$$\frac{(k_{1}k_{2})}{(k_{2}-k_{2})} = \frac{(k_{1}k_{2})}{(k_{2}-k_{2})} = \frac{(k_{2}k_{2})}{(k_{2}-k_{2})} = \frac{(k_{2}k_{2})}{(k_{2}-k_{2})}$$

$$\begin{split} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{1,m}(\varepsilon) &= h_{10} \sum_{n} |\varepsilon_{2} \sum_{n}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \chi_{n_{1}}(\varepsilon_{i}) \sum_{n}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \chi_{n_{2}}(\varepsilon_{i}) \\ &= h_{10} \sum_{n} |\varepsilon_{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \chi_{n_{1}}(\varepsilon_{i}) \sum_{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \chi_{n_{2}}(\varepsilon_{i}) \\ &= h_{10} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \chi_{n_{2}}(\varepsilon_{i}) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \chi_{n_{2}}(\varepsilon_{i}) \\ &= h_{10} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{$$

$$\begin{split} \hat{\Theta}_{1}(2) & \text{is grays investions. It is simplex to collulate in the Alphe-cut is for any investigate in the alpha is the second to 1. \\ \hat{\Theta}_{1}(2) & \underline{\Box}_{1}(2) & \underline{S}_{1}(2) &$$

D Note when the guarde is soft  $(\rangle, \rangle, \rangle$ 

$$(+ S = (1, N, N) + (N, N, N) = (1, N, N) = hc$$

$$T$$

$$C$$

$$(1, N, N) + (N, N, N) = (1, N, N) = hc$$

$$T$$

$$C$$

$$(1, N, N) = hc$$

$$Vitiality ~ N N N' !$$

$$C$$

$$Vitiality ~ N N' !$$

$$(1, 1, 1) interaction is forbidden, sind  $1, 2 \times N$  is power suppressed.  
At Lp, this interaction is forbidden, sind  $1, 2 \times N$  is power suppressed.  
At Mp, It is allowed, and is a common feature at Mp, through the following interaction insertion :  

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{n}(N) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{(N)}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{n}\frac{1}{(N)} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{(N)}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{(N)$$$$

As mantimed previous, 
$$\frac{1}{2k} \sim \lambda \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \sim k^2 \sim \lambda^2$$
,  
we need to integrant out in a to medo, the medoing coefficients are  
the redining jet functions:  

$$\int dx e^{\frac{1}{2}k \cdot x} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \int_{k=1}^{k} \chi_{n}(x) \overline{\chi}_{n}(x) \overline{\chi}_{n}(x) \right\} = \int_{0}^{k} \int_{0}^{k} (0) \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}$$

 $J_{g}(m_{H}e_{i}) = H_{3} \cdot I_{g}(m_{H}e_{i})$  $= H_3 \int_{e^-}^{e^-} \int_{e^-}^{e^-} J_g(m_u l_-) J_g(-m_u l_+) S_g(l_- l_+)$ Sg(l. l+) 1) Tz & Tz ave both endpoint divergent! Fmk: endpoint { some are regulated in DR the others not : respicity.  $\Theta = \frac{H_1 \langle 0, 7 + 2 H_2 \otimes \langle 0, 7 + H_3 J_3 \otimes J_3 \otimes$ has extra IR poles in E for onshell states (after dealing with endpoint div.) Because external gluons carry color charges. The extra IR poles can be described by  $\frac{Z}{4\delta} = 1 - \frac{v_{s}(t_{v})}{4\kappa} \left[ 2\zeta_{h} \left( \frac{1}{2^{v}} - \frac{L_{u}}{2} \right) \right] + O(v_{s}^{*})$ Zgg Fgg = n is E - finite. Cls

