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## Nuclear physics: Seperation of scales



Degrees of Freedom Energy (MeV)


## Chiral effective field theory

Chiral EFT: The low-energy equivalence of the QCD Weinberg $(1979,1990,1991)$, Gasser, Leutwyler $(1984,1985)$

- Proton (uud), neutron (udd), pion (ū$)$
- Spontaneously broken chiral symmetry: $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{L} \times \mathrm{SU}(2)_{R} \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}(2)_{V}$
- Goldstone theorem implies a light pion: Long-range part of the nuclear force
- Contact terms: Short-range part of the nuclear force
- Hard scale: $\Lambda_{\chi} \sim 1 \mathrm{GeV}$ : Chiral EFT works for momentum $Q \ll \Lambda_{\chi}$


Quarks confined in nucleons and pions

## Introduction to Lattice Effective Field Theory

## Lattice EFT = Chiral EFT + Lattice + Monte Carlo

Review: Dean Lee, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 63, 117 (2009),
Lähde, Meißner, "Nuclear Lattice Effective Field Theory", Springer (2019)

- Discretized chiral nuclear force
- Lattice spacing $a \approx 1 \mathrm{fm}=620 \mathrm{MeV}$ ( $\sim$ chiral symmetry breaking scale)
- Protons \& neutrons interacting via short-range, $\delta$-like and long-range, pion-exchange interactions
- Exact method, polynomial scaling $\left(\sim A^{2}\right)$


Lattice adapted for nucleus

## Euclidean time projection

- Get interacting g. s. from imaginary time projection:

$$
\left|\Psi_{\text {g.s. }}\right\rangle \propto \lim _{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \exp (-\tau H)\left|\Psi_{A}\right\rangle
$$

with $\left|\Psi_{A}\right\rangle$ representing $A$ free nucleons.

- Expectation value of any operator $\mathscr{O}$ :

$$
\langle O\rangle=\lim _{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\langle\Psi_{A}\right| \exp (-\tau H / 2) \mathscr{O} \exp (-\tau H / 2)\left|\Psi_{A}\right\rangle}{\left\langle\Psi_{A}\right| \exp (-\tau H)\left|\Psi_{A}\right\rangle}
$$

- $\tau$ is discretized into time slices:


$$
\exp (-\tau H) \simeq\left[: \exp \left(-\frac{\tau}{L_{t}} H\right):\right]^{L_{t}}
$$

All possible configurations in $\tau \in\left[\tau_{i}, \quad \tau_{f}\right]$ are sampled.
Complex structures like nucleon clustering emerges naturally.

## Zeroth order Hamiltonian (perturbative order)

We use a zeroth order lattice Hamiltonian that respects the Wigner-SU(4) symmetry

$$
H_{0}=K+\frac{1}{2} C_{\mathrm{SU} 4} \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}}: \tilde{\rho}^{2}(\boldsymbol{n}):
$$

The smeared density operator $\tilde{\rho}(\boldsymbol{n})$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\rho}(\boldsymbol{n})=\sum_{i} \tilde{a}_{i}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{n}) \tilde{a}_{i}(\boldsymbol{n})+s_{L} \sum_{\left|\boldsymbol{n}^{\prime}-\boldsymbol{n}\right|=1} \sum_{i} \tilde{a}_{i}^{\dagger}\left(\boldsymbol{n}^{\prime}\right) \tilde{a}_{i}\left(\boldsymbol{n}^{\prime}\right), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i$ is the joint spin-isospin index

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{a}_{i}(\boldsymbol{n})=a_{i}(\boldsymbol{n})+s_{N L} \sum_{\left|\boldsymbol{n}^{\prime}-\boldsymbol{n}\right|=1} a_{i}\left(\boldsymbol{n}^{\prime}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this work we use a lattice spacing $a=1.32 \mathrm{fm}$ and the parameter set


## Essential elements for nuclear binding

Charge density and neutron matter equation of state are impotant in element creation, neutron star merger, etc.


