm QCD}$
- Expanding $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}/m_Q$ yields heavy-quark effective theory.
- Leading order: heavy-quark limit $m_Q \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\text{\bf \bullet Spin-dependent interaction} \; \propto \frac{\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B}^a}{m_Q} \xrightarrow{m_Q \rightarrow \infty} 0
$$

- Heavy-quark spin decouples, thus heavy-quark spin symmetry (HQSS).
- Light quark total angular momentum becomes good quantum number.

IMPACT OF HQSS

- Consider S-wave interaction of a pair of S-wave heavy mesons.
- Under heavy-quark limit:
- The interaction has only four independent LECs:

$$
\langle\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},s_l\left|\hat{\mathcal{H}}_I\right|\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},s_l\left.\right\rangle
$$

- $s_l = 0$, 1 denotes light quark total angular momentum.
- $I=0,1$ denotes total isospin.

IMPACT OF HQSS

- Consider S-wave interaction of a pair of S-wave heavy mesons.
- Under heavy-quark limit:
- The interaction has only four independent LECs:

$$
\langle\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},s_l \big|\hat{\mathcal{H}}_I \big|\,\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},s_l\,\rangle
$$

- $s_l = 0$, 1 denotes light quark total angular momentum.
- $I=0,1$ denotes total isospin.

Will entanglement suppression enlarge HQSS?

HEAVY MESON SCATTERING $H_a = P_a + P_a^* \cdot \sigma$,

• We consider $D^{(*)}D^{(*)}$ and $D^{(*)}\bar{D}^{(*)}$ systems. $\bar{H}^a = \bar{P}^a + \bar{P}^{*a} \cdot \sigma$

$$
\mathcal{L}_{HH} = -\frac{D_{00}}{8} \text{Tr} \left[H^{a\dagger} H_b H^{b\dagger} H_a \right] - \frac{D_{01}}{8} \text{Tr} \left[H^{a\dagger} H_b \sigma^m H^{b\dagger} H_a \sigma^m \right] \n- \frac{D_{10}}{8} \text{Tr} \left[H^{a\dagger} H_b H^{c\dagger} H_d \right] \boldsymbol{\tau}_a^d \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_c^b - \frac{D_{11}}{8} \text{Tr} \left[H^{a\dagger} H_b \sigma^m H^{c\dagger} H_d \sigma^m \right] \boldsymbol{\tau}_a^d \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_c^b.
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{L}_{H\bar{H}} = -\frac{1}{4} \text{Tr} \left[H^{a\dagger} H_b \right] \text{Tr} \left[\bar{H}^c \bar{H}_d^{\dagger} \right] \left(F_A \delta_a^b \delta_c^d + F_A^{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau}_a^b \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_c^d \right)
$$
\n
$$
+ \frac{1}{4} \text{Tr} \left[H^{a\dagger} H_b \sigma^m \right] \text{Tr} \left[\bar{H}^c \bar{H}_d^{\dagger} \sigma^m \right] \left(F_B \delta_a^b \delta_c^d + F_B^{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau}_a^b \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_c^d \right)
$$

[Du:2021zzh] Meng-Lin Du, Vadim Baru, Xiang-Kun Dong, Arseniy Filin, Feng-Kun Guo, Christoph Hanhart, Alexey Nefediev, Juan Nieves, and Qian Wang. **Coupled-channel approach to Tcc+ including three-body effects.** Phys. Rev. D 105, 014024 (2022).

[Ji:2022uie] Teng Ji, Xiang-Kun Dong, MiguelAlbaladejo, Meng-Lin Du, Feng-Kun Guo, and Juan Nieves. **Establishing the heavy quark spin and light flavor molecular multiplets of the X(3872), Zc(3900), and X(3960).** Phys. Rev. D 106, 094002 $(2022).$