Factorization without Endpoint Div. & Re-factorization

A subtraction procedure based on re-factoritation theorems has been proven to work to all orders in a, in horr & 55 -> h (2003.04456, 2003.06778 and other processes, e.g., 2008, 04943 & 2200, 04479 1 2212.10447) The philosophy is generic for NLP SCET and is the only way compatible with factorization & renormalization right now. Sketch of the procedule:  $F_{gg \rightarrow h} = H_{,\cdot} < 0. >$ endpoint div. + + f / de H,(2) <0,(2)> + H3. Jo de. Jo der Jg (mul-) Jg (-mule) Sg (l-l+) = H. <0.> endpoint vertions + + f de H\_(2) <0\_(2)> - [H\_(2)] [<0\_(2)>] - well-defined in end point region end point region + 4 / 42 [H.(2)] [<0.(2)] + H3 Jo de Jo de Jy (mul-) Jy (-mule) Sy (l-l+) = (H, + OH,) < 0,7 endpoint versions  $\left\{ \begin{array}{c} + 4 \int_{0}^{1} \frac{dz}{dz} \left[ \overline{H}_{2}(z) \langle 0_{1}(z) \rangle - \left[ \overline{H}_{1}(z) \right] \right] \left[ \langle 0_{1}(z) \rangle \right] \right] \xrightarrow{} well-defined in endpoint region endpoint region$ free of end. div hepectively . No need of rapidity regulator + H3 1 de - M de Jg(mul-) Jg(mul+) Sg(l-l+) -> well-defined in end point region end point region The last formulae follows from the facts that 
$$\begin{split} [\overline{H}_{1}(2)] &= -J_{g}(m_{u}l_{-})H_{3} & \text{they are called to fact} \\ & \text{conditions, and have be} \\ [\overline{L}(\widehat{O}_{L}(2)] &= \frac{1}{2}\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{de_{r}}{e_{+}}J_{g}(-m_{u}l_{+})S_{g}(l_{-}l_{+}) & \text{see 1003, 06778} \\ \end{split}$$
they are called re. fact. conditions, and have been ~ q [ Hz ] @ [KO2(1)] = -2 H, J, H, de. J de, Jg(-mule) Jg(mul-) Sg(P-l+)



Renormalization & ADs of Soft-guark Functions

Soft-quark functions are essential ingredients in factorization formulae at NLP.

Goal & Outline:

my

This chapter devotes to renormalization and ADs of soft-gnurk funcs.

we initiate with h->rr (b-quark loop) as a warm-up; Then we move to gg -> h (b-quark loop), which has a new feature; Afterwards, we elaborate on the DY case, which is at Xs level.

1.  $h \rightarrow rr$  ( light - quark induced)

recall the factorization :



The soft function Sp(l-le) is the Fourier trans, of the vacuum matrix element of the soft operator Op(s,t):

$$O_{r}(s, t) = \hat{T} \left\{ \frac{\mathbf{q}_{(tn-1)}}{\mathbf{q}_{n-1}(t)} Y_{n-1}^{\dagger}(o) \frac{\mathbf{w}_{n+1}}{\mathbf{q}_{n+1}(o)} Y_{n+1}^{\dagger}(s) \frac{\mathbf{q}_{(sn_{t})}}{\mathbf{q}_{(sn_{t})}} \right\}$$

tmk: i) It is more natural and general to work at operator level, instead of matrix element.

ii) It is technically easier to work directly in the position space,

Yn.(t) Yn.(o) & Yn.(t) Yn.(o) can be combined as two finite - length Wilson lines, such that no IR div. exist! u.u. Kuu = X U.U.

$$[u_1 n_1, u_2 n_2] = Y_{n_1}(u_1) Y_{n_2}^{\dagger}(u_2) = \hat{p} \exp\left[i \frac{1}{2} T^a \int_{u_2}^{u_1} d\lambda n_2 \cdot A^a (\lambda n_2)\right]$$

$$O_{\gamma}(s,t) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \{ \frac{1}{2}(t_{n-i}) [t_{n-i}, o] \frac{1}{4} [0, s_{n-i}] \frac{1}{2}(s_{n-i}) \}$$

+n.



$$\begin{split} & (\operatorname{comp} \operatorname{commitmetine} \\ & \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{k}} = -\operatorname{comp} \left( \operatorname{commitmetine} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{comm} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{der} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{com} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{der} \left\{ \frac{1$$

