# Entanglement suppression and low-energy scattering of heavy mesons

Tao-Ran Hu

University of Chinese Academy of Sciences

hutaoran21@mails.ucas.ac.cn

September 26th, 2024

Based on Phys. Rev. D 110, 014001 (2024) by Tao-Ran Hu, Su Chen and Feng-Kun Guo.

### BACKGROUND

- Where does symmetry come from?
- Can symmetry be derived from even more fundamental principles?

### BACKGROUND

- Where does symmetry come from?
- Can symmetry be derived from even more fundamental principles?

Accidental, emergent symmetries in the infrared

[Beane:2018oxh] Silas R. Beane, David B. Kaplan, Natalie Klco, and Martin J. Savage. Entanglement Suppression and Emergent Symmetries of Strong Interactions. Phys. Rev. Lett. 122, 102001 (2019). [Low:2021ufv] Ian Low and Thomas Mehen. Symmetry from entanglement suppression. Phys. Rev. D 104, 074014 (2021).

### BACKGROUND

- Where does symmetry come from?
- Can symmetry be derived from even more fundamental principles?





[Beane:2018oxh] Silas R. Beane, David B. Kaplan, Natalie Klco, and Martin J. Savage. Entanglement Suppression and Emergent Symmetries of Strong Interactions. Phys. Rev. Lett. 122, 102001 (2019).

[Low:2021ufv] Ian Low and Thomas Mehen. Symmetry from entanglement suppression. Phys. Rev. D 104, 074014 (2021).

### EXAMPLES



**Standard Model of Elementary Particles** C t up charm top gluon higgs d b S down strange bottom e τ electron tau Z boson muon EPTONS ve electron muon tau W boso

- O(1,3)
- U(1)×SU(2)×SU(3)
- Scale invariance during a second-order phase transition YES
- Superfluidity / superconductivity U(1)
- Wignar's SU(4) in NN scattering
- All symmetries that large-N limit predicts







• NO

- NO
- YES
- YES

• YES

[Kaplan:1995yg]

### MAIN IDEA

- In quantum information science, one can quantify the entanglement of an operator.
- S-Matrix is also an operator, so it is conceivable to assign an entanglement measure E(S) to it.
- It is natural to consider extreme cases, such as when the entanglement of the S-matrix reaches its maximum or minimum value.

# E(S) = 0?

### FIRST APLICATION IN LOW-ENERGY QCD

- [Beane:2018oxh] reveals some intriguing clues:
- After requiring E(S) = 0, the inherent  $SU(2)_{isospin} \times SU(2)_{spin}$ symmetry of nucleon-nucleon scattering enlarges to Wigner's SU(4) symmetry.

 $(p\uparrow,p\downarrow,n\uparrow,n\downarrow)$  as fundamental representation

- Leading to the conjecture:
- Entanglement suppression could be the origin of emergent symmetries.

### FIRST APLICATION IN LOW-ENERGY QCD

- [Beane:2018oxh] reveals some intriguing clues:
- After requiring E(S) = 0, the inherent  $SU(2)_{isospin} \times SU(2)_{spin}$ symmetry of nucleon-nucleon scattering enlarges to Wigner's SU(4) symmetry.

 $(p\uparrow,p\downarrow,n\uparrow,n\downarrow)$  as fundamental representation

- Leading to the conjecture:
- Entanglement suppression could be the origin of emergent symmetries.  $H \rightarrow G \supset H$

## DEFINITIONS (FOR BIPARTITE SYSTEM)

- Density matrix:  $ho = \ket{\psi} ig \psi$
- Reduced density matrix:  $\rho_1 = \text{Tr}_2(\rho)$  (tracing over subsystem 2)
- Entanglement measure (entropy):  $E(|\psi\rangle) = 1 \text{Tr}_1[\rho_1^2]$
- Entanglement power:  $E(U) = \overline{E(U | \psi \rangle)}, \quad |\psi\rangle = |\psi_1\rangle \otimes |\psi_2\rangle$
- The entanglement measure quantifies the entanglement in a quantum state |ψ⟩, while the entanglement power measures the ability of a quantum-mechanical operator U to generate entanglement by averaging over all tensor-product states it acts on.

### S-MATRIX

• In general, a low-energy scattering event can entangle position, spin, and flavor quantum numbers, and it is therefore natural to assign an entanglement power to the S-matrix for such a scattering process.

$$S=\sum_{_{I,J}}P_{_{IJ}}e^{2i\delta_{_{IJ}}}$$

• We define  $P_{IJ}$  the projection operators onto subspaces of definite isospin and total angular momentum, and  $\delta_{IJ}$  the corresponding phase shift.

$$S^{\dagger}S = 1$$

#### MATCHING TO AMPLITUDES

• Feynman rules for  $\mathcal{L} = \sum_{i=1,2} \phi_i^{\dagger} \left( i \partial_0 - m_i + \frac{\nabla^2}{2m_i} \right) \phi_i - C_0 \phi_1^{\dagger} \phi_2^{\dagger} \phi_1 \phi_2$  $=-\frac{\imath}{C_{0}^{-1}-G}$  $S = e^{2i\delta} = 1 + \frac{\mu p}{\pi} i\mathcal{M} \quad G = i \int \frac{\mathrm{d}k^0 \mathrm{d}^3 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^4} \left[ \left( k^0 - \frac{\mathbf{k}^2}{2m_1} + i\epsilon \right) \left( E - k^0 - \frac{\mathbf{k}^2}{2m_2} + i\epsilon \right) \right]^{-1}$  $\mathcal{M} = \frac{2\pi}{\mu} \frac{1}{p \cot \delta - ip} = -\frac{\mu}{2\pi} (\Lambda + ip), \text{ PDS}$ 

