



**26th** International  
Symposium on Spin Physics  
A Century of Spin



# Measurement of transverse polarization of $\Lambda(\bar{\Lambda})$ within jet in $pp$ collisions at 200 GeV

Taoya Gao(高涛亚)


Shandong University  
For the STAR Collaboration  
Sep. 24, 2025





# $\Lambda$ spontaneous polarization puzzle

*G.Bunce et al. PRL 36, 1113 (1976)*

- Large transverse polarization of  $\Lambda$  hyperon in unpolarized hadron scatterings, along the normal to the production plane, first observed in 1976
- $\Lambda$  polarization was sensitive to  $p_T$  and  $x_F$ , almost no energy dependence
- $\bar{\Lambda}$  polarization was consistent with 0




*A.D. Panagiotou, Int.J.Mod.Phys.A 5, 1197 (1990)*



# Possible sources


Initial state



*Boer and Mulders, PRD 57, 5780 (1998)*

- Boer-Mulders function
- Describing a polarized parton in an unpolarized proton


Hard scattering



*Kane, Pumplin & Repko, PRL 41, 1689 (1978)*

- pQCD calculation predicted  $\sim 0$  polarization from hard-scattering

Final state



*Mulders, Tangerman, Nucl. Phys. B 461, 197 (1996)*

- Polarizing fragmentation function (PFF)
- Describing transverse polarized hadrons from unpolarized quark

(Focus of this talk)

# Polarizing fragmentation function

TMD FF  
Quark polarization

	U	L	T
U	$D_1$		$H_1^\perp$
L		$G_1$	$H_{1L}^\perp$
T	$D_{1T}^\perp$	$G_{1T}^\perp$	$H_1, H_{1T}^\perp$


Haddon polarization

↓

- **Polarizing Fragmentation Function (PFF)**

- ✓ Describing fragmentation of unpolarized quarks into polarized hadrons

$$\vec{S} = \frac{\vec{p}_{\text{jet}} \times \vec{p}_\Lambda}{|\vec{p}_{\text{jet}} \times \vec{p}_\Lambda|}$$



- **Experimental test**


- ✓ Measuring  $\Lambda$  polarization within a jet in different processes, such as:  $e^+e^-$ ,  $pp$ ,  $ep$

Boer et al, PLB 671, 91-98 (2008)

Kang, Lee, Zhao, PLB 809, 135756 (2020)

# $\Lambda$ polarization in $e^+e^-$ annihilation

- At LEP ( $\sqrt{s} = 90$  GeV)
  - ALEPH  $P_T^{\Lambda, \bar{\Lambda}} = 0.016 \pm 0.007$   
ALEPH, PLB 374, 319 (1996)
  - OPAL  $P_T^{\Lambda} = 0.019 \pm 0.014$  ( $p_T > 0.3$  GeV/c)  
OPAL, EPJC 2, 49 (1998)
- At Belle ( $\sqrt{s} = 10.6$  GeV)
  - Significant polarization with  $z$  dependence
  - Using  $\pi, K$  mesons tag quark flavor
- Extraction of polarizing Fragmentation Function (PFF)
  - Callos, Kang, Terry, PRD 102, 096007 (2020)
  - D'Alesio, Murgia, Zaccheddu, PRD 102, 054001 (2020)
  - Chen, Liang, Pan, Song, Wei, PLB 816, 136217 (2021)
- Gluon PFF are not constrained by  $e^+e^-$  data



# What can we do at RHIC?

- Polarizing Fragmentation Function (PFF) can be accessed by transverse polarization of  $\Lambda$ -in-jet in pp collision

*Boer et al, PLB 671, 91-98 (2008)*

- ✓ Cover a wide range of jet  $p_T$ : 5~50 GeV/c
- ✓ Constraints for gluon PFF
- ✓ Test universality of PFF

- $\Lambda$  polarization can be measured through angular distribution of its daughter particle



$$\frac{dN}{d \cos \theta^*} \propto A(\cos \theta^*) (1 + \alpha P \cos \theta^*)$$

$A(\cos \theta^*)$ : acceptance correction function

$\alpha_\Lambda = 0.747 \pm 0.009$ ,  $\alpha_{\bar{\Lambda}} = -0.757 \pm 0.004$


$P$ :  $\Lambda$  polarization

$\theta^*$ : angle between  $p$  and spin direction in the  $\Lambda$  rest frame




# Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC)

The world's first and only polarized proton collider



# Solenoidal Tracker At RHIC(STAR)



- Datasets:  $pp$  collision at  $\sqrt{s} = 200$  GeV with integrated luminosity  $\sim 133 \text{ pb}^{-1}$
- Hard scattering events were selected by the EMC-based jet triggers




# $\Lambda$ and jet reconstruction

- $\Lambda$  reconstruction:

- ✓  $\Lambda \rightarrow p + \pi^-$  ;  $\bar{\Lambda} \rightarrow \bar{p} + \pi^+$
- ✓ Track reconstruction and particle identification by TPC
- ✓ Topological criteria

- Jet reconstruction


- ✓ Anti- $k_T$  with  $R = 0.6$
- ✓ Reconstructed  $\Lambda, \bar{\Lambda}$  as inputs
- ✓ Including tracks and tower energies



# Acceptance correction



## Mixed events

- Mixed  $\Lambda$  with jet from different event
- Difference of  $V_z$ :  $|\Delta V_z| \leq 5$  cm
- The same trigger




## Acceptance correction

- Reweighting 3D distribution of  $\Delta\eta, \Delta\phi$  between  $\Lambda$  and jet, and  $\eta_{\text{jet}}$
- Correction  $\frac{N(\cos\theta^*)_{\text{Data}}}{N(\cos\theta^*)_{\text{Mixed}}}$



