Occupation-dependent particle separation in non-Hermitian lattices

Linhu Li School of Physics and Astronomy Sun Yat-Sen University, China Sep 2024 <u>lilh56@mail.sysu.edu.cn</u>



Outline

- Some background of non-Hermitian skin effect
- Direction reversal of NHSE LLH, Wei Xin Teo, Sen Mu, Jiangbin Gong, Phys. Rev. B 106, 085427 (2022).
- Occupation-dependent particle separation

Yi Qin, LLH, Phys. Rev. Lett. 132, 096501 (2024).

• Summary and outlook

Hermitian Hamiltonian $H = H^{\dagger}$ Closed systems, real eigenvalues, unitary evolution

non-Hermitian Hamiltonian $H \neq H^{\dagger}$ Open systems/gain and loss/finite lifetime

• PT symmetry and real spectra

C. M. Bender and S. Boettcher, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 5243 (1998).C. M. Bender, Rep. Prog. Phys. 70, 947 (2007).

• Exceptional degeneracy

.

.

W. D. Heiss and H. L. Harney, Eur. Phys. J. D 17, 149 (2001).C. Dembowski, et. al., Phys. Rev. E 69, 056216 (2004).M. V. Berry, Czech. J. Phys. 54, 1039 (2004).

• Non-Hermitian skin effect (2018)

Massive accumulation of eigenstates at boundaries; Modified topological bulk-boundary correspondence; Spectral winding topology...

Non-Hermitian skin effect (NHSE)

Yao and Wang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 121, 086803 (2018)

The simplest non-reciprocal/non-Hermitian system (Hatano-Nelson model):

$$=\underbrace{\begin{array}{c}t_{+}\\t_{-}\end{array}}_{t_{-}} \qquad H_{1D \text{ skin}} = \sum_{x=1}^{L-1} t_{+} \hat{c}_{x+1}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{x} + t_{-} \hat{c}_{x}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{x+1} + (t_{+} \hat{c}_{1}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{L} + t_{-} \hat{c}_{L}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{1}) e^{-r}$$

$$= PBCs: r = 0 \qquad OBCs: r \to \infty$$

OBC density profile:







Non-Hermitian skin effect (NHSE)

Yao and Wang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 121, 086803 (2018)



Boundary conditions $\psi_n(x=0) = \psi_n(x=L+1) = 0$ $\downarrow \downarrow$ standing wave $\psi_n \rightarrow c_1 \psi_{k_1} + c_2 \psi_{k_2}$

OBC



Non-Hermitian Hamiltonian



PRL 123, 066404 (2019), PRB 99, 201103(R) (2019), PRL 124, 086801 (2020), PRL 125, 126402 (2020).

Interplay between multiple NHSE channels



PRL 123, 016805 (2019); PRL 124, 250402 (2020).



Sci. Bull. 67, 685-690 (2022).

NHSE in many-body systems



S. Mu, et. al, Phys. Rev. B 102, 081115(R) (2020).

Non-Hermitian skin clusters



NHSE induced by non-Hermitian interaction



W. N. Faugno and T. Ozawa, PRL 129, 180401 (2022).

A recent review of NHSE: Topological non-Hermitian skin effect

Rijia Lin^{1,*}, Tommy Tai^{2,3,*,†}, Linhu Li 01,‡ , Ching Hua Lee $^{3,\$}$

Frontier of Physics, 5, 53605 (2023).

R. Shen and C. H. Lee, Commun. Phys. 5, 238 (2022).

Direction reversal of NHSE



Eigensolutions:



• Stronger non-reciprocity to the left, yet NHSE to the right (for large t_perp).

Direction reversal of NHSE



Perturbation treatment:

Unperturbed Hamitonian: $\hat{H}_{\perp} = t_{\perp} \sum_{x} (\hat{a}_{x}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{x} + \hat{b}_{x}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{x})$ First-order perturbation:

$$\hat{H}'_{\pm} = \sum_{x} (t_a e^{\alpha_a} + t_b e^{\alpha_b}) \hat{u}^{\dagger}_{\pm,x} \hat{u}_{\pm,x+1} + (t_a e^{-\alpha_a} + t_b e^{-\alpha_b}) \\ \times \hat{u}^{\dagger}_{\pm,x+1} \hat{u}_{\pm,x} + (\mu_a \pm \mu_b) \hat{u}^{\dagger}_{\pm,x} \hat{u}_{\pm,x}.$$

$$\underbrace{t_-}_{t_+} \quad t_+ = t_a e^{\alpha_a} + t_b e^{\alpha_b} \\ t_- = t_a e^{-\alpha_a} + t_b e^{-\alpha_b}$$

