

CyberPFA: CrYstal Bar ECAL Reconstruction in CEPC

Yang Zhang on behalf of the CEPC ECAL software working group IHEP, CAS

26 Oct. 2024



中国科学院高能物理研究所

Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences

Future lepton collider

• Physics after Higgs discovery:

- Precise measurement of Higgs, EW, top, flavor, QCD...
- BSM physics (dark matter, EW phase transition, SUSY, LLP...)





Future lepton collider

• Detector requirement:

- For hadronic final states $W^{\pm}/Z/H \rightarrow q \overline{q}$: BMR<4%
- For flavor: precise PID in heavy quark decay
 K/π separation, jet tagging, jet charge, etc.

• Particle Flow Approach:

- Measure the jet by its components: $E_{jet} = E_{tracker} + E_{ECAL} + E_{HCAL}$
- Hardware + Software:

2024/10/26





3

Calorimetry in CALICE

- 9
 - **CALICE concept: high granularity sampling calorimeter**
 - Hardware: sampling and compact calorimeter.
 - **Software**: fantastic pattern recognition, PandoraPFA / ArborPFA.
- Also is CEPC CDR design: enormous efforts from team
 - ECAL prototype: scintillator strip + SiPM + CuW (ScW)
 - HCAL prototype: scintillator tile + SiPM + steel (AHCAL)
 - From 2016 to now: Technical R&D, prototype development, beam test activities...







Homogeneous ECAL in CEPC Ref-TDR

Why crystal calorimeter

- A long history in particle physics precise measurement: L3@LEP, BESIII@BEPC, CMS@LHC, HERD, Panda...
- Optimal intrinsic EM resolution: $\sigma_E/E < 3\%/\sqrt{E}$
 - Photon recovery from bremsstrahlung,
 - π^0 reconstruction.
- Fast response:
 - Introduce timing in PFA.

	Csl	BGC	PbWO ₄	LYSO
<i>R_M</i> (cm)	3.57	2.23	2.00	2.07
<i>X</i> ₀ (cm)	1.86	1.12	0.89	1.14
λ_I (cm)	39.3	22.7	20.7	20.9
Light yield (ph/MeV)	58000	7400	130	30000
Decay time (ns)	1220	300	30	40

BGO for a balance performance & cost.



Invert trapezoid module

• New concept of crystal ECAL:

1950

1900

2000

2050

2100

2150

- Advantage:
 - Optimal energy resolution.
 - Better EM sensitivity for flavor physics.
- But at what cost:
 - Larger R_M & smaller $\lambda_I / X_0 \implies$ more shower overlap.

Software task:

- * Clustering
- * Pattern recognition.
- + Overlap: energy splitting.
- Homogeneous BGO ECAL

1850

1900

1950

2000

2050

2100



• New concept of crystal ECAL: orthogonal arranged crystal bars.

- Double-end readout with SiPM (Q, T).
- Cross-location by bars.
- Less readout channels, lower cost.







Software task: * Clustering * Pattern recognition. + Overlap: energy splitting.

• New concept of crystal ECAL: orthogonal arranged crystal bars.

- Double-end readout with SiPM (Q, T).
- Cross-location by bars.
- Less readout channels, lower cost.

New challenge: multi-particle ambiguity.







Software task:

- * Clustering
- * Pattern recognition.
- + Overlap: energy splitting.
- + Ambiguity removal

• New concept of crystal ECAL: orthogonal arranged crystal bars.

- Double-end readout with SiPM (Q, T).
- Cross-location by bars.
- Less readout channels, lower cost.







Software task:

- * Clustering
- * Pattern recognition.
- + Overlap: energy splitting.
- + Ambiguity removal

Particle flow algorithm

• PF performance decoupling

• $\sigma_{jet} \sim \sigma_{trk} \oplus \sigma_{EM} \oplus \sigma_{Had} \oplus \sigma_{confusion}$. Confusion is an important limitation factor.

