

# Proposal of data taking plan for research of charmed baryon

Pei-Rong Li<sup>1</sup>, Dong Xiao<sup>1</sup>, Xiao-Rui Lyu<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Lanzhou University



<sup>2</sup>University of Chinese Academic of Sciences

2024.05.12


# Outline

- Review of research on charmed baryons
- **Part I** Large data taking at certain  $E_{cm}$
- **Part II** Data taking at  $\Lambda_c^+ \bar{\Lambda}_c^-$  threshold
- Summary

$\Lambda_c^+$  is the ground state charmed baryon, which provide important information to understand strong and weak interactions.



Energy (GeV)	Luminosity ( $\text{pb}^{-1}$ )
4.575	48.9
4.580	8.5
4.590	8.2
4.600	586.9



**In 2014, BESIII collected the first data of  $\Lambda_c^+ \bar{\Lambda}_c^-$  pair at threshold (35 days), leading to a series of important physics results of  $\Lambda_c^+$ .**

## First round physics results on $\Lambda_c^+$

**7 PRL + 10 PRD / PLB / EPJC / CPC produced !**

### Hadronic decay

$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK^-\pi^+ + 11 \text{ hadronic decay modes}$

PRL 116, 052001 (2016)

$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK^+K^-, p\pi^+\pi^-$

PRL 117, 232002 (2016)

$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow nK_S^0\pi^+$

PRL 118, 12001 (2017)

### Semi-leptonic decay

$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Lambda e^+\nu_e$

PRL 115, 221805 (2015)

### Inclusive decay

$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Lambda X$

PRL 121, 062003 (2018)

$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow X e^+\nu_e$

PRL 121, 251801 (2018)

### Production

$\Lambda_c^+ \bar{\Lambda}_c^-$  cross section

PRL 120, 132001 (2018)

### Decay Asymmetry

$\Lambda_c^+$  weak decay asymmetry


PRD 100, 072004 (2019)

### Spin

$\Lambda_c^+$  spin

PRD 103 L 091101 (2021)

During December 2019 to June 2021, BESIII collected  $\sim 5.85\text{fb}^{-1}$  of data at  $\sqrt{s}$  between 4.61 and 4.95 GeV.



$E_{\text{cms}}/\text{MeV}$	$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Bhabha}}/\text{pb}^{-1}$
4611.86±0.12±0.30	103.65±0.05±0.55
4628.00±0.06±0.32	521.53±0.11±2.76
4640.91±0.06±0.38	551.65±0.12±2.92
4661.24±0.06±0.29	529.43±0.12±2.81
4681.92±0.08±0.29	1667.39±0.21±8.84
4698.82±0.10±0.36	535.54±0.12±2.84
4739.70±0.20±0.30	163.87±0.07±0.87
4750.05±0.12±0.29	366.55±0.10±1.94
4780.54±0.12±0.30	511.47±0.12±2.71
4843.07±0.20±0.31	525.16±0.12±2.78
4918.02±0.34±0.34	207.82±0.08±1.10
4950.93±0.36±0.38	159.28±0.07±0.84


This spark another wave of research about  $\Lambda_c^+$  and contribute to a serious of **new important result** !

# Second round physics results on $\Lambda_c^+$


4 PRL + 3 JHEP + 15 PRDL / PRD / PLB / CPC produced !

Highlights:

$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Xi^0 K^+$  decay asymmetry




PRL 131, 191901 (2023)



PRL 132, 031801 (2024)


In summary, BESIII has achieved great success on  $\Lambda_c^+$  study!

$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Lambda e^+ \nu_e$



PRL 131, 191901 (2023)

$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow n \pi^+$



PRL 131, 191901 (2023)

## What's next for $\Lambda_c^+$ ?


1. The precisions of measurements for  $\Lambda_c^+$  decays are still less than the charm meson sector.  $\Rightarrow$  (need more data to improve)
  
2. Unique physics goals on BESIII:
  - a) Polarization study;
  - b) Final states containing neutral particles; ( $n$  or  $K_L^0$ )
  - c) Semi-leptonic decays;
  - d) Inclusive decays;
  - e) Quantum correlation;
  - f) Cross section close to threshold.