HEAVY MESON SCATTERING $H_a = P_a + P_a^* \cdot \sigma$,

• We consider $D^{(*)}D^{(*)}$ and $D^{(*)}\bar{D}^{(*)}$ systems. $\bar{H}^a = \bar{P}^a + \bar{P}^{*a} \cdot \sigma$

$$
\mathcal{L}_{HH} = -\frac{D_{00}}{8} \text{Tr} \left[H^{a\dagger} H_b H^{b\dagger} H_a \right] - \frac{D_{01}}{8} \text{Tr} \left[H^{a\dagger} H_b \sigma^m H^{b\dagger} H_a \sigma^m \right] \n- \frac{D_{10}}{8} \text{Tr} \left[H^{a\dagger} H_b H^{c\dagger} H_d \right] \tau_a^d \cdot \tau_c^b - \frac{D_{11}}{8} \text{Tr} \left[H^{a\dagger} H_b \sigma^m H^{c\dagger} H_d \sigma^m \right] \tau_a^d \cdot \tau_c^b.
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{L}_{H\bar{H}} = -\frac{1}{4} \text{Tr} \left[H^{a\dagger} H_b \right] \text{Tr} \left[\bar{H}^c \bar{H}_d^{\dagger} \right] \left(\underline{F_A} \delta_a^b \delta_c^d + \underline{F_A^{\tau}} \tau_a^b \cdot \tau_c^d \right)
$$
\n
$$
+ \frac{1}{4} \text{Tr} \left[H^{a\dagger} H_b \sigma^m \right] \text{Tr} \left[\bar{H}^c \bar{H}_d^{\dagger} \sigma^m \right] \left(\underline{F_B} \delta_a^b \delta_c^d + \underline{F_B^{\tau}} \tau_a^b \cdot \tau_c^d \right)
$$

[Du:2021zzh] Meng-Lin Du, Vadim Baru, Xiang-Kun Dong, Arseniy Filin, Feng-Kun Guo, Christoph Hanhart, Alexey Nefediev, Juan Nieves, and Qian Wang. **Coupled-channel approach to Tcc+ including three-body effects.** Phys. Rev. D 105, 014024 (2022).

[Ji:2022uie] Teng Ji, Xiang-Kun Dong, MiguelAlbaladejo, Meng-Lin Du, Feng-Kun Guo, and Juan Nieves. **Establishing the heavy quark spin and light flavor molecular multiplets of the X(3872), Zc(3900), and X(3960).** Phys. Rev. D 106, 094002 $(2022).$

ISOSPIN COMBINATIONS

$$
|D^{p}D_{y}I=1\rangle=\begin{cases}D^{+}D^{+} & |D\bar{D},I=0\rangle=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(D^{+}\bar{D}^{+}+D^{0}\bar{D}^{0}),\\ D^{p}D^{0}& |D\bar{D},I=0\rangle=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(D^{+}\bar{D}^{+}+D^{0}\bar{D}^{0}),\\ D^{p}D^{0}& |D\bar{D},I=1\rangle=\begin{cases}D^{+}D^{+} & |D\bar{D},I=0\rangle=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(D^{+}\bar{D}^{+}+D^{0}\bar{D}^{0}),\\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(D^{++}D^{0}-D^{+0}D^{+}),\\ D^{p}D^{+} & |D^{p}D^{+} & |D^{p}D^{+} & |D^{p}D^{+} & |D^{p}D^{+} & |D^{p}D^{+} \\ D^{+}D^{+} & |D^{+}D^{+}D^{+} & |D^{+}D^{+}+D^{+}D^{+} & |D^{+}D^{+}+D^{+}D^{+} & |D^{+}D^{+}+D^{+}D^{+} & |D^{+}D^{+}+D^{+} & |D^{+}D^{+}+\\ D^{+}D^{0}D^{0}& |D^{+}D^{+} & |D^{+}D^{+}+D^{+} & |D^{+}D^{+}+D^{+} & |D^{+}D^{+} & |D^{+}D^{+} & |D^{+}D^{+} & |D^{+}D^{+} & |D^{+}D^{+} & |D^{+} & |
$$

