



第九届手征有效场论研讨会@湖南大学

Productions and decays of the hidden charm pentaquark molecules

- **Reporter:** Ming-Zhu Liu (刘明珠)
- Collaborators:Li-Sheng Geng (耿立升)Ya-Wen Pan(潘亚文)
 - **Based on:** Phys. Rev. D **108** (2023) 114022 arXiv: 2407.17318

Outline

- Hidden charm pentaquark molecules and their partners
- > Decays of the hidden charm pentaquark molecules
- Productions of the hidden charm pentaquark molecules
- Summary and Outlook

Exotic states



> Rich Information

- Mass and Width
- Production
- Interpretation
- Collaboration

Pentaquark

ArXiv: 2410.06923

Hidden charm pentaquark states



Hidden charm pentaquark states in recent experiments



| Decay Mode | Pentaquark | n_vəluo | Significance (σ) | Signal Vield | Upper Lim | it $(\times 10^{-3})$ |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------------------|
| Decay Mode | $\operatorname{Hypothesis}$ | <i>p</i> -value | Significance (0) | Signal Tield | (90% CL) | (95% CL) |
| | $P_c(4312)^+$ | 0.32 | 0.48 | 19.78 ± 22.27 | 1.17 | 1.29 |
| $\Lambda_c^+ \overline{D}{}^0$ | $P_c(4440)^+$ | 0.44 | 0.15 | 26.91 ± 28.17 | 1.41 | 1.53 |
| - | $P_c(4457)^+$ | 0.53 | 0.00 | 6.20 ± 13.60 | 1.27 | 1.43 |
| $A^+ = D^{*-}$ | $P_c(4440)^+$ | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 ± 0.96 | 0.72 | 0.91 |
| $\Lambda_c^+ \pi^+ D$ | $P_c(4457)^+$ | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 ± 1.73 | 0.77 | 0.97 |
| $A^{+} = D^{*-}$ | $P_c(4440)^+$ | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 ± 0.80 | 0.63 | 0.80 |
| $\Lambda_c^+ \pi^- D^+$ | $P_c(4457)^+$ | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 ± 0.74 | 0.59 | 0.74 |
| | $P_c(4312)^+$ | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 ± 1.56 | 0.69 | 0.88 |
| $\Lambda_c^+ \pi^+ D^-$ | $P_c(4440)^+$ | 0.65 | 0.00 | 4.43 ± 11.67 | 3.71 | 4.24 |
| | $P_c(4457)^+$ | 0.65 | 0.00 | 5.94 ± 12.68 | 3.13 | 3.61 |
| | $P_c(4312)^+$ | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 ± 1.42 | 0.67 | 0.86 |
| $\Lambda_c^+\pi^-D^-$ | $P_c(4440)^+$ | 0.53 | 0.00 | 12.52 ± 15.89 | 3.91 | 4.37 |
| | $P_c(4457)^+$ | 0.53 | 0.00 | 8.60 ± 12.22 | 3.10 | 3.51 |

 No significant signal of three pentaquark states is observed in e⁺e⁻ collisions

Belle Collaboration, arXiv: 2403.04340

 No significant signal of three pentaquark is observed in the prompt process of *pp* collisions

LHCb Collaboration, Phys.Rev.D 110 (2024) 032001

Fine structures of exotic states

> Hidden charm pentaquark states



Fine structures of exotic states

Vector charmonium-like states



Heavy quark spin symmetry(HQSS)

QCD interaction can not flip the spin of heavy quark



Lagrangian $L = C_a Tr[H_c^{\dagger}H_c]S_c^{\dagger} \cdot S_c + C_b Tr[H_c^{\dagger}\sigma H_c]S_c^{\dagger} \cdot (J_iS_c)$

Superfield $H_c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (D + \vec{D}^* \vec{\sigma})$ $S_c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (\Sigma_c \vec{\sigma} + \vec{\Sigma}^*_c)$

The number of low energy constants decreases within HQSS

HQSS multiplet hadronic molecules



- Assigning three states as $\overline{D}^{(*)}\Sigma_c$ bound states
- A compette multiplet hadronic molecules $\overline{D}^{(*)}\Sigma_c^{(*)}$

