- Nominal Parameter Setting:
- a) Total Number of Layers: 40 (30~60)
- b) Glass Cell Size: $40 \times 40 \times 10 \text{ mm}^3$ (Transverse size $10 \sim 60 \text{ mm}$; Thickness $5 \sim 15 \text{ mm}$) To further determine whether to use 40mm or 20mm as the nominal value...
- c) Total Nuclear Interaction Length: 6 λ (4~7 λ)
- d) Glass Density: 6 g/cm³ (3~8 g/cm³)
- e) Readout Time Window: 1us (0.5~2 us)
- f) Light Output: 100 p.e./MeV (20~200 p.e./MeV)
- g) Readout Threshold: 0.1 MIP
- Action items
- (1) Evaluate and determine a set of nominal parameters (full simulation)
 - a) Parameter scanning of (a) \sim (e) based on their nominal value and range
 - i. BMR
 - ii. Single particle
 - 1. HCAL only
 - 2. ECAL + HCAL
 - 3. ECAL + HCAL + upstream material
 - b) Cost estimation: material (volume) + readout (#channels)
- (2) Fast simulation
 - a) Neutral hadron reconstruction efficiency
 - i. Confirm whether the energy is the total energy or kinematic
 - ii. Multiplicity statistics
 - b) Charged hadron PID efficiency ~80%
 - c) Time threshold, light yield smearing, etc
 - d) Comparison of different configurations
 - i. GSHCAL vs AHCAL, Crystal ECAL vs Si-W ECAL
 - ii. Need dedicated full simulation inputs (intrinsic resolution, thresholds, PFA confusions, etc)
- (3) Digitization
 - a) A validated digitization method
 - b) Light yield
 - c) The non-uniformity of the glass cell and position response in each cell
- (4) Consider the impact of the glass composition (Li/Gd-content ratio) on the neutron

response and final PFA performance

- (5) Varying glass cell size for the longitudinal setup (bigger cell size in the tail of the GSHCAL to reduce the cost)
- (6) Consider the possibility to reuse the steel absorber as a part of the yoke
- Try to make all comparisons as fair as possible
 - Choose AHCAL as a benchmark (expected comparable contribution from PFA confusion)
 - > The (fitting) method to extract the BMR
 - Straightforward comparison at raw mass distribution level is necessary