

Nuclear effect at EIC - nTMD & neutron skin Hongxi Xing (邢宏喜)

South China Normal University

The 12th Workshop on Hadron Physics and Opportunities Worldwide Dalian, Aug. 5-9, 2024





Outline

Introduction
Nuclear TMDs from global analysis
Neutron Skin from hard probe at EIC
Summary

Scientific goals at EIC worldwide











"Old" and long standing problems of nuclear partonic structure

One-dimensional nuclear partonic structure

Four Decades of the EMC Effect



EMC Collaboration, 1983









"Old" and long standing problems of nuclear partonic structure

Three-dimensional nuclear partonic structure

Cronin effect



Naive Gaussian model



$$F_{i/p}(x,k_T) = f_{i/p}(x) \frac{e^{-k_T^2/\langle k_T^2 \rangle}}{\pi \langle k_T^2 \rangle}, \qquad \langle k_T^2 \rangle_A \to \langle k_T^2 \rangle_p + \left\langle \frac{2\mu^2 L}{\lambda} \right\rangle_{k_T^2}$$







n of gluons \Rightarrow gluon density tamed



k_T

 $\sim Q$

BK adds:



Unintegrated gluor edepends on Risanc the majoritytof glu(xP, k_T transverse momer (common dainition)

> **Experimental** measurements



Nucleon partonic structure - 3D imaging

TMDs: explore the flavor-spin-motion correlation

TMDs		Unpolarized (U)	
Nucleon polarization	U	f_1	• Unpolarized
	L		
	Т	f_{1T}^{\perp}	• – • Sivers





Nucleon partonic structure - 3D imaging

Unpolarized proton



Figure 6: Left: The transverse momentum profile of the Sivers TMD for up quarks for five x values accessible at the EIC, and corresponding statisistical uncertainties. *Right:* Transverse momentum snapshots of a transversely polarized nucleon (polarization direction indicated in blue) for three values in x. The color coding of the three panels indicates the probability of finding the up quark.

Transversely polarized proton



What if the nucleon is bounded in nucleus?



Nuclear partonic structure

Two mechanisms leading to nontrivial nuclear effects !



Parton propagating in nuclear medium



Observable 1: nuclear modification with one hard scale (mass)

transverse momentum broadening in nucleus



SIDIS off nucleus

DY in pA, E772 1991







Generalized factorization formalism

perturbative expansion

$$\sigma_{phys}^{h} = \left[\alpha_{s}^{0}C_{2}^{(0)} + \alpha_{s}^{1}C_{2}^{(1)} + \alpha_{s}^{2}C_{2}^{(2)} + \dots \right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{Q} \left[\alpha_{s}^{0}C_{3}^{(0)} + \alpha_{s}^{1}C_{3}^{(1)} + \alpha_{s}^{2}C_{3}^{(2)} + \dots \right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{Q^{2}} \left[\alpha_{s}^{0}C_{4}^{(0)} + \alpha_{s}^{1}C_{4}^{(1)} + \alpha_{s}^{2}C_{4}^{(2)} + \dots \right]$$

- Only one hard scale: Q^2
- High twist collinear factorization nuclear modification from multiple scattering SIDIS: A direct probe of the nuclear quark-gluon quantum correlation

$$\Delta \langle \ell_{hT}^2 \rangle = \langle \ell_{hT}^2 \rangle_{eA} - \langle \ell_{hT}^2 \rangle_{ep} = \left(\frac{4\pi^2 \alpha_s}{N_c} z_h^2\right) \frac{\sum_q e_q^2 \Gamma_{qg}(x_B, 0, 0) D_{h/q}(z_h)}{\sum_q e_q^2 f_{q/A}(x_B) D_{h/q}(z_h)}$$

- $| \otimes T_2(x) |$
- $\cdot \cdot] \otimes T_3(x)$
- $\left. \cdot \right] \otimes T_4(x)$
- Nuclear enhanced power correction J. Qiu, G. Sterman, NPB 1990 J. Qiu, X. Luo, PRD 1998 Kang, Wang, Wang, HX, PRL 2014 $\frac{1}{Q^2} \to \frac{A^{1/3}}{Q^2}$

• Twist-4 matrix element: $T_{qg}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \int \frac{dy^-}{2\pi} e^{ix_1p^+y^-} \int \frac{dy_1^- dy_2^-}{4\pi} e^{ix_2p^+(y_1^- - y_2^-)} e^{ix_3p^+y_2^-} \theta(y_2^-) \theta(y_1^- - y^-)$ $\times \langle A | \bar{\psi}_q(0) \gamma^+ F_{\sigma}^+(y_2^-) F^{\sigma+}(y_1^-) \psi_q(y^-) | A \rangle.$



Global extraction of nuclear partonic structure - \hat{q}







-/

Nuclear partonic structure - 3D imaging

TMD factorization for cross section

$$\frac{d\sigma^A}{dx\,dQ^2\,dz\,d^2P_{h\perp}} = \sigma_0\,H(Q)\,\sum_q e_q^2\,\int_0^\infty\,\frac{b\,db}{2\pi}J_0\left(\frac{bP_{h\perp}}{z}\right)$$