Fine structure of hadronic molecules

| Scenario | Molecule | J^P | B (MeV) | M (MeV) |
|----------|---------------------|------------------------------|-------------|-----------------|
| A | $\bar{D}\Sigma_c$ | $\frac{1}{2}^{-}$ | 7.8 - 9.0 | 4311.8 - 4313.0 |
| A | $ar{D}\Sigma_c^*$ | $\frac{3}{2}^{-}$ | 8.3 - 9.2 | 4376.1 - 4377.0 |
| A | $ar{D}^*\Sigma_c$ | $\frac{1}{2}^{-}$ | Input | 4440.3 |
| A | $ar{D}^*\Sigma_c$ | $\frac{3}{2}^{-}$ | Input | 4457.3 |
| A | $ar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*$ | $\frac{1}{2}^{-}$ | 25.7 - 26.5 | 4500.2 - 4501.0 |
| A | $ar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*$ | $\frac{3}{2}^{-}$ | 15.9 – 16.1 | 4510.6 - 4510.8 |
| A | $ar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*$ | $\frac{5}{2}^{-}$ | 3.2 - 3.5 | 4523.3 - 4523.6 |
| В | $\bar{D}\Sigma_c$ | $\frac{1}{2}^{-}$ | 13.1 - 14.5 | 4306.3 - 4307.7 |
| В | $ar{D}\Sigma_c^*$ | $\frac{3}{2}^{-}$ | 13.6 - 14.8 | 4370.5 - 4371.7 |
| В | $ar{D}^*\Sigma_c$ | $\frac{1}{2}^{-}$ | Input | 4457.3 |
| B | $ar{D}^*\Sigma_c$ | $\frac{\overline{3}}{2}^{-}$ | Input | 4440.3 |
| В | $ar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*$ | $\frac{1}{2}^{-}$ | 3.1 - 3.5 | 4523.2 - 4523.6 |
| B | $ar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*$ | $\frac{3}{2}^{-}$ | 10.1 - 10.2 | 4516.5 - 4516.6 |
| В | $ar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 25.7 - 26.5 | 4500.2 - 4501.0 |

Liu et al., Phys.Rev.Lett. 122 (2019) 242001

| Lanzhou | Universitv |
|---------|------------|
| | |

What should we do next?

> How to verify the molecular nature of pentaquark states



Where(decay modes) and How(production modes) to search for these partners? > Spin order of Pc(4440) and Pc(4457)

| • | Scenario A | Machine Learning | Zhang et al., Sci.Bull. 68 (2023) 981-989 |
|---|------------|-------------------------|---|
| | | ChUPT | Xiao et al., Phys.Rev.D 100 (2019) 014021 |
| | | OBE | Chen et al., Phys.Rev.D 100 (2019) 011502 |
| • | Scenario B | Pionful EFT | Du et al., Phys.Rev.Lett. 124 (2020) 072001 |
| | | OBE Remove Delta | Liu et al., Phys.Rev.D 103 (2021) 054004 |

• Mass splitting of $\Xi_{cc}\Sigma_c$ system Lattice QCD

 Ξ_{cc}

 Σ_c

D

 Σ_c

HADS

Effective physical observable Pan et al., Phys.Rev.D 102 (2020) 011504 $1^+ - 0^+ = -8 MeV$ $\Delta_m < 0$ u University Ming-Zhu Liu 10

Lanzhou University

Outline

- Heavy flavor hadronic molecular candidates and their partners
- Decays of the heavy flavor hadronic molecules
- Productions of the heavy flavor hadronic molecules
- Summary and Outlook

Two-body decay of hadronic molecule

Charmed Meson Exchange



Xiao et al., Phys.Rev.D 100 (2019) 014022

EFT within HQSS

$$\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{3/2} \qquad \bar{D}^* \Sigma_c^* - \bar{D}^* \Sigma_c - \bar{D} \Sigma_c^* - \bar{D}^* \Lambda_c - J/\psi N$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} C_a - \frac{2}{3} C_b & -\frac{\sqrt{5}}{3} C_b & \sqrt{\frac{5}{3}} C_b & \sqrt{\frac{5}{3}} C_b' & \frac{\sqrt{5}}{3} g_2 \\ -\frac{\sqrt{5}}{3} C_b & C_a + \frac{2}{3} C_b & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} C_b & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} C_b' & -\frac{1}{3} g_2 \\ \sqrt{\frac{5}{3}} C_b & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} C_b & C_a & -C_b' & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} g_2 \\ \sqrt{\frac{5}{3}} C_b' & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} C_b' & -C_b' & C_a' & g_1 \\ \frac{\sqrt{5}}{3} g_2 & -\frac{1}{3} g_2 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} g_2 & g_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Triangle diagrams

$$\Gamma_{P_c} = \frac{1}{2J+1} \frac{1}{8\pi} \frac{|p|^2}{m_0^2} \overline{|\mathcal{M}|^2}$$
Scatterring equation

$$T = \frac{V}{1-VG}$$

- Search for poles existing at unphysical sheet
- Their imaginary part corresponding to the widths of pentaquark molecules

