中国物理学会高能物理介会 HIGH ENERGY PHYSICS BRANCH OF CPS



# 第十四屆全国粒子物理学术会议

## 微扰QCD和精确计算研究进展 马滟青(北京大学) yqma@pku.edu.cn





### Outline

#### I. Overview

II. Methodology

**III. Phenomenology** 

**IV. Summary and outlook** 

### Precision: gateway to discovery

New particles/physics have not been discovered yet at LHC



To make full use of data: theoretical errors should be much smaller than experimental errors, ideally:

$$Error_{th} < \frac{1}{3} Error_{exp}$$

## 10 years of Higgs



### ➤ 10 years later

ATLAS:  $\mu = 1.05 \pm 0.06 = 1.05 \pm 0.04$ (th)  $\pm 0.03$ (exp)  $\pm 0.03$ (stat) CMS:  $\mu = 1.002 \pm 0.057 = 1.002 \pm 0.036$ (th)  $\pm 0.033$ (exp)  $\pm 0.029$ (stat)

- Theoretical error dominant!
- Theorists also working hard, but experimentalists are excellent...



### Uncertainty budget



## Looking ahead

#### > High-precision data expected

- Run III of LHC
- High luminosity LHC: expect O(1%) uncertainty
- Requirement: reducing theoretical uncertainties by at least a factor of 5-10 (1-2 higher orders in  $\alpha_s$ !)
- Usually, 1000 times more computational resource for each higher order!

#### Can theorists keep up?



## Era of precision physics at the LHC

#### High-precision data

- Many observables probed at
   precent level precision
- At least NNLO QCD and NLO EW corrections generally required (plus parton shower, resummation, etc.)



#### Automatic NNLO (and higher) correction is highly demanded

Note: Automatic NLO correction obtained 15 years ago: MadGraph, Helac, etc

### A "billion-dollar project"

- Halving total uncertainty  $\approx$  building another LHC
- Note: LHC cost about 10 billion dollars

### High-order community from the Mainland



### The whole community





I. Overview

**II. Methodology** 

**III. Phenomenology** 

**IV. Summary and outlook** 



### Packages for perturbative calculations

#### Selected contributions from the Mainland

- 2004 FDC: automatic NLO computation Wang, NIMA2004
- 2012 Apart: multiloop partial fraction Feng, CPC2012
- 2021 pfd-parallel: general partial fraction Bendle, et al. CPC2024
- 2021 FastGPL: compute GPL functions Wang, Yang, Zhou, 2112.04122
- 2021 HepLib: Amplitude computation Feng, et al. CPC2021
- 2022 AMFlow: Feynman integrals computer Liu, YQM, CPC2023
- 2023 NeatIBP: IBP generator <u>Wu, et al. CPC2024</u>
- 2024 FeAmGen.jl: generate amplitude <u>Wu, Li, CPC2024</u>
- 2024 Blade: Feynman integrals reducer Guan, Liu YQM, Wu, 2405.14621
- 2024 AmpRed: Amplitude computation <u>Chen, 2408.06426</u>

   Incredible progress!!!

Don't suppress for any future shocking pheno. works

### Package AMFlow

> In principle, compute any Feynman integral



X.Liu, YQM, Wang, PLB2018 X.Liu, YQM, PRD2022 Z.F.Liu, YQM, PRL2022

- With only input from linear algebra (linear relations between Feynman integrals)
- Works for any-loop order
- AMFlow: used by the community almost every week Liu, YQM, CPC2023

### The first solution for the 40-years-long challenging problem

## Package NeatIBP



#### Module intersection

**Laplace expansion M1:**  $\sum_{j} P_{ij} \frac{\partial P}{\partial P_{kj}} - \delta_{ik} P = 0$ 

 $M = M_1 \cap M_2$ 

Böhm, Georgoudis, Larsen, Schulze, Zhang, PRD2018 Bosma, Larsen, Zhang, PoS LL2018 Boehm, et al., PoS MA2019

> NeatIBP: the first public implementation



### Package AmpRed

#### Define the kinematics

SetKinematics[{P} → {p[1], p[2]}, {FA["MH"]} → {0, 0}];
FA["MH"] = FA["EL"] = FA["GS"] = 1;
FA["MT"] = 2; FA["MW"] = 1/2;
FA["SW"] = 1/2;

#### Convert the amplitudes

amp = FA2AR[Get["HiggsDecay\_TwoLoop\_Amplitude.m"], ToFeynmanInt → True] /. {\_Incoming

#### Tensor algebras

```
amp1 = amp // SpinorChainSimplify // ColourSimplify;
```

#### Integral reduction

```
amp2 = AlphaReduce[amp];
```

#### Numerical evaluation of master integrals

```
amp3 = AlphaIntEvaluateN[amp1, 8, {}, PrecisionGoal \rightarrow 40, Print \rightarrow Print];
```

