Non-strong CP violation

arXiv: 2301.05848, 2211.07332, 2309.09854 Collaborated with Y.F.Shen, W.J.Song, J.P.Wang, F.S.Yu

Workshop of Frontiers in Heavy Flavor Physics 25-26 November 2023





Qin Qin

Huazhong University of Science and Technology





• One of the Sakharov's criteria of matter-anti-matter asymmetry

Requires new source of CP violation

 Determination of CKM matrix phase angles To test the unitarity of the CKM matrix

Open windows to new dynamics beyond the SM lacksquare

Why CP violation?

[Sakharov, '67]









- We propose to probe CP violation in



• "Possible large CP violation": arbitrariness due to poor knowledge of strong phase

New environment – Baryonic CP violation

$$\Lambda_b \to DN \to (K^+\pi^-)(p\pi^-)$$

• Two amplitudes with large weak phase difference (γ) and comparable magnitudes

[Shen, Wang, **QQ**, PRD(letter), 2309.09854]



Direct CP violation

- Visualization of CPV requires <u>interference</u> between different amplitudes — with different CP-conserving phases and CP-violating phases, otherwise $(M \to f) \neq (\bar{M} \to \bar{f})$, but $|M \to f|^2 = |\bar{M} \to \bar{f}|^2$
- The direct CPV is induced by interference between the tree and penguin amplitudes

$$A = A_{1}e^{i\phi_{1}}e^{i\delta_{1}} + A_{2}e^{i\phi_{2}}e^{i\delta_{2}} = A_{1}e^{i\phi_{1}}e^{i\delta_{1}}$$
$$\overline{A} = A_{1}e^{-i\phi_{1}}e^{i\delta_{1}} + A_{2}e^{-i\phi_{2}}e^{i\delta_{2}} = A_{1}e^{-i\phi_{2}}e^{i\delta_{2}}$$
$$A_{CP} = \frac{|A|^{2} - |\overline{A}|^{2}}{|A|^{2} + |\overline{A}|^{2}} \propto 2r \sin \theta$$

Weak phase, CP-viola



Is there a way out?



CP conserving phase \neq Strong phase

- Phase due to time evolution
 - Neutral meson mixing

➡ Neutrino oscillation

Phase due to spatial evolution

 \rightarrow Spatial rotation -- angular distribution

- Visualize CP violation effects without strong phases
- Decode strong phases

 $\sin \delta$, $\sin(\delta + \pi/2) = \cos \delta \Rightarrow \tan \delta$

Non-strong CP violation observables

We propose/investigate <u>two new types of CPV observables</u>

 \rightarrow CPV induced by interference between two meson mixing

 $(M_1^0 \to (\bar{M}_2^0) \to M_2^0) + (M_1^0 \to (\bar{M}_1^0) \to M_2^0)$

It does not require nonzero strong phases!

Strong phases can be extracted from experiment data without theoretical input.

$$A_{CP}^{Q_{-}} \equiv \langle Q_{-} \rangle - \langle \bar{Q}_{-} \rangle \propto \cos \delta_{s}$$

rightarrow Complementary time-reversal-odd (T-odd) and -even observables $TQ_{\pm} = \mp Q_{\pm}T$

$$A_{CP}^{Q_{-}} \equiv \langle Q_{+} \rangle - \langle \bar{Q}_{+} \rangle \propto \sin \delta_{s}$$





Part 1. Double-mixing CP violation

[Shen,Song,QQ, 2301.05848]



Mixing-related CP violation observables

Common CPV observables

 \checkmark CPV in mixing (indirect CPV)

 $|M^0 \rightarrow \bar{M}^0| \neq |N$

✓ CPV in interference between a decay without and with *initial* mixing

 CPV in interference between a decay without and with <u>final</u> mixing $(P \rightarrow M^0) + (P \rightarrow \overline{M}^0 \rightarrow M^0)$

$$\bar{M}^0 \to M^0 | \quad (|q/p| \neq 1)$$

 $(M^0 \to f) + (M^0 \to \overline{M}^0 \to f)$

[Wang,Li,Yu,*PRL*119 (2017)181802]



Mixing-related CP violation observables

 Visualization of CPV requires <u>interference</u> between different amplitudes

 \checkmark CPV in mixing: different quark mediating box amplitudes

It is dominant by one amplitude \implies small CPV, $|q/p|\approx 1$

 $q/p \approx e^{i\delta_w}$

 \checkmark CPV in interference between a decay without and with mixing

$$A = A_1 e^{i\phi_1} e^{i\delta_1} + A_2 e^{i\phi_2} e^{i\delta_2} e^{i\Delta Mt} =$$
$$\overline{A} = A_1 e^{-i\phi_1} e^{i\delta_1} + A_2 e^{-i\phi_2} e^{i\delta_2} e^{i\Delta Mt}$$