$$= \mathbf{1/2} \qquad \bar{D}^* \Sigma_c^* - \bar{D}^* \Sigma_c - \bar{D} \Sigma_c - \bar{D}^* \Lambda_c - \bar{D} \Lambda_c - J/\psi N - \eta_c N \\ \begin{pmatrix} C_a - \frac{5}{3}C_b & -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}C_b & -\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}C_b & \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}C_b' & \sqrt{2}C_b' & -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}g_2 & \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}g_2 \\ -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}C_b & C_a - \frac{4}{3}C_b & \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}C_b & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}C_b' & C_b' & \frac{5}{6}g_2 & \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}g_2 \\ -\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}C_b & \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}C_b & C_a & C_b' & 0 & \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}g_2 & \frac{1}{2}g_2 \\ \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}C_b & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}C_b' & C_b' & C_a' & 0 & \frac{1}{2}g_1 & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}g_1 \\ \sqrt{2}C_b' & C_b' & 0 & 0 & C_a' & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}g_1 & -\frac{1}{2}g_1 \\ -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}g_2 & \frac{5}{6}g_2 & \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}g_2 & \frac{1}{2}g_2 & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}g_1 & 0 & 0 \\ \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}g_2 & \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}g_2 & \frac{1}{2}g_2 & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}g_1 & -\frac{1}{2}g_1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

4 1 - 12

Three-body decay of hadronic molecule



 \succ Final decay modes of P_c

Two-body partial decays

 $P_c \to \overline{D}\Lambda_c, \ \eta_c p, J/\psi p$

Three-body partial decays $P_c \rightarrow \overline{D}\Lambda_c \pi, \overline{D}^*\Lambda_c \pi$

EFT with three-body cut dynamics



Du et al., JHEP 08 (2021) 157

Partial decay widths of hadronic molecules

| A | Mode | $D^-\Lambda_c^+\pi^+$ | $ar{D}^0 \Lambda_c^+ \pi^0$ | $D^{*-}\Lambda_c^+\pi^+$ | $\bar{D}^{*0}\Lambda_c^+\pi^0$ | $ar{D}\Lambda_c$ | $J/\psi N$ | $\eta_c N$ | Total |
|---------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|------------|------------|--------|
| P_{c} | $_{21}[P_{\psi}(4312)^{N}]$ | 0.036 | 0.812 | - | - | 0.004 | 2.014 | 3.917 | 6.783 |
| | P_{c2} | 2.043 | 2.235 | - | - | - | 5.995 | - | 10.273 |
| P_{c} | $_{3}[P_{\psi}(4440)^{N}]$ | 0.814 | 1.631 | 0.002 | 0.035 | 0.622 | 13.560 | 1.841 | 18.505 |
| P_{c} | $_{4}[P_{\psi}(4457)^{N}]$ | 0.171 | 0.152 | 0.095 | 0.388 | - | 0.347 | - | 1.153 |
| | P_{c5} | 0.315 | 0.722 | 2.370 | 1.970 | 1.154 | 8.116 | 7.487 | 22.134 |
| | P_{c6} | 1.354 | 2.407 | 4.275 | 3.601 | - | 9.877 | - | 21.514 |
| _ | P_{c7} | - | - | 2.745 | 2.499 | - | - | - | 5.244 |
| B | Mode | $D^-\Lambda_c^+\pi^+$ | $ar{D}^0 \Lambda_c^+ \pi^0$ | $D^{*-}\Lambda_c^+\pi^+$ | $ar{D}^{*0} \Lambda_c^+ \pi^0$ | $ar{D}\Lambda_c$ | $J/\psi N$ | $\eta_c N$ | Total |
| P_{a} | $_{21}[P_{\psi}(4312)^{N}]$ | 0.023 | 1.988 | - | - | 0.008 | 2.208 | 3.784 | 8.011 |
| | P_{c2} | 1.401 | 1.547 | - | - | - | 14.259 | - | 17.207 |
| P_{a} | $_{3}[P_{\psi}(4457)^{N}]$ | 0.410 | 2.703 | 0.686 | 2.803 | 0.932 | 3.128 | 0.699 | 11.361 |
| P_{c} | $_{24}[P_{\psi}(4440)^{N}]$ | 0.052 | 0.635 | 0.001 | 0.014 | - | 0.904 | - | 1.606 |
| | P_{c5} | 0.371 | 2.818 | 7.731 | 7.037 | 2.181 | 4.365 | 2.949 | 27.452 |
| | P_{c6} | 0.760 | 1.899 | 5.668 | 5.090 | - | 3.432 | - | 17.849 |
| | P_{c7} | - | - | 1.084 | 0.959 | - | - | - | 2.043 |

