CP violation at LHCb

Peilian Li (李佩莲)
on behalf of the LHCb collaboration
University of Chinese Academy of Sciences

第十届中国LHC物理会议 (CLHCP2024)

2024.11.15, Qingdao

Outline

- Introduction
- Recent results for
  - CKM angle γ and $\beta_{(s)}$
  - Direct CPV in B meson
  - CPV in Baryons
  - CPV in Charm (back up)
- Summary

Disclaimer: many new and interesting results, only a few selected
More details in parallel sessions and public page

Matter and anti-matter asymmetry

Rev. Mod. Phys. 88(2016)015004

arXiv: 1801.10059



PLB667 (2008) 1

- Large matter-antimatter imbalance in the Universe

$(n_B - n_{\bar{B}})/n_\gamma \sim 6\times10^{-10}$

- CP violation in SM ~ 10^{-17}, not enough!
- Extra sources of CP violation necessitated

CKM matrix

$$V_{CKM} = \begin{pmatrix} |V_{ud}| & |V_{us}| & |V_{ub}|e^{-i\gamma} \\ -|V_{cd}| & |V_{cs}| & |V_{cb}| \\ |V_{td}|e^{-i\beta} & -|V_{ts}|e^{i\beta_s} & |V_{tb}| \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^5) \sim$$







- Key test of the SM: Verify unitarity of CKM matrix
- Only source of CP violation in SM

$$V_{ud}V_{ub}^* + V_{cd}V_{cb}^* + V_{td}V_{tb}^* = 0$$

Indirect search for New Physics

- Sensitivity to New Physics scale much higher than direct search: 1~ 10^4 GeV

$$\mathcal{A}(\psi_i \to \psi_j + X) = \mathcal{A}_0 \left(\frac{c_{\mathrm{SM}}}{v^2} + \frac{c_{\mathrm{NP}}}{\Lambda^2} \right)$$

NP scale: Λ





- Statistics or precision essential for flavour physics
  - NP scale, i.e. Dim = 6, proportional to $\sqrt[4]{\text{statisitics}}$
- Would tell not only if there is NP but also which flavour it couples to

Types of CP Violation

- CP-violating nature of weak interaction has multiple manifestations
- Requires two interfering amplitudes with different strong and weak phases



credit: Wenbin Qian

Types of CP Violation

- CP-violating nature of weak interaction has multiple manifestations
- Requires two interfering amplitudes with different strong and weak phases







credit: Wenbin Qian



We continue to discover new types of CP violation

PRL124 (2020) 031801

PRD101 (2020) 012006

CKM angel γ

- Relative weak phase γ in interference between $b \to c\bar{u}s$ and $b \to u\bar{c}s$ transition
- Measured with tree-level decays, theoretically clean observable ($\delta\gamma/\gamma \sim 10^{-7}$)

$$\boxed{\gamma = \arg\left(-\frac{V_{ud}V_{ub}^*}{V_{cd}V_{cb}^*}\right)}$$



CKM angel γ

- Relative weak phase γ in interference between $b \to c\bar{u}s$ and $b \to u\bar{c}s$ transition
- Measured with tree-level decays, theoretically clean observable ($\delta\gamma/\gamma \sim 10^{-7}$)

$$\boxed{\gamma = \arg\left(-\frac{V_{ud}V_{ub}^*}{V_{cd}V_{cb}^*}\right)}$$



CKM angel γ

- Relative weak phase γ in interference between $b \to c\bar{u}s$ and $b \to u\bar{c}s$ transition
- Measured with tree-level decays, theoretically clean observable ($\delta\gamma/\gamma \sim 10^{-7}$)

$$\boxed{\gamma = \arg\left(-\frac{V_{ud}V_{ub}^*}{V_{cd}V_{cb}^*}\right)}$$



Latest γ combination

LHCb-CONF-2024-004

- **19** LHCb B decay measurements + **11** D decay measurements + 27 external inputs
- **29** physics parameters of interest + additional nuisance parameters



$\gamma = (64.6 \pm 2.8)^{\circ}$

- Surpass LHCb design: 4°
- Consistent with SM predictions $(65.5^{+0.09}_{-2.65})^{\circ}$

γ measurement in $B^+ \to DK^{*+}(\to K^0_S\pi^+)$

LHCb-PAPER-2024-023
arXiv: 2410.21115

- CP eigenstates $D^0 \to hh, \pi\pi\pi\pi$
- Suppressed decays $D^0 \to K\pi, K\pi\pi\pi$
- Self-conjugated multi-body decays $D^0 \to K^0_S hh$
- Inputs for $D^0 \to K^0_S hh, \pi\pi\pi\pi$ from BESIII

  [PRD106.092004, PRD82(2010)112006, PRD102(2020)]



