"Accidental" Suppression of Wilson Coefficients in Higgs Coupling

Zhen Liu

U of Minnesota
Oct.25th, 2024

Mainly based on
Bao, Gu, ZL, Shu, Wang, 2408.08948

Higgs Precision

Higgs precision is one of the core physics programs for the current and any future colliders. Its precision often interpreted in bar plots with EFT picture behind.

J. Gu et al 2206.08326

precision reach on effective couplings from SMEFT global fit

Multi-Higgs & Higgs Self-couplings are particularly interesting

$\sqrt{s} = 10$ TeV

L$= 20$ ab^{-1}

$\frac{|N - N_{\text{SM}}|}{\sqrt{N_{\text{SM}}}} < 1$

$\delta_4 = 6\delta_3$

SM ●

σ_{tot}

$\sigma_{[M_{HHH} < 1 \text{ TeV}]}$

O(1) quartic determination possible.
Chiesa, Maltoni, Mantani, Mele, Piccinini, 2003.13628

Correlated measurements of trilinear and quartic couplings reveals deep information about EFT and EWPT.

e.g, Huang, Joglekar, Wagner, 1512.00068, Falkowski, Gonzalez-Alonso, Grejio, Marzocca, M. Son, 1609.06312, Chang, Luty, 1902.05556,+Abu-Ajamieh, M. Chen, 2009.11293; DiHiggs review 1910.00012; T. Han, D. Liu, I. Low, X. Wang, 2008.12204, 2312.07670.

HH@ Current and Future Colliders

- Other precision inputs and constraints are needed for trilinear extraction if one turns on a few couplings or with a given model;

- Single H allows consistent trilinear precision O(40%)

- Double H and differential crucial to reach O(10%)

- High Energy will do better (hopefully O(%) but studies are missing)

Di Vita, Durieux, Grojean, Gu, ZL, Panico, Riembau, Vantalon, 1711.03978

Higgs as a general portal

All Higgs-BSM connections could be revealed in Higgs measurements.

Higgs is the key to new physics.

The physics case of building the next generation colliders to further explore fundamental physics, ILC, CLIC, CEPC/SPPC, FCC, etc, focusing on the Higgs precision measurements.

Precision of Higgs coupling measurement (kappa0 fit)

HL−LHC S1/S2

CEPC 240 GeV @ 20/ab / + 360 GeV @ 1/ab

The BSM-driven EFT Pattern Matters

- Different BSM models give raise to different EFTs, with hierarchies between the Wilson coefficients, e.g., SILH

- The pattern gives raise different emphasis on observables, e.g., single Higgs coupling observables/precision, multi-Higgs productions.

- The EFT approach and direct BSM (resonance) searches complement each other.

- Do we have untuned/generic cases where Higgs self-coupling modifications are the most important observables?

Higgs Couplings with EWPT or Dark Sector

- Dark Sector if gauged, typically Higgsed. The dark Higgs will talk our SM Higgs through the portal;

- Enhancing the electroweak phase transition (EWPT) requires modifications to the Higgs potential, one of the easiest example is through other scalar fields, and one cannot forbid the Higgs portal coupling.

Enhancing EWPT

One of the most generic extensions to Enhance EWPT;
An important benchmark to understand;

$$V_0(h, s) = -\frac{1}{2}\mu_h^2 h^2 + \frac{1}{4}\lambda_h h^4 + \frac{1}{2}\mu_s^2 s^2 + \frac{1}{4}\lambda_s s^4 + \frac{1}{4}\lambda_m h^2 s^2$$

$+ (\text{explicit } Z2 - \text{breaking terms})$

Curtin, Meade, Yu, 14'

Espinosa et al, 11'

also Ramsey-Musolf et al, 09'

Long, Huang, Wang, 16'

# Spontaneous Z2 breaking Singlet Extension: a special case

$$V_0(h,s) = -\frac{1}{2}\mu_h^2 h^2 + \frac{1}{4}\lambda_h h^4 + \frac{1}{2}\mu_s^2 s^2 + \frac{1}{4}\lambda_s s^4 + \frac{1}{4}\lambda_m h^2 s^2$$