CONTACT POTENTIALS

 $T^{IJ=00} (DD) = \frac{1}{2}(D_{00} + 3D_{01} + D_{10} + 3D_{11}),$ $T^{IJ=00} (D\bar{D}) = C_{0a}, T^{IJ=10} (D\bar{D}) = C_{1a},$ $T^{IJ=01} (D^*D) = -2(D_{01} - 3D_{11}),$ $T_{-}^{IJ=01}(D\bar{D}^*)=C_{0a}-C_{0b}, T_{-}^{IJ=11}(D\bar{D}^*)=C_{1a}-C_{1b},$ $T^{IJ=11} (D^*D) = D_{00} + D_{01} + D_{10} + D_{11},$ $T_{+}^{IJ=01}(D\bar{D}^{*})=C_{0a}+C_{0b}, T_{+}^{IJ=11}(D\bar{D}^{*})=C_{1a}+C_{1b},$ $T^{IJ=00} (D^* \bar{D}^*) = C_{0a} - 2C_{0b}, \quad T^{IJ=10} (D^* \bar{D}^*) = C_{1a} - 2C_{1b},$ $T^{IJ=01} (D^* D^*) = -2(D_{01} - 3D_{11}),$ $T^{IJ=01} (D^* \bar{D}^*) = C_{0a} - C_{0b}, \quad T^{IJ=11} (D^* \bar{D}^*) = C_{1a} - C_{1b},$ $T^{IJ=10} (D^* D^*) = -\frac{1}{2}(D_{00} - 5D_{01} + D_{10} - 5D_{11}),$ $T^{IJ=02} (D^* \bar{D}^*) = C_{0a} + C_{0b}, \quad T^{IJ=12} (D^* \bar{D}^*) = C_{1a} + C_{1b},$ $T^{IJ=12} (D^* D^*) = D_{00} + D_{01} + D_{10} + D_{11}.$

$$
S=\sum_{I,J}P_{IJ}e^{2i\delta_{IJ}}
$$

$$
S_{DD} = \mathcal{I}_0 e^{2i\delta_{00}} + \mathcal{I}_1 e^{2i\delta_{10}},
$$

\n
$$
S_{D^*D} = \mathcal{I}_0 e^{2i\delta_{01}} + \mathcal{I}_1 e^{2i\delta_{11}},
$$

\n
$$
S_{D^*D^*} = \sum_{I=0,1} \sum_{J=0,1,2} \mathcal{I}_I \otimes \mathcal{J}_J e^{2i\delta_{IJ*}}
$$

\n
$$
S_{D\bar{D}} = \mathcal{I}_0 e^{2i\bar{\delta}_{00}} + \mathcal{I}_1 e^{2i\bar{\delta}_{10}},
$$

\n
$$
S_{D\bar{D}^*\pm} = \mathcal{I}_0 e^{2i\bar{\delta}_{01\pm}} + \mathcal{I}_1 e^{2i\bar{\delta}_{11\pm}},
$$

\n
$$
S_{D^*\bar{D}^*} = \sum_{I=0,1} \sum_{J=0,1,2} \mathcal{I}_I \otimes \mathcal{J}_J e^{2i\bar{\delta}_{IJ*}}
$$

$$
S_{DD} = \mathcal{I}_0 e^{2i\delta_{00}} + \mathcal{I}_1 e^{2i\delta_{10}},
$$

\n
$$
S_{D^*D} = \mathcal{I}_0 e^{2i\delta_{01}} + \mathcal{I}_1 e^{2i\delta_{11}},
$$

\n
$$
S_{D^*D^*} = \sum_{I=0,1} \sum_{J=0,1,2} \mathcal{I}_I \otimes \mathcal{J}_J e^{2i\delta_{IJ*}}
$$

\n
$$
S_{D\bar{D}} = \mathcal{I}_0 e^{2i\delta_{00}} + \mathcal{I}_1 e^{2i\delta_{10}},
$$

\n
$$
S_{D\bar{D}^* \pm} = \mathcal{I}_0 e^{2i\delta_{01\pm}} + \mathcal{I}_1 e^{2i\delta_{11\pm}},
$$

\n
$$
S_{D^*\bar{D}^*} = \sum_{I=0,1} \sum_{J=0,1,2} \mathcal{I}_I \otimes \mathcal{J}_J e^{2i\delta_{IJ*}}
$$

Bose-Eienstein statistics:

 $\delta_{00} = 0$ for DD

$$
\delta_{00*} = \delta_{02*} = \delta_{11*} = 0 \text{ for } D^*D^*
$$

$$
S_{DD} = \mathcal{I}_0 e^{2i\delta_{00}} + \mathcal{I}_1 e^{2i\delta_{10}},
$$

