NNNLO QCD predictions for heavy quark decays at partonic level

王健 (山东大学)

based on 2212.06341, 2309.00762

with 陈龙斌, 李海涛, 李钊, 王烨凡, 吴泉峰

重味物理前沿论坛 华中师范大学, 2023-11-25 The quarks have a mass ranging from 2.3×10^{-3} to 1.73×10^2 GeV.

Heavy quarks are mystery.



・ロン ・四 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と

÷.

Top-quark mass is the one of the fundamental parameters in Standard Model.

Summary of the top-mass analyses at the LHC [Corcella 2019].



イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Motivation

Top-quark plays a special role in determining the vacuum stability [1307.3536].



▲ 同 ▶ ▲ 三

→ < ≥ >

The Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa matrix [PDG 2014]

$$\begin{pmatrix} |V_{ud}| & |V_{us}| & |V_{ub}| \\ |V_{cd}| & |V_{cs}| & |V_{cb}| \\ |V_{td}| & |V_{ts}| & |V_{tb}| \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.974 & 0.225 & 0.0036 \\ 0.225 & 0.973 & 0.041 \\ 0.009 & 0.041 & 0.999 \end{pmatrix}$$

The third line is derived from unitarity of the CKM matrix.

The direct measurement of $R=Br(t\rightarrow Wb)/Br(t\rightarrow Wq)$ yields $|V_{tb}|=1.011^{+0.018}_{-0.017}$ under the assumption of CKM unitarity and existence of three generations. The single top production rate is proportional to $|V_{tb}|^2$. It is measured to be $|V_{tb}|=1.02\pm0.05.$

・ロト ・同ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

I nar

Motivation

Top decay width Γ_t is one of the fundamental properties of top-quark.

Due to its large mass, Γ_t is expected to be very large (about 1 GeV > $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$).

The measurement of Γ_t could hint at new-physics.



[Denisov, Vellidis 2015]

く 白 ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

The top-quark decays almost exclusively to Wb. $\Gamma_t = \Gamma_t(t \to Wb)$.

At LHC, indirect techniques are precise but model dependent.

The most precise measurement is $\Gamma_t = 1.36 \pm 0.02 \text{ (stat.)}^{+0.14}_{-0.11} \text{ (syst.)}$ GeV by CMS [CMS, 2014].

Direct techniques are less precise but model independent.

Direct result by ATLAS is $\Gamma_t = 1.9 \pm 0.5$ GeV [ATLAS, 2019].

In the future e^+e^- collider, Γ_t can be measured with an uncertainty of 30 MeV [Martinez, Miquel 2019].

NLO QCD corrections [Jezabek, Kuhn 1989, Czarnecki 1990, Li, Oakes, Yuan 1991] NLO EW corrections [Denner, Sack 1991, Eilam, Mendel, Migneron, Soni 1991] Asymptotic expansions of NNLO QCD corrections near $m_W \rightarrow 0$ and $m_W \rightarrow m_t$ [Czarnecki, Melnikov 1999, Chetyrkin, Harlander, Seidensticker, Steinhauser 1999, Blokland, Czarnecki, Slusarczyk, Tkachov 2004 2005]

Numerical results of full NNLO QCD corrections [Gao, Li, Zhu 2013, Brucherseifer, Caola, Melnikov 2013]

The full analytical results of NNLO QCD corrections have been obtained recently [Chen, Li, JW, Wang, 2022].

NNNLO QCD results are calculated by two groups. [Chen, Li, Li, JW, Wang, Wu, 2023, Chen, Chen, Guan, Ma, 2023]

Bottom quark semileptonic decay $b \rightarrow X_u l v_l$ is intimately related to top quark decay.

Consider the three-loop self-energy diagrams Σ for $t \to Wb \to t$

$$\Gamma_t = \frac{\mathsf{Im}(\Sigma)}{m_t} \tag{1}$$

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

3

Some typical three-loop diagrams in $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$



The imaginary part comes from cut diagrams. For example,



The separate virtual and real corrections are combined.

The complicated phase space integration can be avoided.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

э

For $t \to Wb \to t$, b quark is assumed massless. Kinematic variable is $w = m_W^2/m_t^2$

After spin summation

$$\sum_{\text{spin}} u(k, m_t) \bar{u}(k, m_t) = \not k - m_t$$
(2)

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ 三 ▶ ◆ 三 ● ● のへで

the numerator of the amplitude is just scalar products, such as

$$\int \mathcal{D}^{D} q_{1} \ \mathcal{D}^{D} q_{2} \ \mathcal{D}^{D} q_{3} \frac{(k \cdot q_{1}) (q_{1} \cdot q_{2}) q_{3}^{2}}{D_{1} \ D_{2} \ D_{3} \ D_{4} \ D_{5} \ D_{6} \ D_{7} \ D_{8} \ D_{9}},$$
(3)

where q_1,q_2,q_3 are loop momenta, k is external momentum.