Z2: S→-S

$+(\text{explicit Z2} - \text{breaking terms})$

EFT analysis:

- **tree-level** integrate out of the singlet at the broken phase generates $(H^+H)^3$;
- Operator generated only at loop-level

We also explored the above EFT in our S->hh on-shell interference study, Carena, ZL, Riembau [1801.00794].This renders Spontaneous broken case hard to enhance EWPT through the usual way, we found other ways Carena, ZL, Wang, [1911.10206] and yields Higgs exotic decays, +others [2203.08206].

$$\frac{1}{m_S^4} \times \Lambda_{SH}^2 \times \lambda_{SH} \times \frac{1}{2!} \quad (H^+H)^3$$

$$-\frac{1}{m_S^6} \times \frac{\Lambda_S}{3!} \times 3! \times \Lambda_{SH}^3 \times \frac{1}{3!} \quad (H^+H)^3$$

Generic SM Singlet EFT expectations

$$e^{i\mathcal{S}_{\text{EFT}}(H)} \propto \int \mathcal{D}S \, \exp(i\mathcal{S}_{\text{UV}}(H,S))$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{EFT}} \supset - \int \mathrm{d}^4x \, V(H, S_c(H)) \quad \text{with} \quad 0 = \left.\frac{\partial V(H,S)}{\partial S}\right|_{S=S_c(H)}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{UV}} = \frac{1}{2} S \left(-\Box - m_S^2\right) S + SJ(H)$$

$$\to \mathcal{L}_{\text{EFT}} = J(H) \frac{1}{-\Box - m_S^2} J(H) = -\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{J^2}{m_S^2} + \frac{J\Box J}{m_S^4} + \dots\right)$$

- We see direct multi-Higgs modification operators (making multi-Higgs unique)

$$O_{2n} \equiv \frac{1}{\Lambda^{2n-4}} (H^+H)^n$$

- And Higgs wave-function normalization operators (making single Higgs precision important)

$$O_{H2n} \equiv \frac{1}{\Lambda^{2n-4}} (H^+H)^{n-3}\left(\partial|H|^2\right)^2$$

One can show that all operators of the form

$$c_{2n} \text{ for } O_{2n} \equiv \frac{1}{\Lambda^{2n-4}} (H^+ H)^n$$

vanish at tree-level. **Why?**

A first Naïve guess that the SSB "remembers" the Z2 symmetry

	c_H		c_6	
Exact $\mathbb{Z}_2$	1-loop	[eq. (3.4)]	1-loop	[eq. (3.4)]
SSB $\mathbb{Z}_2$			1-loop	[eq. (3.8)]
$\cancel{\mathbb{Z}_2}$	tree	[eq. (3.12)]	tree	[eq. (3.12)]

One can show that all operators of the form

$$c_{2n} \text{ for } O_{2n} \equiv \frac{1}{\Lambda^{2n-4}} (H^+ H)^n$$

vanish at tree-level. **Why?**

But all cH(2n) operators remain

$$O_{H(2n)} \equiv \frac{1}{\Lambda^{2n-4}} (H^+H)^{n-3} (\partial|H|^2)^2$$

	c_H		c_6	
Exact $\mathbb{Z}_2$	1-loop	[eq. (3.4)]	1-loop	[eq. (3.4)]
SSB $\mathbb{Z}_2$	tree	[eq. (3.9)]	1-loop	[eq. (3.8)]
$\cancel{\mathbb{Z}_2}$	tree	[eq. (3.12)]	tree	[eq. (3.12)]

Z2 symmetry forbids these diagrams, but SSB generates modifications to Higgs wave-function normalization and quartic Higgs term (that absorbed to fix SM relations, vev and mh).

As an EFT, it is truncated series in H^4 but all orders exists for cH(2n)

Is it a simple symmetry protection?