- $M^0 \to f \qquad M^0 \to \bar{M}^0 \to f$ $= A_1 e^{i\phi_1} e^{i\delta_1} (1 + r e^{i\phi} e^{i\delta + i\Delta Mt})$ CP-conserving phase $^{Mt} = A_1 e^{-i\phi_1} e^{i\delta_1} (1 + r e^{-i\phi} e^{i\delta + i\Delta Mt})$

Double mixing CP violation

Double mixing CP violation: induced by interference of different mixing paths of neutral mesons

• Consider
$$B_s^0 \to \rho^0 K \to \rho^0 \pi^- e^+ \nu$$

Upper path: $B_s^0 \to \rho^0 \bar{K}^0 \to \rho^0 K^0 \to \rho^0 \pi^- e^+ \nu$ Lower path: $B_s^0 \to \bar{B}_s^0 \to \rho^0 K^0 \to \rho^0 \pi^- e^+ \nu$



[Shen,Song,**QQ**, 2301.05848]



Advantages of Double mixing CP violation

dimensional time dependence analysis can be performed.

• **<u>Significant</u>** in some channels, to be measured in experiments.

 $B_{\rm s}^0 \rightarrow \rho^0$

weak phases without strong pollution.

 $B^0 \rightarrow D^0 K \rightarrow D^0 \pi^- e^+ \nu$

The double-mixing CP asymmetry depends on two time variables and thus a <u>two-</u>

$${}^0K \to \rho^0 \pi^- e^+ \nu$$

• It does not require nonzero strong phases, providing opportunities to directly extract

Strong phases can be extracted from experiment data without theoretical input.

• Take
$$B_s^0(t_1) \to \rho^0 \bar{K}^0(t_2) \to \rho^0 \pi^- e^+ \nu$$
 a
 $M_1(t_1, t_2) \propto g_{1,+}(t_1) \left[-\frac{p}{q} g_{2,-}(t_2) \right]$
 $\bar{K}^0 - M_2(t_1, t_2) \propto \left[-\frac{q}{p} g_{1,-}(t_1) \right] g_{2,+}(t_2)$
 $B_s^0 \to \bar{B}_s^0$
 $\left| M^0(t) \right\rangle = g_+(t) \left| M^0 \right\rangle - \frac{q}{p} g_-(t) \right|$
 $\left| \bar{M}^0(t) \right\rangle = g_+(t) \left| \bar{M}^0 \right\rangle - \frac{p}{q} g_-(t)$

Sources: 1. $|M_1|^2$; 2. $|M_2|^2$; 3. $M_1^*M_2 + M_1M_2^*$

as an example (penguin \approx 0)





$$(\frac{L_2}{2}) + e^{-\Gamma_1 t_1} \sinh \frac{\Delta \Gamma_1 t_1}{2} S_h(t_2) + e^{-\Gamma_1 t_1} \sin(\Delta m_1 t_1) S_n(t_2)$$

$$C_{+}(t_{2}) = |g_{2,-}(t_{2})|^{2} \left(\left| \frac{p_{2}}{q_{2}} \right|^{2} - \left| \frac{q_{2}}{p_{2}} \right|^{2} \right)$$

$$CP \text{ violation in } K^{0} \text{ mixing}$$

$$C_{-}(t_{2}) = |g_{2,+}(t_{2})|^{2} \left(\left| \frac{q_{1}}{p_{1}} \right|^{2} - \left| \frac{p_{1}}{q_{1}} \right|^{2} \right)$$

$$CP \text{ violation in } B_{s}^{0} \text{ mixing}$$

• Take $B_s^0(t_1) \to \rho^0 \overline{K}^0(t_2) \to \rho^0 \pi^- e^+ \nu$ as an example (penguin \approx 0)