The amplitudes can be written as the linear combination of scalar integrals.

After integral reduction, the scalar integrals can expressed by minimal set of integrals called master integrals.

In this step we used integration-by-parts (IBP) identities and package FIRE [Smirnov, Chuharev 2019].

The typologies of master integrals



The key is to analytically calculate the master integrals.

Canonical differential equation method [Henn 2013] is a powerful tool in analytical calculations. However, it is highly nontrivial to achieve such a form for processes involving massive propagators.

The differential equations of a canonical basis **F** can be written as

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{F}(w,\epsilon)}{\partial w} = \epsilon \left[\sum_{i=1}^{4} \mathbf{R}_{i} \mathrm{dlog}(l_{i}) \right] \mathbf{F}(w,\epsilon), \quad w = \frac{m_{W}^{2}}{m_{t}^{2}}, \quad D = 4 - 2\epsilon$$
(4)

 $l_i \in \{w-2, w-1, w, w+1\}$ and \mathbf{R}_i being rational matrices. For example,

$$\frac{\partial F_4(w,\epsilon)}{\partial w} = \frac{\epsilon \left(F_5 - 2F_4\right)}{w - 1} - \frac{\epsilon \left(F_4 + F_5\right)}{w} \tag{5}$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆臣 ▶ ◆臣 ▶ ○臣 ○ のへで

By this canonical form, the differential equations can be solved recursively.

Setting $m_W = 0$ does not bring new divergences in the amplitude. Most of the basis integrals are regular at w = 0. For example,

$$\frac{\partial F_4(w,\epsilon)}{\partial w} = \frac{\epsilon \left(F_5 - 2F_4\right)}{w - 1} - \frac{\epsilon \left(F_4 + F_5\right)}{w} \tag{6}$$

(日) (周) (ヨ) (ヨ) (ヨ)

$$\implies F_4|_{w=0} + F_5|_{w=0} = 0 \tag{7}$$

The analytical results of some master integrals in w = 0 can be found in [Blokland, Czarnecki, Slusarczyk, Tkachov 2005, Ritbergen, Stuart 2000].

Boundary expressions can be reconstructed by numerical results using PSLQ algorithm with the package AMFlow [Liu, Ma 2022].

The analytical results of master integrals can be written as multiple polylogarithms (GPLs)

$$G_{a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_n}(x)\equiv \int_0^x \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t-a_1}G_{a_2,\ldots,a_n}(t)\,, \tag{8}$$

$$G_{\overline{0}_n}(x) \equiv \frac{1}{n!} \ln^n x \,. \tag{9}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ ミ ▶ ◆ ミ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○ ○

In our problem, we only need harmonic polylogarithms (HPLs).

$$H_{a_1,a_2,\dots,a_n}(x) = G_{a_1,a_2,\dots,a_n}(x)|_{a_i \in \{-1,0,1\}}.$$
 (10)

For example,

$$H_0(x) = \ln x, \quad H_{1,0}(x) = \int_0^x \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{1-t} \ln t, \quad H_{-1,1,0}(x) = \int_0^x \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t+1} H_{1,0}(t). \tag{11}$$

HPLs have good mathematical properties.

Analytical Results

Combing analytical results of master integrals and IBP relations, we obtain the results for the bare amplitudes, which contain UV divergences. Using the standard procedure of renomarization, we checked that these divergences indeed cancel.

QCD corrections of Γ_t up to NNNLO:

$$\Gamma(t \to Wb) = \Gamma_0 \left[X_0 + \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} X_1 + \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right)^2 X_2 + \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right)^3 X_3 \right],$$
(12)
$$\Gamma_0 = \frac{G_F m_t^3 |V_{tb}|^2}{8\sqrt{2\pi}}.$$
(13)

The LO and NLO corrections are

$$\begin{split} X_0 &= (2w+1)(w-1)^2, \\ X_1 &= C_F \bigg(X_0 \Big(-2H_{0,1}(w) + H_0(w) H_1(w) - \frac{\pi^2}{3} \Big) + \frac{1}{2} (4w+5)(w-1)^2 H_1(w) \\ &+ w (2w^2+w-1) H_0(w) + \frac{1}{4} (6w^3-15w^2+4w+5) \bigg) \end{split} \tag{14}$$

▲ 御 ▶ ▲ 注 ▶ ▲ 注 ▶ …

э.