#### MATCHING TO AMPLITUDES

• Feynman rules for  $\mathcal{L} = \sum_{i=1,2} \phi_i^{\dagger} \left( i \partial_0 - m_i + \frac{\nabla^2}{2m_i} \right) \phi_i - C_0 \phi_1^{\dagger} \phi_2^{\dagger} \phi_1 \phi_2$  $=-\frac{\imath}{C_{0}^{-1}-G}$  $S = e^{2i\delta} = 1 + \frac{\mu p}{\pi} i\mathcal{M} \quad G = i \int \frac{\mathrm{d}k^0 \mathrm{d}^3 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^4} \left[ \left( k^0 - \frac{\mathbf{k}^2}{2m_1} + i\epsilon \right) \left( E - k^0 - \frac{\mathbf{k}^2}{2m_2} + i\epsilon \right) \right]^{-1}$  $\mathcal{M} = \frac{2\pi}{\mu} \frac{1}{p \cot \delta - ip} = -\frac{\mu}{2\pi} (\Lambda + ip), \text{ PDS}$  $p \cot \delta = - rac{2\pi}{\mu C_0} - \Lambda$ 

### ENTANGLEMENT SUPPRESSION

- Entanglement power of S-matrix: E(S)
- Require E(S) to vanish: E(S) = 0
- Constraints for phase shifts  $\delta$
- Constraints for amplitudes  ${\mathscr{M}}$
- Constraints for Lagrangian  $\ \mathcal L$

### ENTANGLEMENT SUPPRESSION

- Entanglement power of S-matrix: E(S)
- Require E(S) to vanish: E(S) = 0
- Constraints for phase shifts  $\delta$
- Constraints for amplitudes *M*

Based on the assumption: The physical region produces minimal entanglement.

- Constraints for Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L}$ 

### A RECENT WORK

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\Sigma^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\Lambda}{\sqrt{6}} & \Sigma^+ & p\\ \Sigma^- & -\frac{\Sigma^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\Lambda}{\sqrt{6}} & n\\ \Xi^- & \Xi^0 & -\frac{2\Lambda}{\sqrt{6}} \end{pmatrix}$$

 [Liu:2022grf] Qiaofeng Liu, Ian Low, and Thomas Mehen. Minimal entanglement and emergent symmetries in low-energy QCD. Phys. Rev. C 107, 025204 (2023).

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{LO}}^{n_f=3} &= -c_1 \langle B_i^{\dagger} B_i B_j^{\dagger} B_j \rangle - c_2 \langle B_i^{\dagger} B_j B_j^{\dagger} B_i \rangle - c_3 \langle B_i^{\dagger} B_j^{\dagger} B_i B_j \rangle \\ &- c_4 \langle B_i^{\dagger} B_j^{\dagger} B_j B_i \rangle - c_5 \langle B_i^{\dagger} B_i \rangle \langle B_j^{\dagger} B_j \rangle \\ &- c_6 \langle B_i^{\dagger} B_j \rangle \langle B_j^{\dagger} B_i \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

• Entanglement suppression can be utilized to determine the relation between these six LECs.

## RESULTS OF [Liu:2022grf]

Flavor subspaces	Minimal entanglement conditions	
np $\Sigma^- \Xi^-$	$c_2 = -c_6$ or $c_1 + c_5 = -\frac{2\pi}{16}$ , $c_2 + c_6 = \pm \frac{2\pi}{16}$	The symmetry of the
$\Sigma^+ \Xi^0$	$M\mu$ $M\mu$	Lagrangian is determined
$n\Sigma^{-}$ $p\Sigma^{+}$	$c_1 = c_6$ or $-c_2 + c_5 = -\frac{2\pi}{M_{H}}$ , $c_1 - c_6 = \pm \frac{2\pi}{M_{H}}$	by the relation between
$\Xi^-\Xi^0$	Mμ	LECs.
$(p\Lambda, p\Sigma^0, n\Sigma^+)$ $(n\Lambda, n\Sigma^0, p\Sigma^-)$		For instance, Lagrangian
$(\Sigma^{-}\Lambda, \Sigma^{-}\Sigma^{0}, n \Xi^{-})$	$c_1 = -c_2 = -\frac{1}{2}c_3 = \frac{1}{2}c_4 = c_6$ or	$\mathcal{L} = -(c_1 + c_5) \langle B_i^{\dagger} B_i \rangle \langle B_j^{\dagger} B_j \rangle + c_1 \langle B_i^{\dagger} B_j^{\dagger} \rangle \langle B_i B_j$
$(\Sigma^+\Lambda, \Sigma^+\Sigma^0, p \Xi^0)$	$c_1 = -c_2 = -\frac{1}{2}c_3 = \frac{1}{2}c_4 = -c_5 - \frac{2\pi}{M\mu} = c_6 \pm \frac{2\pi}{M\mu}$	shows SO(8) symmetry.
$(\Sigma^- \Xi^0, \Xi^- \Sigma^0, \Xi^- \Sigma^0)$ $(\Xi^- \Sigma^+, \Xi^0 \Lambda, \Xi^0 \Sigma^0)$		
$(\Sigma^+\Sigma^-, \Sigma^0\Sigma^0, \Lambda\Sigma^0, \Xi^-p, \Xi^0n, \Lambda\Lambda)$	$c_1 = c_2 = c_3 = c_4 = c_6 = 0$ or $c_1 = c_2 = c_3 = c_4 = 0, c_5 = -2\pi / M\mu, c_6 = \pm 2\pi / M\mu$	

#### **OUR INTEREST**

• Extend the study of entanglement suppression to heavy systems?