Liu et al., arXiv: 2407.17318

- $$\begin{split} Br(P_c(4312) \to \overline{D}\Lambda_c\pi) &= 13{\sim}25\% \\ Br(P_c(4312) \to \overline{D}^*\Lambda_c\pi) &= 0 \end{split}$$
- $$\begin{split} Br(P_c(4440) \rightarrow \overline{D}\Lambda_c\pi) &= 13{\sim}43\% \\ Br(P_c(4440) \rightarrow \overline{D}^*\Lambda_c\pi) &= 0.2{\sim}0.9\% \end{split}$$
- $$\begin{split} Br(P_c(4457) \rightarrow \overline{D}\Lambda_c\pi) &= 27{\sim}28\% \\ Br(P_c(4457) \rightarrow \overline{D}^*\Lambda_c\pi) &= 31{\sim}42\% \end{split}$$
- $Br(P_{c5} \rightarrow \overline{D}\Lambda_c\pi) = 5 \sim 12 \%$ $Br(P_{c5} \rightarrow \overline{D}^*\Lambda_c\pi) = 20 \sim 54\%$
- $Br(P_{c6} \rightarrow \overline{D}\Lambda_c\pi) = 15 \sim 18\%$ $Br(P_{c6} \rightarrow \overline{D}^*\Lambda_c\pi) = 37 \sim 60\%$

•
$$Br(P_{c7} \rightarrow \overline{D}^* \Lambda_c \pi) = 100 \%$$



LHCb Collaboration, Phys.Rev.D 110 (2024) 032001

| anzhou University | Ming-Zhu Liu | 14 | |
|-------------------|--------------|----|--|
|-------------------|--------------|----|--|

Radiative and pionic decay of hadronic molecules

> Keep gauge invariance of radiative decays



Ling et al., Phys.Rev.D 104 (2021) 074022



Pionic decays of hadronic molecules







- Heavy flavor hadronic molecular candidates and their partners
- Decays of the heavy flavor hadronic molecules
- Productions of the heavy flavor hadronic molecules
- Summary and Outlook

Productions of pentaquark states in large facility

| states | ElcC (60 fb^{-1}) | CEPC (100 <i>ab</i> ⁻¹) | LHC (9 <i>f b</i> ⁻¹) |
|---------------|---|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| $P_{c}(4312)$ | (0.02~0.08) pb | (0.002~0.01) pb | (3~9) nb |
| | 1200~4800 | $(0.2 \sim 1) \times 10^{6}$ | $(3 \sim 8) \times 10^7$ |
| $P_{c}(4440)$ | (0.01~0.06) pb | (0.002~0.01) pb | (1~5) nb |
| | 600~3600 | $(0.2 \sim 1) \times 10^{6}$ | $(1 \sim 5) \times 10^7$ |
| $P_{c}(4457)$ | $(3.4 \sim 16.4) \times 10^{-3} \text{ pb}$ | (0.001~0.006) pb | (0.3~1) nb |
| | 204~984 | $(1 \sim 6) \times 10^5$ | $(3 \sim 9) \times 10^{6}$ |
| | Shi et al., 2208.02639 | Jia et al., 2405.02619 | Ling et al., 2104.11133 |

The future CEPC is a good platform to produce hidden charm pentaquark states

| Lanzhou University | Ming-Zhu Liu | 17 |
|--------------------|--------------|----|

Productions of pentaquark states in b-baryon decays

> The topological diagrams for b-baryon decays



 $\mathcal{B}_a H^i \Pi^j_i \bar{\mathcal{P}}^a_j (T_1 - T_2) + \mathcal{B}_a H^a \Pi^j_i \bar{\mathcal{P}}^i_j T_2$

Hai-Yang Cheng et al., Phys.Rev.D 92 (2015) 096009

$$\frac{Br(\Lambda_b \to P_c(4380)\pi^-)}{Br(\Lambda_b \to P_c(4380)K^-)} = 0.050 \pm 0.016^{+0.026}_{-0.016} \pm 0.025$$

$$\frac{Br(\Lambda_b \to P_c(4380)K^-)}{Br(\Lambda_b \to P_c(4450)\pi^-)} = 0.033^{+0.016+0.011}_{-0.014-0,010} \pm 0.009$$
Consistent