Then 
$$\frac{d}{dk_{+}} \widehat{O}(i,\omega);\mu) = \int [d\omega'] \left[ \frac{d}{dk_{+}} \mathbb{Z}(i\omega', i\omega') \right] \widehat{O}_{ku+\epsilon}(i\omega')$$
  

$$= \int [d\omega'] \left[ \frac{d}{dk_{+}} \mathbb{Z}(i\omega', i\omega') \right] \int [d\omega''] \mathbb{Z}^{-1}(i\omega', i\omega') \widehat{O}(i\omega'');\mu)$$

$$= -\int [d\omega''] \left[ -\int [d\omega''] \left( \frac{d}{dk_{+}} \mathbb{Z}(i\omega', i\omega') \right) \mathbb{Z}^{-1}(i\omega', i\omega') \Big] \widehat{O}(i\omega'');\mu)$$

$$i.e., \qquad \gamma = -\left( \frac{d}{dk_{+}} \mathbb{Z}(0) \mathbb{Z}^{-1} \qquad (*) \right)$$

$$i.e., \qquad \gamma = -\left( \frac{d}{dk_{+}} \mathbb{Z}(0) \mathbb{Z}^{-1} \qquad (*) \right)$$

$$I_{k} is \mathbb{E} \quad \{iuie + because \quad \frac{d}{dk_{+}} = \frac{2}{2k_{+}} + \frac{d\omega'(\mu)}{dk_{+}} - \frac{d}{dk_{+}\mu'} \quad dk(\mu)$$

$$I_{k} is \mathbb{E} \quad \{iuie + because \quad \frac{d}{dk_{+}} = \frac{2}{2k_{+}} + \frac{d\omega'(\mu)}{dk_{+}} - \frac{d}{dk_{+}\mu'} \quad dk(\mu)$$

$$I_{k} is \mathbb{E} \quad \{iuie + because \quad \frac{d}{dk_{+}} = \frac{2}{2k_{+}} + \frac{d\omega'(\mu)}{dk_{+}} - \frac{d}{dk_{+}\mu'} \quad dk(\mu)$$

$$I_{k} is \mathbb{E} \quad \{iuie + because \quad \frac{d}{dk_{+}} = \frac{2}{2k_{+}} + \frac{d\omega'(\mu)}{dk_{+}} - \frac{d}{dk_{+}\mu'} - \frac{d}{dk_{+}\mu'} \quad dk(\mu)$$

$$I_{k} is \mathbb{E} \quad \{iuie + because \quad \frac{d}{dk_{+}} = \frac{2}{2k_{+}} + \frac{d\omega'(\mu)}{dk_{+}} - \frac{d}{dk_{+}\mu'} - \frac{d}$$

Then is follows :  

$$\frac{det}{det} S_{\mu}(w_{1}(y) = -\int_{y}^{y} dw' Y_{\mu}(w, w') S_{\mu}(w', \mu)$$
with  $Y_{\mu}(w_{\mu}(y) = -\frac{d}{dy} \frac{dy}{dy} \left[ (L_{\mu} \frac{dy}{dy} + \frac{1}{2}) S(w-w') + 2w T(w, w') \right] + O(Y')$ 

$$\frac{dw'(w')}{dy} = \left[ \frac{\partial (w-w')}{\partial (w-w')} + \frac{\partial (w'-w')}{\partial (w'-w')} \right]_{\mu} + LN + erver$$
in LCOA
$$Y_{\mu}(w_{\mu}(y) = -\int_{y}^{y} S(w_{\mu}(y) - \frac{dw'(w')}{\partial (w'-w')} + \frac{\partial (w'-w')}{\partial (w'-w')} \right]_{\mu} + LN + erver$$
Frecan the factori section
$$\frac{dw'(w',w')}{dw'} = \frac{dw'(w',w')}{dw'(w',w')} + \frac{dw'(w'-w')}{dw'(w'-w')} + \frac{dw'(w'-w')$$



We focus on the second row: plots (a) - (d) now.

