

Testing the violation of Bell inequalities in W^+W^- system

arXiv:2307.14895: Q. Bi, Q.-H. Cao, KC and H. Zhang

Introduction: Bell inequalities

Bell inequality: constructed from four two-outcome measurements $\hat{A}_{1,2}$ and $\hat{B}_{1,2}$

$$\mathcal{I}_2(\hat{A}_1, \hat{A}_2, \hat{B}_1, \hat{B}_2) = \left| \langle \hat{A}_1 \hat{B}_1 \rangle + \langle \hat{A}_1 \hat{B}_2 \rangle + \langle \hat{A}_2 \hat{B}_1 \rangle - \langle \hat{A}_2 \hat{B}_2 \rangle \right| \le 2$$

In QM, the two measurements may not commute, $[\hat{A}_1, \hat{A}_2] \neq 0$ and $[\hat{B}_1, \hat{B}_2] \neq 0$ and the inequality can be violated



Bell inequality test at colliders: $t\bar{t}, \tau^+\tau^-, W^+W^-, ZZ, \cdots$

Test the fundamental principle of QM at extreme high-energy

 W^+W^-/ZZ : the only fundamental particles with 3d spin space.

2x2 system, $A_i, B_i = \pm 1$ **3x3 system**, $A_i, B_i = -1, 0, 1$.

The Bell inequality is generalized to $I_3 \leq 2$:

$$\mathcal{I}_{3} \equiv + \left[P\left(A_{1} = B_{1}\right) + P\left(B_{1} = A_{2} + 1\right) + P\left(A_{2} = B_{2}\right) + P\left(B_{2} = A_{1}\right) \right] \\ - \left[P\left(A_{1} = B_{1} - 1\right) + P\left(B_{1} = A_{2}\right) + P\left(A_{2} = B_{2} - 1\right) + P\left(B_{2} = A_{1} - 1\right) \right]$$

t or W⁺ rest frame

 $\overrightarrow{\mathfrak{n}}$: normalized direction of ℓ^+

 \boldsymbol{Z}

Method and assumptions

The spin of top quark or W boson cannot be measured directly, and they are inferred from the distribution of their decay:

A spin-up top quark $t_{\uparrow} \rightarrow \ell^+ \nu b$: $\frac{1}{\Gamma} \frac{d\Gamma}{d\cos\theta_{\ell}} \approx \frac{1}{2} (1 + \cos\theta_{\ell})$ A general density matrix $\rho^t = \frac{1}{2} (I_2 + B_i \sigma_i)$ $B_i = 3 \langle \mathfrak{n}_i \rangle$

W boson is similar. E.g.,
$$W^+_{\uparrow} \to \ell^+ \nu$$
: $\frac{1}{\Gamma} \frac{d\Gamma}{d\cos\theta_{\ell}} = \frac{3}{8} (1 + \cos\theta_{\ell})^2$

A general density matrix

chengkun@pku.edu.cn

$$\rho_W = \frac{I_3}{3} + \sum_{i=1}^3 d_i S_i + \sum_{i,j=1}^3 q_{ij} \{S_i, S_j\} \qquad d_i = \langle \mathfrak{n}_i \rangle, \quad q_{ij} = \frac{5}{2} \left\langle \mathfrak{n}_i \mathfrak{n}_j - \frac{\delta_{ij}}{3} \right\rangle$$

Top quark: $\langle \mathfrak{n}_i \rangle \Longrightarrow$ angular momentum of top quark

W boson: $\langle \mathfrak{n}_i \rangle \Longrightarrow$ angular momentum, $\langle \mathfrak{n}_i \mathfrak{n}_j - \delta_{ij}/3 \rangle \Longrightarrow$ linear polarization



W-boson density matrix from its decayed product distribution



Decay product distribution of purely linear polarized *W* boson

If we want to measure the angular momentum of W^{\pm} , we need the direction of \overline{f} : $\overrightarrow{\mathfrak{n}}^{\pm}$ If we want to measure the linear polarization of W^{\pm} , we need the quadruple distribution

Distinguishing fermion from anti-fermion in W decay (flavor tagging)