According to color structure,

$$\Gamma(t \to Wb) = \Gamma_0 \left[X_0 + \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} X_1 + \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right)^2 X_2 \right],\tag{15}$$

$$X_{2} = C_{F}(T_{R}n_{l}X_{l} + T_{R}n_{h}X_{h} + C_{F}X_{F} + C_{A}X_{A})$$
(16)

$$\begin{split} X_l &= -\frac{X_0}{3} \left[H_{0,1,0}(w) - H_{0,0,1}(w) - 2H_{0,1,1}(w) + 2H_{1,1,0}(w) - \pi^2 H_1(w) - 3\zeta(3) \right] + g_l(w), \\ X_F &= \frac{1}{12} X_0 \Big[-6 \left(2H_{0,1,0,1}(w) + 6H_{1,0,0,1}(w) - 3H_{1,0,1,0}(w) - 12\zeta(3)H_1(w) \right) - \pi^2 H_{1,0}(w) \Big] \\ &+ (X_0 + 4w) \left(-\frac{1}{6} \pi^2 H_{0,-1}(w) - 2H_{0,-1,0,1}(w) \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{12} \left(18w^3 - 3w^2 + 76w + 15 \right) \pi^2 H_{0,1}(w) - \frac{1}{2} \left(4w^3 - 2w^2 + 4w + 3 \right) H_{0,0,0,1}(w) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left(4w^3 - 2w^2 + 16w + 3 \right) H_{0,0,1,0}(w) + w \left(2w^2 - 7w - 16 \right) H_{0,0,1,1}(w) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \left(2w^3 - 11w^2 - 28w - 1 \right) H_{0,1,1,0}(w) + \frac{1}{720} \pi^4 \left(42w^3 - 191w^2 - 328w - 11 \right) + g_F(w). \end{split}$$

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

∃ < n < 0</p>

Leading color dominates the higher order corrections by about 95%.

$$\begin{split} X_{3} &= C_{F} \bigg[N_{c}^{2} \boldsymbol{Y_{A}} + \widetilde{Y}_{A} + \frac{\overline{Y}_{A}}{N_{c}^{2}} + n_{l} n_{h} Y_{lh} + n_{l} \left(N_{c} \boldsymbol{Y_{l}} + \frac{\widetilde{Y}_{l}}{N_{c}} \right) + n_{l}^{2} \boldsymbol{Y_{l2}} \\ &+ n_{h} \left(N_{c} Y_{h} + \frac{\widetilde{Y}_{h}}{N_{c}} \right) + n_{h}^{2} Y_{h2} \bigg]. \end{split}$$
(17)

The leading color coefficients are expressed in terms of HPLs. In the $\omega \to 0$ limit,

$$Y_{A} = \left[\frac{203185}{41472} - \frac{12695\pi^{2}}{1944} - \frac{4525\zeta(3)}{576} - \frac{1109\pi^{4}}{25920} + \frac{37\pi^{2}\zeta(3)}{36} + \frac{1145\zeta(5)}{96} + \frac{47\pi^{6}}{2835} - \frac{3\zeta(3)^{2}}{4}\right] \\ + w \left[-\frac{157939}{2304} + \frac{140863\pi^{2}}{20736} + \frac{5073\zeta(3)}{64} - \frac{14743\pi^{4}}{6480} - \frac{169\pi^{2}\zeta(3)}{72} - \frac{45\zeta(5)}{16} + \frac{3953\pi^{6}}{22680} - \frac{15\zeta(3)^{2}}{4} \right] \\ + w^{2} \left[-(w) \left(\frac{851099}{27648} - \frac{5875\pi^{2}}{2304} - \frac{33\zeta(3)}{8} + \frac{\pi^{4}}{10} \right) - \frac{82610233}{331776} + \frac{799511\pi^{2}}{27648} \right] \\ + \frac{4093\zeta(3)}{32} - \frac{5987\pi^{4}}{2880} - \frac{91\pi^{2}\zeta(3)}{16} - \frac{275\zeta(5)}{8} + \frac{347\pi^{6}}{3024} - \frac{9\zeta(3)^{2}}{8} \right] + \mathcal{O}(w^{3}).$$
(18)

Cross Check

Two different gauges for the W boson propagator have been used.

The result expanded in w=0 and $w=1\;(w=m_W^2/m_t^2)$ coincides with [Blokland, Czarnecki, Slusarczyk, Tkachov 2004 2005].