## MC sign problem for realistic interactions




- Sign problem: Monte Carlo works well for well-behaved functions, however, sometimes the integral becomes highly oscillating.
- QMC sign problem comes from the fermion anti-symmetrization.
- Split $H=H_{0}+\lambda V_{C}$. $H_{0}$ : w/o sign problem; $V_{C}: w /$ sign problem.
- Solution 1: numerical extrapolation from $\lambda=0$ to $\lambda=1$.
- Solution 2: perturbative calculation near $\lambda=0$.

- We split $H=H_{0}+\left(H-H_{0}\right)$ and perform perturbative calculations
- $E_{0}$ is the ground state of $H_{0}$
- $E_{1}=E_{0}+\delta E_{1}$ is the first order corrected energy
- $E_{2}=E_{1}+\delta E_{2}$ is the second order corrected energy
- $E_{\text {non-pt }}$ is the exact solution ( $\sim$ infinite order)
- Red bars on the right: Experiments Lu et al., PRL 128, 242501 (2022)

For ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$ and ${ }^{16} \mathrm{O}$, sign problem prevent us from going to large $\tau$, resulting in large statistical errors.

Perturbative QMC theory reduce the statistical errors at a cost of perurbative truncation errors!

## Perturbative quantum Monte Carlo method

Table: The nuclear binding energies at different orders calculated with the ptQMC. $E_{\text {exp }}$ is the experimental value. All energies are in MeV . We only show statistical errors from the MC simulations.

|  | $E_{0}$ | $\delta E_{1}$ | $E_{1}$ | $\delta E_{2}$ | $E_{2}$ | $E_{\text {exp }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ | $-7.41(3)$ | +2.08 | $-5.33(3)$ | -2.99 | $-8.32(3)$ | -8.48 |
| ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$ | $-23.1(0)$ | -0.2 | $-23.3(0)$ | -5.8 | $-29.1(1)$ | -28.3 |
| ${ }^{8} \mathrm{Be}$ | $-44.9(4)$ | -1.7 | $-46.6(4)$ | -11.1 | $-57.7(4)$ | -56.5 |
| ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ | $-68.3(4)$ | -1.8 | $-70.1(4)$ | -18.8 | $-88.9(3)$ | -92.2 |
| ${ }^{16} \mathrm{O}$ | $-94.1(2)$ | -5.6 | $-99.7(2)$ | -29.7 | $-129.4(2)$ | -127.6 |
| ${ }^{16} \mathrm{O}^{\dagger}$ | $-127.6(4)$ | +24.2 | $-103.4(4)$ | -24.3 | $-127.7(2)$ | -127.6 |
| ${ }^{16} \mathrm{O}^{\ddagger}$ | $-161.5(1)$ | +56.8 | $-104.7(2)$ | -22.3 | $-127.0(2)$ | -127.6 |

Realistic N $^{2}$ LO chiral Hamiltonian fixed by few-body data + perturbative quantum MC simulation = nice agreement with the experiments
Excellent agreement $\Longrightarrow$ Demonstration of nuclear force \& many-body algorithm
Also applicable for densities \& matrix elements
Lu et al., PRL 128, 242501 (2022)

## Exploring densities with pinhole algorithm

In terms of auxiliary fields, the amplitude $Z$ can be written as a path-integral,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z_{f, i}\left(i_{1}, j_{1}, \cdots, i_{A}, j_{a} ; \boldsymbol{n}_{!}, \cdots \boldsymbol{n}_{A} ; L_{t}\right) \\
= & \int \mathscr{D} \boldsymbol{s} \mathscr{D} \pi\left\langle\Psi_{f}(\boldsymbol{s}, \pi)\right| \rho_{i_{1}, j_{1}, \cdots, i_{A}, j_{A}}\left(\boldsymbol{n}_{1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{n}_{A}\right)\left|\Psi_{i}(s, \pi)\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

We generate a combined probability distribution
$\left.P\left(s, \pi, i_{1}, j_{1}, \cdots, i_{A}, j_{a} ; \boldsymbol{n}_{!}, \cdots \boldsymbol{n}_{A}\right)=\left|\left\langle\Psi_{f}(s, \pi)\right| \rho_{i_{1}, j_{1}, \cdots, i_{A}, j_{A}}\left(\boldsymbol{n}_{1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{n}_{A}\right)\right| \Psi_{i}(s, \pi)\right\rangle \mid$
by updating both the auxiliary fields and the pinhole quantum numbers.