\n
$$
S_{D^*D} = \mathcal{I}_0 e^{2i\delta_{01}} + \mathcal{I}_1 e^{2i\delta_{11}},
$$

\n
$$
S_{D^*D^*} = \sum_{I=0,1} \sum_{J=0,1,2} \mathcal{I}_I \otimes \mathcal{J}_J e^{2i\delta_{IJ*}}
$$

\n
$$
S_{D\bar{D}} = \mathcal{I}_0 e^{2i\bar{\delta}_{00}} + \mathcal{I}_1 e^{2i\bar{\delta}_{10}},
$$

\n
$$
S_{D\bar{D}^* \pm} = \mathcal{I}_0 e^{2i\bar{\delta}_{01\pm}} + \mathcal{I}_1 e^{2i\bar{\delta}_{11\pm}},
$$

\n
$$
S_{D^*\bar{D}^*} = \sum_{I=0,1} \sum_{J=0,1,2} \mathcal{I}_I \otimes \mathcal{J}_J e^{2i\bar{\delta}_{IJ*}}
$$

Isospin space projectors

$$
\mathcal{I}_0 \equiv \frac{1-\boldsymbol{\tau}_1\cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_2}{4}, \quad \mathcal{I}_1 \equiv \frac{3+\boldsymbol{\tau}_1\cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_2}{4}
$$

Spin space projectors

$$
\mathcal{J}_0 \equiv -\frac{1}{3} \left[1 - \left(\boldsymbol{t}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{t}_2\right)^2 \right],
$$

\n
$$
\mathcal{J}_1 \equiv 1 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\boldsymbol{t}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{t}_2\right) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\boldsymbol{t}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{t}_2\right)^2,
$$

\n
$$
\mathcal{J}_2 \equiv \frac{1}{3} \left[1 + \frac{3}{2} \left(\boldsymbol{t}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{t}_2\right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\boldsymbol{t}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{t}_2\right)^2 \right]
$$

TENSOR-PRODUCT ASSUMPTION

- Problem: Vector meson scatterings entangle both isospin and spin.
- Assumption: Entanglement being zero in a large space is equivalent to it being zero in all of its subspaces.

TENSOR-PRODUCT ASSUMPTION

- Problem: Vector meson scatterings entangle both isospin and spin.
- Assumption: Entanglement being zero in a large space is equivalent to it being zero in all of its subspaces.

For instance, applying $\mathcal{I}_{I'} \equiv \mathcal{I}_{I'} \otimes 1$ to $S = \sum_{I,J} \mathcal{I}_I \otimes \mathcal{J}_J e^{2i\delta_{IJ*}}$ gives

$$
\mathcal{I}_{I'}S=\sum_{I,J}\mathcal{I}_{I'}\mathcal{I}_{I}\otimes\mathcal{J}_{J}e^{2i\delta_{IJ*}}=\mathcal{I}_{I'}\otimes\sum_{J}\mathcal{J}_{J}e^{2i\delta_{IJ'*}}\equiv\mathcal{I}_{I'}\otimes S_{I'}
$$

TENSOR-PRODUCT ASSUMPTION

- Problem: Vector meson scatterings entangle both isospin and spin.
- Assumption: Entanglement being zero in a large space is equivalent to it being zero in all of its subspaces.

For instance, applying $\mathcal{I}_{I'} \equiv \mathcal{I}_{I'} \otimes 1$ to $S = \sum_{I,J} \mathcal{I}_I \otimes \mathcal{J}_J e^{2i\delta_{IJ*}}$ gives

$$
\mathcal{I}_{I'}S=\sum_{I,J}\mathcal{I}_{I'}\mathcal{I}_{I}\otimes\mathcal{J}_{J}e^{2i\delta_{IJ*}}=\mathcal{I}_{I'}\otimes\sum_{J}\mathcal{J}_{J}e^{2i\delta_{IJ'*}}\equiv\mathcal{I}_{I'}\otimes S_{I'}
$$

- Fix I , minimal entanglement in J space
- Fix J , minimal entanglement in I space

