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## Introduction

Particles produced in the forward rapidity


dilute-dense-system; gluon saturation; non-linear evolution; CGC
$p$


$$
\mathscr{F}\left(k_{T}\right)=\mathrm{FT}\left[S\left(r_{\perp}\right)\right]
$$

dipole scattering amplitude

## Introduction

## Life is simple at LO




Albacete and Marquet, PLB 2010
$\square$ Large theoretical uncertainties
Dumitru and Jalilian-Marian, PRI 2002
Albacete and Marquet, PLB 2010
Levin and Rezaeian, PRD 2010

## Introduction

## An Odyssey of NLO

BRAHMS $\eta=2.2,3.2$

$\square$ NLO cross section turns negative at high $p_{T}$.

Dumitru, Hayashigakia and Jalilian-MIarian, NPA, 2006
Altinoluk and Kovner, PRD, 2011
Chirilli, Xiao and Yuan, PRL, 2012
Chirilli, Xiao and Yuan, PRD, 2012
Watanabe, Xiao, Yuan and Zaslavsky, PRD, 2015

Proposals to fix this problem:

Kang, Vitev, Xing, PRL, 2014
Altinoluk, et al, PRD, 2015
Iancu, et al, JHEP, 2016
Ducloué, Lappi, Zhu, PRD, 2016, 2017
Ducloué, et al, PRD, 2018
Xiao, Yuan, PLB, 2019
Liu, MLa, Chao, PRD, 2019
Liu, Kang, Liu, PRD, 2020
Liu, Liu, Shi, Zheng, Zhou, 202Z
factorisation scheme; kinematic constraint; running coupling effect; resummation...

## Introduction

## Classical examples



| Perturbative Expansion | Resummation |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $\sigma_{0} \sum_{i=0}^{n}\left(\left(\alpha_{s} \log \right)^{i}\right)$ |
| $\sigma_{0} \sum_{i=0}^{n}\left(\left(\alpha_{s} \log \right)^{i}+\alpha_{s}^{i} C_{i}\right)$ | $+\sigma_{0} \sum_{n+1}^{\infty}\left(\left(\alpha_{s} \log \right)^{i}\right)$ |

dijet azimuthal angle correlation


■ Perturbative Expansion: $\alpha_{s}$ is small [ Resummation: large logs

## Threshold Resummation

## Threshold resummation



$$
\begin{gathered}
\tau=x z \xi=p_{T} e^{y} / \sqrt{s} \\
P_{q q}(\xi)=\frac{1+\xi^{2}}{(1-\xi)_{+}}+\frac{3}{2} \delta(1-\xi) \\
\begin{array}{r}
\int_{\tau}^{1} \frac{d \xi}{(1-\xi)_{+}} f(\xi)=\int_{\tau}^{1} d \xi \frac{f(\xi)-f(1)}{1-\xi} \\
+f(1) \ln (1-\tau)
\end{array}
\end{gathered}
$$

I- At higher $p_{T}$ region, more contribution comes from $\xi \rightarrow 1$.

Shi, Wang, SYW, Xiao, PRL 20ఙఙ

## Threshold Resummation

1. cross section in the coordinate space

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d \sigma}{d \mathcal{P} . \mathcal{S} .} \propto \int \frac{d^{2} r_{\perp}}{(2 \pi)^{2}} \exp \left[-i \vec{k}_{T} \cdot \vec{r}_{\perp}\right] \quad \text { numerical FT becomes unstable at large } k_{T} \\
& P(\xi) \otimes \ln \frac{\mu^{2}}{\mu_{r}^{2}} \quad \sigma_{0} \otimes \ln \frac{k_{T}^{2}}{\mu_{r}^{2}} \quad \sigma_{0} \otimes \ln ^{2} \frac{k_{T}^{2}}{\mu_{r}^{2}} \quad \mu_{r} \equiv c_{0} / r_{\perp} \\
& \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} r_{\perp}}{(2 \pi)^{2}} e^{-i k_{\perp} \cdot r_{\perp}} S^{(2)}\left(r_{\perp}\right) \ln \frac{c_{0}^{2}}{r_{\perp}^{2} \mu^{2}}=\frac{1}{\pi} \int \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} l_{\perp}}{l_{\perp}^{2}}\left[F\left(k_{\perp}-l_{\perp}\right)-J_{0}\left(\frac{c_{0}}{\mu}\left|l_{\perp}\right|\right) F\left(k_{\perp}\right)\right] \\
&=\frac{1}{\pi} \int \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} l_{\perp}}{l_{\perp}^{2}}\left[F\left(k_{\perp}-l_{\perp}\right)-\frac{\Lambda^{2}}{\Lambda^{2}+l_{\perp}^{2}} F\left(k_{\perp}\right)\right]+F\left(k_{\perp}\right) \ln \frac{\Lambda^{2}}{\mu^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

