



Novel method to indirectly reconstruct neutrinos in collider experiments





第21届全国重味物理与CP破坏研讨会

湖南 衡阳 2024年10月25~29日

hrqi@hep1.phys.ntu.edu.tw

Primary goals of most collider experiments

- Improve the precision of measurements of Standard Model (SM) parameters.
- Search for new physics
 (NP) beyond SM.





- Provide precision tests in the electroweak sector of SM
- Involve neutrinos, cannot be tracked up to now



(Interpretation) "Reconstruct" neutrinos in collider experiments

- Only one neutrino
 recoiling technique
- Two or more neutrinos 🖚 all traditional methods failed

Now we try to introduce an innovative inclusive-tagging scheme that can capture the four-momentum of an undetected particle, such as a neutrino, K_L^0 , etc.

Introduce innovative scheme

A candidate can be commonly classified as signal (S) and tagged (T) sides:



In the rest frame of the \mathcal{ST} system, it has always

$$p(\mathcal{B}) + p(\mathcal{D}) \equiv -[p(\mathcal{A}) + p(\mathcal{C})]$$



Construct asymptotically recursive vector sequences

$$egin{aligned} p(\mathcal{B})_0 &= -p(\mathcal{A}) - p(\mathcal{C}) \;, \ p(\mathcal{B})_1 &= rac{p(\mathcal{B})_0 + p(\mathcal{B})_0'}{2} \ &= rac{p(\mathcal{B})_0 + [-p(\mathcal{A}) - p(\mathcal{C}) - p(\mathcal{D})_0]}{2} \ &= -p(\mathcal{A}) - p(\mathcal{C}) - p(\mathcal{D}) + p(\mathcal{D}) - rac{1}{2}p(\mathcal{D})_0 \ &\simeq p(\mathcal{B}) + rac{1}{2}p(\mathcal{D}) \;, \ δ \ &= rac{p(\mathcal{B})_{k-1} + p(\mathcal{B})_{k-1}'}{2} \ &= rac{p(\mathcal{B})_{k-1} + [-p(\mathcal{A}) - p(\mathcal{C}) - p(\mathcal{D})_{k-1}]}{2} \ &\simeq p(\mathcal{B}) + rac{1}{2^k}p(\mathcal{D}) \;, \end{aligned}$$





$$oldsymbol{p}(\mathcal{B})_n = egin{cases} -oldsymbol{p}(\mathcal{A}) - oldsymbol{p}(\mathcal{C}), & n = 0 \ ; \ oldsymbol{p}(\mathcal{B})_{n-1} + oldsymbol{p}(\mathcal{B})'_{n-1} \ 2 & oldsymbol{p}(\mathcal{B}) + rac{1}{2^n}oldsymbol{p}(\mathcal{D}), & n = 1, 2, 3, \cdots \end{cases}$$

where
$$\boldsymbol{p}(\mathcal{B})'_{n-1} = -\boldsymbol{p}(\mathcal{A}) - \boldsymbol{p}(\mathcal{C}) - \boldsymbol{p}(\mathcal{D})_{n-1}$$

 $p(\mathcal{D})_{n-1}$ can be parameterized below

The symbols without subscripts, $p(\mathcal{B})$ and $p(\mathcal{D})$, denote the corresponding truth values



Asymptotically vector sequences

As n becomes infinite,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \boldsymbol{p}(\mathcal{B})_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left[\boldsymbol{p}(\mathcal{B}) + \frac{1}{2^n} \boldsymbol{p}(\mathcal{D}) \right] = \boldsymbol{p}(\mathcal{B})$$

That is, $p(\mathcal{B})_n$ is asymptotic to the truth $p(\mathcal{B})$. It is additionally noteworthy that $p(\mathcal{D})$ of the missed particle(s) will be "eaten" by infinite iterations.



• For initialization when k = 0

Thus,

$$p(\mathcal{D})_0 = -p(\mathcal{A}) - p(\mathcal{C}) - p(\mathcal{B})_0$$



• For parameterization when k = 1, 2, 3, ...

$$p(\mathcal{D})_{k}^{\mathrm{I}} = -p(\mathcal{S})_{k-1}^{\mathrm{scale}} - p(\mathcal{C}) ,$$

$$p(\mathcal{D})_{k}^{\mathrm{II}} = -p(\mathcal{A}) - p(\mathcal{C}) - p(\mathcal{B})_{k-1}^{\mathrm{I}}$$
Constraint the magnitude of
$$p(\mathcal{A}) + p(\mathcal{B})_{k-1} \text{ to } \sqrt{E^{2}(\mathcal{S}) - m^{2}(\mathcal{S})}$$

$$p(\mathcal{B})_{k-1} - \frac{1}{2^{k}} p(\mathcal{D})_{k-1}^{\mathrm{I}}$$

Thus,

$$p(\mathcal{D})_k = rac{p(\mathcal{D})_k^{\mathrm{I}} + p(\mathcal{D})_k^{\mathrm{II}}}{2}$$

Parameterization's validation and other remarks

$$\begin{split} \lim_{k \to \infty} \boldsymbol{p}(\mathcal{D})_{k}^{\mathrm{II}} &= -\boldsymbol{p}(\mathcal{A}) - \boldsymbol{p}(\mathcal{C}) - \lim_{k \to \infty} \boldsymbol{p}(\mathcal{B})_{k-1}^{\mathrm{I}} \\ &= -\boldsymbol{p}(\mathcal{A}) - \boldsymbol{p}(\mathcal{C}) - \lim_{k \to \infty} \left[\boldsymbol{p}(\mathcal{B}) + \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} \boldsymbol{p}(\mathcal{D}) - \frac{1}{2^{k}} \boldsymbol{p}(\mathcal{D})_{k-1}^{\mathrm{I}} \right] \\ &= -\boldsymbol{p}(\mathcal{A}) - \boldsymbol{p}(\mathcal{C}) - \boldsymbol{p}(\mathcal{B}) + \lim_{k \to \infty} \left[\frac{1}{2^{k-1}} \left(\boldsymbol{p}(\mathcal{D}) - \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{p}(\mathcal{D})_{k-1}^{\mathrm{I}} \right) \right] \\ &= \boldsymbol{p}(\mathcal{D}) \;. \end{split}$$

As for iteration times, k = 15 is generally enough as $1/2^{15} = 1/32768 < 0.01\%$.

It should be noted that other approaches for initializing/parameterizing $p(\mathcal{D})_k$ that satisfy users' requirements and have no bias peaks are also desirable.



- $\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B^+B^- \rightarrow \mu^+\nu_{\mu} + X$, in the c.m. frame, available for Belle2
- $B^0 \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \bar{\nu}_{\tau} + X$, in the b-hadron rest frame, available for Belle2 and LHCb
- $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+\Lambda_c^- \rightarrow \Lambda e^+\nu_e + X$, in the c.m. frame, available for Belle2 and BESIII

TGenPhaseSpace Class Reference

The resolutions are also considered.

Test in pseudo-experiment data





Summary and prospect



We innovatively proposed an inclusive-tagging scheme that can obtain the four-momentum of an undetected long-lived particle $(v, K_L^0, \text{ neutron, etc.})$ in collider experiments (Belle- II, BESIII, LHCb, and other potential experiments).



This novel scheme can be expected to be further confirmed, applied, and developed by future experiments.



The asymptotically recursive (vector) sequences from this scheme might be taken as one of the filters in machine learning so that some missed/unknown information will be "eaten" by infinite iterations. [Long-term plan]

Thank you for your attention!



Hadronic tagging vs inclusive tagging

From Jiasen's report yesterday