Heavy quark Q and light quark q



	$(D, D^*)$	$(D_0^*, D_1)$	$(D_1, D_2^*)$	$\Lambda_c$	$(\Sigma_c, \Sigma_c^*)$	$(\eta_c,J/\psi)$	$(h_c,\chi_{c0},\chi_{c1},\chi_{c2})$
$J^{P(C)}$	$(0^{-}, 1^{-})$	$(0^+, 1^+)$	$(1^+, 2^+)$	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	$\left(\frac{1}{2}^+, \frac{3}{2}^+\right)$	$(0^{-+}, 1^{})$	$(1^{+-}, 0^{++}, 1^{++}, 2^{++})$
$s_Q$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	(0,1)	(0,1,1,1)
$s_\ell$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	0	1	0	1

### **OUR INTEREST**

• Extend the study of entanglement suppression to heavy systems?



Heavy quark Q and light quark q



#### Numerous intriguing near-threshold structures!

	$(D, D^*)$	$(D_0^*, D_1)$	$(D_1, D_2^*)$	$\Lambda_c$	$(\Sigma_c, \Sigma_c^*)$	$(\eta_c,J/\psi)$	$(h_c,\chi_{c0},\chi_{c1},\chi_{c2})$
$J^{P(C)}$	$(0^-, 1^-)$	$(0^+, 1^+)$	$(1^+, 2^+)$	$\frac{1}{2}^{+}$	$\left(\frac{1}{2}^+,\frac{3}{2}^+\right)$	$(0^{-+}, 1^{})$	$(1^{+-}, 0^{++}, 1^{++}, 2^{++})$
$s_Q$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	(0,1)	(0, 1, 1, 1)
$s_\ell$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	0	1	0	1
							18

### **OUR INTEREST**

• Extend the study of entanglement suppression to heavy systems? Heavy quark Q and light quark q

Observation of a Narrow Charmoniumlike State in Exclusive  $B^{\pm} \rightarrow K^{\pm}\pi^{+}\pi^{-}I/\psi$  Decays X(3872)

S.-K. Choi et al. (Belle Collaboration) Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 262001 - Published 23 December 2003

Letter **Open access** Published: 16 June 2022

#### **Observation of an exotic narrow doubly charmed** tetraquark

LHCb Collaboration

 $T_{cc}(3875)^+$ 

Numerous intriguing

near-threshold structures!

### HADRONIC MOLECULES?

- The interaction between a pair of ground-state heavy mesons near threshold is closely related to formation of hadronic molecular states.
- Non-relativistic approximation
- Lowest partial wave (S-wave) dominance
- Constant contact potential at leading order

### **HEAVY-QUARK SPIN SYMMETRY**

- Mass of heavy quark  $\, m_Q \gg \Lambda_{
  m QCD} \,$
- Expanding  $\Lambda_{
  m QCD}/m_Q$  yields heavy-quark effective theory.
- Leading order: heavy-quark limit  $m_Q 
  ightarrow \infty$

• Spin-dependent interaction 
$$\propto \frac{\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B}^a}{m_Q} \xrightarrow{m_Q \to \infty} 0$$

- Heavy-quark spin decouples, thus heavy-quark spin symmetry (HQSS).
- Light quark total angular momentum becomes good quantum number.

### IMPACT OF HQSS

- Consider S-wave interaction of a pair of S-wave heavy mesons.
- Under heavy-quark limit:
- The interaction has only four independent LECs:

$$ig\langle rac{1}{2}, rac{1}{2}, s_l ig| \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\scriptscriptstyle I} ig| rac{1}{2}, rac{1}{2}, s_l ig
angle$$

- $s_l = 0, 1$  denotes light quark total angular momentum.
- I = 0, 1 denotes total isospin.

### IMPACT OF HQSS

- Consider S-wave interaction of a pair of S-wave heavy mesons.
- Under heavy-quark limit:
- The interaction has only four independent LECs:

$$ig\langle rac{1}{2}, rac{1}{2}, s_l ig| \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\scriptscriptstyle I} ig| rac{1}{2}, rac{1}{2}, s_l ig
angle$$

- $s_l = 0, 1$  denotes light quark total angular momentum.
- I = 0, 1 denotes total isospin.

Will entanglement suppression enlarge HQSS?

