

(日) (종) (종) (종) (종)

# Studying the NP chiral flip in the $B_{d(s)} \to K^{(*)} \bar{K}^{(*)}$ puzzle

Min-Di Zheng

#### In collaboration with Qi-Liang Wang, Li-Fen Lai, and Hong-Hao Zhang

HFCPV2024, Hunan

#### Outlines

Motivation

- Model: RPV-MSSMIS
- $B_{d(s)} \to K^{(*)} \bar{K}^{(*)}$
- Relevant constraints
- Numerical discussions and conclusions

 $\begin{array}{l} B_{d(s)} \to K^{(*)} \bar{K}^{(*)} \text{ puzzle} \\ {}_{L_{K^{(*)}\bar{K}^{(*)}} = \rho(m_{K^{(*)0}}, m_{K^{(*)0}}) \mathcal{B}_{(\log)}(\bar{B}^{0}_{s} \to K^{(*)0} \bar{K}^{(*)0}) / \mathcal{B}_{(\log)}(\bar{B}^{0}_{d} \to K^{(*)0} \bar{K}^{(*)0})} \\ \text{A. Biswas et al., 2301.10542, M. Algueró et al., 2011.07867} \end{array}$ 

$$L_{K^*\bar{K}^*}^{\exp} = 4.43 \pm 0.92$$
  $L_{K\bar{K}}^{\exp} = 14.58 \pm 3.37$ 

LHCb, R. Aaij et al., 1995.06662, 2002.08229 BaBar, B. Aubert et al., 0708.2248, hep-ex/0608036 Belle, 1210.1348, 1512.02145

$$L_{K^*\bar{K}^*}^{\rm SM} = 19.53_{-6.64}^{+9.14} \qquad L_{K\bar{K}}^{\rm SM} = 26.00_{-3.59}^{+3.88}$$



show deviations with  $2.6\sigma$  and  $2.4\sigma$ , respectively



#### The model-independent global fit

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} K_{tb} K_{tp}^* \sum_i C_i \mathcal{O}_i + \text{h.c.} \quad (p = s, d)$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{4p} = (\bar{p}_L^{\alpha} \gamma^{\mu} b_L^{\beta}) \sum_q (\bar{q}_L^{\beta} \gamma_{\mu} q_L^{\alpha}), \qquad \mathcal{O}_{6p} = (\bar{p}_L^{\alpha} \gamma^{\mu} b_L^{\beta}) \sum_q (\bar{q}_R^{\beta} \gamma_{\mu} q_R^{\alpha}),$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{7\gamma p} = \frac{-em_b}{16\pi^2} (\bar{p}_L^{\alpha} \sigma^{\mu\nu} b_R^{\alpha}) F_{\mu\nu}, \qquad \mathcal{O}_{8gp} = \frac{-g_s m_b}{16\pi^2} (\bar{p}_L^{\alpha} \sigma^{\mu\nu} T_{\alpha\beta}^{a} b_R^{\beta}) G_{\mu\nu}^{a},$$



#### A. Biswas et al., 2301.10542

æ

#### **RPV-MSSMIS**

The superpotential:

$$\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}_{\text{MSSM}} + Y_{\nu}^{ij} \hat{R}_i \hat{L}_j \hat{H}_u + M_R^{ij} \hat{R}_i \hat{S}_j + \frac{1}{2} \mu_S^{ij} \hat{S}_i \hat{S}_j + \lambda'_{ijk} \hat{L}_i \hat{Q}_j \hat{D}_k,$$
  
$$\mathcal{W}_{\text{MSSM}} = \mu \hat{H}_u \hat{H}_d + Y_u^{ij} \hat{U}_i \hat{Q}_j \hat{H}_u - Y_d^{ij} \hat{D}_i \hat{Q}_j \hat{H}_d - Y_e^{ij} \hat{E}_i \hat{L}_j \hat{H}_d$$