Contribution	Jet Energy Resolution $rms_{90}(E_j)/E_j$				
	$E_j = 45 \mathrm{GeV}$	$E_j = 100 \text{GeV}$	$E_j = 180 \text{GeV}$	$E_j = 250 \mathrm{GeV}$	
Total	3.7%	2.9 %	3.0%	3.1 %	
Resolution	3.0%	2.0 %	1.6%	1.3 %	
Tracking	1.2%	0.7 %	0.8%	0.8 %	
Leakage	0.1%	0.5 %	0.8%	1.0 %	
Other	0.6%	0.5 %	0.9%	1.0%	
Confusion	1.7%	1.8 %	2.1%	2.3 %	
i) Confusion (photons)	0.8%	1.0 %	1.1%	1.3 %	
ii) Confusion (neutral hadrons)	0.9%	1.3 %	1.7%	1.8 %	
iii) Confusion (charged hadrons)	1.2%	0.7 %	0.5%	0.2 %	



• Confusion mainly comes from the imperfect pattern recognition.





Particle flow algorithm

• PF performance decoupling

• $\sigma_{jet} \sim \sigma_{trk} \oplus \sigma_{EM} \oplus \sigma_{Had} \oplus \sigma_{confusion}$. Confusion is an important limitation factor.

Contribution	Jet Energy Resolution $rms_{90}(E_j)/E_j$				
	$ E_j $	=45 GeV	$E_j = 100 \text{GeV}$	$E_j = 180 \text{GeV}$	$E_j = 250 \mathrm{GeV}$
Total		3.7%	2.9 %	3.0%	3.1 %
Resolution		3.0%	2.0 %	1.6%	1.3 %
Tracking Crystal ECAL improv	ves	1.2%	0.7 %	0.8%	0.8 %
Leakage the intrinsic resolut	ion	0.1%	0.5 %	0.8%	1.0%
Other		0.6%	0.5 %	0.9%	1.0%
Confusion		1.7%	1.8 %	2.1%	2.3 %
i) Confusion (photons)		0.8%	1.0 %	1.1%	1.3 %
ii) Confusion (neutral hadrons)		0.9%	1.3 %	1.7%	1.8 %
iii) Confusion (charged hadrons)		1.2%	0.7 %	0.5%	0.2 %



rms₉₀/E_{jet} [%]

iv) Confusion (ambiguity)



Software task:

- * Clustering
- * Pattern recognition.

+ Improve the performance.

- * Overlap: energy splitting.
- * Ambiguity problem.
 - + Minimize the impact.

PandoraPFA, Nim.A Vol 611, Issue 1, 2009

🗕 Total

---- Confusion

Other

²⁰⁰ 250 E_{JET}/GeV

---- Resolution ---- Leakage

CyberPFA was proposed to address these issues.

Detector Simulation



A realistic detector description implemented in CEPCSW with DD4HEP

- Inner R = 1830 mm, depth 300 mm (24 X_0), 28 layers.
- $1 \times 1 \times \sim 40 \ cm^3$ BGO bars with ESR wrapping
- 32-side polygon, invert trapezoid modules.
- Dead material between modules:
- SiPM, PCB, FE and BE electronic boards (~3 mm)
- Copper plate cooling (1 mm)
- Carbon fiber supporting (5 mm/side)
- An energy correction for the crack leakage.



Digitization model: from beam test

- Crystal scintillation: 100 p.e./MIP (single end detected)
- SiPM gain calibration: 1 p.e. = 5 ADC, with noise
- Electronics: 12 bits ADC with precision 0.2%, 3 gain modes
- Threshold: 0.1 MIP.

Energy resolution with full digi: $\sigma_E/E = 1.4\%/\sqrt{E} \oplus 0.3\%$ (in module center)



CyberPFA





Step 1: preparation

- Global neighbor clustering in full detector.
- Find the local maximum: 1st pattern recognition

Event display: 2 photons, $E_{\gamma} = 5$ GeV, distance = 15×15 cm.



Task list in PFA reconstruction:

- Clustering
- * Pattern recognition.
- * Shower splitting for overlap
- * Ambiguity removal





Step 2: shower recognition

- Tracking in ECAL: find patterns with 3 individual algorithms.
- A set of topological cluster merging

Event display: 2 photons, $E_{\gamma} = 5$ GeV, distance = 15×15 cm.