LHCb Collaboration., Phys.Rev.Lett. 117 (2016) 8, 082002

Predicting pentaquark states

with strange quark

$$\begin{split} \Lambda_b^0 &\to P_p K^- & T_1 \\ \Lambda_b^0 &\to P_p \pi^- & t_1 - t_2 \\ \Xi_b^0 &\to P_{\Sigma^+} K^- & T_1 - T_2 \\ \Omega_b^- &\to P_{\Xi^-} \overline{K}^0 & t_1 - t_3 \end{split}$$

S=-1 and S=-2 hidden charm pentaquark are likely to be observed in these processes



Final states interaction

 \succ Predicting the dominant decay channels of Ξ_{cc}^{++}





> Explaining the W-boson annihilation process

 $\mathcal{B}[D_s^+ \to a_0(980)^{+(0)}\pi^{0(+)}, a_0(980)^{+(0)} \to \pi^{+(0)}\eta] = (1.46 \pm 0.15_{\text{sta.}} \pm 0.23_{\text{sys.}})\%$ BESIII Collaboration, Phys.Rev.Lett. 123 (2019) 112001



Productions of pentaquark states in b-hadron decays

Weak decays at quark level



Λ,

 Λ_h



Color Suppressed



1Charm0.2Bottom





 Λ_c



 Λ_c, Σ_c

 $\bar{D}^{(*)}$

 $K^{(*)}$

Estimation of the branching fraction of decay $\Lambda_b \rightarrow D_s \Sigma_c$

$$\succ \Lambda_b \rightarrow \Sigma_c$$

$$\frac{f(\Lambda_b \to \Sigma_c)}{f(\Lambda_b \to \Lambda_c)} = 0.1 \quad \text{Wu et al., Phys.Rev.D 100 (2019) 11, 114002}$$

Such form factor is zero in the leading order of HQET
Nathan Isgur et al., Nucl.Phys.B 348 (1991) 276-292
$$\mathcal{O}[(m_u - m_d)/m_c]$$

$$\succ \Lambda_b \to \Sigma_c D_s$$

- Color Suppressed
 - No quark level diagram

 $\begin{array}{l} \blacktriangleright Br(\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Sigma_c D_s) \quad \text{Collaborate with F.S.Y} \\ \\ \frac{N(\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Sigma_c^+ D_s^-)}{N(B^- \rightarrow D^{*0} D_s^-)} = \frac{Br(\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Sigma_c^+ D_s^-)}{Br(B^- \rightarrow D^{*0} D_s^-)} \frac{f_{\Lambda_b}}{f_u} \frac{Br(\Sigma_c^+ \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ \pi^0)}{Br(D^{*0} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^0)} \frac{Br(\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK^- \pi^+)}{Br(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+)} \epsilon(p) \\ \\ \\ \frac{N(\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Sigma_c^+ D_s^-)}{N(B^- \rightarrow D^{*0} D_s^-)} = \frac{Br(\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Sigma_c^+ D_s^-)}{Br(B^- \rightarrow D^{*0} D_s^-)} \frac{\epsilon(p)}{r} \qquad r = \frac{f_{\Lambda_b}}{f_u} \frac{Br(\Sigma_c^+ \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ \pi^0)}{Br(D^0 \rightarrow M^0 \pi^0)} \frac{Br(\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK^- \pi^+)}{Br(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+)} = \frac{0.5}{1} \frac{1}{2/3} \frac{4\%}{6\%} \approx 1 \qquad \epsilon(p) \sim 30\% \\ \\ \\ Br(B^- \rightarrow D^{*0} D_s^-) = (8.2 \mp 1.7) \times 10^{-3} \\ \\ N(A_t \rightarrow \Sigma_c^+ D_s^-) \qquad r \qquad \left[N(\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Sigma_c^+ D_s^-) < 10 \quad Br(\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Sigma_c^+ D_s^-) < 2 \times 10^{-6} \end{array} \right]$

$$Br(\Lambda_b \to \Sigma_c^+ D_s^-) = \frac{N(\Lambda_b \to \Sigma_c^+ D_s^-)}{N(B^- \to D^{*0} D_s^-)} Br(B^- \to D^{*0} D_s^-) \frac{r}{\epsilon(p)} \begin{cases} N(\Lambda_b \to \Sigma_c^- D_s^-) < 10 & Br(\Lambda_b \to \Sigma_c^- D_s^-) < 2 \times 10 \\ N(\Lambda_b \to \Sigma_c^+ D_s^-) < 100 & Br(\Lambda_b \to \Sigma_c^+ D_s^-) < 2 \times 10^{-5} \end{cases}$$