The soft SUSY breaking terms:

$$-\mathcal{L}^{\text{soft}} = -\mathcal{L}^{\text{soft}}_{\text{MSSM}} + (m_{\tilde{R}}^2)_{ij}\tilde{R}^*_i\tilde{R}_j + (m_{\tilde{S}}^2)_{ij}\tilde{S}^*_i\tilde{S}_j + (A_{\nu}Y_{\nu})_{ij}\tilde{R}^*_i\tilde{L}_jH_u + B^{ij}_{M_R}\tilde{R}^*_i\tilde{S}_j + \frac{1}{2}B^{ij}_{\mu_S}\tilde{S}_i\tilde{S}_j$$

In the  $(\nu, R, S)$  basis,

$$\mathcal{M}_{\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & m_D^T (= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v_u Y_{\nu}) & 0 \\ m_D & 0 & M_R \\ 0 & M_R^T & \mu_S \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{V}^{\dagger} \begin{pmatrix} m_{\nu_l}^{\text{diag}} & 0 \\ 0 & m_{\nu_h}^{\text{diag}} \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{V}^*$$
$$\Rightarrow \mu_S = (m_D^T)^{-1} M_R U_{\text{PMNS}} m_{\nu}^{\text{diag}} U_{\text{PMNS}}^T M_R^T m_D^{-1}, \text{ when } \mu_S \ll m_D < M_R$$

æ

#### **RPV-MSSMIS**

In the  $(\tilde{\nu}_L^{\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})}, \tilde{R}^{\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})}, \tilde{S}^{\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})})$  basis,

$$\mathcal{M}^{2}_{\tilde{\nu}^{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{R})} = \begin{pmatrix} m^{2}_{\tilde{L}'} & (A_{\nu} - \mu \cot \beta)m^{T}_{D} & m^{T}_{D}M_{R} \\ (A_{\nu} - \mu \cot \beta)m_{D} & m^{2}_{\tilde{R}} + M_{R}M^{T}_{R} + m_{D}m^{T}_{D} & \pm M_{R}\mu_{S} + B_{M_{R}} \\ M^{T}_{R}m_{D} & \pm \mu_{S}M^{T}_{R} + B^{T}_{M_{R}} & m^{2}_{\tilde{S}} + \mu^{2}_{S} + M^{T}_{R}M_{R} \pm B_{\mu_{S}} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\approx \begin{pmatrix} m^{2}_{\tilde{L}'} & (A_{\nu} - \mu \cot \beta)m^{T}_{D} & m^{T}_{D}M_{R} \\ (A_{\nu} - \mu \cot \beta)m_{D} & m^{2}_{\tilde{R}} + M_{R}M^{T}_{R} + m_{D}m^{T}_{D} & B_{M_{R}} \\ M^{T}_{R}m_{D} & B^{T}_{M_{R}} & m^{2}_{\tilde{S}} + M^{T}_{R}M_{R} \pm B_{\mu_{S}} \end{pmatrix} \text{mass split}$$

In the context of mass eigenstates for  $d_i$  and  $l_i$ , other fields are rotated to mass eigenstates,

$$\mathcal{L}'_{LQD} = \lambda_{vjk}^{\prime L(\mathcal{K})} \tilde{\nu}_{v}^{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})} \bar{d}_{Rk} d_{Lj} + \lambda_{vjk}^{\prime N} (d_{Lj} \bar{d}_{Rk} \nu_{v} + d_{Rk}^* \bar{\nu}_{v}^c d_{Lj}) - \tilde{\lambda}'_{ilk} (\tilde{l}_{Li} \bar{d}_{Rk} u_{Ll} + \tilde{u}_{Ll} \bar{d}_{Rk} l_{Li} + \tilde{d}_{Rk}^* \bar{l}_{Li}^c u_{Ll}) + \text{h.c.},$$

where  $\lambda_{vjk}^{\prime\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})} = \lambda_{ijk}^{\prime}\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{vi}^{\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})*}, \, \lambda_{vjk}^{\prime\mathcal{N}} = \lambda_{ijk}^{\prime}\mathcal{V}_{vi}, \, \text{and} \, \tilde{\lambda}_{ilk}^{\prime} = \lambda_{ijk}^{\prime}K_{lj}^{*}$ 

