## Pion 介子形状因子的微扰计算

Shan Cheng (程山)

Hunan University

第四届中国格点量子色动力学研讨会 湖南师范大学

2024年10月13日

#### Overview

I Pion form factor

II perturbative QCD approach

Dispersion relations Pion light-cone distribution amplitudes Three scale factorization frame

III Form factors

IV Conclusion

"In elementary particle physics and mathematical physics, in particular in effective field theory, a **form factor** is a function that encapsulates the properties of a certain interaction without including all of the underlying physics, but instead, providing the momentum dependence of a suitable matrix elements. Its further measured experimentally in confirmation or specification of a theory."



**PION** is the lightest and one of the most simplest hadrons, hence the ideal probe to extremely rich QCD dynamics, including confine and chiral symmetry breaking.

实验室/组	数据类型	能量区间 q <sup>2</sup> (GeV <sup>2</sup> )	数据点 个数	主要参考文献
PRad	微分散射截面 dσ/dΩ	[-0.06, -0.0002]	71	Nature 575(7781),147-150(2019)
MAMI	微分散射截面 dσ/dΩ	[-0.98, -0.004]	1422	Phys.Rev. Lett.105,242001(2010) Phys.Rev. C.90,015200(2014)
JLab	形状因子比值 $\mu_p G_E^p / G_M^p$	[-8.49, -1.18]	16	Phys.Rev. Lett.104,242301(2010) Phys.Rev. C.85,045203(2012)
多组实验平 均值	电形状因子G <sub>E</sub> 磁 形状因子G <sub>M</sub>	[-1.47, -0.14] [-10.0, -0.07]	25 23	Euro.Phys.J. A 48,151(2012)
BABAR	形状因子比值 $ G_E^p/G_M^p $	[3.52, 9.00]	6	Phys.Rev.D. 87, 092005(2013)
BESIII	微分散射截面 dσ/dΩ	[3.52, 3.80]	10	Nature Phys 17(2021)11, 1200-12 04
多组实验平 均值	有效形状因子 $G_{eff}^p$ 有效形状因子 $G_{eff}^n$	[3.52, 20.3] [3.53, 9.49]	153 32	Phys.Rev. Lett.96,261803(2005) Phys. Lett. B759,634-640(2016) EPJ Web Conf. 212,0700(2019)

 $\Rightarrow$  not so much for  $F_{\pi}$ 

#### Rich measurements of $F_N$ in different energy regions

#### Measurements of $F_{\pi}$ in different energy regions

- Spacelike data is available in the narrow region  $q^2 \in [-2.5, -0.25]$  GeV<sup>2</sup> Jefferson Lab 2006,2008, · · · , NA7 1996, CLEO 2005
- Timelike data is dominated by the resonant states, have not extend to large momentum transfers (perturbative QCD available)



Whole region of momentum transfers for electromagnetic form factor

- Mismatch between the QCD based calculation and the available data
- could be restored by employing the dispersion relation
- pQCD prediction at large  $|q^2|$  is indispensable

# perturbative QCD approach

- i Dispersion relations
- ii Pion LCDAs
- iii Three-scale factorization

#### **Dispersion relations**

- QCD calculations are valid in the intermediate/large  $|q^2|$ N<sup>2</sup>LO calculation in collinear factorization ~ NLO [Chen<sup>2</sup>, Feng, Jia 2312.17228] spacelike data is available in the narrow region  $q^2 \in [-2.5, -0.25]$  GeV<sup>2</sup>
- the mismatch destroys the direct extracting programme from  $F_{\pi}(q^2 < 0)$
- timelike data  $F_{\pi}(q^2 > 0)$  provides another opportunity

$$\begin{array}{ll} \bigtriangleup \ e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-(\gamma), & 4m_\pi^2 \leqslant q^2 \lesssim 9 \ {\rm GeV}^2 & [{\rm BABAR\ 2012}] \\ \bigtriangleup \ \tau \rightarrow \pi\pi\nu_\tau, & 4m_\pi^2 \leqslant q^2 \leqslant 3.125 \ {\rm GeV}^2 & [{\rm Belle\ 2008}] \\ \bigtriangleup \ e^+e^-(\gamma) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-, & 0.6 \leqslant Q^2 \leqslant 0.9 \ {\rm GeV}^2 \ {\rm with\ ISR} & [{\rm BESIII\ 2016}] \end{array}$$