 $A_{CP}(t_1, t_2) \propto |g_{1,+}(t_1)|^2 C_+(t_2) + |g_{1,-}(t_1)|^2 C_-(t_2)$



$$\frac{\Delta \Gamma_{1} t_{1}}{2} S_{h}(t_{2}) + e^{-\Gamma_{1} t_{1}} \sin(\Delta m_{1} t_{1}) S_{n}(t_{2})}$$

$$M_{1}^{*} M_{2} + M_{1} M_{2}^{*}$$

$$S_{h}(t_{2}) = \frac{e^{-\Gamma_{2} t_{2}}}{2} [-2 \sin \Delta m_{2} t_{2} \sin(\phi_{1} + \phi_{2} + 2\delta)]$$

$$S_{n}(t_{2}) = \frac{e^{-\Gamma_{2} t_{2}}}{2} 2 \sinh \frac{\Delta \Gamma_{2} t_{2}}{2} \frac{\sin(\phi_{1} + \phi_{2} + 2\delta)}{2}$$

$$q_{1}/p_{1} | e^{-i\phi_{1}}$$

$$q_{2}/p_{2} | e^{-i\phi_{2}}$$

$$\leq \rho K | \bar{B}_{s} > e^{2i\delta}$$

$$B_{s} \& K \text{ mixing interference}$$







Measurable at LHCb!

Double mixing CP violation – CKM phase

• Take
$$B_d^0(t_1) \rightarrow D^0 K^0(t_2) \rightarrow (K^- \pi^+)(\pi)$$

 $\langle D^0 \bar{K}^0 | \bar{B}^0 \rangle = \langle \bar{D}^0 K^0 | B^0 \rangle e^{i\delta_1}$
 $\langle D^0 K^0 | B^0 \rangle = \langle \bar{D}^0 K^0 | B^0 \rangle r_B e^{i(\delta_s + \delta_2)}$
3 Parameters: $r_B, \, \delta_s, \, \delta_w \equiv \phi_2 - \phi_1 + \delta_1$

$$A_{CP}(t_1, t_2) = \frac{e^{-\Gamma_B t_1} \sin \Delta m_B t_1}{e^{-\Gamma_B t_1} [C'(t_2)(1 + \cos \Delta m_B t_1)]}$$

$$S(t_2) = \frac{e^{-\Gamma_2 t_2}}{2} r_B[-2\sin\delta_w(\cos\delta_s)\sinh\frac{\Delta\Gamma_K}{2}t_2 + C'(t_2) = \frac{e^{-\Gamma_2 t_2}}{2}[(1+r_B^2)\cosh\frac{\Delta\Gamma_K}{2}t_2 + (1-r_B^2)\cosh\frac{\Delta\Gamma_K}{2}t_2 + (1-r_B^2)\cosh\frac{\Delta\Gamma_K}{2}t_2 + (1-r_B^2)\cosh\frac{\Delta\Gamma_K}{2}t_2 + C'(t_2) = \frac{e^{-\Gamma_2 t_2}}{2} r_B[2\cos\delta_w\sin\delta_s\sinh\frac{\Delta\Gamma_K}{2}t_2 + C'(t_2) + C'(t_2) = \frac{e^{-\Gamma_2 t_2}}{2} r_B[2\cos\delta_w\sin\delta_s\sinh\frac{\Delta\Gamma_K}{2}t_2 + C'(t_2) + C'(t_2)]$$



 $+ 2\cos \delta_w \cos \delta_s \sin \Delta m_K t_2$] 16



Double mixing CP violation – CKM phase

• Take
$$B^0_d(t_1) \to D^0 K^0(t_2) \to (K^- \pi^+)(\pi^- \pi^+)$$



 $e^+e^-\bar{\nu}$) as an example

Double mixing CP violation – CKM phase

• Take
$$B^0_d(t_1) \to D^0 K^0(t_2) \to (K^- \pi^+)(\pi^- \pi^+)$$

Assuming 3000 events (Belle II):

Parameters	Central value	Unce
r _B	0.367	± 0.
δ_s	164	±
δ_w	109	±

<u>Input:</u> $2\beta + \gamma = (109.9 \pm 3.7)^{\circ}$

$\pi^+ e^- \bar{\nu}$) as an example



Part 2. T-odd CP violation



Polarization induced observables

- Lee-Yang parameters: α , β , γ



$$A(\Lambda^0 \to p\pi) = \bar{u}_p(S + P\gamma_5$$

Theoretically, they are expressed by **partial wave amplitudes** (helicity amplitudes $h_{+} = S \pm P$) as:

$$\alpha = \frac{2Re(S^*P)}{|S|^2 + |P|^2}, \quad \beta = \frac{2Im(S^*P)}{|S|^2 + |P|^2}, \quad \gamma =$$

Experimentally, they are measured by **proton polarizations**:

$$P_p = \frac{(\alpha + \cos \theta)\hat{p} + \beta\hat{p} \times \hat{s} + \gamma(\hat{p})}{1 + \alpha \cos \theta}$$

Polarizations/helicities of particle provide fruitful information to build more observables.

