Brief review of sound velocity

Mamiya







Intermediate density region is unclear: nobody knows a relevant physical picture.

 \rightarrow it is closely related to the QCD phase transition at finite density: nonperturbative property of QCD.





Order of phase transition is reflected to energy and pressure:

jump appears if first order phase transition happens. Otherwise smooth curve indicates the crossover



Order of phase transition is reflected to energy and pressure:

jump appears if first order phase transition happens. Otherwise smooth curve indicates the crossover

We can consider several cases.





Order of phase transition is reflected to energy and pressure:

jump appears if first order phase transition happens. Otherwise smooth curve indicates the crossover

We can consider several cases.





Due to first order, mass and radius relation in intermediate density region becomes complicated.

But... this complicated behavior is inconsistent with the experimental observations: straight line would be preferred.





Order of phase transition is reflected to energy and pressure:

jump appears if first order phase transition happens. Otherwise smooth curve indicates the crossover

We can consider several cases.



Case 1 would be discarded.



Order of phase transition is reflected to energy and pressure:

jump appears if first order phase transition happens. Otherwise smooth curve indicates the crossover

We can consider several cases.



The difference comes from the behavior of the speed of sound.



Observations: (NICER, GW170817, nuclear) [e.g., Miller+'21]



Experimental observations indicate that straight line would be preferred.

6/37



Observations: (NICER, GW170817, nuclear) [e.g., Miller+'21]





Experimental observations indicate that straight line would be preferred.



It is preferred that ...

- Energy and pressure is smooth (crossover).
- Sound velocity has peak structure.

*Note that this is one of possible scenarios. Maybe, there is a way for first order scenario to survive.

Sound velocity peak predicted by effective models

- K. Masuda, T. Hatsuda and T. Takatsuka, PTEP 2013, no.7, 073D01 (2013) (first study for sound velocity in neutron star)
 - They used the NJL model with vector interaction (three flavor analysis).
 - They discuss the correlation between the quark-hadron crossover picture observed neutron stars (M-R relation).
 - They have shown that the vector meson interaction enhances the peak structure of the sound velocity.
- L. McLerran and S. Reddy, PRL. 122, no.12, 122701 (2019)
 - They employed quarkyonic matter model.
 - Quarkyonic matter model also provides the peak.
 - Asymptotic behavior at high density has been pointed out:
 "It reaches a maximum at relatively low density, decreases, and then increases again to its asymptotic value of 1/3."
- T. Kojo and D. Suenaga, PRD 105, no.7, 076001 (2022)
 Microscopic interpretation on the peak structure is given: distribution of quark saturation is related to peak structure.



Almost analyses for the peak structure
have been investigated by effective models based on quark pictures.
→This indicates that hadron picture is irrelevant to the peak structure???
(still unclear)

Sound velocity in lattice QCD simulations (Recent hot topic)



High density behavior of sound velocity (Recent hot topic)

It is expected that the sound velocity approaches 1/3. Recently the detail of high density behavior has been extensively studied.

 L. McLerran and S. Reddy, PRL. 122, no.12, 122701 (2019) In quarkyonic matter model, the sound velocity approaches 1/3 from below.



 Y. Fujimoto and K. Fukushima, PRD 105, no.1, 014025 (2022) In hard Dense Loop resummation, the sound velocity approaches 1/3 from above.



My recent work in Riken

I focus on the two color QCD system



ChPT provides the benchmark line:
$$c_s^2=rac{1-\mu_c^4/\mu^4}{1+3\mu_c^4/\mu^4}~~\mu_c=m_\pi^{
m vac}/2$$

Expression is independent on model parameter.



ChPT result does not provide the peak structure. \rightarrow ChPT line is inconsistent with lattice observation.

My recent work in Riken

I focus on the two color QCD system



ChPT provides the benchmark line:
$$c_s^2=rac{1-\mu_c^4/\mu^4}{1+3\mu_c^4/\mu^4}$$
 $\mu_c=m_\pi^{
m vac}/2$

Expression is independent on model parameter.



ChPT result does not provide the peak structure. \rightarrow ChPT line is inconsistent with lattice observation.

In my work, I have found a new expression based on the linear sigma model.

$$(c_s^{\text{LSM}})^2 = \frac{n_{\text{ChPT}} + \delta n}{\mu_q (\chi_{\text{ChPT}} + \delta \chi)} = \frac{(1 - 1/\bar{\mu}^4) + 8(\bar{\mu}^2 - 1)/\delta \bar{m}_{\sigma-\pi}^2}{(1 + 3/\bar{\mu}^4) + 8(3\bar{\mu}^2 - 1)/\delta \bar{m}_{\sigma-\pi}^2}, \qquad \qquad \delta \bar{m}_{\sigma-\pi}^2 = \left\{ (m_{\sigma}^{\text{vac}})^2 - (m_{\pi}^{\text{vac}})^2 \right\}/(\mu_q^{\text{cr}})^2 \\ \bar{\mu} = \mu_q/\mu_q^{\text{cr}}. \qquad \qquad \bar{\mu} = \mu_q/\mu_q^{\text{cr}}.$$

This is an expression extended from the ChPT: sigma meson mass is included.

This is independent on the model parameters.

Provides the peak: peak is driven by the sigma meson mass \rightarrow peak is related to chiral structure.

Summary • Peak structure of sound velocity is necessary to explain the experimental observations:



Its detail is still unclear, owing to the phase transition (non-perturbative property).

- Peak has been observed in lattices:
- 3-color QCD at finite isospin chemical potential
- 2-color QCD at finite baryon chemical potential (I focus on the 2-color QCD.)
- High density behavior of sound velocity

The sound velocity approaches 1/3 from below or above.

(Fate of sound velocity is unknown.)



Thank you