### HEAVY MESON SCATTERING

 $ar{H}^a=ar{P}^a+ar{oldsymbol{P}}^{*a}\cdotoldsymbol{\sigma}$ 

• We consider  $D^{(*)}D^{(*)}$  and  $D^{(*)}\overline{D}^{(*)}$  systems.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{HH} &= -\frac{D_{00}}{8} \mathrm{Tr} \left[ H^{a\dagger} H_b H^{b\dagger} H_a \right] - \frac{D_{01}}{8} \mathrm{Tr} \left[ H^{a\dagger} H_b \sigma^m H^{b\dagger} H_a \sigma^m \right] \\ &- \frac{D_{10}}{8} \mathrm{Tr} \left[ H^{a\dagger} H_b H^{c\dagger} H_d \right] \boldsymbol{\tau}_a^d \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_c^b - \frac{D_{11}}{8} \mathrm{Tr} \left[ H^{a\dagger} H_b \sigma^m H^{c\dagger} H_d \sigma^m \right] \boldsymbol{\tau}_a^d \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_c^b. \\ \mathcal{L}_{H\bar{H}} &= -\frac{1}{4} \mathrm{Tr} \left[ H^{a\dagger} H_b \right] \mathrm{Tr} \left[ \bar{H}^c \bar{H}_d^\dagger \right] \left( F_A \delta_a^b \delta_c^d + F_A^\tau \boldsymbol{\tau}_a^b \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_c^d \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \mathrm{Tr} \left[ H^{a\dagger} H_b \sigma^m \right] \mathrm{Tr} \left[ \bar{H}^c \bar{H}_d^\dagger \sigma^m \right] \left( F_B \delta_a^b \delta_c^d + F_B^\tau \boldsymbol{\tau}_a^b \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_c^d \right) \end{aligned}$$

[Du:2021zzh] Meng-Lin Du, Vadim Baru, Xiang-Kun Dong, Arseniy Filin, Feng-Kun Guo, Christoph Hanhart, Alexey Nefediev, Juan Nieves, and Qian Wang. **Coupled-channel approach to Tcc+ including three-body effects.** Phys. Rev. D 105, 014024 (2022).

[Ji:2022uie] Teng Ji, Xiang-Kun Dong, Miguel Albaladejo, Meng-Lin Du, Feng-Kun Guo, and Juan Nieves. **Establishing the heavy quark spin and light flavor molecular multiplets of the X(3872), Zc(3900), and X(3960).** Phys. Rev. D 106, 094002 (2022).

### HEAVY MESON SCATTERING

 $ar{H}^a=ar{P}^a+ar{oldsymbol{P}}^{*a}\cdotoldsymbol{\sigma}$ 

• We consider  $D^{(*)}D^{(*)}$  and  $D^{(*)}\overline{D}^{(*)}$  systems.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{HH} &= -\frac{D_{00}}{8} \mathrm{Tr} \left[ H^{a\dagger} H_b H^{b\dagger} H_a \right] - \frac{D_{01}}{8} \mathrm{Tr} \left[ H^{a\dagger} H_b \sigma^m H^{b\dagger} H_a \sigma^m \right] \\ &- \frac{D_{10}}{8} \mathrm{Tr} \left[ H^{a\dagger} H_b H^{c\dagger} H_d \right] \boldsymbol{\tau}_a^d \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_c^b - \frac{D_{11}}{8} \mathrm{Tr} \left[ H^{a\dagger} H_b \sigma^m H^{c\dagger} H_d \sigma^m \right] \boldsymbol{\tau}_a^d \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_c^b. \\ \mathcal{L}_{H\bar{H}} &= -\frac{1}{4} \mathrm{Tr} \left[ H^{a\dagger} H_b \right] \mathrm{Tr} \left[ \bar{H}^c \bar{H}_d^\dagger \right] \left( \underline{F_A} \delta_a^b \delta_c^d + \underline{F_A}^\tau \boldsymbol{\tau}_a^b \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_c^d \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \mathrm{Tr} \left[ H^{a\dagger} H_b \sigma^m \right] \mathrm{Tr} \left[ \bar{H}^c \bar{H}_d^\dagger \sigma^m \right] \left( \underline{F_B} \delta_a^b \delta_c^d + \underline{F_B}^\tau \boldsymbol{\tau}_a^b \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_c^d \right) \end{aligned}$$

[Du:2021zzh] Meng-Lin Du, Vadim Baru, Xiang-Kun Dong, Arseniy Filin, Feng-Kun Guo, Christoph Hanhart, Alexey Nefediev, Juan Nieves, and Qian Wang. **Coupled-channel approach to Tcc+ including three-body effects.** Phys. Rev. D 105, 014024 (2022).

[Ji:2022uie] Teng Ji, Xiang-Kun Dong, Miguel Albaladejo, Meng-Lin Du, Feng-Kun Guo, and Juan Nieves. **Establishing the** heavy quark spin and light flavor molecular multiplets of the X(3872), Zc(3900), and X(3960). Phys. Rev. D 106, 094002 (2022). 25

#### **ISOSPIN COMBINATIONS**

$$|DD,I=1\rangle = \begin{cases} D^{+}D^{+} & D^{+} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(D^{+}D^{0} + D^{0}D^{+}); \\ D^{0}D^{0} & D^{0} & D^{0}$$