#### Result

```
\begin{aligned} & \mathsf{Chop}[\mathsf{Collect}[\mathsf{amp3}[[1]] // \mathsf{epsSeries}, \{\_\mathsf{Pair}, \mathsf{eps}\}], \mathsf{10^{-20}}] \\ & \left(\frac{0.65559697196369893399271569290977 \, i \, C_F}{\epsilon} + 63.1085616002458945574655257118952 \, i \, C_F\right) p_1 \cdot \check{\epsilon}_{p_2} \, p_2 \cdot \check{\epsilon}_{p_1} + \\ & \left(\frac{0.09561556944391453841294459224112 \, i \, C_F}{\epsilon} - 0.1812688202764029678196077961648 \, i \, C_F\right) p_1 \cdot \check{\epsilon}_{p_1} \, p_2 \cdot \check{\epsilon}_{p_2} + \\ & \left(-\frac{0.3277984859818494669963578464549 \, i \, C_F}{\epsilon} - 31.5542808001229472787327628559476 \, i \, C_F\right) \check{\epsilon}_{p_1}^* \cdot \check{\epsilon}_{p_2}^* \end{aligned}
```

	<u>陈文, 8.15下午</u>
Foundations of AmpRed	
<ul> <li>Integral reductions throu Chen (JHEP) 2020</li> </ul>	gh the Feynman-parameter representation
Chen (EPJC) 2020 Chen (EPJC) 2021	<u>Chen, 2408.06426</u>
<ul> <li>Recursive calculations of Chen, Luo, Yang, Zhu (J Chen 2406.12051</li> </ul>	parametric integrals HEP) 2023

- Computing two-loop  $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$  in a few lines
- An almost standalone package

### New methods

### Compute Feynman integral using generating functions

- General one-loop reduction <u>Feng, CTP2023; Feng, Hu, Sheng, Yang, 2403.16040;</u> 胡畅, 8.15下午
- Multi-loop scalar reduction <u>Guan, Li, YQM, PRD2023</u>

### Find more symmetry relations

Wu, Zhang, 2406.20016

- Momentum transformation with rational functions, rather than constant
- Some times reduce the number of master integrals

### > Systematic way to deal with $\gamma^5$

Refined Kreimer scheme

Chen, JHEP2023

Avoid finite renormalization



#### I. Overview

II. Methodology

**III.** Phenomenology

**IV. Summary and outlook** 

### Higgs Potential Not Determined Yet



- Higgs pair production: direct probe the potential, discrimination between different scenarios
- 6 experimental talks on this topic



## Rigorous constraint on Higgs boson self-couplings

#### > Naïve functional form not correct due to higher corrections

 $\sigma_{HH} = A + B\kappa + C\kappa^2$  Rescaling  $\kappa$  results in wrong EW parameters:  $e, m_H, m_t, m_W, m_Z$ 

### Propose a modified renormalizable Lagrangian

 $\mathcal{L}_{\rm H} = \frac{1}{2} Z_{\phi} (\partial_{\mu} H)^2 - \left( -\frac{1}{2} Z_{\mu^2} Z_{\phi} Z_v^2 \mu^2 v^2 + \frac{1}{4} Z_{\lambda} Z_{\phi}^2 Z_v^4 \lambda v^4 \right) - (Z_{\lambda} Z_{\phi}^2 Z_v^3 \lambda v^3 - Z_{\mu^2} Z_{\phi} Z_v \mu^2 v) H - \left( \frac{3}{2} Z_{\lambda} Z_{\phi}^2 Z_v^2 \lambda v^2 - \frac{1}{2} Z_{\mu^2} Z_{\phi} \mu^2 \right) H^2 - Z_{\kappa_{3\rm H}} Z_{\lambda} Z_{\phi}^2 Z_v \lambda_{3\rm H} v H^3 - \frac{1}{4} Z_{\kappa_{4\rm H}} Z_{\lambda} Z_{\phi}^2 \lambda_{4\rm H} H^4 + \cdots,$ 

Li, Si, Wang, Zhang, Zhao, 2407.14716

More stringent constraint for ATLAS (CMS) limit:  $6.6 (6.49) \rightarrow 5.4 (5.37)$ 



张晓**. 8.15**下午

### EW correction for $pp \rightarrow HH$

#### > EW: the largest theoretical uncertainty 0.100 **QCD** corrections known to NNNLO, 3% uncertainty • — LO [40.010] do/dM<sup>HH</sup> [tp/Gev] 0.001 10<sup>-4</sup> - NLO g ( gHHq $10^{-5}$ 1.15**Based on packages AMFlow+Blade+CalcLoop** ٠ 1.10 1.05 1.00 1.00 N 0.95 0.90 0.85 400 600 800 1000 1200 1400 1600 1800 $M_{HH}/2 \sqrt{p_T^2 + m_H^2}$ *M<sub>HH</sub>* [GeV] $\mu$ $m_H$ **EW** corrections reduce the LO results by 4% LO 19.96(6)25.09(8)21.11(7)

NLO

 $\mathcal{K}$ -factor 0.958(1)

19.12(6)

20.21(6)

0.957(1)

23.94(8)

0.954(1)

- More than 10% corrections for some regions
- **Uncertainty of EW less than 1%**