2. cross section in the momentum space

$$
P(\xi) \otimes\left[\ln \frac{\mu^{2}}{\Lambda^{2}}+I_{1}(\Lambda)\right] \quad \sigma_{0} \otimes\left[\ln \frac{k_{T}^{2}}{\Lambda^{2}}+I_{1}(\Lambda)\right] \quad \sigma_{0} \otimes\left[\ln ^{2} \frac{k_{T}^{2}}{\Lambda^{2}}+I_{2}(\Lambda)\right]
$$

## Threshold Resummation

## Resummation of the collinear logarithm

1. reverse-evolution approach

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
q\left(x_{p}, \mu\right) \\
g\left(x_{p}, \mu\right)
\end{array}\right]+\frac{\alpha_{s}}{2 \pi} \ln \frac{\Lambda^{2}}{\mu^{2}} \int_{x_{p}}^{1} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \xi}{\xi}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
C_{F} \mathcal{P}_{q q}(\xi) & T_{R} \mathcal{P}_{q g}(\xi) \\
C_{F} \mathcal{P}_{g q}(\xi) & N_{C} \mathcal{P}_{g g}(\xi)
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
q\left(x_{p} / \xi, \mu\right) \\
g\left(x_{p} / \xi, \mu\right)
\end{array}\right] \Rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{l}
q\left(x_{p}, \Lambda\right) \\
g\left(x_{p}, \Lambda\right)
\end{array}\right]
$$

2. renormalization group equation approach

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{P}_{q q}(N)=-2 \gamma_{E}-2 \psi(N)+\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{N}-\frac{1}{N+1}=-2 \gamma_{E}-2 \ln N+\frac{3}{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right) \\
& q^{\mathrm{res}}\left(x_{p}, \Lambda^{2}, \mu^{2}\right)=\int_{x_{p}}^{1} \frac{\mathrm{~d} x}{x} q\left(x, \mu^{2}\right) \Delta^{q}\left(\Lambda^{2}, \mu^{2}, \omega=\ln \frac{x}{x_{p}}\right) \\
& \frac{\mathrm{d} \Delta^{q}\left(\Lambda^{2}, \mu^{2}, \omega\right)}{\mathrm{d} \ln \mu^{2}}=-\frac{\alpha_{s} C_{F}}{\pi}\left[\ln \omega+\frac{3}{4}\right] \Delta^{q}\left(\Lambda^{2}, \mu^{2}, \omega\right)+\frac{\alpha_{s} C_{F}}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\omega} \mathrm{d} \omega^{\prime} \frac{\Delta^{q}\left(\Lambda^{2}, \mu^{2}, \omega\right)-\Delta^{q}\left(\Lambda^{2}, \mu^{2}, \omega^{\prime}\right)}{\omega-\omega^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Analogous to
Shi, Wang, SYW, Xiao, PRI 202ఙ

## Threshold Resummation

## Resummation of the soft logarithms

Sudakov resummation / Sudakov factor

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma_{\text {resummed }}}{\mathrm{d} y \mathrm{~d}^{2} p_{T}}=S_{\perp} \int_{\tau}^{1} \frac{\mathrm{~d} z}{z^{2}} x_{p} q\left(x_{p}, \Lambda^{2}\right) D_{h / q}\left(z, \Lambda^{2}\right) F\left(k_{\perp}\right) e^{-S_{\text {Sud }}^{q q}} \\
& S_{\text {Sud }}^{q q}=C_{F} \int_{\Lambda^{2}}^{k_{\perp}^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \mu^{2}}{\mu^{2}} \frac{\alpha_{s}\left(\mu^{2}\right)}{\pi} \ln \frac{k_{\perp}^{2}}{\mu^{2}}-3 C_{F} \int_{\Lambda^{2}}^{k_{\perp}^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \mu^{2}}{\mu^{2}} \frac{\alpha_{s}\left(\mu^{2}\right)}{2 \pi}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Final formula

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma}{\mathrm{~d} y \mathrm{~d}^{2} p_{T}}=\frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma_{\text {resummed }}}{\mathrm{d} y \mathrm{~d}^{2} p_{T}}+\frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma_{\mathrm{NLO} \text { matching }}}{\mathrm{d} y \mathrm{~d}^{2} p_{T}}+\frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma_{\text {Sud matching }}}{\mathrm{d} y \mathrm{~d}^{2} p_{T}}
$$