# Part I Large data taking at certain $E_{cm}$



## BEPCII Upgrade:

	BEPCI I @ 2.35GeV	BEPCII- U @ 2.35GeV	BEPCII- U @ 2.8GeV
L [ $10^{32}\text{cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ ]	<b>3.5</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>3.7</b>
$\beta_y^*$ [cm]	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.35</b>	<b>3.0</b>
Beam current [mA]	400	900	450
SR Power [kW]	<b>110</b>	<b>250</b>	<b>250</b>
$\xi_{y,\text{lum}}$	0.029	0.033	0.043
Emittance [nmrad]	<b>147</b>	<b>152</b>	<b>200</b>
Coupling [%]	<b>0.53</b>	<b>0.35</b>	<b>0.5</b>
Bucket Height	0.0069	0.011	0.009
$\sigma_{z,0}$ [cm]	1.54	1.07	1.4
$\sigma_z$ [cm]	<b>1.69</b>	<b>1.22</b>	<b>1.6</b>
RF Voltage [MV]	<b>1.6</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>3.3</b>




**Double beam power &**


**Optics upgrade &**

**Higher gradient of magnets**

# BESIII Physics Report



Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 24, S1-794 (2009)  
[arXiv:0809.1869 [hep-ex]].



Chin. Phys. C 44, 040001 (2020)  
doi:10.1088/1674-1137/44/4/040001  
[arXiv:1912.05983 [hep-ex]].

# Planned future data set

Table 7.1: List of data samples collected by BESIII/BEPCII up to 2019, and the proposed samples for the remainder of the physics program. The most right column shows the number of required data taking days in current ( $T_C$ ) or upgraded ( $T_U$ ) machine. The machine upgrades include top-up implementation and beam current increase.

Energy	Physics motivations	Current data	Expected final data	$T_C / T_U$
1.8 - 2.0 GeV	$R$ values Nucleon cross-sections	N/A	0.1 fb <sup>-1</sup> (fine scan)	60/50 days
2.0 - 3.1 GeV	$R$ values Cross-sections	Fine scan (20 energy points)	Complete scan (additional points)	250/180 days
✓ $J/\psi$ peak	Light hadron & Glueball $J/\psi$ decays	3.2 fb <sup>-1</sup> (10 billion)	3.2 fb <sup>-1</sup> (10 billion)	N/A
✓ $\psi(3686)$ peak	Light hadron & Glueball Charmonium decays	0.67 fb <sup>-1</sup> (0.45 billion)	4.5 fb <sup>-1</sup> (3.0 billion)	150/90 days
$\psi(3770)$ peak	$D^0/D^\pm$ decays	2.9 fb <sup>-1</sup>	20.0 fb <sup>-1</sup>	610/360 days
3.8 - 4.6 GeV	$R$ values $XYZ$ /Open charm	Fine scan (105 energy points)	No requirement	N/A
4.180 GeV	$D_s$ decay $XYZ$ /Open charm	3.2 fb <sup>-1</sup>	6 fb <sup>-1</sup>	140/50 days
4.0 - 4.6 GeV	$XYZ$ /Open charm Higher charmonia cross-sections	16.0 fb <sup>-1</sup> at different $\sqrt{s}$	30 fb <sup>-1</sup> at different $\sqrt{s}$	770/310 days
4.6 - 4.9 GeV	Charmed baryon/ $XYZ$ cross-sections	0.56 fb <sup>-1</sup> at 4.6 GeV	15 fb <sup>-1</sup> at different $\sqrt{s}$	1490/600 days
4.74 GeV	$\Sigma_c^+ \Lambda_c^-$ cross-section	N/A	1.0 fb <sup>-1</sup>	100/40 days
4.91 GeV	$\Sigma_c \Sigma_c$ cross-section	N/A	1.0 fb <sup>-1</sup>	120/50 days
4.95 GeV	$\Xi_c$ decays	N/A	1.0 fb <sup>-1</sup>	130/50 days