$W^+ \rightarrow \ell^+ \nu$ or $W^+ \rightarrow u \bar{d}$ Flavor tagging: use leptonic decay, or perform jet charge tagging		 Flavor tagging in W⁺ decay Flavor tagging in W⁻ decay Flavor tagging in both decay 			
\mathfrak{n}_i :	normalized direction of anti-fermion in W rest frame, need flavor tagging.		1	\mathfrak{n}_i^+	\mathfrak{q}_{ij}^+
$\mathfrak{q}_{ij} = \mathfrak{n}_i \mathfrak{n}_j - \frac{\delta_{ij}}{3}:$	do not need flavor tagging	1		$S_i^+ \otimes I$	$S^+_{\{ij\}} \otimes I$
$\rho_{WW} = \frac{I_9}{9} + \frac{1}{3} d_i^+ S_i \otimes I_3 + \frac{1}{3} q_{ij}^+ S_{\{ij\}} \otimes I_3 + \frac{1}{3} d_i^- I_3 \otimes S_i + \frac{1}{3} q_{ij}^- I_3 \otimes S_{\{ij\}} + C_{ij}^d S_i \otimes S_j + C_{ij,kl}^q S_{\{ij\}} \otimes S_{\{kl\}} + C_{i,jk}^{dq} S_i \otimes S_{\{jk\}} + C_{ij,k}^{qd} S_{\{ij\}} \otimes S_k$		\mathfrak{n}_i^-	$I \otimes S_j^-$	$S_i^+ \otimes S_j^-$	$S^+_{\{ij\}} \otimes S^k$
		¶	$I \otimes S_{ij}^{-}$	$S_i^+ \otimes S_{\{ij\}}^-$	$S^+_{\{ij\}} \otimes S^{\{kl\}}$

Two fold ambiguity in the neutrino solution

$$\mathcal{I}_{3} \equiv + \left[P\left(A_{1} = B_{1}\right) + P\left(B_{1} = A_{2} + 1\right) + P\left(A_{2} = B_{2}\right) + P\left(B_{2} = A_{1}\right) \right] \\ - \left[P\left(A_{1} = B_{1} - 1\right) + P\left(B_{1} = A_{2}\right) + P\left(A_{2} = B_{2} - 1\right) + P\left(B_{2} = A_{1} - 1\right) \right]$$

It is a usual practice to test the Bell inequality by measuring angular momentum

$$\mathcal{I}_3^{(S)} \equiv \mathcal{I}_3(\hat{S}_{\vec{a}_1}, \hat{S}_{\vec{a}_2}; \hat{S}_{\vec{b}_1}, \hat{S}_{\vec{b}_2})$$

Then the di-lepton decay mode should be used.



Solve the neutrino momentum $|\vec{p}_{\nu}|^2 = ...$ $\vec{p}_{\nu} \cdot \vec{\ell}^+ = ...$ $\vec{p}_{\nu} \cdot \vec{\ell}^- = ...$ Quadratic equation, two fold solution.



Option a) Try unfolding (as is always needed for $t\bar{t}$), flavor tagging, etc.

Option b) Choose other observables to reduce this difficulty.

Construct Bell observables from linear polarization

For example:

Use the correlation between the *linear polarization of* W^+ and the *angular momentum of* W^- to test Bell inequalities

 $\mathcal{I}_3^{(L,S)} \equiv \mathcal{I}_3(\hat{S}_{\{x_1y_1\}}, \hat{S}_{\{x_2y_2\}}; \hat{S}_{\vec{b}_1}, \hat{S}_{\vec{b}_2})$

Measurements are done by projecting ρ_{WW} to

$$\left|S_{\{x_i,y_i\}}=a\right\rangle\otimes\left|S_{b_i}=b\right\rangle, a, b=-1,0,1$$

This depends on only part of the density matrix

$$\operatorname{Tr}\left[\hat{S}_k\hat{\Pi}_{|S_{\{xy\}}=a\rangle}\right] = 0$$

Measurable in semi-leptonic decay mode

$$e^+e^- \to W^+W^-$$

 $W^+(\to jj)W^- \to (\ell^-\bar{\nu})$

Most clear decay channel

$$\rho_{WW} = \frac{I_9}{9} + \frac{1}{3} d_j^+ S_i \otimes I_3 + \frac{1}{3} q_{ij}^+ S_{\{ij\}} \otimes I_3 \\ + \frac{1}{3} d_i^- I_3 \otimes S_i + \frac{1}{3} q_{ij}^- I_3 \otimes S_{\{ij\}} \\ + \frac{C_{ij}^d S_i \otimes S_j}{S_i \otimes S_j} + C_{ij,kl}^q S_{\{ij\}} \otimes S_{\{kl\}} \\ + \frac{C_{ijk}^{dq} S_i \otimes S_{\{jk\}}}{S_i \otimes S_{\{jk\}}} + C_{ij,k}^{qd} S_{\{ij\}} \otimes S_k$$



Simulation results

$$e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-, \sqrt{s} = 240 \text{ GeV},$$

Good consistence with the parton level result. The reconstruction is much easier.





Summary

- The Hilbert space of W pair to study is 3x3 dimension, for top anti-top its only 2x2.
 - The expression of Bell inequality is more complicated
 - But we have more flexible choices of observables
- Correlation between angular momentum:
 - Di-lepton decay mode
- Correlation between circular and linearly polarized state
 - Semi-leptonic decay mode
 - Larger cross section and easier to reconstruct
- Lepton colliders: no need to reconstruct
- Hadron colliders: less experimental difficulties

Backup



If we want to measure the angular momentum of W^{\pm} , we need the direction of \overline{f} : $\overrightarrow{\mathfrak{n}}^{\pm}$ If we want to measure the linear polarization of W^{\pm} , we need the quadruple distribution