TMDs

$$f^A_{q/n}(x,b;Q) = \left[C_{q\leftarrow i}\otimes f^A_{i/n}
ight](x,\mu_{b_*})\exp\left\{-S_{ ext{pert}}(x,b;Q)
ight],$$
 $D^A_{h/q}(z,b;Q) = rac{1}{z^2}\left[\hat{C}_{i\leftarrow q}\otimes D^A_{h/i}
ight](z,\mu_{b_*})\exp\left\{-S_{ ext{pert}}(x,b;Q)
ight]$

Our assumptions

- Perturbative information is left unchanged by the nuclear medium. $C_{q\leftarrow i}, C_{i\leftarrow q}, \text{ and } S_{\text{pert}} \text{ are unchanged.}$
- Non-perturbative information is modified. $f_{i/n}^A$, $D_{h/i}^A$, S_{NP}^D , and S_{NP}^f are altered.





Nuclear partonic structure - 3D

 $\bigstar \mathsf{TMDS} \qquad f^A_{q/n}(x,b;Q) = \left| C_{q\leftarrow i} \otimes f^A_{i/n} \right| \, (x,\mu_{b_*})$ $D^A_{h/q}(z,b;Q) = rac{1}{z^2} \left[\hat{C}_{i\leftarrow q} \otimes D^A_{h/i}
ight] (z,\mu_{b_*})$

Collinear Distributions We use the EPPS16 parameterization for $f_{i/n}^A$ (NLO). EPPS, EPJC 2017 We use the LIKEn parameterization for $D_{h/i}^A$ (NLO). Zurita, 2021

Perturbative order in our analysis Work at NLO+NNLL for the TMDs.

Non-perturbative parametrization

$$S_{\rm NP}^f(b,Q,A) = S_{\rm NP}^f(b,Q) + a_N \left(A^{1/3} - 1\right) b^2$$

$$S_{\rm NP}^D(z,b,Q,A) = S_{\rm NP}^D(z,b,Q) + b_N \left(A^{1/3} - 1\right) \frac{b^2}{z^2}$$

$$\left\{-S_{ ext{pert}}(\mu_{b_*}, Q) - S^f_{ ext{NP}}(b, Q, A)
ight\}$$

 $_*) \exp\left\{-S_{ ext{pert}}(\mu_{b_*}, Q) - S^D_{ ext{NP}}(b, z, Q, A)
ight\}$



Nuclear partonic structure - 3E

From collinear (1D) to TMD (3D)



- $R_{AB} = \frac{d\sigma_A}{dq_\perp} / \frac{d\sigma_B}{dq_\perp}$ -E866 -E772 -Prelim. RHIC
- $d\sigma/dq_{\perp}$ (pPb) ATLAS CMS

SIDIS Measurements

• Multiplicity ratio $R_h^A = M_h^A/M_h^D$. -HERMES 2007 -Prelim. JLab -Planned JLab -Possible EIC.

Collaboration	Process	Baseline	Nuclei	N _{dat}	χ^2
HERMES [36]	SIDIS (π)	D	Ne, Kr, Xe	27	16.3
RHIC [44]	DY	р	Au	4	2.0
E772 [42]	DY	D	C, Fe, W	16	20.1
E866 [43]	DY	Be	Fe, W	28	43.3
CMS [45]	γ^*/Z	NA	Pb	8	9.7
ATLAS [46]	γ^*/Z	NA	Pb	7	13.1
Total	16 A			90	105.2





nuclear 3D imaging - global extraction from world data Alrashed, Anderle, Kang, Terry, **HX**, PRL 2022



Reasonable good overall description on world data from HERMES, FNAL, RHIC, LHC



Three-dimension imaging in nuclei







Impact from JLab measurements

0.3 < z < 0.40.4 < z < 0.50.5 < z < 0.60.8 0.6 ¥ Ŧ 0.4 R^h_A 0.8 0.6 $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ 0.40.250.350.450.250.350.450.450.250.350.25 $P_{h\perp}$ (GeV)

 $U_{\rm NP}^{f^A}(x,b,\zeta) = U_{\rm NP}^f(x,b,\zeta) \exp\left\{-g_q^A \left(A^{1/3} - 1\right) b^2 \left(\frac{\zeta_A}{\zeta}\right)^{\Gamma}\right\}$

Alrashed, Kang, Terry, **HX**, Zhang, arXiv: 2312.09226



 Additional constrain power on the scale dependence from JLab measurements





Neutron skin







Current knowledge on neutron skin



crucial for constraining the fundamental properties of cold nuclear matter and the equation of state of neutron star

 $\Delta R_{np} = R_n - R_p$

TABLE I. Values of the neutron skins in ²⁰⁸Pb from a variety of experimental methods.

