



中国物理学会高能物理分会 HIGH ENERGY PHYSICS BRANCH OF CPS

ENERGY PHYSICS BRANCH OF CP 14/8/2024-18/8/2024

ϕ production in Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ =19.6, 14.6, and 7.7 GeV with the STAR experiment

Weiguang Yuan
(for the STAR Collaboration)
Tsinghua University



- > Motivation
- > Experimental analysis
- > Results
 - $\checkmark p_{\rm T}$ spectra
 - ✓ Rapidity spectra
 - ✓ Nuclear modification factors
 - $\checkmark \phi/K^-, \Omega/\phi$ ratio
- > Summary

Supported in part by the




Office of Science

Motivation

- ➤ Beam Energy Scan (BES) program:
 - Search for the critical point
 - Search for the first-order phase transition
 - Search for the threshold of QGP formation
- > Energy dependency of QGP signature
 - Strange baryon-to-meson ratio can be utilized to understand hadronization mechanism
 - R_{CP} may give insight into the parton energy loss

$$R_{ ext{CP}} = rac{[(dN/dp_T)/\langle N_{ ext{coll}}\,
angle]_{ ext{central}}}{[(dN/dp_T)/\langle N_{ ext{coll}}\,
angle]_{ ext{peripheral}}}$$





Baryon Chemical Potential μ_B

Motivation: Why study ϕ ?

- ➤ Long lifetime and small reaction cross-section
- Lifetime: $41 fm/c \rightarrow$ the decay products are not disturbed by the late hadronic rescatterings
- Small cross-section $\rightarrow \phi$ is more likely to remain unaffected by the later stage of hadronic interactions


- ➤ Enhanced yield in QGP
- Restored chiral symmetry in QGP \rightarrow the mass of s and \bar{s} is smaller and $s\bar{s}$ pairs can be produced in large quantities by gluon fusion and light $q\bar{q}$ pairs annihilation






Motivation: Why Ω/ϕ ratio?

STAR: Phys. Rev. C 93 (2016) 2, 021903




• Ω/ϕ ratio for BES-I energies




• Ω/ϕ ratio for 200 GeV energies

- At $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV, the enhanced Ω/ϕ ratios from p+p collision to central Au+Au collision may indicate the existence of QGP
- > For BES-I energy, the uncertainties are too large to draw a firm conclusion below 11.5GeV.


Motivation: More precise measurement




- ➤ BES-II compared to BES-I: ~10-18 times larger statistics
 - \rightarrow higher precision and wider μ_B coverage



Particle identification and reconstruction




➤ Particle identification with upgraded TPC and bTOF




 Combinational background have been removed by Mix-Event Method.


$p_{\rm T}$ spectra of ϕ at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 19.6, 14.6$ and 7.7 GeV



 \triangleright For ϕ : Levy function fit to extrapolate down to zero p_T


$p_{\rm T}$ spectra of Ω at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}=19.6, 14.6$ and 7.7 GeV






For Ω: Boltzmann function fit to extrapolate down to zero p_T

Rapidity spectra of ϕ



- \triangleright Rapidity spectra of ϕ are Gaussian-like distributions
- > Rapidity distribution become wider with increasing energy


Nuclear modification factor



$$R_{ ext{CP}} = rac{[(dN/dp_T)/\langle N_{ ext{coll}}\,
angle]_{ ext{central}}}{[(dN/dp_T)/\langle N_{ ext{coll}}\,
angle]_{ ext{peripheral}}}$$


- R_{CP} < 1 for higher $p_{\rm T}$ at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200~{\rm GeV} \rightarrow$ Partonic energy loss in the QGP medium
- $R_{CP} > 1$ for higher p_T at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 19.6$ GeV and lower energies \rightarrow Cronin-type interactions, radial flow and/or coalescence hadronization
- R_{CP} of ϕ at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 7.7$ GeV is significantly different from that at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 14.6$ and 19.6 GeV

Centrality dependence of ϕ yields (dN/dy)



- Fit function: $(dN/dy)/(N_{part}/2) = k \times N_{part}^{\alpha-1}$
- \succ α parameter for ϕ is slightly larger than that for Λ , K and less than UrQMD predictions


