# Light quark mass dependence of nucleon mass to two-loop order

Siwei Hu Institute of High Energy Physics (IHEP)

In collaboration with Longbin Chen, Yu Jia, Zhewen Mo

Based on arXiv:2406.04124 [hep-ph]

October 21, 2024





- 1. Nucleon mass
- 2. Chiral perturbative theory PCB problem
- 3. Nucleon self-energy (EOMS)
- 4. Phenomenology
- 5. Summary

#### Nucleon mass

- Nucleon mass
  - $m_{p,n} = 938 \, MeV$
  - Ruler approximation:  $m_N = 800 \text{ MeV} + m_{\pi}$



A. Walker-Load PoS LATTICE2013 (2014), 013

 $m_{\pi} \sim \sqrt{m_q}$ 

- Works well over a large range
- Wrong pion mass dependence Wrong leading term, free of log M
- Lattice cannot approach chiral limit

#### Chiral perturbative theory (ChPT)

• ChPT —— low energy EFT

- Degree of freedom meson and baryon (pion and nucleon)
- Mesonic sector J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler Annals Phys. 158 (1984)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pi\pi}^{(2)} = \frac{F^2}{4} Tr(\partial_{\mu} U \partial^{\mu} U) + \frac{F^2}{4} Tr(\chi U^{\dagger} + U^{\dagger} \chi), \quad U = \exp(i\frac{\vec{\pi} \cdot \vec{\sigma}}{F})$$
$$SU(2)_R \times SU(2)_R$$

Power counting -- consist with dimension

$$M_{\pi} = 136 \text{ MeV} \ll \Lambda_{\chi} = 0(1 \text{ GeV}), \quad q \sim M_{\pi} / \Lambda_{\chi} \quad \text{Well defined expansion}$$
  

$$\partial_{\mu} \sim 0(q) , \quad M_{\pi} \sim 0(q), \quad \chi = M_{\pi}^{2} \sim 0(q^{2})$$
  

$$\cdot D = \dim \times N_{Loop} - 2 \times N_{I} + \sum i N_{V_{i}}$$
  

$$\dim \times 1 - 2 \times 1 + 2 \rightarrow 0(q^{4})$$

#### Baryon Chiral perturbative theory (BChPT)

baryon sector

J. Gasser et al. Nucl.Phys.B 307 (1988)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pi N}^{(1)} = \bar{\Psi} \left( i \partial \!\!\!/ - m + \frac{\mathsf{g}_{\mathsf{A}}}{2F} \gamma_5 \partial \!\!\!/ \vec{\pi} \cdot \vec{\tau} \right) \Psi + \cdots$$

• Power counting breaking (PCB)

• 
$$M_{\pi} \ll \Lambda_{\chi}$$
,  $\underline{m_N \sim \Lambda_{\chi}}$   
•  $\partial_{\mu}\pi \sim O(q)$ ,  $M_{\pi} \sim O(q)$ ,  $\underline{m_N \sim O(q^0)}$ ,  $\partial_{\mu}\Psi \sim O(q^0)$   
 $iS_F(p) = \frac{i}{p' - m + i0^+}$   $D = dim \times N_L - 2 \times N_{I,\pi} - 1 \times N_{I,N} + \sum i N_{V_i}$   
 $dim \times 1 - 2 \times 1 - 1 \times 1 + 2 \rightarrow O(q^3)$  PCB terms  
 $\underbrace{2m^3 \left[ \log\left(\frac{\mu^2}{m^2}\right) \right] + 2mM_{\pi}^2 \left[ 1 + \log\left(\frac{\mu^2}{m^2}\right) \right]}_{O(q^0)}$  O(q^2)  
 $-\frac{1}{m} \left\{ \log\left(\frac{M_{\pi}^2}{m^2}\right) M_{\pi}^4 + 2M_{\pi}^3 \sqrt{4m^2 - M_{\pi}^2} \arccos\left(\frac{M_{\pi}}{2m}\right) \right\}$ . Power counting breaking  
 $A$  new scale get involved in the Feynman Integral  
 $D(q^3) + O(q^4) + \cdots$   $5/18$ 

#### Renormalization

- PCB ill-defined cutoff of Feynman diagram
- Heavy Baryon ChPT (HBChPT) V. Bernard et al. Nucl.Phys.B 388 (1982) E.E. Jenkins and A.V. Manohar Phys.Lett.B 255 (1991)
  - p = mv + k, v = (1,0,0,0),  $m \rightarrow \infty$
  - Non-relativistic  $iS_F(p) = \frac{i}{\not p m + i0^+} \implies i \frac{1 + \not p}{2w \cdot k + i\varepsilon}$
- Infrared Regularization (IR) T. Becher and H. Leutwyler Eur.Phys.J. 9 (1999)
  - Discard the contribution near the pole  $p^2 = m^2$  (regular part).
  - Introduce un-physical cut, poor convergence
- Extended-on-mass-shell scheme (EOMS)
- J. Gegelia and G. Japaridze Phys.Rev.D 60 (1999)
- T. Fuchs et al. Phys.Rev.D 68 (2003)
- counterterms absorb only the divergence and PCB terms
- Keeps the relativity and analyticity
- Phenomenological success