Completed

18 fb<sup>-1</sup>  
 $\Lambda_c^+$  data


in 2020-2021, 5.8 fb<sup>-1</sup> is taken

Chin. Phys. C 46, 113003 (2022)

# Where to take data ?



$\Lambda_c^+ \bar{\Lambda}_c^-$  cross section:

Already taken:



Sample	$E_{\text{cms}}/\text{MeV}$	$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Bhabha}}/\text{pb}^{-1}$	$\mathcal{L}_{\text{di-photon}}/\text{pb}^{-1}$
4610	4611.86±0.12±0.30	103.65±0.05±0.55	103.37±0.13
4620	4628.00±0.06±0.32	521.53±0.11±2.76	520.17±0.28
4640	4640.91±0.06±0.38	551.65±0.12±2.92	550.67±0.29
4660	4661.24±0.06±0.29	529.43±0.12±2.81	527.53±0.29
4680	4681.92±0.08±0.29	1667.39±0.21±8.84	1665.88±0.51
4700	4698.82±0.10±0.36	535.54±0.12±2.84	533.66±0.29
4740	4739.70±0.20±0.30	163.87±0.07±0.87	165.08±0.16
4750	4750.05±0.12±0.29	366.55±0.10±1.94	367.57±0.24
4780	4780.54±0.12±0.30	511.47±0.12±2.71	512.03±0.29
4840	4843.07±0.20±0.31	525.16±0.12±2.78	526.01±0.30
4920	4918.02±0.34±0.34	207.82±0.08±1.10	208.09±0.19
4950	4950.93±0.36±0.38	159.28±0.07±0.84	159.85±0.17


$\Lambda_c^+$  polarization:




Proposed range:  
4630~4700 MeV

Large cross section & large polarization

Further study of  $Z_{cs}(3985)$ . The cross section line shapes are:



$Z_{cs}(3985)^-$



$Z_{cs}(3990)^0$

The proposed range (4670 ~ 4690 MeV) is good for  $Z_{cs}(3985)^-$ .

## Improve the precision of $\Lambda_c^+$ decays to the level of charmed mesons!

	$N_{h\bar{h}}^{tot}$	$N_h^{tag}$	SCS	Semi-leptonic
$D^0$	$7.2 \times 10^7$	$7.9 \times 10^6$	$K^+K^-: (4.01 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$ ( <b>2%</b> ) $\pi^+\pi^-: (1.454 \pm 0.024) \times 10^{-3}$ ( <b>1.7%</b> )	$\pi^-e^+\nu_e: (2.91 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{-3}$ ( <b>1.4%</b> ) $\pi^-\pi^0e^+\nu_e: (1.45 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$ ( <b>5%</b> )
$D^+$	$5.7 \times 10^7$	$4.1 \times 10^6$	$K_S^0K^+: (2.95 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-3}$ ( <b>5%</b> ) $\pi^+\pi^0: (1.247 \pm 0.033) \times 10^{-3}$ ( <b>2.6%</b> )	$\pi^0e^+\nu_e: (3.72 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-3}$ ( <b>5%</b> ) $\pi^+\pi^-e^+\nu_e: (2.49 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-3}$ ( <b>4%</b> )
$D_S^+$	$6.2 \times 10^6$	$5.0 \times 10^5$	$K^+\eta: (1.73 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-3}$ ( <b>5%</b> ) $K_S^0\pi^+: (1.05 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-3}$ ( <b>5%</b> )	$K^0e^+\nu_e: (3.4 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$ ( <b>12%</b> ) $\phi e^+\nu_e: (2.39 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-3}$ ( <b>7%</b> )
$\Lambda_c^+$	$7.6 \times 10^5$	$1.2 \times 10^5$	$\Lambda K^+: (6.21 \pm 0.44) \times 10^{-4}$ ( <b>7%</b> ) $n\pi^+: (6.6 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-4}$ ( <b>18%</b> )	$pK^-e^+\nu_e: (8.8 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{-4}$ ( <b>20%</b> ) $ne^+\nu_e: (3.57 \pm 0.34) \times 10^{-3}$ ( <b>10%</b> )