- Densities relative to the center of mass:

$$
\rho_{\mathrm{c} . \mathrm{m} .}(r)=\sum_{\boldsymbol{n}_{1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{n}_{A}}\left|\Phi\left(\boldsymbol{n}_{1}, \cdots \boldsymbol{n}_{A}\right)\right|^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{A} \delta\left(r-\left|\boldsymbol{r}_{i}-R_{\mathrm{c} . \mathrm{m} .}\right|\right)
$$

- First LEFT calculation of nuclear intrinsic densities.
- Proton radius is included by numerical convolution $\rho(\boldsymbol{r})=\int \rho_{\text {Point }}\left(\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right) e^{-\left(\boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right) /\left(2 a^{2}\right)} d^{3} r^{\prime}$, proton radius $a \approx 0.84 \mathrm{fm}$.

- Independent of projection time $L_{t} \Longleftrightarrow$ In ground state
- Sign problem suppressed $\longrightarrow$ Small errorbars

Elhatisari et al., PRL 119, 222505 (2017)

## Many-body correlations: $\alpha$-cluster geometry in C isotopes

We always align the longest edge with the $x$-axis and keep the triangle in the $x-y$ plane.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}\right) & =\sum_{j_{1}, j_{2}, j_{3}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}_{1}, \boldsymbol{n}_{2}, \boldsymbol{n}_{3}}\left|\Phi_{\uparrow, j_{1}, \uparrow \cdot j_{2}, \uparrow \cdot j_{3}}\left(\boldsymbol{n}_{1}, \boldsymbol{n}_{2}, \boldsymbol{n}_{3}\right)\right|^{2} \\
& \times \sum_{P(123)} \delta\left(\left|\boldsymbol{n}_{1}-\boldsymbol{n}_{2}\right|-d_{3}\right) \delta\left(\left|\boldsymbol{n}_{1}-\boldsymbol{n}_{3}\right|-d_{2}\right) \delta\left(\left|\boldsymbol{n}_{2}-\boldsymbol{n}_{3}\right|-d_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



- Hoyle state: Triple- $\alpha$ resonance, essential for creating ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ in stars (Hoyle, 1954). Fine-tuning for life?

Epelbaum et al., PRL 106, 192501 (2011) Elhatisari et al., PRL 119, 222505 (2017)

## Clustering from first principle: Tomographic scan of ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$



- Structure of ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ states are full of complexity and duality (clustering v.s. mean-field)
- we provide the first
model-independent tomographic scan of the three-dimensional geometry of the nuclear states of 12C using the ab initio framework of nuclear lattice EFT.
- $\mathrm{O}_{1}^{+}$: ground state, $\mathrm{O}_{2}^{+}$: Hoyle state Shihang Shen et al., Nat. Comm. 14, 2777 (2023)
Also see Dean \& Ulf's talks


## Hot nucleus with pinhole trace algorithm



## Water molecule versus nucleons




Ishii et al., PoS LAT2007, 146

Upper: Van-der-Waals force between water molecules

- Strength $\sim 0.1 \mathrm{eV}$, range $\sim 1 \AA$
- Phase transition at $T$ ~ 300 K $\sim 0.03 \mathrm{eV}$

Lower: Nucleon-nucleon potential from Lattice QCD

- Strength $\sim 10 \mathrm{MeV}$, range $\sim 1 \mathrm{fm}$
- What is the characteristic temperature?