How IR divergence develops in appendix of semi-infinite Withou diver?  
We plot (b) as an example. We stick with and definition of y.  
If (maive) = 200 the g 
$$\frac{6}{2}$$
  $\frac{6}{2}$   $\frac{6}{2}$   $\frac{1}{2}$   $\frac{1}{2}$ 

$$\frac{i}{n-\epsilon+i0^{\circ}} \leftrightarrow \beta \exp[i_{k}T^{\circ}]_{,w}^{\circ} + k^{(10)} R_{k}^{\circ} A^{(10)} R_{k}^{\circ} R_{k}^{\circ} A^{(10)} R_{k}^{\circ} A^{(10)} R_{k}^{\circ} R_{k}^{\circ} A^{(10)} R_{k}^{\circ} R_{k}^{$$

Then < k1 /X h (0> 10> ~ E1 to all orders of do, since k= =0 and loop corrections and scaless. In atla, 10497, two external gluous are unshell; ki = k2 = 0. Bue as mentioned, Sz-regulators in WLs are dictated by off-shellness of external particles ( gluous in the current case), We should consider the factorization in the context of Small off-shellness. In this context, the fastorization reads (k)  $M_{33 \rightarrow h} \supseteq H_3 \cdot \left[ J_3(M_h L) J_3(-M_h L_h) \right] \otimes S_3^{uns} (q.q.) \langle A_3(h^2) \rangle \langle A_3(h^2) \rangle$   $T_3$  O If  $h^2 = h^2 = 0$ , then  $\{\langle A_3(h^2_1) \rangle = 1$  to all orders of  $N_3$ . (ho  $S_2$  begulators)  $T_3$  has IR poles of E in DR. Such IR poles in 2 can be removed by Zgg, where Zgg ferromolized UV poles of SCET gluonic two-jet operator:  $O_{gg} = A_{L,n}^{\mu,\mu} A_{\perp\mu,\mu}^{\mu}$  (0)  $Z_{yg} = 1 - \frac{\alpha_{x}}{4\pi} \left[ 2 \zeta_{4} \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} - \frac{1}{5} l_{u} - \frac{h_{u}^{2}}{h^{2}} \right) \right] + O(v_{s}^{2})$ ⊙ If kito, then file amplitude T3 has NO IR poles in E! ( ki to propagates as by in WLs of (Ag(ki')) = 1 any more, but rather: -0<mark>4 ) !</mark>  $\langle A_{y}(k) \rangle = 1 + \frac{2}{2k} C_{A} \left[ \frac{2}{2k} - \frac{2}{2} l_{u} - \frac{k^{2}}{4} \right] + O(\alpha_{s}^{2}).$ Now we rewrite as  $T_{3} = H_{3}[J_{3} J_{3}] \otimes \frac{S_{3}^{uns}}{R_{4}R_{-}} \left[ \langle A_{3}(k_{1}^{2}) \rangle R_{4} \right] \left[ \langle A_{3}(k_{2}^{1}) \rangle \right]$   $= H_{3}[J_{3} J_{5}] \otimes S_{3} \left[ \langle A_{3}(k_{1}^{2}) \rangle R_{4} \right] \left[ \langle A_{3}(k_{2}^{1}) \rangle \right]$ 299. One can head the formulae either in on-shell or off-shell cases,