Productions of pentaquark states in b-hadron decays



Coupled-channel potentials

$$\bar{D}^* \Sigma_c^* - \bar{D}^* \Sigma_c - \bar{D} \Sigma_c - \bar{D}^* \Lambda_c - \bar{D} \Lambda_c - J/\psi N - \eta_c N$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} C_a - \frac{5}{3}C_b & -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}C_b & -\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}C_b & \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}C_b' & \sqrt{2}C_b' & -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}g_2 & \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}g_2 \\ -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}C_b & C_a - \frac{4}{3}C_b & \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}C_b & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}C_b' & C_b' & \frac{5}{6}g_2 & \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}g_2 \\ -\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}C_b & \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}C_b & C_a & C_b' & 0 & \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}g_2 & \frac{1}{2}g_2 \\ \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}C_b' & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}C_b' & C_b' & C_a' & 0 & \frac{1}{2}g_1 & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}g_1 \\ \sqrt{2}C_b' & C_b' & 0 & 0 & C_a' & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}g_1 & -\frac{1}{2}g_1 \\ -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}g_2 & \frac{5}{6}g_2 & \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}g_2 & \frac{1}{2}g_2 & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}g_1 & 0 & 0 \\ \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}g_2 & \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}g_2 & \frac{1}{2}g_2 & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}g_1 & -\frac{1}{2}g_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{A}\left(\Lambda_b \to \Lambda_c D_s^{-}\right) = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{cb} V_{cs} a_1 \left\langle D_s^{-} | (s\bar{c}) | 0 \right\rangle \left\langle \Lambda_c | (c\bar{b}) | \Lambda_b \right\rangle$$
$$\mathcal{A}\left(\Lambda_b \to \Lambda_c D_s^{*-}\right) = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{cb} V_{cs} a_1 \left\langle D_s^{*-} | (s\bar{c}) | 0 \right\rangle \left\langle \Lambda_c | (c\bar{b}) | \Lambda_b \right\rangle$$

Branching fractions

| Scenario | | | А | | | |
|------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Molecule | $P_{\psi 1}^N$ | $P_{\psi 2}^N$ | $P_{\psi 3}^N$ | $P_{\psi 4}^N$ | $P_{\psi 5}^N$ | $P_{\psi 6}^N$ |
| Ours | 7.11 | 1.44 | 8.21 | 0.09 | 1.77 | 4.82 |
| ChUA [103] | 1.82 | 8.62 | 0.13 | 0.83 | 0.04 | 2.36 |
| Exp | 0.96 | - | 3.55 | 1.70 | - | - |
| Scenario | | | В | | | |
| Molecule | $P_{\psi 1}^N$ | $P_{\psi 2}^N$ | $P_{\psi 3}^N$ | $P_{\psi 4}^N$ | $P_{\psi 5}^N$ | $P_{\psi 6}^N$ |
| Ours | 18.24 | 2.22 | 6.06 | 1.79 | 3.83 | 2.76 |
| ChUA [103] | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Exp | 0.96 | - | 1.70 | 3.55 | - | - |

The order of magnitude of production rates can be explained

Pan et al., Phys.Rev.D 108 (2023) 114022



- Heavy flavor hadronic molecular candidates and their partners
- Decays of the heavy flavor hadronic molecules
- Productions of the heavy flavor hadronic molecules
- Summary and Outlook

Summary and outlook

- \triangleright We propose to verify the molecular nature of three P_c states discovered by LHCb Collaboration by searching for their relevant partners associated with symmetry.
 - The three-body and two-body decays of HQSS $\overline{D}^{(*)}\Sigma_c^{(*)}$ molecules are investigated, indicating that ٠ $\overline{D}^{(*)}\Sigma_c^{(*)}$ molecules decaying into $\overline{D}^{(*)}\Lambda_c\pi$ are so sizable that could be the good channels to experimentally search for pentaquark states. In particular, a state around 4.52 GeV discovered in the $\overline{D}\Lambda_c\pi$ mass distribution possibly correspond to one of HQSS partner of three P_c states.
 - The productions of HQSS $\overline{D}^{(*)}\Sigma_{c}^{(*)}$ molecules in Λ_{h} decay are investigated by the final state interaction, further revealing the production mechanism of pentaquark states in b-baryon decays.
- \blacktriangleright Three-body weak decays may contribute to the productions of pentaquark states



LHCb Collaboration, Phys.Rev.D 110 (2024) L031104

Thanks for your attention!