**Baseline:** at least about  $15 \text{ fb}^{-1} \Lambda_c^+ \bar{\Lambda}_c^-$  is needed to improve the precision to **5%**.  
(about 150 day)

## Welcome your suggestion!



# Part II Data Taking near $\Lambda_c^+ \bar{\Lambda}_c^-$ threshold

Numerous experiments support non-zero cross sections near baryon threshold [1~6]:



To explain the non vanishment of cross section of  $e^+e^- \rightarrow B\bar{B}$  ( $B$  is a spin -1/2 baryon) near threshold [1~6], Sommerfeld [7] & Sakharov [8] put forward the parameterization form based on one-photon exchange (OPEX) assumption:

$$\sigma_{B\bar{B}}(q) = \frac{4\pi\alpha^2 C\beta}{3q^2} [ |G_M(q)|^2 + \frac{1}{2\tau} |G_E(q)|^2 ]$$

where the interaction between the outgoing baryons is considered in the Coulomb factor  $C = \varepsilon \cdot R$ , which plays a very important role in description of nonzero cross section near threshold due to the enhancement factor  $\varepsilon = \pi\alpha/\beta$ .



Model for  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ \bar{\Lambda}_c^-$ :

$$\sigma = \frac{4\pi\alpha^2\beta C}{3s} \left( |G_M(q^2)|^2 + \frac{2M_{\Lambda_c^+}^2}{s} |G_E(q^2)|^2 \right)$$

$[s] = q^2$  is the invariant mass squared of the  $e^+e^-$  system

$[\alpha] = e^2/(4\pi)$  is the electromagnetic fine structure constant

$[\beta] = \sqrt{1 - 4M_{\Lambda_c^+}^2/s}$  is the velocity of baryon  $\Lambda_c^+$       $\tau = q^2/(4M_{\Lambda_c^+}^2)$

$[C]$  is the s-wave Sommerfeld–Gamow factor corresponding to the final state Coulomb interaction, which is  $C(y) = \frac{y}{1-e^{-y}}$  with  $y = \frac{\alpha\pi}{\beta} \frac{2M_{\Lambda_c^+}}{\sqrt{s}}$

$[G_E]$  and  $[G_M]$  can be obtained by combining the Pauli and Dirac form factors

**vector meson dominance  
(VMD) model**

$$\begin{aligned} G_E(q^2) &= F_1(q^2) + \tau F_2(q^2), \\ G_M(q^2) &= F_1(q^2) + F_2(q^2), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 &= g(s) \left( f_1 + \sum_{i=1}^4 \beta_i B_{R_i} \right), \\ F_2 &= g(s) \left( f_2 B_{R_1} + \sum_{i=2}^4 \alpha_i B_{R_i} \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} B_{R_i} &= \frac{M_{R_i}^2}{M_{R_i}^2 - s - iM_{R_i}\Gamma_{R_i}}, \\ g(s) &= \frac{1}{(1 - \gamma s)^2} \end{aligned}$$

$R_1 \equiv \psi(4500)$ ,  $R_2 \equiv \psi(4660)$ ,  $R_3 \equiv \psi(4790)$ , and  $R_4 \equiv \psi(4900)$



$$f_1 = 1 - \beta_1 - \beta_2 - \beta_3 - \beta_4,$$

$$f_2 = \mu_{\Lambda_c^+} - 1 - \alpha_2 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_4$$