- L. Durand and P. Ha Phys.Rev.D 58 (1998)
- L.S Geng et al. Phys.Rev.Lett. 101 (2008)
- L.S Geng Front.Phys.(Beijing) 8 (2013)

#### Nucleon self-energy

• Nucleon mass:

$$m_{N} = m + k_{1}M^{2} + k_{2}M^{3} + k_{3}M^{4}\ln\frac{M}{\mu} + k_{4}M^{4} \quad \longleftarrow \text{ Tree and one loop} \\ + k_{5}M^{5}\ln\frac{M}{\mu} + k_{6}M^{5} + k_{7}M^{6}\ln^{2}\frac{M}{\mu} + k_{8}M^{6}\ln\frac{M}{\mu} + k_{9}M^{6} \quad \longleftarrow \text{ Two loop}$$

- HBChPT:
  - J.A. McGovern and M.C. Birse Phys.Lett.B 446 (1999) • Up to  $O(q^5)$ , the correction can be absorbed by  $g_A$ .
- IR:
  - Up to  $O(q^6)$  M.R. Schindler et al. Phys.Lett.B 649 (2007)
- EOMS:
  - One Loop T. Fuches et al. Phys.Rev.D 68 (2003)
  - Two loop N.D. Conard et al. PoS CD2021 (2024)

#### The expansion of nucleon mass

- *m<sub>N</sub>* 
  - 1PI self-energy

$$\{\not p - m_{\mathsf{B}} - \Sigma(\not p, m_{\mathsf{B}})\}\Big|_{p \neq m_{N}} = 0$$

$$m_N = m_{\rm B} + \Sigma_{\rm c} + \hbar \Sigma^{(1)} + \hbar^2 \Sigma^{(2)} + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^3)$$
  
Contact term  
$$\Sigma_c = -4c_1 M^2 + \hat{e}_1 M^4 + \hat{g}_1 M^6$$

Absorb the contact terms into propagator mass  $~~ ilde{m} = m_{
m B} + \Sigma_c$ 

$$\frac{i}{\not p - m - \Sigma_c} = \frac{i}{\not p - m} + \frac{i}{\not p - m} i \Sigma_c \frac{i}{\not p - m} + \dots$$

#### The expansion of nucleon mass

• <u></u>(2)

Three scales  $(m_N, \widetilde{m}, M) \implies$  Two scales

$$\hbar^{2} \tilde{\Sigma}^{(2)}(m_{N}, \tilde{m}) = \hbar^{2} \tilde{\Sigma}^{(2)}(\tilde{m} + \mathcal{O}(\hbar), \tilde{m})$$
$$= \hbar^{2} \tilde{\Sigma}^{(2)}(\tilde{m}, \tilde{m}) + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^{3})$$



two regions for each integration

$$l \sim M_{\pi} \implies$$
 soft ~ IR singular part  
 $l \sim m_N \implies$  hard ~ IR regular part —  
Contain PCB <



## Analytic feynman integrals

- Modern technology
  - Using IBP reduce to MIs
  - DEs for MIs
  - AMFlow for numeric check





#### Numeric check: AMFlow

L. Xiao and Y.Q. Ma Comput.Phys.Commun. 283 (2023)

#### Differential equation method

A.V. Kotikov Phys.Lett.B 254 (1991)

A.V. Kotikov Phys.Lett.B 267 (1991)

J.M. Henn Phys.Rev.Lett. 110 (2013)

### Renormalization (EOMS)

- Bare perturbative theory
  - Substitute the bare quantity

- Use  $\delta_{div}$  to cancel the divergence
- Find out PCB terms for each diagram, and use  $\delta_{eoms}$  to cancel
- $\pi N$  scattering v.s. Nucleon self-energy



D.L. Yao et al. Phys.Rev.D 87 (2013)