DENSITY MATRIX

$$
\rho = \ket{\psi_\mathrm{out}}\bra{\psi_\mathrm{out}}, \, \ket{\psi_\mathrm{out}} = S \ket{\psi_\mathrm{in}}, \, \ket{\psi_\mathrm{in}} = \ket{\psi_1}\otimes\ket{\psi_2}
$$
\n
$$
\bullet \text{ SU(2) case (isospin-1/2): } \ket{\psi_i} = \left(\cos\frac{\theta}{2}, e^{i\phi}\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\right)^T, \, \theta \in [0, \pi], \, \phi \in [0, 2\pi)
$$

• SO(3) case (spin-1): $|\psi_i\rangle = (\cos\beta \sin\alpha, e^{i\mu} \sin\beta \sin\alpha, e^{i\nu} \cos\alpha)^T$,

$$
\alpha,\beta\!\in\![0,\frac{\pi}{2}],\,\mu,\nu\!\in\![0,2\pi)
$$

[Beane:2021zvo] Silas R. Beane, Roland C. Farrell, and Mira Varma.**Entanglement minimization in hadronic scattering with pions.** Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 36, 2150205 (2021).

• Entanglement power in the isospin-1/2 space:

$$
E(S) = 1 - \int \frac{d\Omega_1}{4\pi} \frac{d\Omega_2}{4\pi} \text{Tr}_1[\rho_1^2] \qquad S_J = \mathcal{I}_0 e^{2i\delta_{0J}} + \mathcal{I}_1 e^{2i\delta_{1J}}
$$

$$
E(S) = 1 - \int d\omega_1 d\omega_2 \text{Tr}_1[\rho_1^2] \qquad S_I = \mathcal{J}_0 e^{2i\delta_{I0*}} + \mathcal{J}_1 e^{2i\delta_{I1*}} + \mathcal{J}_2 e^{2i\delta_{I2*}}
$$

$$
d\omega = \frac{2}{\pi^2} \cos \alpha \sin^3 \alpha d\alpha \cos \beta \sin \beta d\beta d\mu d\nu
$$

• Entanglement power in the isospin-1/2 space:

$$
E(S) = 1 - \int \frac{d\Omega_1}{4\pi} \frac{d\Omega_2}{4\pi} \text{Tr}_1[\rho_1^2] \qquad \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1 \quad (\mathbb{CP}^1 \cong S^2)
$$

$$
E(S) = 1 - \int d\omega_1 d\omega_2 \text{Tr}_1[\rho_1^2] \qquad \mathbb{CP}^2 \times \mathbb{CP}^2
$$

$$
d\omega = \frac{2}{\pi^2} \cos \alpha \sin^3 \alpha d\alpha \cos \beta \sin \beta d\beta d\mu d\nu
$$

• Entanglement power in the isospin-1/2 space:

$$
E(S_J) = \frac{1}{6}\sin^2[2(\delta_{0J} - \delta_{1J})] \qquad S_J = \mathcal{I}_0 e^{2i\delta_{0J}} + \mathcal{I}_1 e^{2i\delta_{1J}}
$$

$$
E(S_I) = \frac{1}{648} \Big\{ 156 - 6 \cos[4(\delta_{I0*} - \delta_{I1*})] - 65 \cos[2(\delta_{I0*} - \delta_{I2*})] - 10 \cos[4(\delta_{I0*} - \delta_{I2*})] - 60 \cos[4(\delta_{I2*} - \delta_{I1*})] - 15 \cos[2(\delta_{I0*} + \delta_{I2*} - 2\delta_{I1*})] \Big\}
$$

$$
S_I = \mathcal{J}_0 e^{2i\delta_{I0*}} + \mathcal{J}_1 e^{2i\delta_{I1*}} + \mathcal{J}_2 e^{2i\delta_{I2*}}
$$

• Entanglement power in the isospin-1/2 space:

$$
E(S_J) = \frac{1}{6} \sin^2[2(\delta_{0J} - \delta_{1J})] \qquad \boxed{|\delta_{0J} - \delta_{1J}| = 0 \text{ or } \frac{\pi}{2}}
$$

$$
E(S_I) = \frac{1}{648} \left\{ 156 - 6 \cos[4(\delta_{I0*} - \delta_{I1*})] - 65 \cos[2(\delta_{I0*} - \delta_{I2*})] - 10 \cos[4(\delta_{I0*} - \delta_{I2*})] - 60 \cos[4(\delta_{I2*} - \delta_{I1*})] - 15 \cos[2(\delta_{I0*} + \delta_{I2*} - 2\delta_{I1*})] \right\}
$$

$$
|\delta_{I0*} - \delta_{I1*}| = |\delta_{I2*} - \delta_{I1*}| = 0 \text{ or } \frac{\pi}{2}
$$