$\gamma = (63 \pm 13)^\circ$

First observation of $B^+ \to [D^0]_{\pi K, \pi K\pi\pi} K^{*+}$





γ measurement in $B_s^0 \to D_s^{\mp} K^{\pm}$

LHCb-PAPER-2024-020
in preparation

- Time-dependent CP violation measurement
- Interference between mixing and decay $\to$ relative phase difference of $\gamma - 2\beta_s$







$\gamma = (74 \pm 11)^{\circ}$

CKM angles $\beta_{(s)}$

Phys. Rev. Lett. 132 (2024) 021801
Phys. Rev. Lett. 132 (2024) 051802

- Tree dominated $b \to c\bar{c}s$ transition offers access to the CKM angle $\beta_{(s)}$
- CP violation in the interference between mixing and decays

$$\beta_{(s)} = arg(-\frac{V_{cq}V_{cb}^*}{V_{tq}V_{tb}^*})$$

$$A_{CP}(t) = \frac{\Gamma_{\bar{B}^0_{(s)}\to f}(t) - \Gamma_{B^0_{(s)}\to f}(t)}{\Gamma_{\bar{B}^0_{(s)}\to f}(t) + \Gamma_{B^0_{(s)}\to f}(t)} \propto -D_{\text{tag}} \cdot D_t \cdot \eta_f \cdot \sin 2\beta_{(s)} \cdot \sin(\Delta m_{(s)} t)$$



CKM angles $\beta_{(s)}$

Phys. Rev. Lett. 132 (2024) 021801
Phys. Rev. Lett. 132 (2024) 051802

- Tree dominated $b \to c\bar{c}s$ transition offers access to the CKM angle $\beta_{(s)}$
- CP violation in the interference between mixing and decays

$$\beta_{(s)} = arg(-\frac{V_{cq}V^*_{cb}}{V_{tq}V^*_{tb}})$$

$$A_{CP}(t) = \frac{\Gamma_{\bar{B}^0_{(s)}\to f}(t) - \Gamma_{B^0_{(s)}\to f}(t)}{\Gamma_{\bar{B}^0_{(s)}\to f}(t) + \Gamma_{B^0_{(s)}\to f}(t)} \propto -D_{\text{tag}} \cdot D_t \cdot \eta_f \cdot \sin 2\beta_{(s)} \cdot \sin(\Delta m_{(s)} t)$$



World best measurements!

$$S^{\text{Run 2}}_{\psi K^0_S} = 0.716 \pm 0.013 \pm 0.008$$

$$\phi_s^{c\bar{c}s} = -0.031 \pm 0.018 \text{ rad}$$





$$\phi_s^{CKMFitter} \approx -2\beta_s$$
$$= (-0.0368^{+0.0006}_{-0.0009}) \text{ rad}$$

Direct CPV in $B^+ \to J/\psi\pi^+$

New

LHCb-PAPER-2024-31
in preparation

- O(1%) direct CP violation expected in $B^+ \to J/\psi\pi^+$ [PRD 49 (1994) 5904, PRD 52 (1995) 242]
- Important control channel to understand penguin effects in $\sin 2\beta$ measurement in $B^0 \to J/\psi K^0$

[PRD 79 (2009) 014030, JHEP 03 (2015) 145]

$A_T \propto \lambda^3$ $A_P \propto \lambda^3$



Direct CPV in $B^+ \to J/\psi\pi^+$

New

LHCb-PAPER-2024-31
in preparation

- O(1%) direct CP violation expected in $B^+ \to J/\psi\pi^+$ [PRD 49 (1994) 5904, PRD 52 (1995) 242]
- Important control channel to understand penguin effects in $\sin 2\beta$ measurement in $B^0 \to J/\psi K^0$

[PRD 79 (2009) 014030, JHEP 03 (2015) 145]

$A_T \propto \lambda^3$ $\qquad$ $A_P \propto \lambda^3$



$$\mathcal{R}_{\pi/K} \equiv \frac{\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to J/\psi\pi^+)}{\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to J/\psi K^+)} = \frac{N_\pi}{N_K} \times \frac{\epsilon_K}{\epsilon_\pi}$$

Direct CPV in $B^+ \to J/\psi\pi^+$

New LHCb-PAPER-2024-31
in preparation

First evidence for direct CP violation in beauty decays to charmonium final states (3.2 σ)

$$\Delta A^{CP} = A^{CP}(B^+ \to J/\psi\pi^+) - A^{CP}(B^+ \to J/\psi K^+)$$





- Taking previous LHCb result of $A^{CP}(B^+ \to J/\psi K^+)$ to extract: [Phys. Rev. D 95, 052005 (2017)]

$$A^{CP}(B^+ \to J/\psi\pi^+) = (1.51 \pm 0.50 \pm 0.11)\times 10^{-2}$$

Penguin constraint

New

LHCb-PAPER-2024-31
in preparation

$$A(B^+ \to J/\psi\pi^+) = -\lambda\mathcal{A}(1 + ae^{i\theta}e^{i\gamma}) \quad A(B^+ \to J/\psi K^+) = (1 - \lambda^2/2)\mathcal{A}'(1 + \epsilon a' e^{i\theta'}e^{i\gamma})$$



- SU(3) flavour symmetry: $a = a', \theta = \theta'$
- Constraints on the relative size (a) and strong phase difference (θ) between penguin and tree contributions

See more details in Manshu Li's talk (Thursday afternoon)

CP violation in baryonic decays?