 $B_{d(s)} \to K^{(*)} \bar{K}^{(*)}$  process  $(\gamma, g$ -penguin)



Gluon(phonton)-penguin diagrams in RPV-MSSMIS, within the single-value-k assumption, i.e.  $\lambda'_{ij1}=\lambda'_{ij2}\approx 0$ 

$$\begin{split} \text{Fig.(a)} : \ C_{8gp}^{\text{NP}} = & \frac{1}{48\sqrt{2}G_F\eta_t} \left\{ \frac{\lambda_{vp3}^{\prime \mathcal{I}_{*}} \lambda_{v33}^{\prime \mathcal{I}_{*}}}{m_{\tilde{\nu}_{v}}^2} \left[ 8 + 6\log\left(\frac{m_b^2}{m_{\tilde{\nu}_{v}}^2}\right) \right] \\ & - \frac{\lambda_{vp3}^{\prime \mathcal{R}_{*}} \lambda_{v33}^{\prime \mathcal{R}_{*}}}{m_{\tilde{\nu}_{v}}^2} \left[ 8 + 6\log\left(\frac{m_b^2}{m_{\tilde{\nu}_{v}}^2}\right) \right] + \frac{\lambda_{vp3}^{\prime \mathcal{I}_{*}} \lambda_{v33}^{\prime \mathcal{I}_{*}}}{m_{\tilde{\nu}_{v}}^2} + \frac{\lambda_{vp3}^{\prime \mathcal{R}_{*}} \lambda_{v33}^{\prime \mathcal{R}_{*}}}{m_{\tilde{\nu}_{v}}^2} \right\} \\ C_{7\gamma p}^{\text{NP}} = - C_{8gp}/3 \end{split}$$

 $B_{d(s)} \to K^{(*)} \bar{K}^{(*)}$  process  $(\gamma, g$ -penguin)



Gluon(phonton)-penguin diagrams in RPV-MSSMIS, within the single-value-k assumption, i.e.  $\lambda'_{ij1}=\lambda'_{ij2}\approx 0$ 

$$\begin{split} & L_{K\bar{K}}/L_{K\bar{K}}^{SM} \approx & 1+1.13 C_{8gs}^{\rm NP}(\mu_{\rm EW}) + 0.34 C_{8gs}^{\rm NP}(\mu_{\rm EW})^2, \\ & L_{K^*\bar{K}^*}/L_{K^*\bar{K}^*}^{SM} \approx & 1+2.41 C_{8gs}^{\rm NP}(\mu_{\rm EW}) + 1.74 C_{8gs}^{\rm NP}(\mu_{\rm EW})^2, \end{split}$$