• The standard dispersion relation and The modulus representation

$$F_{\pi}(q^2 < s_0) = rac{1}{\pi} \int\limits_{s_0}^{\infty} ds rac{\mathrm{Im}F_{\pi}(s)}{s - q^2 - i\epsilon} \quad \Downarrow \quad [$$
 **SC**, Khodjamirian, Rosov 2007.05550]

$$F_{\pi}(q^2 < s_0) = \exp\left[rac{q^2\sqrt{s_0-q^2}}{2\pi}\int\limits_{s_0}^{\infty}rac{ds\ln|F_{\pi}(s)|^2}{s\sqrt{s-s_0}\,(s-q^2)}
ight]$$

$$\left|\mathcal{F}_{\pi}(s)\right|^{2} = \Theta(s_{\text{max}} - s) \left|\mathcal{F}_{\pi,\text{Inter.}}^{\text{data}}(s)\right|^{2} + \Theta(s - s_{\text{max}}) \left|\mathcal{F}_{\pi}^{\text{pQCD}}(s)\right|^{2}$$

#### Factorization formular

(spacelike) electromagnetic form factor

$$\langle \pi^{-}(\boldsymbol{p}_{2}) \left| J_{\mu}^{\text{em}} \right| \pi^{-}(\boldsymbol{p}_{1}) 
angle = \boldsymbol{e}_{q} \left( \boldsymbol{p}_{1} + \boldsymbol{p}_{2} \right)_{\mu} \boldsymbol{F}_{\pi}(\boldsymbol{Q}^{2})$$

- the interaction distance of  $J_{\mu}^{\rm em}$  is decided by the external reason  $Q^2$
- at large  $Q^2$ ,  $\bar{z}_i \bar{p}_i \sim 1$ , the expansion is performed in twist
- Separate the hard partonic physics out of the hadronic physics (soft, nonperturbative objects) in exclusive processes **Factorization**

$$\begin{array}{c|c} & & & & & \\ \pi & & & & \\ \pi & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ &$$

- The universal nonperturbative objects can be studied by QCD-based analytical (QCDSRs, \chi\_PT, instanton) and numerical approaches (LQCD)
- also by performing global fit, CETQ, CT, MMHT, NNPDF, ABM, JAM, et.al.

In the exclusive processes with large momentum transfers, pion is described by the **light-cone distribution amplitudes (LCDAs)** 

• Wave function of bound state in terms of Fock states

 $\begin{aligned} |\pi\rangle &= \psi_{q\bar{q}} |q\bar{q}\rangle + \psi_{q\bar{q}g} |q\bar{q}g\rangle + \psi_{q\bar{q}q\bar{q}} |q\bar{q}q\bar{q}\rangle + \psi_{q\bar{q}gg} |q\bar{q}gg\rangle + \cdots \\ \psi_{\pi}^{n}(u_{i}, k_{\perp i}, \lambda_{i}) &= \langle n, u_{i}, k_{\perp i}, \lambda_{i} | \pi \rangle \end{aligned}$ 

- large  $Q^2$ ,  $k_{\perp}$  is neglected/integrated  $\psi^n_{\pi}(u_i, \mu, \lambda_i)$
- separate out the spin to obtain the LCDAs  $\phi_{\pi}^{n}(u_{i},\mu,Q)$
- LCDAs are dimensionless functions of  $u_i$  and renormalization scale  $\mu$

 $\bigtriangleup$  describe the probability amplitudes to find the  $\pi$  in a state with minimal number of constitutes and have small transversal separation of order  $1/\mu$ 

 $\bigtriangleup$  Expansion in power of large momentum transfer is governed by contributions from small transversal separations  $x^2$  between constituents

 The definition of LCDAs is the application of conformal symmetry in massless QCD [Braun, Korchemsky, Müller 2003]

#### Conformal symmetry in massless QCD

- the underlying idea of *conformal expansion of LCDAs* is similar to *partial-wave expansion of wave function in quantum mechanism*
- invariance of massless QCD under conformal trans. VS rotation symmetry
- longitudinal  $\otimes$  transversal dofs VS angular  $\otimes$  radial dofs
- the transversal-momentum dependence (scale dependence of the relevant operators) is governed by the RGE
- the longitudinal-momentum dependence (orthogonal polynomials) is described in terms of irreducible representations of the corresponding symmetry group collinear subgroup of conformal group SL(2, R) ≅ SU(1, 1) ≅ SO(2, 1)