## Boltzmann constant

$\mathrm{k} \approx 10^{-4} \mathrm{eV} / \mathrm{K}=10^{-10} \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{K}$
$\Longrightarrow$ Nuclear phase transition occurs at order $T \sim 10^{11} \mathrm{~K}$

## Clustering in hot nucleus from experiments



Interplay between quantum mechanics \& thermodynamics produces abundant microscopic structures

## "Cold clusters in a hot vapor"

Upper: Binding energies of clusters versus
density, derived from experiments K Hagel et al., PRL 108, 062702 (2012)

- Mott points: Temperature/Density where the cluster dissociate
- Consequence of many-body correlations
Lower: Two humped structure in largest fragment mass distribution signifies a phase coexistence E Bonnet et al., PRL 103, 072701 (2009)
- Liquid-Vapor coexsitence: a single large cluster + many small clusters
- Vapor phase: many small clusters


## Fisher's droplet model

- Fisher assume an ideal gas of clusters with size $A=1,2, \ldots, \infty$ (Classical model) ME Fisher, Rep. Prog. Phys. 30, 615 (1967)
- Ansatz for clusters' energy \& entropy,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E(A)=-a_{v} A+c_{0} A^{\sigma} \\
& S(A)=b_{v} A+b_{s} A^{\sigma}-\tau \ln A+\ln q_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Volume/Surface terms \& phenomenological terms to account for critical scaling

- Concentration of mass- $A$ cluster in thermal equilibrium:

$$
n(A)=e^{-F(A) / T}=e^{-(E(A)-T S(A)) / T}
$$

$F(A)$ : Free energy

- Parameters fitted to cluster yields in multi-fragmentation experiments, $T_{c}, \rho_{c}, \ldots$ determined from the model parameters


JB Elliott, et al., PRL 88, 042701 (2002)

## Nuclear thermodynamic models

Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics 105 (2019) 139-179

## Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ppnp


Review
Dynamics of clusters and fragments in heavy-ion collisions
Akira Ono
Department of Physics, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8578, Japan
"Traditionally there are two types of transport models. One is the mean-field models which are based on the distributions of nucleons moving independently in the mean field. The other is the molecular dynamics models which is similar to the A-body classical dynamics but nucleons are represented by Gaussian wave packets."
"Naive statements about the defects of these models are that the mean-field models lack many-body correlations and that the molecular dynamics models are classical'
"It is not possible to exactly solve such quantum many-body problem from the first principle with computational facilities available currently or in near future"

## Simulate canonical ensemble with pinhole trace algorithm

- All we need: partition function $Z(T, V, A)=\sum_{k}\langle\exp (-\beta H)\rangle_{k}$, sum over all othonormal states in Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}(V, A)$.
- The basis states $\left|\boldsymbol{n}_{1}, \boldsymbol{n}_{2}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{n}_{\boldsymbol{A}}\right\rangle$ span the whole $A$-body Hilbert space. $\boldsymbol{n}_{i}=\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{i}, s_{i} \sigma_{i}\right)$ consists of coordinate, spin, isospin of $i$-th nucleon.
- Cannonical partition function can be expressed in this complete basis:

$$
Z_{A}=\operatorname{Tr}_{A}[\exp (-\beta H)]=\sum_{\boldsymbol{n}_{1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{n}_{A}} \int \mathscr{D} s \mathscr{D} \pi\left\langle\boldsymbol{n}_{1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{n}_{A}\right| \exp [-\beta H(s, \pi)]\left|\boldsymbol{n}_{1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{n}_{A}\right\rangle
$$

- Pinhole algorithm + periodicity in $\beta=$ Pinhole trace
- Apply twisted boundary condition in 3 spatial dimensions to remove finite volume effects. Twist angle $\theta$ averaged with MC.