where  $\mu_{\rm EW} = 160 \, {\rm GeV}$ 

We need  $C_{8as}^{\rm NP} \lesssim -0.08$  for  $2\sigma$ -level explanation

Constraint:  $B_s - \bar{B}_s$  mixing

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}^{osos} &= (C_{\text{SM}}^{\text{VLL}} + C_{\text{NP}}^{\text{VLL}})(\bar{s}\gamma_{\mu}P_{L}b)(\bar{s}\gamma^{\mu}P_{L}b) + C_{\text{NP}}^{\text{ISRR}}(\bar{s}P_{R}b)(\bar{s}P_{R}b) + \text{h.c.} \\ C_{\text{NP}}^{\text{VLL}} &= \frac{1}{8i} (\frac{1}{4}\Lambda_{vv'}^{\prime \prime \mathcal{X}\mathcal{Y}} D_{2}[m_{\tilde{\nu}_{v}}^{\chi}, m_{\tilde{\nu}_{v'}}^{\chi}, m_{b}, m_{b}] + \Lambda_{vv'}^{\prime \mathcal{N}} D_{2}[m_{\nu_{v}}, m_{\nu_{v'}}, m_{\tilde{b}_{R}}, m_{\tilde{b}_{R}}]) \\ C_{\text{NP}}^{\text{ISRR}} &= \frac{1}{8i} (\Lambda_{vv'}^{\prime 2\mathcal{X}\mathcal{Y}}(-1)^{\delta_{\mathcal{X}\mathcal{Y}}+1} m_{b}^{2} D_{0}[m_{\tilde{\nu}_{v}}^{\chi}, m_{\tilde{\nu}_{v'}}^{\chi}, m_{b}, m_{b}] \\ &+ \Lambda_{vv'}^{\prime 3\mathcal{X}\mathcal{Y}} (\delta_{\mathcal{X}\mathcal{R}} - \delta_{\mathcal{X}\mathcal{I}}) m_{b}^{2} D_{0}[m_{\tilde{\nu}_{v}}^{\chi}, m_{\tilde{\nu}_{v'}}^{\chi}, m_{b}, m_{b}] - \frac{\lambda_{v23}^{\prime \mathcal{I}}^{2}}{2m_{\tilde{\nu}_{v}}^{2}} + \frac{\lambda_{v23}^{\prime \mathcal{R}+2}}{2m_{\tilde{\nu}_{v}}^{2}}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{split} \Lambda_{vv'}^{\prime 1\mathcal{X}\mathcal{Y}} &\equiv \lambda_{v33}^{\prime\mathcal{X}} \lambda_{v23}^{\prime\mathcal{X}*} \lambda_{v'33}^{\prime\mathcal{Y}} \lambda_{v'23}^{\prime\mathcal{Y}*} & \Lambda_{vv'}^{\prime 2\mathcal{X}\mathcal{Y}} \equiv \lambda_{v33}^{\prime\mathcal{X}*} \lambda_{v23}^{\prime\mathcal{X}*} \lambda_{v'33}^{\prime\mathcal{Y}*} \lambda_{v'33}^{\prime\mathcal{Y}*} \lambda_{v'23}^{\prime\mathcal{Y}*} \lambda_{v'33}^{\prime\mathcal{Y}*} \lambda_{v'23}^{\prime\mathcal{Y}*} \lambda_{v'23}^{\prime\mathcal{Y}*} \lambda_{v'33}^{\prime\mathcal{Y}*} \lambda_{v'33}^{\mathcal{Y}*} \lambda_{v'33}^{\mathcal{Y}*} \lambda_{v'33}^{\mathcal{Y}*} \lambda$$

The recent experimental and SM results constrain

$$\mathcal{R}_{B_s} \equiv \frac{\Delta M_s}{\Delta M_s^{\rm SM}} = \left| 1 + \frac{C_{\rm NP}^{\rm VLL}}{C_{\rm SM}^{\rm VLL}} - 2.38 \frac{C_{\rm NP}^{\rm 1SRR}}{C_{\rm SM}^{\rm VLL}} \right|$$

in the range of  $0.90 < \mathcal{R}_{B_s} < 1.04$ 

#### Relevant constraints

Tree-level

Observations	SM predictions	Experimental data
$\mathcal{B}(K^+ \to \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu})$	$(9.24 \pm 0.83) \times 10^{-11} [1]$	$(1.14^{+0.40}_{-0.33}) \times 10^{-10} [2]$
$\mathcal{B}(D^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-)$	$\lesssim 6 \times 10^{-11}$ [3]	$< 3.1 \times 10^{-9} [4]$
$\mathcal{B}(\tau \to e \rho^0)$	-	$< 2.2 \times 10^{-8} [5]$
$\mathcal{B}( au  o \mu  ho^0)$	-	$< 1.7 \times 10^{-8} [5]$
$\mathcal{B}(B \to \tau \nu)$	$(9.47 \pm 1.82) \times 10^{-5} [6]$	$(1.09 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-4} [2]$
$\mathcal{B}(D_s \to \tau \nu)$	$(5.40 \pm 0.30)\%$ [7]	$(5.36 \pm 0.10)\%$ [2]
$\mathcal{B}(\tau \to K\nu)$	$(7.15 \pm 0.026) \times 10^{-3} [8]$	$(6.96 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-3} [2]$