## • LCDAs are defined at different twists

$$\begin{split} &\langle 0|\bar{u}(x)\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}d(-x)|\pi^{-}(P)\rangle = f_{\pi}\int_{0}^{1}du\,e^{i\zeta P\cdot x}\left[iP_{\mu}\left(\phi(u)+\frac{x^{2}}{4}g_{1}(u,\mu)\right) + \left(x_{\mu}-\frac{x^{2}P_{\mu}}{2P\cdot x}\right)g_{2}\right] \\ &\langle 0|\bar{u}(x)i\gamma_{5}d(-x)|\pi^{-}(P)\rangle = f_{\pi}m_{0}^{\pi}\int_{0}^{1}du\,e^{i\zeta P\cdot x}\phi^{P}(u,\mu) \\ &\langle 0|\bar{u}(x)i\sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma_{5}d(-x)|\pi^{-}(P)\rangle = -\frac{if_{\pi}m_{0}^{\pi}}{3}\left(P_{\mu}x_{\nu}-P_{\nu}x_{\mu}\right)\int_{0}^{1}du\,e^{i\zeta P\cdot x}\phi^{\sigma}(u,\mu) \end{split}$$

riangle collinear twist: dimension - spin projection on the plus-direction riangle geometric twist: dimension - spin

#### LCDAs are defined at different twists

• quark fields are decomposed into "good" and "bad" components based on light-cone quantization formalism [Kogut and Soper 1970, Jaffe and Ji 1992]

$$\begin{split} \psi &= \psi_+ + \psi_-, \quad \psi_+ = \frac{1}{2} \gamma_* \gamma_\cdot \psi, \quad \psi_- = \frac{1}{2} \gamma_\cdot \gamma_* \psi \\ \gamma_* &= \gamma_\mu z^\mu, \ \gamma_\cdot = \gamma_\mu p^\mu / (p \cdot z) \end{split}$$

- a bad component introduce one unit of twist
- composite operators of type *ūd* contains twist 2 (*ū*<sub>+</sub>*d*<sub>+</sub>), twist 3 (*ū*<sub>+</sub>*d*<sub>-</sub>, *ū*<sub>-</sub>*d*<sub>+</sub>) and twist 4 (*ū*<sub>-</sub>*d*<sub>-</sub>)
- $\phi(x)$  and  $\phi^{p,t}(u)$  are the twist 2 and twist 3 LCDAs

$$\begin{split} \phi(u,\mu) &= 6u(1-u) \sum_{n=0} a_n^{\pi}(\mu) C_n^{3/2}(u) \\ \phi^{\sigma}(u) &= 6u(1-u) \left[ 1 + 5\eta_{3\pi} C_2^{3/2}(u) \right] \\ \phi^{p}(u,\mu) &= \left[ 1 + 30\eta_{3\pi} C_2^{1/2}(u) - 3\eta_{3\pi} \omega_{3\pi} C_4^{1/2}(u) \right] \end{split}$$

#### • Three sources of high twist LCDAs

- $\dagger$  "bad" components in WFs in particular of those with "wrong" spin projection
- $\dagger$  transversal motion of  $q(ar{q})$  in the leading twist components

```
given by the integrals with additional factors of k_{\perp}^2
```

- $\dagger$  higher Fock states with additional g and  $q\bar{q}$  pairs
- higher twist contributions to exclusive QCD processes are commonly power suppressed  $\mathcal{O}(1/\textit{Q})$
- but twist 3 contribution are dominate in the  $\pi$ , K evolved processes due to chiral enhancement  $\mathcal{O}(m_0/(x_iQ))$



#### Three scale factorization

• end-point singularities appear in exclusive QCD processes

• pick up  $k_T$  in the internal propagators

$$\mathcal{M} \propto \sum_{t=2,3,4} \int du_1 du_2 dk_{1T} dk_{2T} \kappa_t(u_i) \frac{\alpha_s(\mu) \phi_1^t(u_1) \phi_2^t(u_2)}{u_1 u_2 Q^2 - (k_1 \tau - k_2 \tau)^2}$$

· end-point singularity at leading and subleading powers

$$\mathcal{H} \propto \frac{\alpha_s(\mu)}{u_1 u_2 Q^2 - k_T^2} \sim \frac{\alpha_s(\mu)}{u_1 u_2 Q^2} - \frac{\alpha_s(\mu) k_T^2}{(u_1 u_2 Q^2)^2} + \cdots$$

• the power suppressed TMD terms becomes important at the end-points

#### Three scale factorization

- introduce  $k_{\mathcal{T}}$  to regularize the end-point singularity  ${}_{[{\mbox{Huang 1991}}]}$
- scales of transversal momentum and the large logarithms [borrowed from H.N Li]