Lu et al., PRL 125, 192502 (2020)

## Nuclear matter with twisted boundaries

PBC: Periodic Boundary Conditions: $\Psi(x+L)=\Psi(x)$ ATBC: Average Twisted Boundary Conditions: $\Psi(x+L)=e^{i \theta} \Psi(x)$

Averaging over $\theta$ s' to remove fictitious shell effects


Lu et al., PRL 125, 192502 (2020)
interaction from LU, et. al., Phys. Lett. B 797, 134863 (2019)
"Essential elements for nuclear binding"

## Extract intensive variables with Widom insertion method

- Extensive variables: Measured by operator insertion,
- E.g., energy $E=\langle\boldsymbol{H}\rangle_{\Omega}$, density correlation $G_{12}=\left\langle\rho\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{1}\right) \rho\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{2}\right)\right\rangle_{\Omega}$.
- Intensive variables: Measured by numerical derivatives,
- E.g., pressure $p=-\frac{\partial F}{\partial V}$, chemical potential $\mu=-\frac{\partial F}{\partial A}$.
- Widom insertion method: Measure $\mu$ by inserting test particles (holes) B. Widom, J. Chem. Phys. 39, 2808 (1963)
$\mu=\frac{1}{2}[F(A+1)-F(A-1)]=\frac{T}{2} \ln \frac{Z_{A-1}}{Z_{A+1}}=\frac{T}{2} \ln \left[\frac{\sum_{1,2} \operatorname{Tr}_{A}\left(\hat{a}_{2}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{1}^{\dagger} e^{-\beta H} \hat{a}_{1} \hat{a}_{2}\right) /(A-1)!}{\sum_{1,2} \operatorname{Tr}_{A}\left(\hat{a}_{1} \hat{a}_{2} e^{-\beta H} \hat{a}_{2}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{1}^{\dagger}\right) /(A+1)!}\right]$
- 1, 2: $L^{3} \times 2 \times 2$ lattice sites, spins and isospins, sampled with Monte Carlo
- ( $A \pm 1$ )!: Combinatorial factors for identical Fermions




## Finite nuclear systems: Liquid-vapor coexistence line

- First $a b$ initio calculation of nuclear liquid-gas phase transition.
- Symmetric nuclear matter $N=Z$, lattice spacing $a=1.32 \mathrm{fm}$, volume $V=(6 a)^{3}$, nucleon number $4 \leq A \leq 132$.
- Temperature $10 \mathrm{MeV} \leq T \leq 20 \mathrm{MeV}$, temporal step $\Delta \beta=1 / 2000 \mathrm{MeV}^{-1}$.
- 288000 independent measurements for every data point.

Lu et al., PRL 125, 192502 (2020)



## Critical point: Compare with experiment



- Pressure $p=\int \rho d \mu$ along every isotherm (Gibbs-Duhem equation).
- Extract $T_{c}, P_{c}$ and $\rho_{c}$ of neutral symmetric nuclear matter by numerical interpolation.
- Uncertainties estimated by adding noise and repeat the calculation.
- Experimental values and mean field results taken from
Elliott et al., Phys. Rev. C 87, 054622 (2013)

|  | This work | Exp. | RMF(NLSH) | RMF(NL3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $T_{c}(\mathrm{MeV})$ | $15.80(3)$ | $17.9(4)$ | 15.96 | 14.64 |
| $P_{c}\left(\mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{fm}^{3}\right)$ | $0.260(3)$ | $0.31(7)$ | 0.26 | 0.2020 |
| $\rho_{c}\left(\mathrm{fm}^{-3}\right)$ | $0.089(1)$ | $0.06(1)$ | 0.0526 | 0.0463 |
| $\rho_{0}\left(\mathrm{fm}^{-3}\right)$ | $0.205(0)$ | 0.132 |  |  |
| $\rho_{c} / \rho_{0}$ | 0.43 | 0.45 |  |  |

[^0]
## Other observables: Clustering in hot nuclear matter

- Mean field models can yield bulk properties. However, microscopic observables like clustering play important role in experiments.
- Fisher's droplet model see hot nucleus as a mixture of small droplets, $F$ = volume term + surface terms, not microscopic.
- Ab initio calculation unifies all calculations in a single framework with microscopic foundations.
- Test: Ratio $\left\langle: \rho^{4}:\right\rangle /\langle\rho\rangle^{4}$ signifies the clustering correlation.