J. Aebischer et al., 1810.07698
 LHCb, R. Aaij et al., 1305.5059
 Belle, N. Tsuzuki et al., 2301.03768
 Q.-Y. Hu et al., 2202.09875

[2] PDG2024
[4] LHCb, R. Aaij et al., 2212.11203
[6] S. Nandi et al., 1605.07191
[8] Q.-Y. Hu et al., 1808.01419

Loop-level

- $\pi \to \ell \nu(\gamma), \tau \to e\gamma, \tau \to eee, Z$ -pole data,  $\mu \to e \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu, \tau \to \ell \bar{\nu}_\ell \nu_\tau$  etc.
- $B \to X_s \gamma$ :  $\mathcal{B}(B \to X_s \gamma) \times 10^4 = (3.40 \pm 0.17) 8.25 C_{7\gamma s}(\mu_{\rm EW}) 2.10 C_{8gs}(\mu_{\rm EW})$ M. Misiak *et al.*, 2002.01548

Set λ'<sub>2jk</sub> negligible to avoid enlarging the Cabbibo anomaly
 A. M. Coutinho et al., 1912.08823
 M. Blennow et al., 2204.04559

#### Choice of input parameters

Parameters	Sets
aneta	15
$Y_{ u}$	diag(0.28, 0.11, 0.10)
$M_R$	$\operatorname{diag}(1,1,1) \operatorname{TeV}$
$B_{M_R}$	$diag(0.5, 0.5, 0.5) \text{ TeV}^2$
$B_{\mu_S}$	$diag(0.66, 0.66, 0.66) \text{ TeV}^2$
$m_{ ilde{L}'_i}$	$\operatorname{diag}(1,1,1) \operatorname{TeV}$

provide  $M_W \approx 80.385 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $m_{\tilde{l}_1} \approx 1 \text{ TeV}$ , and  $m_{\tilde{\nu}_1} \approx 270 \text{ GeV}$ ATLAS, G. And et al., 2307.14759

$\sin^2 \theta_{12}$	$\sin^2 \theta_{23}$	$\sin^2 \theta_{13}$
0.304(12)	$0.573^{+0.016}_{-0.020}$	$0.02219^{+0.00062}_{-0.00063}$
$\delta_{\mathrm CP}[^\circ]$	$\Delta m_{21}^2 [10^{-5} \mathrm{eV}^2]$	$\Delta m_{31}^2 [10^{-3} \mathrm{eV}^2]$
$197^{+27}_{-24}$	$7.42^{+0.21}_{-0.20}$	$2.517^{+0.026}_{-0.028}$

 $m_{\nu}^{\text{diag}} \approx \text{diag}(0, \sqrt{\Delta m_{21}^2}, \sqrt{\Delta m_{31}^2}) = \text{diag}(0, 0.008, 0.05) \text{ eV}$ I. Esteban *et al.*, 2007.14792

## (S)neutrino mass spectrum

$\sin^2 \theta_{12}$	$\sin^2 \theta_{23}$	$\sin^2 \theta_{13}$
0.304(12)	$0.573^{+0.016}_{-0.020}$	$0.02219^{+0.00062}_{-0.00063}$
$\delta_{\mathrm CP}[^\circ]$	$\Delta m^2_{21} [10^{-5} \ {\rm eV^2}]$	$\Delta m^2_{31} [10^{-3} \ {\rm eV^2}]$
$197^{+27}_{-24}$	$7.42^{+0.21}_{-0.20}$	$2.517^{+0.026}_{-0.028}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{\nu}^{\mathrm{diag}} = & \mathcal{V} \mathcal{M}_{\nu} \mathcal{V}^{T} \\ & (\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\nu}^{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{R})}^{2})^{\mathrm{diag}} = & \tilde{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})} \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\nu}^{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{R})}^{2} \tilde{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{R})\dagger} \end{aligned}$$