Type of measurement	Extracted neutron skin in ²⁰⁸ Pb
Proton-nucleus scattering [6]	0.083 - 0.111
Proton-nucleus scattering [7]	0.20 ± 0.04
Polarized proton-nucleus scattering [8]	0.16 ± 0.05
Polarized proton-nucleus scattering [9]	$0.211 \begin{array}{c} +0.054 \\ -0.063 \end{array}$
Pionic probes [10]	0.11 ± 0.06
Coherent π photoproduction [11]	$0.15 \pm 0.03^{+0.01}_{-0.03}$
Coherent π photoproduction [12]	$0.20 \ ^{+0.01}_{-0.03}$
Antiprotonic atoms [13]	$0.20~(\pm~0.04)~(\pm~0.05)$
Antiprotonic atoms [14]	$0.16~(\pm~0.02)~(\pm~0.04)$
Antiprotonic atoms [15]	0.15 ± 0.02
Electric dipole polarizability [16]	0.13 - 0.19
Electric dipole polarizability [17]	$0.165~(\pm 0.09)(\pm~0.013)~(\pm 0.021)$
Electric dipole polarizability	
polarized scattering at forward angle [18]	$0.156 \ ^{+0.025}_{-0.021}$
Pygmy dipole resonances [22]	0.18 ± 0.035
(α, α') GDB 120 MeV [23]	0.19 ± 0.09
parity-violating electron scattering[19]	$0.33\substack{+0.16\\-0.18}$
parity-violating electron scattering[20]	0.283 ± 0.071





Neutron skin from heavy ion collisions

New idea using heavy ion collisions



Giacalone, Nijs, Schee, PRL 2023



Extract neutron skin thickness of Pb using soft probe + hydrodynamic model at LHC









Linking physics phenomena at both low and high collision energies

Zhang, Wang, **HX**, arXiv: 2408.xxxxx



23

Jet charge in deep inelastic scattering

TMD factorization

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dQ_J} = \sum_i e_i^2 \mathcal{F}.\mathcal{T}.\left\{\tilde{f}_{i/A}(x, b_T)S_J(b_T, A_i)\right\} \times H_{ei \to ei}(Q)\mathcal{G}_i(Q_J, p_T^J R),$$

$$Q_J = \sum_{h \in \text{jet}} \left(\frac{p_T^h}{p_T^J}\right)^{\kappa} Q_h$$

Intrinsic correlation between final-state jet charge distribution and initial-state partonic distributions in nucleons





Using PDFs to tag flavor information of nucleon



Correlation between struck nucleon and PDFs using isospin symmetry







Positive (negative) jet charge suppression (enhancement) in e+Pb collision A proxy for the spatial position of the struck nucleon within the nucleus







 Jet charge distribution in eA DIS: a novel probe for high precision determination of neutron skin thickness



Summary

- to extract the twist-4 quark-gluon correlation in nucleus.
- structure of nuclei.
- measurements in eA DIS at EIC.

Focus on nuclear partonic structure in high energy nuclear collisions.

For one scale observables, we use high-twist factorization formalism

 For two scale observables with distinct difference, we use TMD factorization formalism to extract for the first time the 3D partonic

New proposal to probe neutron skin thickness using jet charge

28

Summary

- to extract the twist-4 quark-gluon correlation in nucleus.
- structure of nuclei.
- measurements in eA DIS at EIC.

Thanks for your attention!

Focus on nuclear partonic structure in high energy nuclear collisions.

For one scale observables, we use high-twist factorization formalism

 For two scale observables with distinct difference, we use TMD factorization formalism to extract for the first time the 3D partonic

New proposal to probe neutron skin thickness using jet charge



Nuclear PDFs

$$f_{i/A}(x,\mu;b) = \frac{T_A^p(b)}{T_A(b)} f_i^{p/A}(x,\mu) + \frac{T_A^n(b)}{T_A(b)} f_i^{n/A}(x,\mu)$$

Thickness function: $T_A(b)$

Woods-Saxon parameters

TABLE I. WS parameters and neutron skin thickness for $^{208}_{82}$ Pb, $^{96}_{44}$ Ru and $^{96}_{40}$ Zr used in our numerical calculations.

nucleus	$c_p[{ m fm}]$	$a_p[{ m fm}]$	$c_n[{ m fm}]$	$a_n[{ m fm}]$	ΔR_{np}
²⁰⁸ ₈₂ Pb [7]	6.68	0.448	6.69	0.448	0
²⁰⁸ ₈₂ Pb [7]	6.68	0.448	6.69	$0.566\substack{+0.028\\-0.045}$	0.15 ± 0.03
$^{208}_{82}$ Pb [10]	6.68	0.448	6.69	$0.654\substack{+0.044\\-0.046}$	$0.28 \pm \ 0.071$
$^{96}_{44}$ Ru [21]	5.06	0.493	5.075	0.505	0.03
⁹⁶ ₄₀ Zr [21]	4.915	0.521	5.015	0.574	0.16

Backup

$$=\int dz \rho_A(r)$$

$$\rho_{n,p}(r) = \frac{\rho_{n,p}^0}{1 + \exp\left(\frac{r - c_{n,p}}{a_{n,p}}\right)}$$

30