HEAVY-MESON HADRONIC MOLECULES

- The near-threshold interaction between a pair of groundstate heavy mesons is closely related to formation of hadronic molecular states.
- The $X(3872)$ has been proposed as a candidate of an isoscalar DD^* hadronic molecule with quantum numbers $J^{PC} = 1^{++}$.
- In 2021 the LHCb Collaboration announced the discovery of T_{cc} (3875)⁺ with preferred quantum numbers $I(J^P) = 0(1^+)$, a double-charm D^*D molecular candidate.

$$
M_X - M_{D^0} - M_{\bar{D}^{*0}} = 0.00^{+0.09}_{-0.15} \,\text{MeV}, \ M_{T_{cc}^{+}} - M_{D^{*+}} - M_{D^0} = (-0.36 \pm 0.04) \,\text{MeV}
$$

HEAVY-MESON HADRONIC MOLECULES

- The near-threshold interaction between a pair of groundstate heavy mesons is closely related to formation of hadronic molecular states.
- The $X(3872)$ has been proposed as a candidate of an isoscalar DD^* hadronic molecule with quantum numbers $J^{PC} = 1^{++}$.
- In 2021 the LHCb Collaboration announced the discovery of T_{cc} (3875)⁺ with preferred quantum numbers $I(J^P) = 0(1^+)$, a double-charm D^*D molecular candidate.

Implying that the near-threshold S-wave interactions in both channels approach the unitary limit!

INPUT: Tcc+ AS HADRONIC MOLECULE

- We assume Tcc+ to be a weakly bound isoscalar D^*D molecular state.
- Therefore we have $\delta_{01} = \frac{\pi}{2}$ for $|D^*D, I=0\rangle = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(D^{*+}D^0 D^{*0}D^+).$
- This input reduces all possibilities into only two cases. $\sqrt{\frac{S}{L}}$

1. All channels reach unitarity limit:

$$
\delta_{01}(D^*D) = \delta_{01}(D^*D^*) = \delta_{10}(DD) = \delta_{11}(D^*D) = \delta_{10}(D^*D^*) = \delta_{12}(D^*D^*) = \frac{\pi}{2}
$$

2. All isoscalar channels reach unitarity limit, while all amplitudes for isovector channels vanish:

$$
\delta_{01}(D^*D)\!=\!\delta_{01}(D^*D^*)\!=\!\frac{\pi}{2},\,\delta_{10}(DD)\!=\!\delta_{11}(D^*D)\!=\!\delta_{10}(D^*D^*)\!=\!\delta_{12}(D^*D^*)\!=\!0
$$

PREDICTIONS $\delta = \frac{\pi}{2}$

 $\delta = 0$: non-interacting at unitarity limit

- An additional D^*D^* zero-energy bound molecular state in the isoscalar $J^P = 1^+$ sector: T_{cc}^{*+}
- However, it is not a result of entanglement suppression but stems from HQSS: $T^{IJ=01} (D^*D) = T^{IJ=01} (D^*D^*)$
- The additional consequences of entanglement suppression is that the interaction strengths of the isovector channels are all the same, either non-interacting or at the unitarity limit.
- In the latter instance, we would also anticipate four extra weakly bound states near the $D^{(*)}D^{(*)}$ threshold.

[Albaladejo:2021vln] M. Albaladejo. **Tcc+ coupled channel analysis and predictions.**Phys. Lett. B 829, 137052 (2022).