- multiple scales, hence large single logarithms in  $\mathcal{H}/\Phi$  by QCD correction
- double logs in the soft-collinear regions  $\alpha_s(\mu) \ln^2(\frac{k_T^2}{m_B^2})$

#### Three scale factorization

- in order to repair the perturbative expansion, do resummation
- $k_T$  resummation for  $\mathcal{H}$  to obtain  $S(x_i, b_i, Q)$  [Botts 1989, Li 92]
  - $\dagger$  decreases the inverse power of the  $q^2$  in the divergence amplitude
  - $\dagger$  exhibits high suppression for large transversal distances (small  $k_T$ ) interactions
- integrating over  $k_T$ , large log  $\ln^2(x_i)$  when intermediate gluon is on shell
- threshold resummation for  $\Phi$  to obtain  $S_t(x_i, Q)$  [Li 1999]
  - $\dagger$  suppresses the small  $x_i$  regions
  - $\dagger$  repairs the self-consistency between  $lpha_{s}(t)$  and hard log  $\ln(x_{1}x_{3}Q^{2}/t^{2})$
- ‡ dynamics with  $k_T < \sqrt{Q\Lambda}$  is organized into S(x, b, Q)
- ‡ dynamics in small x is suppressed by  $S_t(x, Q)$



 $\mathcal{M} = \sum_{t} \phi^{t}(u_{1}, b_{1}) \otimes \mathcal{H}_{i}(t, b) \otimes \phi^{t}(u_{2}, b_{2}) \operatorname{Exp} \left[ -s(p^{+}, b) - \int_{1/b}^{t} \frac{d\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\mu}} \gamma_{\phi}(\alpha_{s}(\bar{\mu})) \right]$ 

# Form factors

- the precise pQCD calculation, up to twist 4 at NLO [Chai, SC, Hua 2209.13312]
- the most interesting parameters in leading twist LCDAs is moments
- up-to-date LCSRs calculation of spacelike form factor LCSRs calculation does not involve odd twist LCDAs under the chiral symmetry limit
- fit to the modular dispersion relation result with timelike data
- $a_2 = 0.275 \pm 0.055, \; a_4 = 0.185 \pm 0.065$  [SC, Khodjamirian, Rosov 2007.05550]

riangle Pion deviates from the purely asymptotic one riangle  $a_2^{\pi}$  is not enough

 $\Delta 0.258^{+0.079}_{-0.052}$  [LPC 2201.09173[hep-lat]],  $0.249^{+0.005}_{-0.006}$  [Li 2205.06746]



• twist three LCDAs are accompanied by a chiral mass

$$\chi^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{11} \frac{\left[\mathcal{F}_{\pi}^{\text{DR2}}(Q_{i}^{2}) - \mathcal{F}_{\pi}^{\text{pQCD}}(Q_{i}^{2})\right]^{2}}{\left[\delta \mathcal{F}_{\pi}^{\text{DR2}}(Q_{i}^{2})\right]^{2}}$$

- the most precise pQCD calculation, fit to the modular dispersion relation
- $m_0^{\pi} = 1.37^{+0.29}_{-0.32}$  GeV [2209.13312]

riangle smaller than the  $\chi {
m PT}$  result  $m_0^\pi (1~{
m GeV}) = 1.89$  GeV [Leutwyler 1996]

riangle also smaller than the value obtained with  $\overline{
m MS}$  current quark masses  $m_0^\pi$  =  $m_\pi^2/(m_u+m_d)$ 



- the precise pQCD calculation
- modular dispersion relation with  $e^+e^-$  annihilation data
- a comprehensive description of  $F_{\pi}(q^2)$  in the whole kinematics



• intrinsic transverse momentum ?[LPC 2302.09961]



• dynamical chiral symmetry breaking ?[Chang et.al. 1307.0026]



• consider contribution from the iTMD dynamic at  $k_T \sim \lambda_{\rm QCD}$ 

$$\begin{split} & \frac{f_{\pi}m_{0}^{\mathcal{P}}}{2\sqrt{6}}\phi^{p}(u,\mu) = \int \frac{d^{2}\vec{k}_{T}}{16\pi^{3}}\phi_{2p}^{p}(u,\vec{k}_{T}) + \int \frac{d^{2}\vec{k}_{T1}}{16\pi^{3}}\frac{d^{2}\vec{k}_{T2}}{4\pi^{2}}\phi_{3p}^{p}(u,\vec{k}_{T1},\vec{k}_{T2}).\\ & \psi_{2p}^{p}(u,\vec{k}_{T}) = \frac{f_{\pi}m_{0}^{\mathcal{P}}}{2\sqrt{6}}\phi_{2p}^{p}(u,\mu)\Sigma(u,\vec{k}_{T}),\\ & \psi_{3p}^{p}(u,\vec{k}_{1T},\vec{k}_{2T}) = \frac{f_{\pi}m_{0}^{\mathcal{P}}}{2\sqrt{6}}\eta_{3\pi}\phi_{3p}^{p}(u,\mu)\Sigma'(\alpha_{i},\vec{k}_{1T},\vec{k}_{2T}). \end{split}$$