#### **CONTACT POTENTIALS**

$$\begin{split} T^{IJ=00}\left(DD\right) &= \frac{1}{2}(D_{00} + 3D_{01} + D_{10} + 3D_{11}), & T^{IJ=00}\left(D\bar{D}\right) = C_{0a}, & T^{IJ=10}\left(D\bar{D}\right) = C_{1a}, \\ T^{IJ=01}\left(D^*D\right) &= -2(D_{01} - 3D_{11}), & T^{IJ=01}\left(D\bar{D}^*\right) = C_{0a} - C_{0b}, & T^{IJ=11}_{-}\left(D\bar{D}^*\right) = C_{1a} - C_{1b}, \\ T^{IJ=11}\left(D^*D\right) &= D_{00} + D_{01} + D_{10} + D_{11}, & T^{IJ=01}_{+}\left(D\bar{D}^*\right) = C_{0a} + C_{0b}, & T^{IJ=11}_{+}\left(D\bar{D}^*\right) = C_{1a} - C_{1b}, \\ T^{IJ=01}\left(D^*D^*\right) &= -2(D_{01} - 3D_{11}), & T^{IJ=00}\left(D^*\bar{D}^*\right) = C_{0a} - 2C_{0b}, & T^{IJ=10}\left(D^*\bar{D}^*\right) = C_{1a} - 2C_{1b}, \\ T^{IJ=10}\left(D^*D^*\right) &= -\frac{1}{2}(D_{00} - 5D_{01} + D_{10} - 5D_{11}), & T^{IJ=01}\left(D^*\bar{D}^*\right) = C_{0a} - C_{0b}, & T^{IJ=11}\left(D^*\bar{D}^*\right) = C_{1a} - C_{1b}, \\ T^{IJ=02}\left(D^*\bar{D}^*\right) &= C_{0a} + C_{0b}, & T^{IJ=12}\left(D^*\bar{D}^*\right) = C_{1a} + C_{1b}, \\ T^{IJ=12}\left(D^*D^*\right) &= D_{00} + D_{01} + D_{10} + D_{11}. & T^{IJ=02}\left(D^*\bar{D}^*\right) = C_{0a} + C_{0b}, & T^{IJ=12}\left(D^*\bar{D}^*\right) = C_{1a} + C_{1b}, \end{split}$$

$$S=\sum_{_{I,J}}P_{_{IJ}}e^{2i\delta_{_{IJ}}}$$

$$egin{aligned} S_{DD} &= \mathcal{I}_0 \; e^{2i\delta_{00}} + \mathcal{I}_1 \; e^{2i\delta_{10}}, \ S_{D^*D} &= \mathcal{I}_0 \; e^{2i\delta_{01}} + \mathcal{I}_1 \; e^{2i\delta_{11}}, \ S_{D^*D^*} &= \sum_{I=0,1} \sum_{J=0,1,2} \mathcal{I}_I \, \otimes \, \mathcal{J}_J \; e^{2i\delta_{IJ^*}} \ S_{Dar{D}} &= \mathcal{I}_0 \; e^{2iar{\delta}_{00}} + \mathcal{I}_1 \; e^{2iar{\delta}_{10}}, \ S_{Dar{D}^*\pm} &= \mathcal{I}_0 \; e^{2iar{\delta}_{01\pm}} + \mathcal{I}_1 \; e^{2iar{\delta}_{10}}, \ S_{D^*ar{D}^*} &= \sum_{I=0,1} \sum_{J=0,1,2} \mathcal{I}_I \, \otimes \, \mathcal{J}_J \; e^{2iar{\delta}_{IJ^*}} \end{aligned}$$

$$egin{aligned} S_{DD} &= \mathcal{I}_0 \; e^{2i\delta_{00}} + \mathcal{I}_1 \; e^{2i\delta_{10}}, \ S_{D^*D} &= \mathcal{I}_0 \; e^{2i\delta_{01}} + \mathcal{I}_1 \; e^{2i\delta_{11}}, \ S_{D^*D^*} &= \sum_{I=0,1} \sum_{J=0,1,2} \mathcal{I}_I \, \otimes \, \mathcal{J}_J \; e^{2i\delta_{IJ^*}} \ S_{Dar{D}} &= \mathcal{I}_0 \; e^{2iar{\delta}_{00}} + \mathcal{I}_1 \; e^{2iar{\delta}_{10}}, \ S_{Dar{D}^*\pm} &= \mathcal{I}_0 \; e^{2iar{\delta}_{01\pm}} + \mathcal{I}_1 \; e^{2iar{\delta}_{10}}, \ S_{D^*ar{D}^*} &= \sum_{I=0,1} \sum_{J=0,1,2} \mathcal{I}_I \, \otimes \, \mathcal{J}_J \; e^{2iar{\delta}_{IJ^*}} \end{aligned}$$

**Bose-Eienstein statistics:** 

 $\delta_{00} = 0$  for DD

 $\delta_{00*} = \delta_{02*} = \delta_{11*} = 0$  for  $D^*D^*$ 

$$egin{aligned} S_{DD} &= \mathcal{I}_0 \; e^{2i\delta_{00}} + \mathcal{I}_1 \; e^{2i\delta_{10}}, \ S_{D^*D} &= \mathcal{I}_0 \; e^{2i\delta_{01}} + \mathcal{I}_1 \; e^{2i\delta_{11}}, \ S_{D^*D^*} &= \sum_{I=0,1} \sum_{J=0,1,2} \mathcal{I}_I \, \otimes \, \mathcal{J}_J \; e^{2i\delta_{IJ*}} \ S_{Dar{D}} &= \mathcal{I}_0 \; e^{2iar{\delta}_{00}} + \mathcal{I}_1 \; e^{2iar{\delta}_{10}}, \ S_{Dar{D}^*\pm} &= \mathcal{I}_0 \; e^{2iar{\delta}_{01\pm}} + \mathcal{I}_1 \; e^{2iar{\delta}_{10}}, \ S_{D^*ar{D}^*} &= \sum_{I=0,1} \sum_{J=0,1,2} \mathcal{I}_I \, \otimes \, \mathcal{J}_J \; e^{2iar{\delta}_{IJ*}} \end{aligned}$$