General Partial Wave Analysis of the Decay of a Hyperon of Spin $\frac{1}{2}$

T. D. LEE* AND C. N. YANG Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, New Jersey (Received October 22, 1957)

 $)u_{\Lambda}$

$$\frac{|S|^2 - |P|^2}{|S|^2 + |P|^2}$$

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{d\cos\theta} \propto 1 + \alpha\cos\theta$$

 $\hat{p} \times \hat{s} \times \hat{p}$

Spin measurements are difficult!



Polarization induced observables

- Key point: particle spins are encoded in their decay products.
- With entangled $\Xi^- \overline{\Xi}^+$ and $\Xi^- \to \Lambda \pi^$ parameters and their induced CPV



- Application to more channels with Cascade decays (e.g. $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda V \to p3\pi$)
 - 1. Angular distribution encodes the **helicity amplitudes**
 - 2. They induce CPVs with different strong phase dependences $\sin \delta_s$ vs $\cos \delta_s$

[Geng, Liu, Wei, et al, 2106.10628,2109.09524,2206.00348;Zhou, et al, 2210.15357] 21

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{d\cos\theta}\propto 1+\alpha\cos\theta$$

$$\rightarrow p2\pi^{-}$$
, BESIII measure the Lee-Yang [BESIII, Nature 2022]

$$\frac{\langle \alpha \rangle}{1 - \langle \alpha \rangle^2} \left(\frac{\beta + \beta}{\alpha - \bar{\alpha}} \right)_{\Xi} = (-5 \pm 15) \times 10^{-3}$$





Polarization induced observables

• Strong phase dependence: $\sin \delta_s$ vs $\cos \delta_s$



- Question: does this complementarity generally exist?
- Question: if yes, how to find them systematically?

- Whatever the strong phase is, either $|\sin \delta|$ or $|\cos \delta|$ would be larger than 0.7.
- If both of CPVs are measured, the strong phase can be determined.

 2π

 General conclusion: <u>T-odd correlation</u> strong phases

$$TQ_{-} = -Q_{-}T, \qquad A_{CP}^{Q_{-}} \equiv \frac{\langle Q_{-} \rangle - \langle \bar{Q}_{-} \rangle}{\langle Q_{-} \rangle + \langle \bar{Q}_{-} \rangle} \propto \cos \delta_{s}$$

if it satisfies two conditions: (i) for the final-state basis { $|\psi_n\rangle$, n =1,2,...}, there is a unitary transformation U, s.t. $UT |\psi_n\rangle = e^{-i\alpha} |\psi_n\rangle$; (2) $UQ_-U^{\dagger} = Q_-$.

[Wang, **QQ**, Yu, 2211.07332]

General conclusion: T-odd correlation Q_{-} induces CPV with cosine dependence on

$$\begin{split} \langle \psi_m | Q_- | \psi_n \rangle &= \langle \psi_m | \mathcal{T}^{\dagger} \mathcal{T} | Q_- | \psi_n \rangle^* \\ &= - \langle \psi_m | \mathcal{T}^{\dagger} Q_- \mathcal{T} | \psi_n \rangle^* \\ &= - \langle \psi_m | \mathcal{T}^{\dagger} | \mathcal{U}^{\dagger} \mathcal{U} | Q_- | \mathcal{U}^{\dagger} \mathcal{U} | \mathcal{T} | \psi_n \rangle^* \\ &= - \langle \psi_m | \mathcal{T}^{\dagger} \mathcal{U}^{\dagger} | Q_- | \mathcal{U} \mathcal{T} | \psi_n \rangle^* \\ &= - \langle \psi_m | Q_- | \psi_n \rangle^* , \end{split}$$

 $A_{CP}^{Q_{-}} \propto \sin \delta_{w} \cos \delta_{s}$

 $A_{CP}^{Q_+} \propto \sin \delta_w \sin \delta_s$

• Example 1. Triple product $Q_1 \equiv (\vec{s}_1 \times \vec{s}_2) \cdot \hat{p}$ in $P \to P_1 P_2$ $T: \overrightarrow{p} \to -\overrightarrow{p}, h \to h; \qquad U$ $T: Q_1 \to -Q_1;$ U