## 3. Soft - Quark Function in Drell- Yan.

In the above two cases, we focus on amplitude - level soft functions ONLP. Now we turn the benchmark process, DY, whose soft function is at cross-section level. Besides, we will find some universality. In this section, we focus on the gg-channel of DY, which is NLP. Soft quark (allinour 2 wath  $\Delta_{\overline{S}\overline{S}\overline{S}}^{NLp}(z) = 2H(Q^{1})\int \frac{dw_{1}}{w_{1}}\int \frac{dw_{2}}{w_{2}}J_{\overline{S}}(w_{1})J_{\overline{S}}^{NLp}(w_{2})u_{1},w_{2})$ callinour gluon The soft function Sign is defined as three-fold Fourier trans. of the soft operator :  $O_{S_{1}}^{NLP}(x_{0}; \{s\}) = \frac{S_{1}^{L}}{N_{c_{1}}} \cdot T_{r_{2}} \stackrel{\widehat{T}}{=} \left[ \left( \overline{I}_{s} Y_{n_{-}} \right) (x_{0} + S_{2} n_{-}) T^{q} Y_{n_{-}}^{\dagger} (x_{0}) Y_{n_{+}} (x_{0}) \right] \frac{\mu_{c}}{4}$ τ Yn+ (0) Yn- (0) Ta [Yn+ 2](S, n-) nns.  $= \frac{9^{2}}{N_{c}C_{F}} \cdot \operatorname{Tr}_{c} \widehat{T} \left[ \frac{9}{1} (x_{0} + s_{2}n_{-}) (\mathcal{Y}^{+})_{n_{a}}^{\alpha c} (x_{0} + s_{2}n_{-}) T^{c} [\mathcal{X}_{0} + s_{2}n_{-}, \mathcal{X}_{0}] \mathcal{Y}_{n_{a}}^{(x_{0})} \right]$ -\overline{w\_{a}} + \frac{\sqrt{4}}{4} \widehat{T} \left[ \mathcal{Y}\_{n\_{a}}^{\dagger}(o) [v\_{0}, s\_{0}n\_{-}] T^{d} \mathcal{Y}\_{n\_{a}}^{d\alpha} (s\_{0}n\_{-}) 9\_{s}(s\_{0}n\_{-}) \right]  $S_{3\bar{1}}^{mp}(...) = \langle 0 | O_{3\bar{1}}^{mp}(...) \langle 0 \rangle$   $x_{o} = L0:$   $S_{2}^{mp} = \frac{\alpha_{i}}{4\pi} e^{CN} T(2-L) h^{uL} \left[ i \left( \frac{x}{L} + S_{1} - S_{1} - i \right) \right]^{-2+E} \left[ i \frac{x}{L} \right]^{-1+E}$ Exercise : i) derive this j Due to semi-infinite WLs, there are IR divergences in 2 if without extra IR regulator ! We adopt the same S-regulator, which is related to off-shellness; Unlike the gg -> he case, there are both virtual & how corrections.







-00N

-09 M\_

-00N

- 09 4.

-00N

- 29 4.

$$I_{ms}^{(\delta_2)} = I_{ms,vire}^{(\delta_2)} = \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi\epsilon} \left[ (c_{\overline{r}} - \frac{1}{2}c_{\overline{r}}) \int_{0}^{1} d\alpha \left( \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \right)_{\overline{r}} \left[ O_{\overline{g}\overline{g}}^{-1} (x_{\sigma_1}\sigma_{\varepsilon_1} + O_{\overline{g}\overline{g}}^{-1} (x_{\sigma_1}\sigma_{\varepsilon_1} - \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\partial c_{\varepsilon_1} \partial c_{\varepsilon_1}}{\beta \epsilon_1} + \lambda \right] + \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi\epsilon} \left[ C_{\overline{r}} \left[ Pn \left( \frac{h^{1}e^{1/k} s_{1}s_{0}}{2} - 2 h \frac{h^{1}}{(\delta_{\overline{r}})^{4}} - h \frac{\partial c_{\varepsilon_{\overline{r}}} \partial c_{\varepsilon_{\overline{r}}}}{h^{1}} + \lambda \right] \right] - C_{\overline{f}} \left[ \frac{4}{\epsilon} + 2 h \frac{h^{1}}{(\delta_{\overline{r}})^{4}} + 2 h \left( \frac{h^{1}e^{1/k} s_{1}s_{2}}{1} \right) \right] O_{\overline{g}\overline{g}}^{hup} (x_{\sigma_{1}}s_{1}s_{1}) \right] no x_{\sigma} dependence, hence no s_{\overline{r}} - dependence + O(4t^{1}) (\alpha_{\overline{r}} + he moment)$$

$$\begin{split} & \bigcup_{\substack{i=1\\j \in I}} \bigcup_{\substack{i=1\\j \in I}} (y_{i},y_{i},y_{i}) := \frac{\bigcup_{\substack{i=1\\j \in I}} (y_{i},y_{i},y_{i})}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_{i}) \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_{i}) \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_{i})} \\ & = \sum_{\substack{i=1\\j \in I}} (y_{i},y_{i},y_{i}) := \sum_{\substack{i=1\\j \in I}} (y_{i}) \sum_{\substack{i=1\\j$$