## Polarization extraction

$$\frac{dN}{d \cos \theta^*} \propto (1 + \alpha P \cos \theta^*)$$



# Systematic uncertainties



- Trigger bias: jet-patch trigger influence the flavor fraction of jet

$$✓ \left| \frac{f_{\text{nobias}} - f_{\text{trigger}}}{f_{\text{nobias}}} \right| \times \max(|P_{\Lambda}|, \sigma_{\Lambda})$$

$f_{\text{nobias}}$ : quark fraction without trigger

$f_{\text{trigger}}$ : quark fraction with trigger

$P_{\Lambda}$ : extracted polarization

$\sigma_{\Lambda}$ : statistical uncertainties

- Mixed events method
- Background estimation by varying side-band selection window
- Uncertainties of decay parameter:


$$✓ a_{\Lambda} = 0.747 \pm 0.009$$

$$✓ a_{\bar{\Lambda}} = -0.757 \pm 0.004$$

*P. A. Zyla et al. PRD 110, 030001 (2024)*

# Results as function of jet $p_T$

- First measurement of  $\Lambda$  polarization in jet in  $pp$  collisions
  - Clear jet  $p_T$  dependence of  $\Lambda$  polarization, with indication of the sign change from low to high jet  $p_T$
  - $\bar{\Lambda}$  polarization mostly remains negative
  - Mean polarizations
    - $P_\Lambda = 0.24 \pm 0.19(stat.) \pm 0.09(sys.)[\%]$
    - $P_{\bar{\Lambda}} = -0.77 \pm 0.20(stat.) \pm 0.09(sys.)[\%]$
  - Jet  $p_T$  dependence could be related to relative contributions from different parton flavor
  - Provide first constraints on gluon PFF




Fitted slopes

$$\Lambda: 0.303 \pm 0.067 \quad \bar{\Lambda}: -0.067 \pm 0.075$$

# Results as function of $z$

STAR, arXiv:2509.17487



## DGMZ model:

D'Alesio, Gamberg, Murgia, Zaccheddu,  
*Phys. Lett. B* 851 (2024) 138552

Scenario 1: Different PFF for u, d, s  
 and their antiquarks

Scenario 2: Same as in Sc. 1 including  
 charm in unpolarized x-section


Scenario 3: Including  $SU(2)$  isospin  
 symmetry based on Sc. 2

$z$ : jet longitudinal momentum fraction  
 carried by  $\Lambda$

- Provide the first experimental constraints for PFF from  $pp$  collisions
- Possible  $z$  dependences are observed at different jet  $p_T$  ranges
- Good agreement between data and model at low jet  $p_T$  range

# Results as function of $j_T$

STAR, arXiv:2509.17487



## DGMZ model:

D'Alesio, Gamberg, Murgia, Zaccheddu,  
*Phys. Lett. B* 851 (2024) 138552

Scenario 1: Different PFF for u, d, s and their antiquarks

Scenario 2: Same as in Sc. 1 including charm in unpolarized x-section

Scenario 3: Including  $SU(2)$  isospin symmetry based on Sc. 2

$j_T$ : transverse momentum of  $\Lambda$  relative to jet

- Provide the first experimental constraints for PFF from  $pp$  collisions
- Possible  $z$  dependences are observed at different jet  $p_T$  ranges
- Good agreement between data and model at low jet  $p_T$  range
- No significant dependence on  $j_T$  at all jet  $p_T$  ranges
- Opposite sign of  $\Lambda$  and  $\bar{\Lambda}$  polarization at high jet  $p_T$

$$j_T = \frac{p_{\Lambda} \times p_{\text{jet}}}{|p_{\text{jet}}|}$$

# Summary


- First measurement of transverse polarization of  $\Lambda$ -in-jet in pp collisions at STAR.
- Clear jet  $p_T$  dependence of  $\Lambda$  polarization
- Indication of non-zero  $\bar{\Lambda}$  polarization and opposite sign to the  $\Lambda$  at large jet  $p_T$  range
- New results provide first constraints for gluon PFF
- Test universality for PFF at different processes

## Outlook:

- 510 GeV data will extend jet  $p_T$  coverage and provide constraints for TMD evolution effects (see Jinhao's talk)
- Larger sample at 200 GeV pp collisions recorded in 2024 ( $\sim 170 \text{ pb}^{-1}$ ) will provide more constraints

# Backup

# Results as function of $z$



## DGMZ model:

*D'Alesio, Gamberg, Murgia, Zaccheddu,  
 Phys. Lett. B 851 (2024) 138552*


Scenario 1: Different pFFs for u, d, s and their antiquarks

Scenario 2: Same as in Sc. 1 including charm in unpolarized x-section

Scenario 3: Including  $SU(2)$  isospin symmetry based on Sc. 2

- Possible  $z$  dependences are observed at different jet  $p_T$  ranges
- Comparable distribution between data and model at low and medium jet  $p_T$
- Large discrepancies between model calculation and data at large jet  $p_T$

# Results as function of $j_T$



## DGMZ model:

*D'Alesio, Gamberg, Murgia, Zaccheddu, Phys. Lett. B 851 (2024) 138552*

Scenario 1: Different pFFs for u, d, s and their antiquarks

Scenario 2: Same as in Sc. 1 including charm in unpolarized x-section

Scenario 3: Including  $SU(2)$  isospin symmetry based on Sce. 2

- No significant dependence on  $j_T$  at all jet  $p_T$  ranges
- Opposite sign of  $\Lambda$  and  $\bar{\Lambda}$  polarization at high jet  $p_T$
- Large discrepancies between theoretical lines and data at large jet  $p_T$