• Example 2. Triple product $Q_p \equiv (\hat{p}_1 \times p_2)$ $T: \overrightarrow{p} \to -\overrightarrow{p};$ U $T: Q_p \to -Q_p;$ *U* =

$$Y = R(\pi) : -\overrightarrow{p} \to \overrightarrow{p}, h \to h$$

$$= R(\pi) : Q_1 \to Q_1$$

condition (i) condition (ii)

$$\hat{p}_2) \cdot \hat{p}_3 \text{ in } P \to P_1 P_2 P_3 P_4$$

$$= P : -\overrightarrow{p} \to \overrightarrow{p}$$
$$= P : Q_p \to -Q_p$$

condition (i) condition (ii)

• For the decay $\Lambda_b \to N^*(1520)K^*$, three such T-odd correlations

Triple product Hepta product **Penta product**

$$Q_{1} \equiv (\vec{s}_{1} \times \vec{s}_{2}) \cdot \hat{p} = \frac{i}{2} (s_{1}^{+} s_{2}^{-} - s_{1}^{-} s_{2}^{+})$$

$$Q_{2} \equiv (\vec{s}_{1} \cdot \hat{p})(\vec{s}_{2} \cdot \hat{p})Q_{1} + Q_{1}(\vec{s}_{1} \cdot \hat{p})(\vec{s}_{2} \cdot \hat{p}) = \frac{i}{2} s_{1}^{z} s_{2}^{z} (s_{1}^{+} s_{2}^{-} - s_{1}^{-} s_{2}^{+}) + \frac{i}{2} (s_{1}^{+} s_{2}^{-} - s_{1}^{-} s_{2}^{+}) s_{1}^{z} s_{2}^{z}$$

$$Q_{3} \equiv (\vec{s}_{1} \cdot \vec{s}_{2})Q_{1} + Q_{1}(\vec{s}_{1} \cdot \vec{s}_{2}) - Q_{2} = \frac{i}{2} (s_{1}^{+} s_{1}^{+} s_{2}^{-} s_{2}^{-} - s_{1}^{-} s_{1}^{-} s_{2}^{+} s_{2}^{+})$$

- Their expectations are imaginary helicity amplitude interferences $\langle Q_3 \rangle = 2\sqrt{3} \operatorname{Im} \left(H_{+1,+\frac{3}{2}} H_{-1,-\frac{1}{2}}^* + H_{-1,-\frac{3}{2}}^* H_{+1,+\frac{1}{2}} \right)$
- Moreover, complementary T-even correlations are found

 $P_1 \equiv \vec{s}_1 \cdot \vec{s}_2 - (\vec{s}_1 \cdot \hat{p})(\vec{s}_2 \cdot \hat{p}), P_2 \equiv (\vec{s}_1 \cdot \hat{p})(\vec{s}_2 \cdot \hat{p})P_1 + P_1(\vec{s}_1 \cdot \hat{p})(\vec{s}_2 \cdot \hat{p}),$ $P_3 \equiv P_1^2 - [\vec{s}_1^2 - (\vec{s}_1 \cdot \hat{p})^2][\vec{s}_2^2 - (\vec{s}_2 \cdot \hat{p})^2] - [(\vec{s}_1 \times \vec{s}_1) \cdot \hat{p}][(\vec{s}_2 \times \vec{s}_2) \cdot \hat{p}]$

encoded in angular distribution of secondary decays of $N^*(1520)K^*$

Complementary CP asymmetries can thereby be measured, which depend on $\cos \delta_s \& \sin \delta_s$.