Isospin space projectors

$$\mathcal{I}_0 \equiv rac{1-oldsymbol{ au}_1 \cdot oldsymbol{ au}_2}{4}, \quad \mathcal{I}_1 \equiv rac{3+oldsymbol{ au}_1 \cdot oldsymbol{ au}_2}{4}$$

Spin space projectors

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{J}_0 &\equiv -\frac{1}{3} \left[ 1 - (\boldsymbol{t}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{t}_2)^2 \right], \\ \mathcal{J}_1 &\equiv 1 - \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{t}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{t}_2) - \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{t}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{t}_2)^2, \\ \mathcal{J}_2 &\equiv \frac{1}{3} \left[ 1 + \frac{3}{2} (\boldsymbol{t}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{t}_2) + \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{t}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{t}_2)^2 \right] \end{split}$$

### **TENSOR-PRODUCT ASSUMPTION**

- Problem: Vector meson scatterings entangle both isospin and spin.
- Assumption: Entanglement being zero in a large space is equivalent to it being zero in all of its subspaces.

### **TENSOR-PRODUCT ASSUMPTION**

- Problem: Vector meson scatterings entangle both isospin and spin.
- Assumption: Entanglement being zero in a large space is equivalent to it being zero in all of its subspaces.

For instance, applying  $\mathcal{I}_{I'} \equiv \mathcal{I}_{I'} \otimes 1$  to  $S = \sum_{I,J} \mathcal{I}_I \otimes \mathcal{J}_J e^{2i\delta_{IJ*}}$  gives

$$\mathcal{I}_{I'}S = \sum_{I,J} \mathcal{I}_{I'}\mathcal{I}_I \otimes \mathcal{J}_J e^{2i\delta_{IJ*}} = \mathcal{I}_{I'} \otimes \sum_J \mathcal{J}_J e^{2i\delta_{IJ'*}} \equiv \mathcal{I}_{I'} \otimes S_{I'}$$

### **TENSOR-PRODUCT ASSUMPTION**

- Problem: Vector meson scatterings entangle both isospin and spin.
- Assumption: Entanglement being zero in a large space is equivalent to it being zero in all of its subspaces.

For instance, applying  $\mathcal{I}_{I'} \equiv \mathcal{I}_{I'} \otimes 1$  to  $S = \sum_{I,J} \mathcal{I}_I \otimes \mathcal{J}_J e^{2i\delta_{IJ*}}$  gives

$$\mathcal{I}_{I'}S = \sum_{I,J} \mathcal{I}_{I'}\mathcal{I}_I \otimes \mathcal{J}_J e^{2i\delta_{IJ*}} = \mathcal{I}_{I'} \otimes \sum_J \mathcal{J}_J e^{2i\delta_{IJ'*}} \equiv \mathcal{I}_{I'} \otimes S_{I'}$$

- Fix I, minimal entanglement in J space
- Fix J, minimal entanglement in I space

#### DENSITY MATRIX

$$ho = |\psi_{
m out}
angle \langle \psi_{
m out}|, \ |\psi_{
m out}
angle = S|\psi_{
m in}
angle, \ |\psi_{
m in}
angle = |\psi_1
angle \otimes |\psi_2
angle$$
• SU(2) case (isospin-1/2):  $|\psi_i
angle = \left(\cosrac{ heta}{2}, e^{i\phi}\sinrac{ heta}{2}
ight)^T, \ heta \in [0,\pi], \ \phi \in [0,2\pi)$ 

• SO(3) case (spin-1):  $|\psi_i\rangle = (\cos\beta\sin\alpha, e^{i\mu}\sin\beta\sin\alpha, e^{i\nu}\cos\alpha)^T$ ,

$$lpha,eta\!\in\![0,rac{\pi}{2}],\ \mu,
u\!\in\![0,2\pi)$$

[Beane:2021zvo] Silas R. Beane, Roland C. Farrell, and Mira Varma. Entanglement minimization in hadronic scattering with pions. Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 36, 2150205 (2021).

• Entanglement power in the isospin-1/2 space:

$$E(S) = 1 - \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\Omega_1}{4\pi} \frac{\mathrm{d}\Omega_2}{4\pi} \mathrm{Tr}_1[\rho_1^2] \qquad S_J = \mathcal{I}_0 e^{2i\delta_{0J}} + \mathcal{I}_1 e^{2i\delta_{1J}}$$

$$\begin{split} E(S) &= 1 - \int \mathrm{d}\omega_1 \mathrm{d}\omega_2 \mathrm{Tr}_1[\rho_1^2] \qquad S_I = \mathcal{J}_0 e^{2i\delta_{I0*}} + \mathcal{J}_1 e^{2i\delta_{I1*}} + \mathcal{J}_2 e^{2i\delta_{I2*}} \\ \mathrm{d}\omega &= \frac{2}{\pi^2} \cos\alpha \sin^3\alpha \mathrm{d}\alpha \cos\beta \sin\beta \mathrm{d}\beta \mathrm{d}\mu \mathrm{d}\nu \end{split}$$