Backup

$$\frac{N(B^- \to D^{*0}D_S^-)}{N(B^- \to D^{*0}\pi^-)} \sim \frac{N(B^- \to D^0D_S^-)}{N(B^- \to D^0\pi^-)}$$

$$N(B^{-} \to D^{*0}D_{s}^{-}) = N(B^{-} \to D^{*0}\pi^{-}) \xrightarrow[N(B^{-} \to D^{0}D_{s}^{-})]{N(B^{-} \to D^{0}\pi^{-})} \qquad N(B^{-} \to D^{0}D_{s}^{-}) \xrightarrow[1302.5854]{1302.5854} 5 \times 10^{3}$$

$$N(B^{-} \to D^{*0}\pi^{-}) \xrightarrow[2012.09903]{1.1 \times 10^{6}} \qquad N(B^{-} \to D^{0}\pi^{-}) \xrightarrow[1203.3662]{1203.3662} 4 \times 10^{4}$$

26

Backup

| Process | Amplitude | Process | Amplitude |
|--------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| $\overline{\Lambda_b^0 \to P_p K^-}$ | T_1 | $\Lambda_b^0 \to P_n \bar{K}^0$ | T_1 |
| $\Lambda_b^0 \to P_\Lambda \eta$ | $\frac{1}{3}[(2T_1+T_2-2T_3)\cos\theta]$ | $\Lambda_b^0 \to P_\Lambda \eta'$ | $\frac{1}{3}[-\sqrt{2}(T_1 - T_2 + 2T_3)\cos\theta]$ |
| | $+(2T_1+T_2-2T_3)\sin\theta]$ | | $+\sqrt{2}(T_1 - T_2 + 2T_3)\sin\theta]$ |
| $\Lambda_b^0 	o P_{\Sigma^+} \pi^-$ | T_2 | $\Lambda_b^0 	o P_{\Sigma^-} \pi^+$ | T_2 |
| $\Lambda^0_b \to P_{\Xi^0} K^0$ | $T_2 - T_3$ | $\Lambda_b^0 \to P_{\Xi^-} K^+$ | $T_2 - T_3$ |
| $\Lambda^0_b 	o P_{\Sigma^0} \pi^0$ | T_2 | $\Lambda_b^0 	o P_\Lambda \pi^0$ | 0 |
| $\Lambda^0_b 	o P_{\Sigma^0} \eta$ | 0 | $\Lambda^0_b 	o P_{\Sigma^0} \eta'$ | 0 |
| $\Xi_b^0 \to P_{\Sigma^+} K^-$ | $T_1 - T_2$ | $\Xi_b^0 	o P_{\Sigma^0} ar K^0$ | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-T_1+T_2)$ |
| $\Xi_b^0 \to P_{\Xi^0} \eta$ | $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(2T_1-2T_2+T_3)\cos\theta$ | $\Xi_b^0 \to P_{\Xi^0} \eta'$ | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(T_1 - T_2 + 2T_3)\cos\theta$ |
| | $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(2T_1-2T_2+T_3)\sin\theta$ | | $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(T_1 - T_2 + 2T_3)\sin\theta$ |
| $\Xi_b^0 \to P_{\Xi^-} \pi^+$ | $-T_3$ | $\Xi_b^0 	o P_{\Xi^0} \pi^0$ | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}T_3$ |
| $\Xi_b^0 \to P_\Lambda \bar{K}^0$ | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(T_1 - T_2 + 2T_3)$ | | • - |
| $\Xi_b^- \to P_{\Sigma^-} \bar{K}^0$ | $T_1 - T_2$ | $\Xi_b^- \to P_{\Sigma^0} K^-$ | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(T_1 - T_2)$ |
| $\Xi_b^- \to P_{\Xi^-} \pi^0$ | $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}T_{3}$ | $\Xi_b^- \to P_{\Xi^0} \pi^-$ | $-T_3$ |
| $\Xi_b^- \to P_{\Xi^-} \eta$ | $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(2T_1-2T_2+T_3)\cos\theta$ | $\Xi_b^- \to P_{\Xi^-} \eta'$ | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(T_1 - T_2 + 2T_3)\cos\theta$ |
| | $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(T_1 - T_2 + 2T_3)\sin\theta$ | | $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(2T_1-2T_2+T_3)\sin\theta$ |
| $\Xi_b^- \to P_\Lambda K^-$ | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(T_1 - T_2 + 2T_3)$ | | |
| $\Omega_b^- \to P_{\Xi^-} \bar{K}^0$ | $t_1 - t_3$ | $\Omega_b^- 	o P_{\Xi^0} K^-$ | $-t_1 + t_3$ |

