Light quark mediated Higgs boson production in associate with a jet at NNLO and beyond

刘涛(高能所理论室)



第六届重味物理与量子色动力学研讨会 2024年4月20日

Peter Higgs (1929-2024): A Gentle Giant of Science

We have lost an important scientist; we have also lost a wonderful man.



🖋 f in 🍳 🖬 🖉



Peter Higgs visiting the CMS detector at CERN, November 15, 2018. Photo by Maro Buehler via Flickr.

Outline

- 1. Background and motivation
- 2. Resummation of the abelian corrections [Melnikov, Penin 16]
- 3. Factorization and resummation for full QCD
- 4. Phenomenological applications
- **5.** Conclusion

Based on: Tao Liu, Alexander Penin, Abdur Rehman arXiv:2402.18625

Higgs production via gluon fusion



Obtained in heavy top limit; Improvements: finite top mass effects, threshold resummation, EW corrections ...

Light quark amplitudes: enhanced by non-Sudakov double logarithms

11. Status of Higgs Boson Physics 207

boson p_T distribution is known at NNLO [60, 61] (see Ref. [62] for a recent reappraisal) and heavy quark mass effects, including top-bottom interferences, have been computed at NLO [63], revealing a non-trivial logarithmic structure that will make resummation difficult [64]. A programatic approach for a fixedorder/resummation matching of the top-bottom interferences has been proposed [65]. Many search modes for the Higgs boson are

- [64] S. Forte and C. Muselli, JHEP 03, 122 (2016), [arXiv:1511.05561]; K. Melnikov and A. Penin, JHEP 05, 172 (2016), [arXiv:1602.09020]; T. Liu and A. Penin, JHEP 11, 158 (2018), [arXiv:1809.04950].
- [65] F. Caola et al., JHEP 09, 035 (2018), [arXiv:1804.07632].

Light quark mediated Higgs production

Next-to-leading power:



000000 ----

New contributions at next-to-next-to-leading power:



 $g(p_1) + g(p_2) \rightarrow g(p_3) + H(p_H)$

Near threshold region:

$$m_q^2 \ll p_\perp^2 \ll s, m_H^2$$

Two different kind of logarithms

 $g(p_1) + g(p_2) \to g(p_3) + H(p_H)$

Near threshold region: m

$$n_q^2 \ll p_\perp^2 \ll s, m_H^2$$

Two different kind of logarithms

Gauge conditions: $\epsilon_i p_i = 0$ $(i = 1, 2, 3), \quad \epsilon_1 p_2 = 0, \quad \epsilon_2 p_1 = 0, \quad \epsilon_3 p_2 = 0$

$$M_{+++} = -\sqrt{2} f^{a_1 a_2 a_3} \frac{g_s}{v} \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} \frac{\langle 12 \rangle^2}{[12] \langle 23 \rangle \langle 13 \rangle} Z_{3g} \sum_q A^{(q)}_{+++} ,$$

$$M_{++-} = -\sqrt{2} f^{a_1 a_2 a_3} \frac{g_s}{v} \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} \frac{\langle 12 \rangle}{[23] [13]} Z_{3g} \sum_q A^{(q)}_{++-} ,$$

 $g(p_1) + g(p_2) \rightarrow g(p_3) + H(p_H)$

Near threshold region:

$$m_q^2 \ll p_\perp^2 \ll s, m_H^2$$

Two different kind of logarithms

Gauge conditions: $\epsilon_i p_i = 0$ $(i = 1, 2, 3), \quad \epsilon_1 p_2 = 0, \quad \epsilon_2 p_1 = 0, \quad \epsilon_3 p_2 = 0$





logarithmic scaling

Resummation of the Abelian part



[Melnikov, Penin 2016]

Factorization of one-loop amplitudes



$$\begin{split} S(p_3+l)\epsilon_2 S(p_3-p_2+l) & \rightarrow \theta \left(|p_2p_3|-|p_2l|\right) \frac{p_3}{2p_3l} \epsilon_2 \frac{p_2}{2p_2p_3} \\ & +\theta(|p_2l|-|p_2p_3|) \frac{l}{2p_3l} \epsilon_2 \frac{p_2}{2p_2l} \cdot \\ & \rightarrow \theta \left(|p_2p_3|-|p_2l|\right) \left(\frac{p_3}{2p_3l} \epsilon_2 \frac{p_2}{2p_2p_3} - \frac{l}{2p_3l} \epsilon_2 \frac{p_2}{2p_2l}\right) \\ \end{split}$$