• Entanglement power in the isospin-1/2 space:

$$E(S) = 1 - \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\Omega_1}{4\pi} \frac{\mathrm{d}\Omega_2}{4\pi} \mathrm{Tr}_1[\rho_1^2] \qquad \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1 \quad \left(\mathbb{CP}^1 \cong S^2\right)$$

$$E(S) = 1 - \int d\omega_1 d\omega_2 \operatorname{Tr}_1[\rho_1^2] \qquad \mathbb{CP}^2 \times \mathbb{CP}^2$$
$$d\omega = \frac{2}{\pi^2} \cos \alpha \sin^3 \alpha d\alpha \cos \beta \sin \beta d\beta d\mu d\nu$$

• Entanglement power in the isospin-1/2 space:

$$E(S_J) = \frac{1}{6} \sin^2 [2(\delta_{0J} - \delta_{1J})] \qquad S_J = \mathcal{I}_0 e^{2i\delta_{0J}} + \mathcal{I}_1 e^{2i\delta_{1J}}$$

$$E(S_{I}) = \frac{1}{648} \Big\{ 156 - 6\cos[4(\delta_{I0*} - \delta_{I1*})] - 65\cos[2(\delta_{I0*} - \delta_{I2*})] \\ - 10\cos[4(\delta_{I0*} - \delta_{I2*})] - 60\cos[4(\delta_{I2*} - \delta_{I1*})] - 15\cos[2(\delta_{I0*} + \delta_{I2*} - 2\delta_{I1*})] \Big\}$$
$$S_{I} = \mathcal{J}_{0}e^{2i\delta_{I0*}} + \mathcal{J}_{1}e^{2i\delta_{I1*}} + \mathcal{J}_{2}e^{2i\delta_{I2*}}$$

• Entanglement power in the isospin-1/2 space:

$$E(S_J) = \frac{1}{6} \sin^2 [2(\delta_{0J} - \delta_{1J})] \qquad |\delta_{0J} - \delta_{1J}| = 0 \text{ or } \frac{\pi}{2}$$

$$E(S_{I}) = \frac{1}{648} \Big\{ 156 - 6\cos[4(\delta_{I0*} - \delta_{I1*})] - 65\cos[2(\delta_{I0*} - \delta_{I2*})] \\ - 10\cos[4(\delta_{I0*} - \delta_{I2*})] - 60\cos[4(\delta_{I2*} - \delta_{I1*})] - 15\cos[2(\delta_{I0*} + \delta_{I2*} - 2\delta_{I1*})] \Big\} \\ \Big| \delta_{I0*} - \delta_{I1*}| = |\delta_{I2*} - \delta_{I1*}| = 0 \text{ or } \frac{\pi}{2} \Big]$$

### HEAVY-MESON HADRONIC MOLECULES

- The near-threshold interaction between a pair of groundstate heavy mesons is closely related to formation of hadronic molecular states.
- The X(3872) has been proposed as a candidate of an isoscalar  $D\bar{D}^*$  hadronic molecule with quantum numbers  $J^{PC} = 1^{++}$ .
- In 2021 the LHCb Collaboration announced the discovery of  $T_{cc}(3875)^+$ with preferred quantum numbers  $I(J^P) = 0(1^+)$ , a double-charm  $D^*D$  molecular candidate.

$$M_X - M_{D^0} - M_{\bar{D}^{*0}} = 0.00^{+0.09}_{-0.15} \,\mathrm{MeV}, \ M_{T_{cc}^+} - M_{D^{*+}} - M_{D^0} = (-0.36 \pm 0.04) \,\mathrm{MeV}$$

### HEAVY-MESON HADRONIC MOLECULES

- The near-threshold interaction between a pair of groundstate heavy mesons is closely related to formation of hadronic molecular states.
- The X(3872) has been proposed as a candidate of an isoscalar  $D\bar{D}^*$  hadronic molecule with quantum numbers  $J^{PC} = 1^{++}$ .
- In 2021 the LHCb Collaboration announced the discovery of  $T_{cc}(3875)^+$ with preferred quantum numbers  $I(J^P) = 0(1^+)$ , a double-charm  $D^*D$  molecular candidate.

Implying that the near-threshold S-wave interactions in both channels approach the unitary limit!

### INPUT: Tcc+ AS HADRONIC MOLECULE

- We assume Tcc+ to be a weakly bound isoscalar  $D^*D$  molecular state.
- Therefore we have  $\delta_{01} = \frac{\pi}{2}$  for  $|D^*D, I=0\rangle = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(D^{*+}D^0 D^{*\,0}D^+)$ . • This input reduces all possibilities into only two cases.
- This input reduces all possibilities into only two cases.
   1. All channels reach unitarity limit:

$$\delta_{01}(D^*D) \!=\! \delta_{01}(D^*D^*) \!=\! \delta_{10}(DD) \!=\! \delta_{11}(D^*D) \!=\! \delta_{10}(D^*D^*) \!=\! \delta_{12}(D^*D^*) \!=\! rac{\pi}{2}$$

2. All isoscalar channels reach unitarity limit, while all amplitudes for isovector channels vanish:

$$\delta_{01}(D^*D) \!=\! \delta_{01}(D^*D^*) \!=\! rac{\pi}{2}, \; \delta_{10}(DD) \!=\! \delta_{11}(D^*D) \!=\! \delta_{10}(D^*D^*) \!=\! \delta_{12}(D^*D^*) \!=\! 0$$

 $\delta_{IJ}$ 

### PREDICTIONS

 $\delta = 0$ : non-interacting  $\delta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ : at unitarity limit

- An additional  $D^*D^*$  zero-energy bound molecular state in the isoscalar  $J^P = 1^+$  sector:  $T_{cc}^{*+}$
- However, it is not a result of entanglement suppression but stems from HQSS:  $T^{IJ=01}(D^*D) = T^{IJ=01}(D^*D^*)$
- The additional consequences of entanglement suppression is that the interaction strengths of the isovector channels are all the same, either non-interacting or at the unitarity limit.
- In the latter instance, we would also anticipate four extra weakly bound states near the  $D^{(*)}D^{(*)}$  threshold.