Parameter	Value	Parameter	Value
$g_{\Lambda_c}$	$1.173 \pm 0.259$	$\beta_4$	$-0.141 \pm 0.097$
$\beta_1$	$1.883 \pm 0.484$	$\alpha_2$	$1.089 \pm 0.297$
$\beta_2$	$-1.101 \pm 0.302$	$\alpha_3$	$0.438 \pm 0.192$
$\beta_3$	$-0.439 \pm 0.194$	$\alpha_4$	$0.133 \pm 0.096$

State	Mass $M_R$ (MeV)	Width $\Gamma_R$ (MeV)
$\psi(4500)$	4500	125
$\psi(4660)$	4670	115
$\psi(4790)$	4790	100
$\psi(4900)$	4900	100

By employing the vector meson dominance<sup>[9-11]</sup> (VMD) model, the electromagnetic form factors (EMFFs) in the formula of cross section can be described well by many theorists group<sup>[12,13]</sup>:



### The electromagnetic form factors of $\Lambda_c$ hyperon in the vector meson dominance model

Junyao Wan<sup>1</sup>, Yongliang Yang<sup>2,a</sup>, Zhun Lu<sup>1,b</sup> 



<sup>1</sup> School of Physics, Southeast University, Nanjing 211189, China

<sup>2</sup> College of Physics, Qingdao University, Qingdao 266071, China

$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ \bar{\Lambda}_c^-$  Cross Sections and the  $\Lambda_c^+$  Electromagnetic Form Factors within the Extended Vector Meson Dominance Model


Cheng Chen(陈诚)<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Bing Yan(闫冰)<sup>1,3\*</sup>, and Ju-Jun Xie(谢聚军)<sup>1,2,4\*</sup>

However, the existence of Coulomb factor  $C$ 's effect hasn't been really confirmed, since the current model for baryon cross section can fit to experiment data whether including the Coulomb factor or not:



**The two line shapes begin to diverge only at the points extremely close to the baryon threshold !**

Currently only a few measurements for baryon cross sections touch the region within 2 MeV of the baryon threshold.

$e^+e^- \rightarrow B\bar{B}$	Threshold (MeV)	First $\sqrt{s}$ point (MeV)	$\Delta M$ (MeV)	$P_{child}^{max}$ (MeV)
$p\bar{p}$	1876.54	1876 ~ 1880 (ISR)	1.46	0
$n\bar{n}$	1879.13	2000.0	120.87	0
$\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}$	2231.34	2231 ~ 2250 (ISR)	9.16	$(p\pi^-)$ 101
$\Sigma^+\bar{\Sigma}^-$	2378.74	2379 ~ 2440 (ISR)	30.76	$(p\pi^0)$ 189
$\Sigma^0\bar{\Sigma}^0$	2385.28	2386.4	1.12	$(\Lambda\gamma)$ 74
$\Xi^-\bar{\Xi}^+$	2643.42	2644.4	0.98	$(\Lambda\pi^-)$ 140
$\Omega^-\bar{\Omega}^+$	3344.9	3490.0	145.1	$(\Lambda K^-)$ 211
$\Lambda_c^+\bar{\Lambda}_c^-$	4572.92	4574.5	1.58	$(pK^-\pi^+)$ 823 

Among these baryons, the measurements for cross section of  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+\bar{\Lambda}_c^-$  has great advantages, since the final state particles of  $\Lambda_c^+$  still have large momenta to be detected, while other channels are not.

To confirm the non-vanishment of the baryon cross section near threshold,  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ \bar{\Lambda}_c^-$  collision data extremely close to the  $\Lambda_c^+ \bar{\Lambda}_c^-$  threshold is important !