王健 (山东大学) N

æ

Off-Shell W Boson effect

Including the W boson width of $\Gamma_W=2.085~{\rm GeV},~\Gamma_t$ become [Jezabek, Kuhn 1989]

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_t \equiv \Gamma(t \to W^* b) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{m_t^2} dq^2 \frac{m_W \Gamma_W}{(q^2 - m_W^2)^2 + m_W^2 \Gamma_W^2} \Gamma_t(q^2/m_t^2), \tag{19}$$

In the narrow width limit, $\Gamma_W \to 0, \ \tilde{\Gamma}_t \to \Gamma_t.$

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\Gamma}_t &= \Gamma_0 \left[\tilde{X}_0 + \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \tilde{X}_1 + \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \right)^2 \tilde{X}_2 \right], \quad r = \frac{\Gamma_W}{m_W}, \quad w = \frac{m_W^2}{m_t^2} \\ \tilde{X}_0 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \big(-(2(r-i)w - i((r-i)w + i)^2 G(w + irw, 1)) \\ &- ((r+i)w - i)^2 2(r+i)w + iG(w - irw, 1) - 4r(1-2w)w), \end{split} \tag{20} \\ \tilde{X}_1 &= \frac{1}{18\pi} ((r+i)w - i)(2(4\pi^2 - 9)(r+i)^2w^2 + (4\pi^2 - 27)(1 - ir)w + 4\pi^2 - 15)G(w - iw, 1) \\ &+ (r-i)w - i)(2(4\pi^2 - 9)(r-i)^2w^2 + (4\pi^2 - 27)(1 + ir)w + 4\pi^2 - 15)G(w + iw, 1) \\ &+ \cdots \big) \end{split} \tag{21}$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆臣 ▶ ◆臣 ▶ ○臣 ○ のへで

Input parameters from [P.D.G 2022]

$$\begin{split} m_t &= 172.69 \ \text{GeV}, \quad m_b = 4.78 \ \text{GeV}, \\ m_W &= 80.377 \ \text{GeV}, \quad \Gamma_W = 2.085 \ \text{GeV}, \\ m_Z &= 91.1876 \ \text{GeV}, \quad G_F = 1.16638 \times 10^{-5} \ \text{GeV}^{-2}, \\ |V_{tb}| &= 1, \quad \alpha_s(m_Z) = 0.1179. \end{split}$$

 $\Gamma_t^{(0)}=1.486~{\rm GeV}$ with $m_b=0$ and on-shell W.

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_{t} &= \Gamma_{t}^{(0)}[(1+\delta_{b}^{(0)}+\delta_{W}^{(0)}) \\ &+ (\delta_{b}^{(1)}+\delta_{W}^{(1)}+\delta_{EW}^{(1)}+\delta_{QCD}^{(1)}) \\ &+ (\delta_{b}^{(2)}+\delta_{W}^{(2)}+\delta_{EW}^{(2)}+\delta_{QCD}^{(2)}+\delta_{EW\times QCD}^{(2)}) \\ &+ (\delta_{b}^{(3)}+\delta_{W}^{(3)}+\delta_{EW}^{(3)}+\delta_{QCD}^{(3)}+\delta_{EW\times QCD}^{(3)})] \end{split} \tag{23}$$

・ロ・・(型・・モー・・モー・

Corrections in percentage (%) normalized by the LO width $\Gamma_t^{(0)}=1.486$ GeV with $m_b=0$ and on-shell W.

	$\delta_b^{(i)}$	$\delta_W^{(i)}$	$\delta_{\rm EW}^{(i)}$	$\delta_{\textit{QCD}}^{(i)}$	$\Gamma_t [{\rm GeV}]$
LO	-0.273	-1.544	_	—	1.459
NLO	0.126	0.132	1.683	-8.575	$1.361\substack{+0.0091\\-0.0130}$
NNLO	*	0.030	*	-2.070	$1.331\substack{+0.0055\\-0.0051}$
N ³ LO	*	0.009	*	-0.667	$1.321\substack{+0.0025\\-0.0021}$

QCD corrections are dominant.

The off-shell W boson effect at NLO is $\sim 0.1\%$.

The b quark mass correction at NLO is not severely suppressed compared to the LO due to the large logarithms induced by soft quarks.

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

э.

QCD renormalization scale $\mu \in [m_t/2, 2m_t]$, the variation is about $\pm 0.8\%$, $\pm 0.4\%$ and $\pm 0.2\%$ at NLO, NNLO and NNNLO, respectively.



 \overline{MS} scheme differ from on-shell scheme -3.79% and 0.09% at NLO and NNLO.

 < ∃ →

э

The uncertainties at NNLO from $\alpha_s(m_Z)=0.1179\pm 0.0009$ and $m_W=80.377\pm 0.012$ GeV are 0.1% and 0.01%.