Backup

| Process | Amplitude | Process | Amplitude |
|---|--|------------------------------------|--|
| $\overline{\Lambda^0_b \to P_p \pi^-}$ | $T'_{1} - T'_{2}$ | $\Lambda_b^0 \to P_n \pi^0$ | $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(T'_1 - T'_2)$ |
| $\Lambda^0_b \to P_{\Sigma^0} K^0$ | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}T'_{3}$ | $\Lambda^0_b \to P_{\Sigma^-} K^+$ | $-T'_3$ |
| $\Lambda_b^0 \to P_n \eta$ | $\left(\frac{\cos\theta}{\sqrt{6}} - \frac{\sin\theta}{\sqrt{3}}\right)\left(T_1' - T_2' + 2T_3'\right)$ | $\Lambda^0_b \to P_n \eta'$ | $\left(\frac{\cos\theta}{\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\sin\theta}{\sqrt{6}}\right)\left(T_1' - T_2' + 2T_3'\right)$ |
| $\Lambda^0_b \to P_\Lambda K^0$ | $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(2T'_1-2T'_2+T'_3)$ | | |
| $\Xi_b^0 \to P_{\Sigma^+} \pi^-$ | T'_1 | $\Xi_b^0 \to P_{\Sigma^0} \pi^0$ | $\frac{1}{2}(T_1' + T_2' - T_3')$ |
| $\Xi_b^0 	o P_{\Xi^0} K^0$ | T_1' | $\Xi_b^0 \to P_{\Xi^-} K^+$ | T'_2 |
| $\Xi_b^0 \to P_\Lambda \eta$ | $\frac{1}{6}\cos\theta(T_1'+5T_2'-T_3')$ | $\Xi_b^0 \to P_\Lambda \eta'$ | $\frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}}\cos\theta(T'_1 - T'_2 + 2T'_3)$ |
| | $-\frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}}\sin\theta(T'_1 - T'_2 + 2T'_3)$ | | $+\frac{1}{6}\sin\theta(T_1'+5T_2'-T_3')$ |
| $\Xi_b^0 	o P_{\Sigma^0} \eta$ | $\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\cos\theta(-T'_1+T'_2+T'_3)$ | $\Xi_b^0 	o P_{\Sigma^0} \eta'$ | $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\cos\theta(T'_1 - T'_2 + 2T'_3)\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\sin\theta(-T'_1 + T'_2 + T'_3)$ |
| | $+\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\sin\theta(T_1'-T_2'+2T_3')$ | | |
| $\Xi_b^0 \to P_p K^-$ | T'_2 | $\Xi_b^0 \to P_n \bar{K}^0$ | $T'_{2} - T'_{3}$ |
| $\Xi_b^0 	o P_{\Sigma^-} \pi^+$ | $T'_{2} - T'_{3}$ | $\Xi_b^0 \to P_\Lambda \pi^0$ | $rac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}(-T_1'+T_2'+T_3')$ |
| $\Xi_b^- \to P_{\Xi^-} K^0$ | $T'_{1} - T'_{2}$ | $\Xi_b^- \to P_n K^-$ | $-T'_3$ |
| $\Xi_b^- \to P_{\Sigma^-} \eta$ | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\cos\theta(T_1'-T_2'-T_3')$ | $\Xi_b^- \to P_{\Sigma^-} \eta'$ | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\cos\theta(T'_1 - T'_2 + 2T'_3)$ |
| | $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\sin\theta(T_1'-T_2'+2T_3')$ | | $+\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\sin\theta(T'_{1}-T'_{2}-T'_{3})$ |
| $\Xi_b^- \to P_{\Sigma^-} \pi^0$ | $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(T_1' - T_2' + T_3')$ | $\Xi_b^- 	o P_{\Sigma^0} \pi^-$ | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(T'_1 - T'_2 + T'_3)$ |
| $\Xi_b^- \to P_\Lambda \pi^-$ | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(T'_1 - T'_2 - T'_3)$ | | v - |
| $\Omega_b^- 	o P_{\Xi^-} \pi^0$ | $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}t'_{1}$ | $\Omega_b^- 	o P_{\Xi^0} \pi^-$ | $-t_1'$ |
| $\Omega_b^- \to P_{\Xi^-} \eta$ | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\cos\theta(t_1'-2t_2') - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\sin\theta(t_1'+t_2')$ | $\Omega_b^- \to P_{\Xi^-} \eta'$ | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\cos\theta(t_1'+t_2')+\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\sin\theta(t_1'-2t_2')$ |
| $\Omega_b^- \to P_{\Sigma^-} \bar{K}^0$ | $t_2' - t_3'$ | $\Omega_b^- 	o P_{\Sigma^0} K^-$ | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(t'_2 - t'_3)$ |
| $\Omega_b^- \to P_\Lambda K^-$ | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(t'_2+t'_3)$ | | v - |