## Count clusters in hot nuclear matter

- By expanding the correlation functions on a basis of cluster correlation functions, the probability / mass fraction of clusters can be extracted

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle G_{11}(n)\right\rangle=\left\langle G_{11}^{\prime}\right\rangle+w_{4}\left\langle G_{11}(n)\right\rangle_{4}+w_{3}\left\langle G_{11}(n)\right\rangle_{3}+w_{2}\left\langle G_{11}(n)\right\rangle_{2} \\
& \left\langle G_{21}(n)\right\rangle=\left\langle G_{21}^{\prime}\right\rangle+w_{4}\left\langle G_{21}(n)\right\rangle_{4}+w_{3}\left\langle G_{21}(n)\right\rangle_{3} \\
& \left\langle G_{31}(n)\right\rangle=\left\langle G_{31}^{\prime}\right\rangle+w_{4}\left\langle G_{31}(n)\right\rangle_{4} \\
& \left\langle G_{22}(n)\right\rangle=\left\langle G_{22}^{\prime}\right\rangle+w_{4}\left\langle G_{22}(n)\right\rangle_{4}, \quad x_{k}=w_{k} k / A
\end{aligned}
$$


"Ab initio study of nuclear clustering in hot dilute nuclear matter"
ZhengXue Ren et al., PLB 850, 138463 (2024)

- Structure factors are Fourier transforms of correlation functions
$S_{V}(\boldsymbol{q})=\int d^{3} r\left[\left\langle\hat{\rho}\left(\boldsymbol{r}+\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right) \hat{\rho}\left(\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle-\rho_{0}^{2}\right] e^{-i \boldsymbol{q} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}}$ $\left.S_{a}(\boldsymbol{q})=\int d^{3} r\left[\hat{\rho}_{z}\left(\boldsymbol{r}+\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right) \hat{\rho}_{z}\left(\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle-\rho_{z 0}^{2}\right] e^{-i \boldsymbol{q} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}}$
- Key for modeling Core-collapse supernovae explosions via neutrino-nucleon scattering
- Ab initio calculation with a $\mathrm{N}^{3} \mathrm{LO}$ chiral interaction based on a rank-one operator method YuanZhuo Ma et al., arXiv:2306.04500



## Performance of Pinhole Trace Algorithm

- Direct diagonalization scales exponentially $\sim \mathscr{O}\left(e^{\lambda L^{3}}\right)$.
- Conventional grand-canonical ensemble MC method scales as $\mathscr{O}\left(L^{9}\right)$.
- Left: Recently finite- $T$ auxiliary field MC accelerated by removing unoccupied states. Complexity $\sim \mathscr{O}\left(L^{3} N_{\text {occ }}^{2}\right), N_{\text {occ }} \sim A$ at low $T$. Gilbreth, Jensen, Alhassid, arXiv:1907.10596 (2019)
- Right: Pinhole trace algorithm complexity $\sim \mathscr{O}\left(L^{3} A^{2}\right)$ for any $T$.

Lu et al., PRL 125, 192502 (2020)



New methods can be thousands of times faster for $A \ll L$

- Lattice simulations are able to incoorporate all essential many-body correlations, reproducing the nuclear clustering based on a fully microscopic universal Hamiltonian
- Ground state
- Excited state
- Finite temperature
- Reaction
- Hyper nucleus
- ...
- Interactions with Wigner SU(4) symmetry works well with MC
- Precision interactions (e.g, chiral EFT) are more challenging (sign problem...), new algorithms required
- Perturbative Quantum MC method
- Rank-One operator method
- ...


## THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION


[^0]:    Lu et al., PRL 125, 192502 (2020)