Factorization of one-loop amplitudes

$$L = \ln(s/m_q^2)$$

$$\tau_t = \ln\left(|t|/m_q^2\right)/L$$

$$\tau = \ln\left(p_\perp^2/m_q^2\right)/L$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{+++}^{(1)} \end{bmatrix}_{\text{sym.}} = 0,$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{+++}^{(1)} \end{bmatrix}_{\text{sym.}} = -L^2 \left(\int_{\tau_t - \tau}^{\tau_t} d\eta \int_{1 - \tau_t}^{1 - \eta} d\xi + (\tau_t \leftrightarrow \tau_u) \right) = -L^2 \tau^2$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{++\pm}^{(1)} \end{bmatrix}_{\text{s.d.}} + \begin{bmatrix} A_{++\pm}^{(1)} \end{bmatrix}_{\text{sym.}} = -\frac{\tau^2}{2} L^2.$$

All the others(eikonal dipole) sum to

$$\left[A_{+\pm\pm}^{(1)}\right]_{\text{e.d.}} = \pm 2L^2 \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}\eta \int_0^{1-\eta} \mathrm{d}\xi = \pm L^2 \,,$$

 $\left[A_{++\pm}^{(1)}\right]_{=1} = \mp L^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\tau_t} d\eta \int_{-\infty}^{1-\eta} d\xi = \mp L^2 \frac{\tau^2}{2},$

one-loop $g(p_1)g(p_2)H$ form factor

Jet decoupled from the soft quark loop!

12

Factorization of one-loop amplitudes

Effective diagrams:





Example:





Same gauge conditions.



$$I_{\rm ph}^{(1)} = -\frac{C_A \alpha_s}{2\epsilon^2} \left[2 \left(\frac{-s}{\mu^2}\right)^{-\epsilon} + \left(\frac{-tu}{s\mu^2}\right)^{-\epsilon} \right].$$
$$I_{\rm sym}^{(1)} = -\frac{C_A}{2\epsilon^2} \left[\left(\frac{-s}{\mu^2}\right)^{-\epsilon} + \left(\frac{-t}{\mu^2}\right)^{-\epsilon} + \left(\frac{-u}{\mu^2}\right)^{-\epsilon} \right],$$

Differs from Catani's IR operator by finite double logarithmic terms.

$$z = (C_A - C_F)x$$
$$x = \frac{\alpha_s(\mu_s)}{4\pi}L^2$$
$$\zeta = \ln(t/u)/L$$

$$\begin{split} \left[A_{++\pm}^{\mathrm{LL}}\right]_{\mathrm{e.d.}} &= \pm 2L^2 \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}\eta \int_0^{1-\eta} \mathrm{d}\xi \, e^{2z\eta\xi} = \pm L^2 \int_0^1 \frac{\mathrm{d}\eta}{z\eta} \left(e^{2z\eta(1-\eta)} - 1\right) = \pm L^2 g(z) \\ \left[A_{++\pm}^{\mathrm{LL}}\right]_{\mathrm{s.d.}} &= \mp L^2 \int_{\tau_t-\tau}^{\tau_t} \mathrm{d}\eta \int_{1-\tau_t}^{1-\eta} \mathrm{d}\xi \, e^{2z\eta\xi} = \mp L^2 \int_{\tau_t-\tau}^{\tau_t} \frac{\mathrm{d}\eta}{2z\eta} \left(e^{2z\eta(1-\eta)} - e^{2z\eta(1-\tau_t)}\right) \\ \left[A_{++-}^{\mathrm{LL}}\right]_{\mathrm{sym.}} &= -L^2 \left[\int_{\tau_t-\tau}^{\tau_t} \mathrm{d}\eta \int_{1-\tau_t}^{1-\eta} \mathrm{d}\xi \, e^{2z(\eta-\tau_t+\tau)(\xi-1+\tau_t)} e^{2z(\tau_t-\tau)\xi} + (\tau_t \to \tau_u)\right] \end{split}$$

$$= -L^2 \left[\int_{\tau_t - \tau}^{\tau_t} \frac{\mathrm{d}\eta}{2z\eta} e^{2z(\tau_t - \tau)(1 - \tau_t)} \left(e^{2z\eta(\tau_t - \eta)} - 1 \right) + (\tau_t \to \tau_u) \right].$$

Agree with explicit calculations after IR subtraction .