The deviation between the α and G_F scheme in the EW correction is 0.1% at NLO.

The missing NNLO EW as well as the mixed $\textit{EW} \times \textit{QCD}$ corrections are estimated to be 0.1%.

Considering all the possible uncertainties, the uncertainty at NNNLO is less than 0.3%.

Mathematica program TopWidth

Mathematica program TopWidth can be downloaded from https://github.com/haitaoli1/TopWidth.

<< TopWidth

(+---- TopHidth-1.0 +----)
Authors: Long-Bin Chen, Hai Tao Li, Jian Wang, YeFan Wang
TopHidth(Corder, mbcorr, WwidthCorr, Ewcorr, mu) is provided for top width calculations
Please cite the paper for reference: arXiv:2212.06341
+-----Author: Daniel Waitre, University of Zurich
Rules for minimal set loaded for weights: 2, 3, 4, 5, 6.

Rules for minimal set for + - weights loaded for weights: 2, 3, 4, 5, 6.

Table of MZVs loaded up to weight 6

Table of values at I loaded up to weight 6

\$HPLFunctions gives a list of the functions of the package.

\$HPLOptions gives a list of the options of the package.

More info in hep-ph/0507152, hep-ph/0703052 and at http://krone.physik.unizh.ch/~maitreda/HPL/

(* SetParameters[mt, mb, mw, Wwidth, mz, [GF] *)

(* If the parameters are not set by the users the code will use the default ones *)

```
\texttt{SetParameters}\Big[\frac{17\,269}{100} \ , \ \frac{478}{100} \ , \ 80\,377\,/\,1000 \ , \ 2085\,/\,1000 \ , \ 911\,876\,/\,10\,000 \ , \ 11\,663\,788\,\times\,10^{-12}\Big]
```

. (* NNLO decay width *)

TopWidth [2, 1 (* with mb effects *), 1 (* with Tw effects*), 1 (* with NLO EW effects *), $\frac{17269}{100}$]

1.33051

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三 シののや

Semileptonic $b \rightarrow u$ decays: dilepton invariant mass spectrum

$$\frac{d\Gamma(b \to X_u e \bar{\nu}_e)}{dq^2} = \Gamma_b^{(0)} \sum_{i=0}^3 \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right)^i X_i\left(\frac{q^2}{m_b^2}\right).$$
(24)

with $\Gamma_b^{(0)} = G_F^2 |V_{ub}|^2 m_b^3/96\pi^3.$

The *b*-quark semileptonic decay width can be expanded in α_s ,

$$\Gamma(b \to X_u e \bar{\nu}_e) = \frac{G_F^2 |V_{ub}|^2 m_b^5}{192 \pi^3} \left[1 + \sum_{i=1} \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \right)^i b_i \right].$$
(25)

 b_1 and b_2 : [Ritbergen,1999]. $b_3 = (-202 \pm 20)C_F$: expanding in $\delta = 1 - m_u/m_b$ [Fael, Schonwald, Steinhauser, 2020]. Fermionic contribution: [Fael, Usovitsch, 2023]

$$\begin{split} b_3 &= C_F \bigg[N_c^2 \bigg(\frac{9651283}{82944} - \frac{1051339\pi^2}{62208} - \frac{67189\zeta(3)}{864} + \frac{4363\pi^4}{6480} + \frac{59\pi^2\zeta(3)}{32} + \frac{3655\zeta(5)}{96} - \frac{109\pi^6}{3780} \bigg) \\ &+ n_l N_c \bigg(- \frac{729695}{27648} + \frac{48403\pi^2}{1552} + \frac{1373\zeta(3)}{108} + \frac{133\pi^4}{1728} - \frac{13\pi^2\zeta(3)}{72} - \frac{125\zeta(5)}{24} \bigg) \\ &+ n_l^2 \bigg(\frac{24763}{20736} - \frac{1417\pi^2}{15522} - \frac{37\zeta(3)}{216} - \frac{121\pi^4}{6480} \bigg) + \textit{subleading color} \bigg] \\ &= (-195.3 \pm 9.8) C_F \,. \end{split}$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ 三 ▶ ◆ 三 ▶ ● ○ のへで

We provide the analytical result of top-quark width at NNNLO in QCD.

The analytical result can be used to perform both fast and accurate evaluations.

The most precise top-quark width is predicted to be 1.321 GeV for mt = 172.69 GeV with a total theoretical uncertainty less than 0.3%.

The dilepton invariant mass spectrum in semileptonic $b \rightarrow u$ decays is also obtained at NNNLO in QCD.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆∃▶ ◆∃▶ = ● ● ●



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●