INPUT: X(3872) AS HADRONIC MOLECULE

- Input: $\delta_{01+}\big(D\bar{D}^*\big)\!=\!\pi/2$
- Also two solutions:

1. All channels reach unitarity limit:

$$
\delta_{I0}\Big(D\bar{D}\Big)\!=\!\delta_{I1\pm}\Big(D\bar{D}^*\Big)\!=\!\delta_{IJ}\Big(D^*\bar{D}^*\Big)\!=\!\textstyle\frac{\pi}{2}
$$

2. All isoscalar channels reach unitarity limit, while all amplitudes for isovector channels vanish:

$$
I\,{=}\,0\!:\,\frac{\pi}{2},\,I\,{=}\,1\!:\,0
$$

PREDICTIONS

- In both scenarios, we conclude that $X(3872)$ should have five spin partner states, all of them being isoscalar states, like the X(3872) itself.
- HQSS predicts only three isoscalar spin partners in the strict heavyquark limit.
- Again, the interaction strengths of the isovector channels are all the same, either non-interacting or at the unitarity limit.
- If Nature chooses the latter case, there would be six isovector hadronic molecules in addition.
- $J^{PC} = 1^{+-}$: Zc(3900)? Zc(4020)?

ENLARGED SYMMETRY?

Number of independent LECs: $4 \rightarrow 2$

- Indeed, we see an emergent symmtry.
- The inherent heavy-quark spin symmetry leads to SU(2)×SU(2) symmetry for light-quark spins.
- Entanglement suppression predicts the same interaction strengths for 2×2=4 spin states in each isospin, therefore we conclude

$$
\mathrm{SU}(2){\times}\mathrm{SU}(2)\,\rightarrow\,\mathrm{SU}(4)
$$

• This is referred to as the light-quark spin symmetry (LQSS).

LIGHT-QUARK SPIN SYMMETRY?

- The concept of LQSS was first introduced in heavy-antiheavy meson systems by M. Voloshin to explain the properties of the Zb(10610) and Zb(10650) states as potential hadronic molecular states.
- The existence and masses of hidden-charm pentaquark states Pc(4440), Pc(4457) and Pc(4312) further suggest that LQSS may also exist in the heavy baryon-antiheavy meson systems.
- Additionally, this approximate symmetry has been realized in the light vector meson exchange model (resonance saturation).

[Voloshin:2016cgm] M. B. Voloshin. **Light Quark Spin Symmetry in Zb Resonances?** Phys. Rev. D 93, 074011 (2016). [Dong:2021juy] Xiang-Kun Dong, Feng-Kun Guo, and Bing-Song Zou. **A survey of heavy-antiheavy hadronic molecules.** Progr. Phys. 41, 65 (2021).

LQSS? NEED MORE STUDIES!!

- The concept of LQSS was first introduced in heavy-antiheavy meson systems by M. Voloshin to explain the properties of the Zb(10610) and Zb(10650) states as potential hadronic molecular states.
- The existence and masses of hidden-charm pentaquark states Pc(4440), Pc(4457) and Pc(4312) further suggest that LQSS may also exist in the heavy baryon-antiheavy meson systems.
- Additionally, this approximate symmetry has been realized in the light vector meson exchange model (resonance saturation).

[Voloshin:2016cgm] M. B. Voloshin. **Light Quark Spin Symmetry in Zb Resonances?** Phys. Rev. D 93, 074011 (2016). [Dong:2021juy] Xiang-Kun Dong, Feng-Kun Guo, and Bing-Song Zou. **A survey of heavy-antiheavy hadronic molecules.** Progr. Phys. 41, 65 (2021).

SUMMARY

- Conjecture: Minimal entanglement leads to enlarged symmetries.
- Framework: Non-relativistic effective Lagrangian manifesting HQSS, which includes only constant contact potentials at leading order.
- Input: X(3872) and Tcc(3875)+ as hadronic molecules.
- Results: $HQSS \rightarrow LQSS$
- Predictions: More spin and isospin partners.
- Future: The LQSS and its predictions need to be confronted with experimental data and LQCD results to further test the conjecture.

SUMMARY

Need more studies!!

- Conjecture: Minimal entanglement leads to enlarged symmetries.
- Framework: Non-relativistic effective Lagrangian manifesting HQSS, which includes only constant contact potentials at leading order.
- Input: X(3872) and Tcc(3875)+ as hadronic molecules.
- Results: $HQSS \rightarrow LQSS$
- Predictions: More spin and isospin partners.
- Future: The LQSS and its predictions need to be confronted with experimental data and LQCD results to further test the conjecture.

THANK YOU!