The expectations of the complementary T-odd and T-even correlations are both

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\Gamma}{dc_{1} dc_{2} d\varphi} \propto s_{1}^{2} s_{2}^{2} \left(\left| \mathcal{H}_{+1,+\frac{3}{2}} \right|^{2} + \left| \mathcal{H}_{-1,-\frac{3}{2}} \right|^{2} \right) \\ + s_{1}^{2} \left(\frac{1}{3} + c_{2}^{2} \right) \left(\left| \mathcal{H}_{+1,+\frac{1}{2}} \right|^{2} + \left| \mathcal{H}_{-1,-\frac{1}{2}} \right|^{2} \right) \\ + 2 c_{1}^{2} \left(\frac{1}{3} + c_{2}^{2} \right) \left(\left| \mathcal{H}_{0,-\frac{1}{2}} \right|^{2} + \left| \mathcal{H}_{0,+\frac{1}{2}} \right|^{2} \right) \\ - \frac{s_{1}^{2} s_{2}^{2}}{\sqrt{3}} \operatorname{Im} \left(\mathcal{H}_{+1,+\frac{3}{2}} \mathcal{H}_{-1,-\frac{1}{2}}^{*} + \mathcal{H}_{+1,+\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{H}_{-1,-\frac{3}{2}}^{*} \right) \sin 2\varphi \qquad \langle \mathcal{Q}_{3} \rangle \\ + \frac{s_{1}^{2} s_{2}^{2}}{\sqrt{3}} \operatorname{Re} \left(\mathcal{H}_{+1,+\frac{3}{2}} \mathcal{H}_{-1,-\frac{1}{2}}^{*} + \mathcal{H}_{+1,+\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{H}_{-1,-\frac{3}{2}}^{*} \right) \cos 2\varphi \qquad \langle \mathcal{P}_{3} \rangle \\ - \frac{4 s_{1} c_{1} s_{2} c_{2}}{\sqrt{6}} \operatorname{Im} \left(\mathcal{H}_{+1,+\frac{3}{2}} \mathcal{H}_{0,+\frac{1}{2}}^{*} + \mathcal{H}_{0,-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{H}_{-1,-\frac{3}{2}}^{*} \right) \sin \varphi \qquad \langle \mathcal{Q}_{1} + \frac{4 s_{1} c_{1} s_{2} c_{2}}{\sqrt{6}} \operatorname{Re} \left(\mathcal{H}_{+1,+\frac{3}{2}} \mathcal{H}_{0,+\frac{1}{2}}^{*} + \mathcal{H}_{0,-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{H}_{-1,-\frac{3}{2}}^{*} \right) \cos \varphi \qquad \langle \mathcal{P}_{1} + \frac{4 s_{1} c_{1} s_{2} c_{2}}{\sqrt{6}} \operatorname{Re} \left(\mathcal{H}_{+1,+\frac{3}{2}} \mathcal{H}_{0,+\frac{1}{2}}^{*} + \mathcal{H}_{0,-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{H}_{-1,-\frac{3}{2}}^{*} \right) \cos \varphi \qquad \langle \mathcal{P}_{1} + \frac{4 s_{1} c_{1} s_{2} c_{2}}{\sqrt{6}} \operatorname{Re} \left(\mathcal{H}_{+1,+\frac{3}{2}} \mathcal{H}_{0,+\frac{1}{2}}^{*} + \mathcal{H}_{0,-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{H}_{-1,-\frac{3}{2}}^{*} \right) \cos \varphi \qquad \langle \mathcal{P}_{1} + \frac{1 s_{1} c_{1} s_{2} c_{2}}{\sqrt{6}} \operatorname{Re} \left(\mathcal{H}_{+1,+\frac{3}{2}} \mathcal{H}_{0,+\frac{1}{2}}^{*} + \mathcal{H}_{0,-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{H}_{-1,-\frac{3}{2}}^{*} \right) \cos \varphi \qquad \langle \mathcal{P}_{1} + \frac{1 s_{1} c_{1} s_{2} c_{2}}{\sqrt{6}} \operatorname{Re} \left(\mathcal{H}_{+1,+\frac{3}{2}} \mathcal{H}_{0,+\frac{1}{2}}^{*} + \mathcal{H}_{0,-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{H}_{-1,-\frac{3}{2}}^{*} \right) \cos \varphi \qquad \langle \mathcal{P}_{1} + \frac{1 s_{1} c_{1} s_{2} c_{2}}{\sqrt{6}} \operatorname{Re} \left(\mathcal{H}_{+\frac{1}{2} s_{2}} \right) \right) \cos \varphi \qquad \langle \mathcal{P}_{1} + \frac{1 s_{1} c_{1} s_{2} c_{2}}{\sqrt{6}} \operatorname{Re} \left(\mathcal{H}_{+\frac{1}{2} s_{2}} \right) \left(\frac{1 s_{1} c_{1} s_{2} c_{2}}{\sqrt{6}} \right) \right) \right)$$

Summary

Summary

- which are **not necessary strong phases**.
- type of complementary T-odd and -even CPV.
- strong pollution.
- help determine the strong phase and hence the weak phase.
- Look forward to collaborating with both theorists and experimentalists.

Visualization of CP violation in flavor physics requires CP-conserving phases,

• New CPV observables are proposed, including double-mixing CPV, and a

 Double-mixing CPV does not require nonzero strong phases or extracts strong phases from data, providing opportunities to extract weak phases without

• T-odd and -even CPVs may help discover the baryonic CPV, and afterwards

Backup

Double mixing CP violation

- neutral mesons

Double mixing CP violation: induced by interference of different mixing paths of