#### EOMS counterterms

• Bare perturbative theory



• All PCB terms can be removed by counterterms which free of log M

A non-trivial check

#### Result

• Tree level and one loop

$$m_{N} = m + k_{1}M^{2} + k_{2}M^{3} + k_{3}M^{4}\ln\frac{M}{\mu} + \frac{k_{4}M^{4}}{\hat{e}_{1}M^{4}} + k_{5}M^{5}\ln\frac{M}{\mu} + k_{6}M^{5}$$

$$k_{1} = -4c_{1}, + k_{5}M^{5}\ln\frac{M}{\mu} + k_{6}M^{5} + k_{7}M^{6}\ln^{2}\frac{M}{\mu} + k_{8}M^{6}\ln\frac{M}{\mu} + k_{9}M^{6},$$

$$k_{2} = -\frac{3g_{A}^{2}}{32\pi^{2}F^{2}\pi}, + \frac{3(8c_{1} - c_{2} - 4c_{3})}{32\pi^{2}F^{2}},$$

$$k_{3} = -\frac{3g_{A}^{2}}{32\pi^{2}F^{2}m} + \frac{3(8c_{1} - c_{2} - 4c_{3})}{32\pi^{2}F^{2}},$$

$$K_{4} = \frac{3g_{A}^{2}(1 + 4c_{1}m)}{32\pi^{2}F^{2}m} + \frac{3c_{2}}{128\pi^{2}F^{2}} - \hat{e}_{1},$$
T. Fuches et al. Phys.Rev.D 68 (2003)

### Result

• Two loop  

$$m_{N} = m + k_{1}M^{2} + k_{2}M^{3} + k_{3}M^{4} \ln \frac{M}{\mu} + \frac{k_{4}M^{4}}{e_{1}M^{4}} + \frac{k_{5}M^{5}}{e_{1}M^{4}} + \frac{k_{5}M^{5}}{h_{2}M^{2}} + \frac{3g_{a}^{2}[\pi^{2}F^{2} + 2m^{2} + 8m^{2}\pi^{2}(2l_{4} - 3l_{3})]}{256\pi^{3}F^{4}m^{2}}, + \frac{k_{5}M^{6}}{h_{2}M^{4}} + \frac{k_{5}M^{6}}{\mu} + \frac{M}{\mu} + \frac{k_{6}M^{6}}{\mu} + \frac{M}{\mu} + \frac$$

#### Sigma term

- $\sigma_{\pi N} = \langle N | H_{s,b} | N \rangle$ 
  - Directly related to the chiral symmetry breaking

• 
$$\sigma_{\pi N} = \langle N | m_q (\bar{u}u + \bar{d}d) | N \rangle = M^2 \frac{\partial m_N}{\partial M^2}$$
 (Hellmann-Feynman theorem)



 $m_N = m - 4c_1 M^2 + \cdots$ 

- $m, \hat{e}_1$  and  $\hat{g}_1$  are undetermined
- Use  $\sigma_{\pi N}$  to fit  $\hat{e}_1$  and  $\hat{g}_1$

 $c_{1\sim4}, d_{18}, e_{14\sim16}, \hat{e}_{20.36.38}$ from one loop  $\pi N$  scattering fitting D.L. Yao et al. Phys.Rev.D 87 (2013)

Lattice data points and  $\blacktriangle$ :

A. Agadjanov et al. Phys.Rev.Lett. 131 (2023)

Roy-Steiner equation: M. Hoferichter et al. Phys.Rev.Lett. 115 (2015)

#### Nucleon mass

- BChPT vs lattice
  - $m = 856.6 \pm 1.7 \, MeV$



 $O(q^6)$  consistent with lattice result

 $m_N = m + k_1 M^2 + k_2 M^3 + k_3 M^4 \ln \frac{M}{m} + k_4 M^4$  $+ k_5 M^5 \ln \frac{M}{\mu} + k_6 M^5$ +  $k_7 M^6 \ln^2 \frac{M}{\mu} + k_8 M^6 \ln \frac{M}{\mu} + k_9 M^6$ ,  $m_N/{\rm MeV}$  $=\underbrace{856.6}_{m} + \underbrace{111}_{\mathcal{O}(q^2)} + \underbrace{(-14.4)}_{\mathcal{O}(q^3)}$ + (-9.40) + (-4.48) + (-4.02) + 4.42 $\mathcal{O}(q^5)$  $\mathcal{O}(q^4)$ + 0.775 + 1.97 + (-2.39). $\mathcal{O}(q^6)$ 

Good convergence

Lattice data points:

Y.B. Yang et al. Phys.Rev.Lett. 121 (2018)

- Analytic two-loop calculation of  $m_N$
- Verify the validity of EOMS scheme
- The most precise prediction of nucleon mass in chiral limit
- Pion mass dependence consistent with lattice prediction

## Thank you for your attention

#### Back up

$$\mathscr{L}_{\mathscr{M}} = -\bar{q}_{R}\mathscr{M}q_{L} - \bar{q}_{L}\mathscr{M}^{\dagger}q_{R}, \quad \mathscr{M} = \begin{pmatrix} m_{u} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & m_{d} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & m_{s} \end{pmatrix}$$
  