The observed cross section of  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ \bar{\Lambda}_c^-$  can be derived from of born cross section:

$$\sigma(E_{c.m.}, m_{\Lambda_c^+}, \delta_w^{BEMS}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\delta_w^{BEMS}} \int_{2m_{\Lambda_c^+}}^{\infty} dE'_{c.m.} e^{-\frac{(E_{c.m.}-E'_{c.m.})^2}{2(\delta_w^{BEMS})^2}} \int_0^{1-\frac{4m^2}{E_{c.m.}{}^2}} dx F(x, E'_{c.m.}) \frac{\sigma_1(E'_{c.m.}, \sqrt{1-x}, m_{\Lambda_c^+})}{|1 - \Pi(E_{c.m.})|^2}$$

which is determined by the center-of-mass energy  $E_{c.m.}$ , baryon mass  $m_{\Lambda_c^+}$  and beam energy spread  $\delta_w^{BEMS}$ .

$F(x, E'_{c.m.})$  is the radiative correction factor

$|1 - \Pi(E_{c.m.})|^2$  is the vacuum polarization factor.

## Application

➤ @4600 MeV



➤ Smallest  $\chi^2$

$$\square p_1^+ = 1.63 \pm 0.24 \text{ MeV}$$



$$\square p_1^- = 1.50 \pm 0.24 \text{ MeV}$$

$$\square \sigma_E = 2.22 \pm 0.24 \text{ MeV}$$


➤ Consistent with the performance of BEPCII, as well as the previous method




## Derived observed cross section:

 $\sigma_{\text{obs}}$  under different models $\sigma_{\text{obs}}$  under different models


**The difference of observed cross section between two possible models:**



The difference between different models



Base on statistical method of **hypothesis testing**, the minimum luminosity needed to distinguish two models with a significance of  $5\sigma$  is estimated:



Data taken at **4573.6 MeV** (about **0.7 MeV** above the threshold) can test the Coulomb factor best in this VMD model. [CPL 41, 021302 (2024)]

**Proposed data taking points: (about 6 day)**

$E_{cm}$ (MeV)	4572	4573	4574	4575	4576	4577	All
Luminosity ( $pb^{-1}$ )	100	76	70	77	95	128	546

# Summary

A proposal of data taking is raised up to further study the  $\Lambda_c^+$  baryon.

1. Large data set taken at about  $4630 \sim 4700 \text{ MeV}$ , about  $15 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  ( $150 \text{ days}$ ) is needed, which has relatively large cross section and polarization;
2. Data taken at the  $\sqrt{s}$  region close to  $\Lambda_c^+ \bar{\Lambda}_c^-$  threshold, from  $4572 \sim 4577 \text{ MeV}$ , about  $550 \text{ pb}^{-1}$  ( $6 \text{ days}$ ) is needed.



# Backup

## Suggestions from accelerator experts:


Thanks Prof. Cheng-hui Yu !

2.3 GeV束流能量下（质心能量4.6GeV附近），束流能量最小步长0.12MeV能确定做到精确。

BEPCII二极磁铁电源精度按十万分之五的技术指标要求进行设计制造，实际精度肯定会更好些

正负电子环的二极铁电流是独立的吧？那总对撞能量扫描最小精度应该是 $\sqrt{0.12^2+0.12^2}=0.17\text{MeV}$


### Luminosity vs Ecm




$$\chi^2 = \frac{(\sigma_{obs}^1 - \sigma_{obs}^2)^2}{(\delta\sigma_{measure})^2}$$

$$L = \frac{\chi^2 \cdot \sigma_{obs}^1}{\varepsilon \cdot (\sigma_{obs}^1 - \sigma_{obs}^2)^2}$$





### $\sigma_{obs}$ under different models



### Luminosity vs Ecm



## Fitting the born cross section with high $E_{cm}$ data:



$\chi^2$  test for current data near threshold to distinguish different models:

$E_{cm}(\text{MeV})$	$\sigma_{obs}$	$\chi^2$ with $\sigma_C$ is not constant	$\chi^2$ with $\sigma_C$ is constant
4574.5	$113.0 \pm 5 \pm 20$	2.7640	33.9233
4580.0	$133.0 \pm 16$	2.1006	0.3068
4590.0	$178.0 \pm 19$	0.4600	0.7920
4599.5	$169.1 \pm 2.3$	1.3381	1.9107
Total	\	6.6627	36.9328