Task list in PFA reconstruction:

- Clustering
- Pattern recognition.
- * Shower splitting for overlap
- * Ambiguity removal

CyberPFA





Step 3: energy splitting & ambiguity removal

- Split the energy with EM profile.
- Remove ambiguity from track + neighbor module + time.





Task list in PFA reconstruction:

Clustering

 \checkmark

 \checkmark

 \checkmark

- Pattern recognition.
- Shower splitting for overlap
- Ambiguity removal

CyberPFA



Step 4: clustering and reclustering - Traditional PFA idea: $E_{cluster} \sim P_{track}$ match.



Extrapolate track to connect ECAL and HCAL clusters

Split a neutral cluster if $E_{cluster} > P_{track}$

ECAL

HCAL

Tracker

Check and merge fragments into core cluster.

ECAL

Tracker

Task list in PFA reconstruction:

- Clustering
- Pattern recognition.
- Shower splitting for overlap
- Ambiguity removal
- Full PFA



HCAL

Separation performance

Close-by particle separation

- Key performance in PFA reconstruction.
- $\gamma \gamma$ separation: 2.2 cm @ 100% efficiency.
- $\gamma \pi$ separation: 10 cm @ 100% efficiency.



Physics performance: $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$



- Physics process: $ee
 ightarrow ZH
 ightarrow
 u
 u \gamma \gamma$ in $\sqrt{s} = 240$ GeV
 - Full simulation and digitization. Energy correction in crack region has been applied.



Double-side CB fit, $\sigma(m_{\gamma\gamma}) = 0.56$ GeV

Long tile & biased peak from:

- longitudinal energy leakage.

- Imperfect energy correction.

Can be fixed with better photon energy correction in the future.

Physics performance: $H \rightarrow gg$



- Physics process: ee
 ightarrow ZH
 ightarrow
 u v gg in $\sqrt{s} = 240$ GeV
 - Full reconstruction in CEPC detector: Silicon + TPC tracker, crystal ECAL, glass tile HCAL.



Summary and outlook

• A novel crystal ECAL design for CEPC detector

- Following PFA concept.
- Satisfy the jet energy resolution requirement in future lepton collider.
- Optimal EM resolution for flavor physics.

• CyberPFA for the new design:

- Main challenges: overlapping & ambiguity.
- Series of algorithms are developed and show promising results.
- Boson Mass Resolution (BMR) ~3.95%.

• Future plan: CEPC reference detector TDR in 2025

• Optimization of PFA performance: cluster ID, energy correction, advanced pattern recognition, ...



Backup



Global neighbor clustering for pre-processing.



- Shower recognition:
 - Use the local maximum to simplify the pattern in homogeneous ECAL



Software task:



Shower recognition:

- 3 individual algorithms for different type: track-match, Hough, Cone-clustering.
- A set of topological cluster merging.



Shower recognition:

- 3 individual algorithms for different type: track-match, Hough, Cone-clustering.
- A set of topological cluster merging.



Energy splitting and matching

• Splitting for the overlapped shower:

- Calculate the expected energy deposition from EM profile.
 - Expected energy : $E_{i\mu}^{exp} = E_{\mu}^{seed} \times f(|x_i x_c|)$
 - Assigned weight: $w_{i\mu} = \frac{E_{i\mu}^{exp}}{\sum_{\mu} E_{i\mu}^{exp}}$
- Ambiguity removal:
 - Information from: track, neighbor tower, time.





* Clustering

----- Shower1 ---- Shower2

1850 1900 1950 2000 2050 2100 2150

x/mm

- * Pattern recognition.
- * Overlap: energy splitting.

Mean

Std Dev

80

y/mm

22.05 26.64

* Ambiguity problem.

Physics performance: single photon

• Single photon reconstruction efficiency:

- Efficiency: ~100% for >1 GeV photons.
- Energy correction from simulation:
 - For the cracks: $E_{corr} = \frac{E'_{truth}}{E'_{deposition}} \times E^{mean}_{dep}$





Mechanics design

• Carbon fiber skeleton and unit strength

Carbon fiber skeleton, 5mm/side







2024/10/26

Cooling design

Copper plate + aluminum water pipe cooling



Energy resolution



9