Direction reversal of NHSE:

 $|t_s e^{\alpha_s}| > |t_s e^{-\alpha_s}|, \text{ but } |t_+| < |t_-|$

Phase diagram:



Direction reversal of NHSE - quantum walk

A double-chain non-unitary quantum walk:

 $U_0 = R(\theta_1) S_2 R(\theta_2 + \theta_3) M R(\theta_2 + \theta_3) S_1 R(\theta_1)$ Two copies of the model in Nat. Phys. 16, 761-766 (2020) $U = R(\theta_1) S_2 R(\theta_2) S_4 R(\theta_3) M R(\theta_3) S_3 R(\theta_2) S_1 R(\theta_1)$



R: rotation of the spin; S_1 and S_2: intrachain shift operators; S_3 and S_4: interchain shift operators; M: loss operator.



Direction reversal of NHSE - quantum walk

A double-chain non-unitary quantum walk:

 $U_0 = R(\theta_1) S_2 R(\theta_2 + \theta_3) M R(\theta_2 + \theta_3) S_1 R(\theta_1)$ Two copies of the model in Nat. Phys. 16, 761-766 (2020) $U = R(\theta_1) S_2 R(\theta_2) S_4 R(\theta_3) M R(\theta_3) S_3 R(\theta_2) S_1 R(\theta_1)$



R: rotation of the spin; S_1 and S_2: intrachain shift operators; S_3 and S_4: interchain shift operators; M: loss operator.

$$R(\theta) = \sum_{x=-N}^{N} \sum_{s=a,b} |s, x\rangle \langle s, x| \otimes e^{-i\lambda_s \theta \sigma_y/2}, \quad M = \sum_{x=-N}^{N} \sum_{s=a,b} |s, x\rangle \langle s, x| \otimes (|\downarrow\rangle \langle \downarrow | + e^{-\alpha_s} |\uparrow\rangle \langle \uparrow |),$$

 $S_{1} = \sum_{x=-N}^{N} \sum_{s=a,b} \left(|s, x\rangle \langle s, x| \otimes |\downarrow\rangle \langle \downarrow |+|s, x+1\rangle \langle s, x| \otimes |\uparrow\rangle \langle \uparrow | \right), \quad S_{2} = \sum_{x=-N}^{N} \sum_{s=a,b} \left(|s, x-1\rangle \langle s, x| \otimes |\downarrow\rangle \langle \downarrow |+|s, x\rangle \langle s, x| \otimes |\uparrow\rangle \langle \uparrow | \right),$

$$S_{3} = \sum_{x=-N}^{N} \sum_{s,\bar{s}=a,b} \left(|s,x\rangle \langle \bar{s},x| \otimes |\uparrow\rangle \langle \uparrow |+|s,x\rangle \langle s,x| \otimes |\downarrow\rangle \langle \downarrow | \right), \quad S_{4} = \sum_{x=-N}^{N} \sum_{s,\bar{s}=a,b} \left(|s,x\rangle \langle \bar{s},x| \otimes |\downarrow\rangle \langle \downarrow |+|s,x\rangle \langle s,x| \otimes |\uparrow\rangle \langle \uparrow | \right).$$

with s = a, b denoting the two chains, x the site index, and $\lambda_a = 1$ and $\lambda_b = -1$.



Single-particle eigenstates:





N	$E\left(V=0\right)$	$E (V \neq 0)$
1	$-J_p; J_p$	$-J_p; J_p$
2	$-2J_p; 0; 2J_p;$	$-2J_p; 0; V; 2J_p$
3	$-3J_p; -J_p; J_p; 3J_p;$	$-3J_p; -J_p; -J_p + V; J_p; J_p + V; 3J_p$
4	$-4J_p; -2J_p; 0; 2J_p; 4J_p;$	$-4J_p; -2J_p; -2J_p + V; 0; V; 2V; 2J_p; 2J_p + V; 4J_p$
5	$-5J_p; -3J_p; -J_p; J_p; 3J_p; 5J_p;$	$-5J_p; -3J_p; -3J_p + V; -J_p; -J_p + V; -J_p + 2V; J_p; J_p + V; J_p + 2V; 3J_p; 3J_p + V; 5J_p + V; 5J$

multi-particle NHSE in coupled chains Four particles:



N	$E\left(V=0\right)$	$E (V \neq 0)$
1	$-J_p; J_p$	$-J_p; J_p$
2	$-2J_p; 0; 2J_p;$	$-2J_p; 0; V; 2J_p$
3	$-3J_p; -J_p; J_p; 3J_p;$	$-3J_p; -J_p; -J_p + V; J_p; J_p + V; 3J_p$
4	$-4J_p; -2J_p; 0; 2J_p; 4J_p;$	$-4J_p; -2J_p; -2J_p + V; 0; V; 2V; 2J_p; 2J_p + V; 4J_p$
5	$-5J_p; -3J_p; -J_p; J_p; 3J_p; 5J_p;$	$-5J_p; -3J_p; -3J_p + V; -J_p; -J_p + V; -J_p + 2V; J_p; J_p + V; J_p + 2V; 3J_p; 3J_p + V; 5J_p + V; 5J$

multi-particle NHSE in coupled chains Five particles:



N	$E\left(V=0\right)$	$E (V \neq 0)$
1	$-J_p; J_p$	$-J_p; J_p$
2	$-2J_p; 0; 2J_p;$	$-2J_p; 0; V; 2J_p$
3	$-3J_p; -J_p; J_p; 3J_p;$	$-3J_p; -J_p; -J_p + V; J_p; J_p + V; 3J_p$
4	$-4J_p; -2J_p; 0; 2J_p; 4J_p;$	$-4J_p; -2J_p; -2J_p + V; 0; V; 2V; 2J_p; 2J_p + V; 4J_p$
5	$-5J_p; -3J_p; -J_p; J_p; 3J_p; 5J_p;$	$-5J_p; -3J_p; -3J_p + V; -J_p; -J_p + V; -J_p + 2V; J_p; J_p + V; J_p + 2V; 3J_p; 3J_p + V; 5J_p$

Sublattice correlation and entanglement entropy:





A. Lukin, et. al., Science 364, 256 (2019).

Phase diagram:

 $Q_{\mathcal{L}}$: the number of state with left NHSE



large V and J p: $\hat{H}_{\text{eff}} \simeq V + \frac{2}{V} \left(J_A^+ J_A^- + J_B^+ J_B^- \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{L} \left[\frac{2}{V} J_B^+ J_A^+ |\alpha_{i+1}\rangle \rangle \langle \langle \alpha_i | + \frac{2}{V} J_A^- J_B^- |\alpha_i\rangle \rangle \langle \langle \alpha_{i+1} | \right] \\ |(AB)_i\rangle = \hat{c}_{A,i}^\dagger \hat{c}_{B,i}^\dagger |\text{vac}\rangle \equiv |\alpha_i\rangle \rangle, (i = 1, 2, ..., L)$

small J p:

large V ~ 2J_p:

Nearly decoupled chains with left NHSE only. small V, large J p:

Mixture between clusters II and III.

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
D_{+} & -D_{+} \\
|\psi_{2}\rangle & -D_{-} \\
\bullet & O \\
D_{-} & O \\
D_{-} & O \\
D_{-} & O \\
O \\
D_{+} \\
D_{\pm} \\
= \pm (J_{A}^{\pm} - J_{B}^{\pm})/\sqrt{2}
\end{array}$$

 $|\phi_{_{\rm l}}\rangle$

 $\bigcirc \bigcirc$

 C_{-}



 $C_{+} = (J_{A}^{\pm} + J_{B}^{\pm})/2$ (as for the single-particle case)

 $|\phi_2\rangle$



 $v_p = [x_p(t) - x_p(0)]/t$

 $x_p(t)$: the position with maximal density.

t is chosen to be the time when the density peak reaches the boundary.



Weak V: faster left-propagation for "paired" particles. Strong V: better separation of "paired" and "unpaired" particles.

The same model, but for fermions:



No left-NHSE (i.e., deactivation of direction reversal due ot "pairing") when V=0.





(c) V = 5

 $\rho(x)$

Summary and outlook

Take home message:



Phys. Rev. B 106, 085427 (2022).



Summary and outlook

l-2

l-1

Take home message:



Phys. Rev. B 106, 085427 (2022).

Questions and outlooks:

- Why fermions and bosons behave so differently? How about anyons?
- Richer particle configurations induced by different types of ۲ interactions (hilbert space fragmentation).
- More sophisticated non-reciprocal pumping channels on different ۲ bulk and boundaries of higher-dimensional systems.

Thank you!

Skin accumulation

l

Phys. Rev. Lett. 132, 096501 (2024).

 J_p

l+1

l+2

Summary and outlook

Take home message:





Skin accumulation

Phys. Rev. B 106, 085427 (2022).

Questions and outlooks:

- Why fermions and bosons behave so differently? How about anyons?
- Richer particle configurations induced by different types of interactions (hilbert space fragmentation).
- More sophisticated non-reciprocal pumping channels on different bulk and boundaries of higher-dimensional systems.

Thank you!