	-0.051	0	0	-0.541	0	0	0.840	0	0	
	0	-0.021	0	0	-0.543	0	0	0.840	0	
	0	0	-0.019	0	0	-0.543	0	0	0.840	
	0.995	0	0	-0.100	0	0	-0.004	0	0	
$\tilde{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathcal{I}} \approx$	0	0.999	0	0	-0.038	0	0	0	0	
	0	0	-0.999	0	0	0.035	0	0	0	
	-0.086	0	0	-0.835	0	0	-0.543	0	0	
	0	-0.032	0	0	-0.839	0	0	-0.543	0	
	0	0	-0.029	0	0	-0.839	0	0	-0.543	,

sneutrinos with

 $\{269, 272, 272, 1010, 1000, 1000, 1129, 1127, 1127\}$  GeV

	0.836	0.526	-0.145	0.034i	0	0	-0.034	0	0	)
	-0.246	0.600	0.761	0	0.013i	0	0	0.013	0	
	0.488	-0.602	0.632	0	0	0.012i	0	0	0.012	
	0	0	0	-0.707i	0	0	-0.707	0	0	
$V^T \approx$	0	0	0	0	-0.707i	0	0	0.707	0	
	0	0	0	0	0	-0.707i	0	0	0.707	
	-0.041	-0.026	0.007	0.706i	0	0	-0.706	0	0	
	0.005	-0.011	-0.015	0	0.707i	0	0	0.707	0	
	-0.008	0.010	-0.011	0	0	0.707i	0	0	0.707	)

light neutrinos with  $\{0,\,0.008,\,0.05\}\;\mathrm{eV}$  and heavy ones with  $\mathrm{TeV}$ 

	0.076	0	0	0.834	0	0	-0.545	0	0
	0	-0.032	0	0	-0.839	0	0	0.544	0
	0	0	-0.029	0	0	-0.839	0	0	0.544
	0.996	0	0	-0.094	0	0	-0.003	0	0
$\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{R}} \approx$	0	0.999	0	0	-0.038	0	0	0	0
	0	0	-0.999	0	0	0.035	0	0	0
	-0.054	0	0	-0.542	0	0	-0.838	0	0
	0	0.021	0	0	0.543	0	0	0.839	0
	0	0	0.019	0	0	0.543	0	0	0.839

#### sneutrinos with

 $\{ 854, 854, 854, 1010, 1000, 1000, 1389, 1388, 1388 \} \text{ GeV} \\ \land \Box \models \land \textcircled{\Box} \models \land \textcircled{\Box} \models \land \textcircled{\Xi} \models \land \textcircled{\Xi} \models \r{C} \Rightarrow \r{C} \to \r{C}$ 

Min-Di Zheng (SRNU)

12/18

## Puzzle explanation



The masses  $m_{\tilde{b}_R}$  are given in units of TeV. The red dashed lines express the perturbativity limit, i.e.  $\lambda' \leqslant \sqrt{4\pi}$ . r = 0.363

æ

## Puzzle explanation



The masses  $m_{\tilde{b}_R}$  are given in units of TeV. The red dashed lines express the perturbativity limit, i.e.  $\lambda' \leqslant \sqrt{4\pi}$ . r = 0.363

臣

・ロト ・日ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

## **Benchmark** Points

$m_{\tilde{b}_R}$	$\lambda'_{123}$	$\lambda'_{133}$	$\lambda'_{323}$	$\lambda'_{333}$	$C_{8gs}^{\rm NP}$	$L_{K\bar{K}}$	$L_{K^*\bar{K^*}}$	$\mathcal{B}_{VP} \times 10^5$
$13 { m TeV}$	1.1i	2.3i	3.05	-0.81	-0.083	23.63	15.87	0.80
$15 { m TeV}$	1.15i	2.8i	3.18	-1	-0.103	23.08	15.06	0.79
16  TeV	1.15i	3.2i	3.18	-1.14	-0.118	22.66	14.46	0.78