Then we can read off the AD in positive space directly from the above :  

$$\frac{d}{det} O_{gf}(x_{1}(s);h) = - Y_{gf}^{an}(O (Y_{gf}^{anf}(x_{1}(s);h))$$
with  $Y_{gf}^{ang}(O (Y_{gf}^{anf}(x_{1}(s);h)) = - Y_{gf}^{ang}(O (Y_{gf}^{anf}(x_{1}(s);h)))$ 

$$+ 4t(q - 1q) \int_{1}^{1} de((x_{1}) \int_{1}^{1} O (Y_{gf}^{anf}(x_{1},s);h) + O (Y_{gf}^{anf}(x_{1},s);h))$$

$$+ 4t(q - 1q) \int_{1}^{1} de((x_{1}) \int_{1}^{1} O (Y_{gf}^{anf}(x_{1},s);h) + O (Y_{gf}^{anf}(x_{1},s);h))$$

$$+ 2t(q - 1q) \int_{1}^{1} de((x_{1}) \int_{1}^{1} O (Y_{gf}^{anf}(x_{1},s);h) + O (Y_{gf}^{anf}(x_{1},s);h))$$

$$+ 2t(q - 1q) \int_{1}^{1} de((x_{1}) \int_{1}^{1} O (Y_{gf}^{anf}(x_{1},s);h) + O (Y_{gf}^{anf}(x_{1},s);h))$$

$$+ 2t(q - 1q) \int_{1}^{1} de((x_{1}) \int_{1}^{1} O (Y_{gf}^{anf}(x_{1},s);h)) + O (Y_{gf}^{anf}(x_{1},s);h))$$

$$+ 2t(q - 1q) \int_{1}^{1} de((x_{1}) \int_{1}^{1} O (X_{1}) \int_{1}^{1} de((x_{1},s);h))$$

$$+ 2t(q - 1q) \int_{1}^{1} de((x_{1}) \int_{1}^{1} de((x_{1}) \int_{1}^{1} de((x_{1},s);h)) + 2t(q - 1q) \int_{1}^{1} de((x_{1},s);h))$$

$$+ 2t(q - 1q) \int_{1}^{1} de((x_{1}) \int_{1}^{1} de((x_{1}) \int_{1}^{1} de((x_{1},s);h)) + 2t(q - 1q) \int_{1}^{1} de((x_{1},s);h))$$

$$+ 2t(q - 1q) \int_{1}^{1} de((x_{1}) \int_{1}^{1} de((x_{1}) \int_{1}^{1} de((x_{1},s);h)) + 2t(q - 1q) \int_{1}^{1} de((x_{1},s);h)) + 2t(q - 1q) \int_{1}^{1} de((x_{1},s);h) \int_{1}^{1} de((x_{1},s);h)) = \frac{de}{de} \int_{1}^{1} de((x_{1},s);h) \int_{1}^{1} de((x_{1},s);h) \int_{1}^{1} de((x_{1},s);h) \int_{1}^{1} de((x_{1},s);h)) + 2t(q - 1q) \int_{1}^{1} de((x_{1},s);h) \int_{1}^{1} de((x_{1},s);h)) \int_{1}^{1} de((x_{1},s);h) \int_{1}^{1} de((x_{1},s);h)$$

H, Jg are matching coefficients, which are irrelevant for IR te arrangement. The point is Agg in fact has extra IR poles, which cancel exactly with the PDFs! This is similar as gg > h case. We denote those IR poles as ZppF  $H[J_{g}J_{g}^{*}] = \frac{S_{g\bar{g}}^{(S_{L})}}{S_{\pi}^{+}S_{\pi}^{-}} = S_{\pi}^{+}Z_{X}^{+} = S_{\pi}^{-}Z_{A}^{-}$ =  $H[J_{3}J_{3}^{*}] = S_{3\overline{3}} \cdot Z_{PF}^{3\overline{1}}$ AD of Soft-Quark Functions: A Glimpice of Hiddon Structures 1. We have seen the operator "double copy" briefly between Or & the LCDA Here we establish the relation between ADs more precisely.  $\mathcal{T}_{2}(s,t) = \mathcal{T}_{1}(s) + \mathcal{T}_{2}(t)$ , with t = r or g. Note that at one loop explicitly.

 · · ·