• comparison with the impressive LQCD calculation [H.T Ding et.al, 2404.04412]



- the slight derivation is still there despite its sensitive to iTMD in the small  $q^2$
- form factor of K meson is also studied

#### Pion transition form factor

- $F_{\pi\gamma\gamma^*}$  is the theoretically most clean observable  $\propto a_n^\pi$
- Two-loop calculation of  $F_{\pi\gamma\gamma^*}$  in hard-collinear factorization theorem N^2LO  $\sim$  NLO



- NLO pQCD calculation with the iTMD contribution
- † improve the pQCD power in the intermediate momentum transfers
- † modification in the small and intermediate regions is significant
- $\eta^{(\prime)}$ ,  $\eta_q$  and  $\eta_s$  TFF are is also studied



### Conclusion

- in the light-cone dominated processes, hadron structure is well studied in terms of LCDAs
- a comprehensive studies of  $F_{\pi}(q^2)$  with pQCD calculation and modular dispersion relation
- help to reveal inner structure of pion (moments, iTMD)
- settle down the "fat pion" issue in  $F_{\pi\gamma\gamma^*}$ Belle II,BESIII,JLab and future  $e^+e^-$  colliders



Thank you for your patience.

#### Backup slides Dispersion relations

- Introducing an auxiliary function  $g_{\pi}(q^2)\equiv rac{\ln F_{\pi}(q^2)}{q^2\sqrt{s_0-q^2}}$  [Geshkenbein 1998]
- Cauchy theorem and Schwartz reflection principle

$$g_{\pi}(q^2) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{s_0}^{\infty} ds \frac{\operatorname{Im} g_{\pi}(s)}{s - q^2 - i\epsilon}$$

• At  $s>s_0$  on the real axis, the imaginary part of  $g_\pi$  reads as

$$\operatorname{Im} g_{\pi}(s) = \operatorname{Im} \left[ \frac{\ln(|F_{\pi}(s)|e^{i\delta_{\pi}(s)})}{-is\sqrt{s-s_0}} \right] = \frac{\ln|F_{\pi}(s)|}{s\sqrt{s-s_0}},$$

- Substituting  $g_\pi(q^2)$  and  ${
m Im}\,g_\pi(s)$  into the dispersion relation, for  $q^2 < s_0$ 

$$\frac{\ln F_{\pi}(q^2)}{q^2 \sqrt{s_0 - q^2}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{s_0}^{\infty} \frac{ds \ln |F_{\pi}(s)|^2}{s \sqrt{s - s_0} (s - q^2)}$$

The modulus representation [SC, Khodjamirian, Rosov 2007.05550]

$$F_{\pi}(q^{2} < s_{0}) = \exp\left[\frac{q^{2}\sqrt{s_{0}-q^{2}}}{2\pi}\int_{s_{0}}^{\infty}\frac{ds\ln|F_{\pi}(s)|^{2}}{s\sqrt{s-s_{0}}(s-q^{2})}\right]$$

## Backup slides Pion PDF, TMD, GPD



One dimension PDF

 $\Delta f_i(\zeta) = \int \frac{dz^-}{4\pi} e^{-i\zeta P^+ z^-} \langle \pi | \bar{\psi}_i(0, z^-, 0_T) \gamma^+ \psi_i(0) | \pi \rangle$ 

 $\Delta \zeta = \frac{k^+}{P^+}$ , the parton momentum fraction

 $\triangle$  Transversal momentum distributions (TMD)  $f(\zeta, k_T)$ 

 $\triangle$  Generalized parton distributions (GPD)  $f(\zeta, b_T)$ 



Extracted from fixed target  $\pi A$  data



Deeply virtuality meson production

- △ TDIS at 12GeV JLab, leading proton observable, fixed target instead of collider (HERA);
- △ EIC, EIcC, great integrated luminosity to reduce the systematics uncertainties;
- $\triangle$  COMPASS++/AMBER give  $\pi$ -induced DY data.