 $\mathscr{M} \mapsto R\mathscr{M}L^{\dagger}$ 

$$\frac{\partial E(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda} = \left\langle \alpha(\lambda) \left| \frac{\partial H(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda} \right| \alpha(\lambda) \right\rangle$$
$$\lambda \to \hat{m},$$
$$|\alpha(\lambda)\rangle \to |N(\hat{m})\rangle,$$
$$E(\lambda) \to m_N(\hat{m}),$$
$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial \lambda} \to \frac{\partial \mathscr{H}_{\text{QCD}}}{\partial \hat{m}} = \bar{u}u + \bar{d}d.$$

 $A + Bm_{\pi}^2 + Cm_{\pi}^3 + Dm_{\pi}^3 + Em_{\pi}^4 \log m_{\pi}$ 

#### The expansion of nucleon mass

- Lagrangian
  - Baryon sector

$$\mathcal{L}_{BchPT} = \mathcal{L}_{\pi\pi}^{(2)} + \mathcal{L}_{\pi\pi}^{(4)} + \sum_{i=1}^{6} \mathcal{L}_{\pi N}^{(i)}$$

- up to  $O(q^4)$  N. Fettes et al. Annals Phys. 283 (2000)
- up to  $O(q^5)$  C.Q. Song et al. [2404.15047 [hep-ph]]
- High order lagrangian contribute a tree level term  $\hat{g}_1 M^6$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{\pi N}^{(2)} &= c_1 \langle \chi_+ \rangle \overline{N} N - \frac{c_2}{4m^2} \langle u^{\mu} u^{\nu} \rangle \langle \overline{N} D_{\mu} D_{\nu} N + h.c. \rangle + \frac{c_3}{2} \langle u^{\mu} u_{\mu} \rangle \overline{N} N - \frac{c_4}{4} \overline{N} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\nu} [u_{\mu}, u_{\nu}] N , \\ \mathcal{L}_{\pi N}^{(3)} &= \overline{N} \left\{ -\frac{d_1 + d_2}{4m} ([u_{\mu}, [D_{\nu}, u^{\mu}]] + [D^{\mu}, u_{\nu}]] D^{\nu} + h.c.) + i \frac{d_5}{2m} ([\chi_-, u_{\mu}] D^{\mu} + h.c.) \right. \\ &+ \frac{d_3}{12m^3} ([u_{\mu}, [D_{\nu}, u_{\lambda}]] (D^{\mu} D^{\nu} D^{\lambda} + sym.) + h.c.) + i \frac{d_5}{2m} ([\chi_-, u_{\mu}] D^{\mu} + h.c.) \\ &+ i \frac{d_{14} - d_{15}}{8m} (\sigma^{\mu\nu} \langle [D_{\lambda}, u_{\mu}] u_{\nu} - u_{\mu} [D_{\nu}, u_{\lambda}] \rangle D^{\lambda} + h.c.) \\ &+ \frac{d_{16}}{2} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^5 \langle \chi_+ \rangle u_{\mu} + \frac{i d_{18}}{2} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^5 [D_{\mu}, \chi_-] \right\} N , \\ \mathcal{L}_{\pi N}^{(4)} &= \overline{N} \left\{ e_{14} \langle h_{\mu\nu} h^{\mu\nu} \rangle - \frac{e_{15}}{4m^2} (\langle h_{\lambda\mu} h^{\lambda}_{\nu} \rangle D^{\mu\nu} + h.c.) + \frac{e_{16}}{48m^4} (\langle h_{\lambda\mu} h_{\nu\rho} \rangle D^{\lambda\mu\nu\rho} + h.c.) \\ &+ \frac{i e_{17}}{2} [h_{\lambda\mu}, h^{\lambda}_{\nu}] \sigma^{\mu\nu} - \frac{i e_{18}}{8m^2} ([h_{\lambda\mu}, h_{\nu\rho}] \sigma^{\mu\nu} D^{\lambda\rho} + h.c.) + e_{19} \langle \chi_+ \rangle \langle u \cdot u \rangle \\ &- \frac{e_{20}}{4m^2} (\langle \chi_+ \rangle \langle u_{\mu} u_{\nu} \rangle D^{\mu\nu} + h.c.) + i e_{24} \langle u_{\mu} (D^{\mu}, \widetilde{\chi}_-] \rangle - \frac{e_{37}}{2} [u_{\mu}, [D_{\nu}, \widetilde{\chi}_-]] \sigma^{\mu\nu} + e_{38} \langle \chi_+ \rangle \langle \chi_+ \rangle \\ &+ \frac{e_{115}}{4} \langle \chi_+^2 - \chi_-^2 \rangle - \frac{e_{116}}{4} (\langle \chi_-^2 \rangle - \langle \chi_- \rangle^2 + \langle \chi_+^2 \rangle - \langle \chi_+ \rangle^2) \right\} N , \end{split}$$

#### Result