Centrality and Energy dependence of ϕ/K^- ratio



- The ϕ/K^- ratio exhibits no clear dependency on centrality or energy across the range of $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 7.7$ to 19.6 GeV
- The ϕ/K^- ratio reaches the GCE limit at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 7.7$, 14.6 and 19.6 GeV

13

$\Omega(sss)/\phi(s\overline{s})$ ratio



Similar to the observation at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV, the Ω/ϕ ratio increases from peripheral to central collisions at intermediated $p_{\rm T}$, which is compatible with the existence of QGP at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \ge 7.7$ GeV

Summary

Summary:


- The $p_{\rm T}$, centrality and rapidity dependences of ϕ production at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 7.7$, 14.6 and 19.6 GeV have been presented
- Hadronic transport model UrQMD cannot describe centrality dependence well from $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 7.7$ to 19.6 GeV
- For ϕ/K^- ratio, both GCE and CE calculations are consistent with the data across the range of $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 7.7$ to 19.6 GeV
- The ϕ R_{CP} at low energies shows the radial flow and quark coalescence effects
- The $\Omega(sss)/\phi(s\overline{s})$ ratio is compatible with the existence of QGP signals at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \ge 7.7 \text{ GeV}$


Outlook:

- The measurements in other BES-II datasets at different energies will be conducted
- Other BES-II energies: $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 9.2$, 11.5 and 17.3 GeV

Thanks!!

Back up: Rapidity spectra of ϕ in UrQMD at 19.6 GeV





Back up:

Coalescence model

According to recombination model, if exist QGP, mesons and baryons can be formed by combining quarks.

The yield distribution of a produced meson with momentum p:

$$p^0 rac{dN_B}{dp} = \int rac{dp_1}{p_1} rac{dp_2}{p_2} rac{dp_3}{p_3} \, F_{qq'q''}(p_1, p_2, p_3) \, R_B(p_1, p_2, p_3, p).$$

The yield distribution of a produced baryon with momentum p:

$$p^0 rac{dN_M}{dp} = \int rac{dp_1}{p_1} rac{dp_2}{p_2} F_{q\bar{q}'}(p_1, p_2) R_M(p_1, p_2, p),$$





$$\frac{dN_{\phi}}{pdp} = \frac{g_{\phi}}{pp_0} F_{s\bar{s}}(p/2, p/2),$$

$$\frac{dI}{dI}$$


$$\frac{dN_{\Omega}}{pdp} = \frac{g_{\Omega}}{pp_0} F_{sss}(p/3, p/3, p/3),$$

$$\overline{pdp}$$

$$\frac{g_{\Omega}}{nn_0} F_{sss}(p/3, p/3, p/3)$$

The yield distribution of Ω and ϕ .

 $F_{s\bar{s}} = \mathcal{T}_s \mathcal{T}_s + \mathcal{T}_s \mathcal{S}_s + \{\mathcal{S}_s \mathcal{S}_s\},$ $F_{sss} = \mathcal{T}_s \mathcal{T}_s \mathcal{T}_s + \mathcal{T}_s \mathcal{T}_s \mathcal{S}_s + \mathcal{T}_s \{\mathcal{S}_s \mathcal{S}_s\} + \{\mathcal{S}_s \mathcal{S}_s \mathcal{S}_s\}$.



$$\mathcal{S}(p_2) = \xi \sum_i \int_{k_0}^{\infty} dk \, k \, f_i(k) \, S_i(p_2/k) \; .$$

 T_s is the thermal parton distribution comes from QGP.

 S_s is the shower parton distribution comes from hard scattering.

Back up:

Coalescence model

> Just consider the contribution of thermal partons:


$$rac{dN_{\phi}}{pdp} = g_{\phi}C_{s}^{2}rac{p}{4p_{0}}e^{-p/T_{s}}\;, \ rac{dN_{\Omega}}{pdp} = g_{\Omega}C_{s}^{3}rac{p^{2}}{27p_{0}}e^{-p/T_{s}}\;,$$




$$R_{\Omega/\phi}^{
m th}(p) = rac{4g_\Omega C_s}{27g_\phi} p,$$


Ratio of Ω/ϕ is proportional to p.

 \triangleright The Ω/ϕ ratio distribution with p_T






The model prediction result of Ω/ϕ ratio in QGP!



UrQMD



No obvious Centrality dependence for 7.7 GeV.