Bi, Huang, Huang, YQM, Yu, PRL2024

## Towards NNLO calculation for $t\bar{t}H$ production



- Two-loop estimated 100% error
- Unreliable for differential cross section

Catani et al., PRL2023

### Towards NNLO calculation for $t\bar{t}H$ production (con.)

### Approximation in the high energy limit

<u> 杨李林, 8.15下午</u>

$$\left|\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{massive}}(\{p\},\{m\})
ight
angle = \prod_{i} \left(\mathcal{Z}_{[i]}^{(m|0)}(\{m\})
ight)^{1/2} \mathcal{S}(\{p\},\{m\}) \left|\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{massless}}(\{p\})
ight
angle$$



Wang, Xia, Yang, Ye, 2402.00431

- Massless amplitudes: much simpler, computed using standard techniques
- Two-loop amplitudes at high energies are ready
- Combine with low energy approximations (threshold / soft Higgs) in future

## Precise prediction for $e^+e^- \rightarrow Q\bar{Q}$

### For R-ratio

- Light particles production: known to N<sup>4</sup>LO in QCD
- $Q\bar{Q}$  production: only  $N^2LO$  in QCD is known

### > NNNLO QCD calculation



Based on packages AMFlow+Blade+CalcLoop

E.g. top: 0.1% correction for total,10% correction for differential

#### Chen, Guan, He, Liu, YQM, PRL2024



## Precise prediction for $e^+e^- \rightarrow Q\overline{Q}$ (con.)

#### Factorized out Coulomb part and resum





吴兴刚, 8.15上午

FIG. 3. (Color online) Total cross section  $\sigma_{t\bar{t}}$  with different QCD corrections under conventional (dashed line) and PMCs (solid line) scale-setting approaches, respectively.

Yan, Wu, Wu, Shan, Zhou, PLB2024

## NNNLO QCD prediction for $t \rightarrow b + W$

### > Top decay width $\Gamma_t$ : sensitive to new physics

<u> 王烨凡, 8.15上午</u>

- $\Gamma_t = 1.36 \pm 0.02 \text{ (stat.)}^{+0.14}_{-0.11} \text{ (syst.)}$  GeV [CMS, 2014].
- In the future *e*+*e* collider an uncertainty of 30 MeV will be achieved, needs NNNLO QCD



- Analytic for leading color: <u>Chen, Li, Li, Wang, Wang, Wu, PRD2024</u>
- Numeric for full color: <u>Chen, Chen, Guan, Ma, 2309.01937</u>

 $\Gamma_t = 1.3148^{+0.003}_{-0.005} + 0.027 (m_t - 172.69) \,\text{GeV}$ 



\*

0.009

\*

Further improment using PMC scale settings: Jiang, Wu, Zhou, Li, Shan, PRD2024

N<sup>3</sup>LO

 $1.321^{+0.0025}_{-0.0021}$ 

-0.667

### Analytic computation of muliloop multileg integrals

<u>张扬, 8.14下午</u>



• The analytic computation of many more multi-loop multi-leg multi-scale Feynman integrals may be possible

## **Energy Correlators**



 $\Sigma_{\text{EEC}} = \frac{1}{\sigma} \int d\sigma \sum_{ii} \frac{E_i E_j}{Q^2} \delta(\chi - \theta_{ij})$ 

Sterman, 1975 Bashman, et al. 1978

#### Conformal collider physics: Energy and charge correlations

Diego M. Hofman<sup>a</sup> and Juan Maldacena<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Joseph Henry Laboratories, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA <sup>b</sup>School of Natural Sciences, Institute for Advanced Study Princeton, NJ 08540, USA



Maldacena: People do not do this, I haven't figured out why they don't. I think they just haven't thought about this.



 $\mathscr{E}(n_1)\mathscr{E}(n_2) \sim \theta^{-2+\gamma(3)} \mathcal{O}$ 

Scaling rule by Hofman, Maldecena conformal theory



#### Rethinking jet using Energy Correlator

Dixon, Luo, Shtabovenko, Yang, Zhu, PRL 2018 Editors' Suggestion Gao, Hai Tao Li, Moult, Zhu, PRL 2019 + ....



#### A new probe of QGP

Yang, He, Moult, Wang, 2024 PRL + ...



#### Nucleon Energy Correlator for hadron structures

Liu, Zhu, PRL 2023

Liu, Liu, Pan, Yuan, Zhu, PRL 2023 Physics + ....







Predictions indicate that a new type of measurement at the future electron-ion collider could spot an elusive high-density regime of gluons called the color glass condensate.



#### Quarkonium Energy Correlator



+ Hao Chen, Yi Bei Li; Jun Gao; Hai Tao Li; Jian-Ping Ma; Dingyu Shao; Wang Wei; Kai Yan ...

总结及展望

▶ 为了达到精确检验目的,高阶微扰计算亟需大力发展

- ▶ 过去两年多,中国微扰论团队在理论方法、程序开发、唯象应用方面,均取得巨大的进展
- ▶10年时间, 微扰论团队从萌芽发展至与国际并跑阶段
- ▶ 未来期待:从量变到质变,逐渐取得国际引领地位