- Both O_H (all O_{H2n}) and O_6 (all O_{2n}) are tree-level forbidden for exact Z2 models

- But only O_6 (all O_{2n}, $n \geq 3$) is forbidden with SSB

- Let me show toy examples with SSB Z2 or Zn that generates all orders in O_{H2n}, but finite O_{2n}

To see why it is not Z2

- A toy Z2 model with terms up to dim6

$$-\mathcal{L} = \mu_h^2 |H|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\mu_s^2 S^2 + \frac{\lambda_h}{4}|H|^4 + \frac{\lambda_m}{2}|H|^2 S^2 + \frac{\lambda_s}{4} S^4$$

$$+ \frac{\lambda_{s6}}{6} S^6 + \frac{\lambda_{h6}}{36}|H|^6 + \frac{\lambda_{s2h4}}{2} S^2 |H|^4 + \frac{\lambda_{s4h2}}{4} S^4 |H|^2$$

- The saddle point solution to integrate out S is

$$S_c^{(0)2} = -\frac{\lambda_s + \lambda_{s4h2}|H|^2}{2\lambda_{s6}} \pm \frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{2\lambda_{s6}}$$

- So long as Δ is not a complete square, we have all the $(H^+ H)^n$ operators generated.

- But if Δ is, we will have again a truncated $(H^+ H)^n$ stops at n=3.

$$(\lambda_s \lambda_{s4h2} - 2\lambda_{s6}\lambda_m)^2 - (\lambda_s^2 - 4\lambda_{s6}\mu_s^2)(\lambda_{s4h2}^2 - 4\lambda_{s6}\lambda_{s2h4}) = 0$$

Or from another generic toy model

- A toy Z_n model

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{UV}} = -\frac{1}{2}S\square S + \frac{f(H)}{n}S^n - \frac{\lambda_{2n}}{2n}S^{2n}$$

- The classical solution (if SSB) would be

$$0 = \frac{\delta\mathcal{S}_{\text{UV}}}{\delta S} = S^{n-1}\left[f(H) - \lambda_{2n}S^n\right] \implies S_c^{(0)} = \left[\frac{f(H)}{\lambda_{2n}}\right]^{1/n}$$

- And the result tree-level EFT is again automatically truncated (so long as $f(H)$ is a finite polynomial) in O_{2n} but all powers of O_{H2n} exist

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{EFT}} \supset \frac{[f(H)]^2}{2n\lambda_{2n}} - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{f(H)}{\lambda_{2n}}\right)^{1/n}\square\left(\frac{f(H)}{\lambda_{2n}}\right)^{1/n}$$

- Here we can understand the n=2 case easily, for renormalizable theory, the only SSB solution is a finite polynomial containing H^2 order!

Collider Imprints

Gu, Li, ZL, Su, Su, 1709.06103

$$\kappa_\lambda \equiv \frac{\lambda_3}{\lambda_3^{SM}}, \quad \delta\kappa_\lambda \equiv \kappa_\lambda - 1 = c_6 - \frac{3}{2}c_H$$

*I flipped my sign convention between this study (2017) and the next plot (2024), sorry!

The Pattern Matters

- A general parameter scan for three cases:
  - Exact
  - SSB
  - Explicitly broken

- Current and future colliders probes
  - horizontal direction mainly from single Higgs measurements
  - Vertical direction mainly from multiHiggs measurements

- The EFT pattern is clear!

The Pattern Matters

- A general parameter scan for three cases:
  - Exact
  - SSB
  - Explicitly broken

- Current and future colliders probes
  - horizontal direction mainly from single Higgs measurements
  - Vertical direction mainly from multiHiggs measurements

- The EFT pattern is clear!

Summary

- A class of generic Higgs portal SSB model motivated EFTs exhibits truncations in non-derivative operators but not those with derivatives
  - Motivated by Dark Higgs
  - Motivated by enhanced EWPT
  - Motivated by Higgs Self-coupling measurements

- These truncations are not from simple symmetry arguments, as the resulting EFT are different from both explicit breaking and symmetry preserving cases.

- These suppressions are general in SSB and understood as renormalizable theories' classical solution are all finite polynomials. (one can also understand the same result in fermion portals resulted EFT, which is a simpler case of the above discussion.)

- These truncations has strong impact for Higgs program single Higgs and multi-Higgs observables.
  - No enhanced trilinear modification compared to single Higgs modification with SSB (for renormalizable extensions with discrete symmetries in the EFT regime)
  - Strong pattern of SSB in Higgs EFT and precision Higgs could reveal it.

- It would be fun to understand more their meanings and implications.