Phenomenological application

Top-bottom interference and extra soft emissions:

$$d\sigma_{gg\to Hg+X}^{tb} = -\frac{3m_b^2}{m_H^2} L^2 C_t C_b(\tau,\zeta) d\tilde{\sigma}_{gg\to Hg+X}^{\text{eff}} \,.$$

$$L = \ln(s/m_q^2)$$

$$\tau = \ln\left(p_\perp^2/m_q^2\right)/L$$

$$\zeta = \ln(t/u)/L$$

$$C_{b}(\tau,\zeta) = \frac{A_{+++} - A_{++-}}{2L^{2}} = 1 + \frac{z}{6} \left(1 - \tau^{3} + \tau^{4} \right) + z^{2} \left[\frac{1}{45} - \frac{\tau^{3}}{12} + \frac{\tau^{4}}{6} - \frac{7\tau^{5}}{60} + \frac{\tau^{6}}{30} + \frac{\zeta^{2}}{12} (\tau^{3} - \tau^{4}) \right] + z^{3} \left[\frac{1}{420} - \frac{\tau^{3}}{48} + \frac{\tau^{4}}{16} - \frac{\tau^{5}}{12} + \frac{23\tau^{6}}{360} - \frac{143\tau^{7}}{5040} + \frac{31\tau^{8}}{5040} \right] + \zeta^{2} \left(\frac{\tau^{3}}{24} - \frac{\tau^{4}}{12} + \frac{\tau^{5}}{20} - \frac{\tau^{6}}{180} \right) - \frac{\zeta^{4}}{48} (\tau^{3} - \tau^{4}) + \dots$$

Phenomenological application

Top-bottom interference and extra soft emissions:

$$d\sigma_{gg\to Hg+X}^{tb} = -\frac{3m_b^2}{m_H^2} L^2 C_t C_b(\tau,\zeta) d\tilde{\sigma}_{gg\to Hg+X}^{\text{eff}} \,.$$

$$L = \ln(s/m_q^2)$$

$$\tau = \ln\left(p_\perp^2/m_q^2\right)/L$$

$$\zeta = \ln(t/u)/L$$

$$C_b(\tau,\zeta) = \frac{A_{+++} - A_{++-}}{2L^2} = 1 + \frac{z}{6} \left(1 - \tau^3 + \tau^4 \right) + z^2 \left[\frac{1}{45} - \frac{\tau^3}{12} + \frac{\tau^4}{6} - \frac{7\tau^5}{60} + \frac{\tau^6}{30} + \frac{\zeta^2}{12} (\tau^3 - \tau^4) \right] + z^3 \left[\frac{1}{420} - \frac{\tau^3}{48} + \frac{\tau^4}{16} - \frac{\tau^5}{12} + \frac{23\tau^6}{360} - \frac{143\tau^7}{5040} + \frac{31\tau^8}{5040} \right] + \zeta^2 \left(\frac{\tau^3}{24} - \frac{\tau^4}{12} + \frac{\tau^5}{20} - \frac{\tau^6}{180} \right) - \frac{\zeta^4}{48} (\tau^3 - \tau^4) + \dots$$

Approximately $C_b = C_b(0,\zeta) = C_b(1,0)$

Dominate contribution come from the eikonal dipole part, where jet decouples from the quark loop.

All-order NLL?



Conclusion

- 1. All-order double logarithmic analysis for light quark mediated Higgs production in associate with a jet.
- 2. To our knowledge, this is the first asymptotic result for a QCD amplitude which captures all-order dependence on two kinematical variables.
- 3. Same color factor CF-CA which are observed on three-point amplitudes is obtained after physical IR subtraction.
- 4. Partonic cross section shows very weak dependence on the rapidity and transverse momentum of the jet.

Thanks for your attention!