Here  $\mathcal{B}_{VP}$  is the untagged branching ratio  $\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}_s \to K^{*0}\bar{K}^0 + c.c.)$ 

$$\begin{split} \text{For } m_{\tilde{b}_R} &\text{ is 10 TeV:} \\ &C_{8gs}^{\text{NP}} = &0.028 \lambda_{123}^{\prime*} \lambda_{133}^{\prime*} + 0.004 \lambda_{323}^{\prime*} \lambda_{333}^{\prime*} - 0.061 \lambda_{123}^{\prime*} \lambda_{133}^{\prime} - 0.062 \lambda_{323}^{\prime*} \lambda_{333}^{\prime} \\ &\mathcal{R}_{B_s} \approx \left| 1 - 160.29 \lambda_{123}^{\prime*2} - 20.91 \lambda_{323}^{\prime*2} + 9 \left( \lambda_{123}^{\prime*} \lambda_{133}^{\prime} + \lambda_{323}^{\prime*} \lambda_{333}^{\prime} \right)^2 \right| \end{split}$$

#### Conclusions

- RPV-MSSMIS framework connects the trilinear interaction  $\lambda' \hat{L} \hat{Q} \hat{D}$  with the (s)neutrino chirality flip to make the unique contribution to  $L_{K^{(*)}K^{(+)}}$ , through the gluon-penguin diagrams. The chiral-flip effects are expressed as the double- $\lambda'$  terms in the Wilson coefficient  $C_{8gs,d}^{\text{NP}}$ , which can be enhanced by the logarithm and make the related deviation explained.
- In the  $B_s \bar{B}_s$  mixing, there also exist chiral-flip contributions, and to fulfil the strict bound of experimental data, the scenario of imaginary  $\lambda'_{123}$ ,  $\lambda'_{133}$  with real  $\lambda'_{323}$ ,  $\lambda'_{333}$  is adopted.

(日) (종) (종) (종) (종)

#### Backup



New Belle II result induces that

$$\frac{\mathcal{B}(b \to s\nu\bar{\nu})}{\mathcal{B}(b \to s\nu\bar{\nu})_{\rm SM}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{3} \left| C_{23}^{\rm SM} + C_{23}^{\rm NP} \right|^2 + \sum_{i \neq i'}^{3} \left| C_{23}^{\rm NP} \right|^2}{3 \left| C_{23}^{\rm SM} \right|^2} < 3.3$$

0

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト 三日

at  $2\sigma$  level

Min-Di Zheng (SRNU)

#### Backup

 $b \rightarrow se\tau$ :

$$\Delta C_{9e\tau}^{4\lambda'} = -\Delta C_{10e\tau}^{4\lambda'} = -\frac{\sqrt{2}\pi^2 i}{2G_F \eta_t e^2} \Big( \tilde{\lambda}_{1i3}' \tilde{\lambda}_{3i3}'^* \lambda_{v33}' \lambda_{v23}' D_2[m_{\nu_v}, m_{u_i}, m_{\tilde{b}_R}, m_{\tilde{b}_R}] \\ + \tilde{\lambda}_{1i3}' \tilde{\lambda}_{3i3}'^* \lambda_{v33}' \lambda_{v23}' D_2[m_{\tilde{\nu}_v^{\mathcal{I}}}, m_{\tilde{u}_{Li}}, m_b, m_b] \Big).$$

Extra imaginary part in  $B_s - \bar{B}_s$  mixing:  $\Lambda_{vv'}^{\prime N} D_2[m_{\nu_v}, m_{\nu_{v'}}, m_{\tilde{b}_R}, m_{\tilde{b}_R}]$ Z boson partical decay:  $\operatorname{Im} (\lambda_{iJ3}^{\prime*}\lambda_{iJ'3}^{\prime}/\lambda_{1J3}^{\prime*}\lambda_{1J'3}^{\prime})$ eEDM:  $[(\cos^2 \beta_{\lambda_{1ik}^{\prime}} - \sin^2 \beta_{\lambda_{1ik}^{\prime}}) \sin \alpha_{Ad} + \cos \beta_{\lambda_{1ik}^{\prime}} \sin \beta_{\lambda_{1ik}^{\prime}} \cos \alpha_{Ad})]|\lambda_{1ik}^{\prime}|^2$ 

< □ > < @ > < 注 > < 注 > ... 注