[Albaladejo:2021vln] M. Albaladejo. Tcc+ coupled channel analysis and predictions. Phys. Lett. B 829, 137052 (2022).

### INPUT: X(3872) AS HADRONIC MOLECULE

- Input:  $\delta_{01+} \left( D \overline{D}^* \right) = \pi/2$
- Also two solutions:
  - 1. All channels reach unitarity limit:

$$\delta_{I0}\left(D\overline{D}\right) = \delta_{I1\pm}\left(D\overline{D}^{*}\right) = \delta_{IJ}\left(D^{*}\overline{D}^{*}\right) = \frac{\pi}{2}$$

2. All isoscalar channels reach unitarity limit, while all amplitudes for isovector channels vanish:

$$I = 0: \frac{\pi}{2}, I = 1: 0$$

### PREDICTIONS

- In both scenarios, we conclude that X(3872) should have five spin partner states, all of them being isoscalar states, like the X(3872) itself.
- HQSS predicts only three isoscalar spin partners in the strict heavyquark limit.
- Again, the interaction strengths of the isovector channels are all the same, either non-interacting or at the unitarity limit.
- If Nature chooses the latter case, there would be six isovector hadronic molecules in addition.
- $J^{PC} = 1^{+-}$  : Zc(3900)? Zc(4020)?

### ENLARGED SYMMETRY?

Number of independent LECs:  $4 \rightarrow 2$ 

- Indeed, we see an emergent symmtry.
- The inherent heavy-quark spin symmetry leads to SU(2)×SU(2) symmetry for light-quark spins.
- Entanglement suppression predicts the same interaction strengths for 2×2=4 spin states in each isospin, therefore we conclude

$$SU(2) \times SU(2) \rightarrow SU(4)$$

• This is referred to as the light-quark spin symmetry (LQSS).

### LIGHT-QUARK SPIN SYMMETRY?

- The concept of LQSS was first introduced in heavy-antiheavy meson systems by M. Voloshin to explain the properties of the Zb(10610) and Zb(10650) states as potential hadronic molecular states.
- The existence and masses of hidden-charm pentaquark states Pc(4440), Pc(4457) and Pc(4312) further suggest that LQSS may also exist in the heavy baryon-antiheavy meson systems.
- Additionally, this approximate symmetry has been realized in the light vector meson exchange model (resonance saturation).

[Voloshin:2016cgm] M. B. Voloshin. Light Quark Spin Symmetry in Zb Resonances? Phys. Rev. D 93, 074011 (2016). [Dong:2021juy] Xiang-Kun Dong, Feng-Kun Guo, and Bing-Song Zou. A survey of heavy-antiheavy hadronic molecules. Progr. Phys. 41, 65 (2021).

### LQSS? NEED MORE STUDIES!!

- The concept of LQSS was first introduced in heavy-antiheavy meson systems by M. Voloshin to explain the properties of the Zb(10610) and Zb(10650) states as potential hadronic molecular states.
- The existence and masses of hidden-charm pentaquark states Pc(4440), Pc(4457) and Pc(4312) further suggest that LQSS may also exist in the heavy baryon-antiheavy meson systems.
- Additionally, this approximate symmetry has been realized in the light vector meson exchange model (resonance saturation).

[Voloshin:2016cgm] M. B. Voloshin. Light Quark Spin Symmetry in Zb Resonances? Phys. Rev. D 93, 074011 (2016). [Dong:2021juy] Xiang-Kun Dong, Feng-Kun Guo, and Bing-Song Zou. A survey of heavy-antiheavy hadronic molecules. Progr. Phys. 41, 65 (2021).

#### SUMMARY

- Conjecture: Minimal entanglement leads to enlarged symmetries.
- Framework: Non-relativistic effective Lagrangian manifesting HQSS, which includes only constant contact potentials at leading order.
- Input: X(3872) and Tcc(3875)+ as hadronic molecules.
- Results: HQSS  $\rightarrow$  LQSS
- Predictions: More spin and isospin partners.
- Future: The LQSS and its predictions need to be confronted with experimental data and LQCD results to further test the conjecture.

### SUMMARY

Need more studies!!

- Conjecture: Minimal entanglement leads to enlarged symmetries.
- Framework: Non-relativistic effective Lagrangian manifesting HQSS, which includes only constant contact potentials at leading order.
- Input: X(3872) and Tcc(3875)+ as hadronic molecules.
- Results: HQSS  $\rightarrow$  LQSS
- Predictions: More spin and isospin partners.
- Future: The LQSS and its predictions need to be confronted with experimental data and LQCD results to further test the conjecture.

# THANK YOU!