## Threshold Resummation

Determining the semi-hard scale $\Lambda$ : saddle point approximation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma_{\mathrm{resummed}}^{q q}}{\mathrm{~d} y \mathrm{~d}^{2} p_{T}}= & S_{\perp} \int_{\tau}^{1} \frac{\mathrm{~d} z}{z^{2}} \int \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} r_{\perp}}{(2 \pi)^{2}} e^{-i k_{\perp} \cdot r_{\perp}} S^{(2)}\left(r_{\perp}\right) e^{-S_{\mathrm{Sud}}^{q q}} \int_{x_{p}}^{1} \frac{\mathrm{~d} x}{x} q(x, \mu) \frac{e^{\left(3 / 4-\gamma_{E}\right) \gamma_{\mu_{r}, \mu}^{q}}}{\Gamma\left(\gamma_{\mu_{r}, \mu}^{q}\right)}\left[\ln \frac{x}{x_{p}}\right]_{*}^{\gamma_{\mu_{r}, \mu}^{q}-1} \\
& \times \int_{z}^{1} \frac{\mathrm{~d} z^{\prime}}{z^{\prime}} D_{h / q}\left(z^{\prime}\right) \frac{e^{\left(3 / 4-\gamma_{E}\right) \gamma_{\mu_{r}, \mu}^{q}}}{\Gamma\left(\gamma_{\mu_{r}, \mu}^{q}\right)}\left[\ln \frac{z^{\prime}}{z}\right]_{*}^{\gamma_{\mu_{r}, \mu}^{q}-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(\xi) \otimes \ln \frac{\mu^{2}}{\mu_{r}^{2}} \\
& P(\xi) \otimes\left[\ln \frac{\mu^{2}}{\Lambda^{2}}+I_{1}(\Lambda)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

saddle point approximation

$$
\Lambda \sim \mu_{r}=\frac{c_{0}}{r_{\perp}}
$$

$$
\Lambda^{2} \approx \max \left\{\Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}}^{2}\left[\frac{k_{\perp}^{2}(1-\xi)}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}}^{2}}\right]^{\frac{C_{F_{F}}^{\sigma_{F}+\sigma_{c} \beta_{0}}}{}}, Q_{s}^{2}\right\}
$$

Shi, Wang, SYW, Xiao, PRL 20ఓఙ

## Threshold Resummation

## Numerical Results



$\square$ Threshold resummation solves the "negativity" problem.
(T) Numerical results can universally describe the experimental data from RHIC and the LHC.

Shi, Wang, SYW, Xiao, PRL 20んఙ

## Diffractive Dijet in UPC

## Diffractive Process in UPC



Iancu, Mueller, Triantafyllopoulos, SYW, JHEP 20\&2, EPJC 2023 Hauksson, Iancu, Mueller, Triantafyllopoulos, SYW, arXiv:Z402. 14748

## Diffractive Dijet in UPC

"LO" Exclusive Process



$$
\frac{d \sigma}{d^{2} P_{\perp}} \propto \frac{1}{P_{\perp}^{6}}
$$

## "NLO" 2+1 Process



$$
\frac{d \sigma}{d^{2} P_{\perp}} \propto \frac{1}{P_{\perp}^{4}}
$$

Iancu, Mueller, Triantafyllopoulos, SYW, JHEP 20\&ะ, 円PJC 2023 Hauksson, Iancu, Mueller, Triantafyllopoulos, SYW, arXiv:Z402.14748

## Diffractive Dijet in UPC

"LO" Exclusive Process



## "NLO" 2+1 Process


$\mathcal{R} \equiv \frac{\sigma_{\text {exclusive }}}{\sigma_{2+1}}$



Iancu, Mueller, Triantafyllopoulos, SYW, JHEP 202\%, FPJC 2023 Hauksson, Iancu, Mueller, Triantafyllopoulos, SYW, arXiv:Z402.14748

## Diffractive Dijet in UPC

TMD factorisation of $2+1$ Process

$$
\frac{d \sigma}{d \eta_{1} d \eta_{2} d^{2} \boldsymbol{P} d^{2} \boldsymbol{K} d Y_{\mathbb{P}}}=x_{\gamma} f_{\gamma}\left(x_{\gamma}\right) \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{d \hat{\sigma}^{\gamma g \rightarrow q \bar{q}}}{d \hat{t}} \frac{d x G_{\mathbb{P}}\left(x, Y_{\mathbb{P}}, K_{\perp}^{2}\right)}{d^{2} \boldsymbol{K}}
$$



Gluon distribution of Pomeron

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d x G_{\mathbb{P}}\left(x, Y_{\mathbb{P}}, K_{\perp}^{2}\right)}{d^{2} \boldsymbol{K}} & =\frac{S_{\perp}\left(N_{c}^{2}-1\right)}{4 \pi^{3}} \frac{1}{2 \pi(1-x)} \\
& \times\left[\mathscr{M}^{2} \int d R R J_{2}\left(K_{\perp} R\right) K_{2}(\mathscr{M} R) \mathscr{T}_{g}\left(R, Y_{\mathbb{P}}\right)\right]^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Probability of finding gluon with momentum fraction $x$ inside a pomeron with momentum fractuon $x_{\mathbb{P}}$

Iancu, Miueller, Triantafyllopoulos, SYW, JHEP 20\&ะ, 玉PJC 2023 Hauksson, Iancu, Mueller, Triantafyllopoulos, SYW, arXiv:Z402.14748

T Threshold resummation solves the "negativity" problem in the single inclusive hadron cross section at the NLO.
$\square$ The $2+1$ process dominates in the diffractive dijet production in UPC and the diffractive TMD factorisation naturally arise in the CGC effective theory.

## The End

## Our approach

## Resummation of the collinear logarithm



- Two approaches are numerically equivalent.


## Our approach

## Determining the semi-hard scale $\Lambda$ : saddle point approximation