- Baryons crucial for asymmetries in Universe, no CP violation in baryons observed yet
- CPV: b baryons $\mathcal{O}(1-10\%)$, c baryons $\mathcal{O}(0.1\%)$, hyperon $\mathcal{O}(0.001-0.01\%)$

Direct CP violation ($\propto \sin(\Delta\delta_{ST})\sin(\Delta\phi_{EW})$)



Decay parameters asymmetry



Triple-product asymmetry ($\propto \cos(\Delta\delta_{ST})\sin(\Delta\phi_{EW})$)



- Puzzling situation: similar Λ_b^0 production as B^+, huge significance of CPV in B^+, none in Λ_b^0?

Charmless three-body b decays







- Complex CP violation pattern in multi-body B decays, as large as 80%
- Interesting to search for CP violation in $\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda h^+ h'^-$

PRL124 (2020) 031801
PRD101 (2020) 012006

First observation of $\Lambda_b^0(\Xi_b^0) \to \Lambda\pi^+\pi^-(K^-)$

New

[CERN Seminar by W. Qian](#)
LHCb-PAPER-2024-043
in preparation

- Systematic study of $\Lambda_b^0/\Xi_b^0 \to \Lambda h^+ h^{'-}$ with control mode to reduce systematic uncertainty

$$\frac{\mathcal{B}\left(\Lambda_b^0\left(\Xi_b^0\right) \to \Lambda h^+ h'^-\right)}{\mathcal{B}\left(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda_c^+\left(\to \Lambda\pi^+\right)\pi^-\right)} = \frac{N_{\Lambda_b^0\left(\Xi_b^0\right) \to \Lambda h^+ h'^-}}{N_{\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda_c^+(\to \Lambda\pi^+)\pi^-}} \times \frac{\epsilon_{\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda_c^+(\to \Lambda\pi^+)\pi^-}}{\epsilon_{\Lambda_b^0\left(\Xi_b^0\right) \to \Lambda h^+ h'^-}} \times \frac{f_{\Lambda_b^0}}{f_{\Lambda_b^0(\Xi_b^0)}}$$

First observation of $\Lambda_b^0(\Xi_b^0) \to \Lambda\pi^+\pi^-(K^-)$

New

CERN Seminar by W. Qian
LHCb-PAPER-2024-043
in preparation

- Systematic study of $\Lambda_b^0/\Xi_b^0 \to \Lambda h^+ h'^-$ with control mode to reduce systematic uncertainty

$$\frac{\mathcal{B}\left(\Lambda_b^0\left(\Xi_b^0\right)\to \Lambda h^+h'^-\right)}{\mathcal{B}\left(\Lambda_b^0\to \Lambda_c^+\left(\to \Lambda\pi^+\right)\pi^-\right)} = \frac{N_{\Lambda_b^0(\Xi_b^0)\to\Lambda h^+h'^-}}{N_{\Lambda_b^0\to\Lambda_c^+(\to\Lambda\pi^+)\pi^-}} \times \frac{\epsilon_{\Lambda_b^0\to\Lambda_c^+(\to\Lambda\pi^+)\pi^-}}{\epsilon_{\Lambda_b^0(\Xi_b^0)\to\Lambda h^+h'^-}} \times \frac{f_{\Lambda_b^0}}{f_{\Lambda_b^0(\Xi_b^0)}}$$

First observations of $\Xi_b^0 \to \Lambda K^-\pi^+$ & $\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda\pi^+\pi^-$ and evidence for $\Xi_b^0 \to \Lambda\pi^+\pi^-(4\sigma)$

$\mathcal{B} = (10.4 \pm 1.4 \pm 1.2 \pm 3.5)\times 10^{-6}$ $\mathcal{B} = (5.3 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.5)\times 10^{-6}$ $\mathcal{B} = (11.0 \pm 2.6 \pm 1.4 \pm 3.8)\times 10^{-6}$





Evidence of CP violation in baryonic decays

CERN Seminar by W. Qian

New LHCb-PAPER-2024-043
in preparation

Signal channel

$$A_{CP}^{S} = A_{\mathrm{Raw}}^{S} - A_{\mathrm{P}}^{\Lambda_b^0} - A_{\mathrm{D}}^{S}$$

Control channel

$$A_{CP}^{C} = A_{\mathrm{Raw}}^{C} - A_{\mathrm{P}}^{\Lambda_b^0} - A_{\mathrm{D}}^{C}$$

$$\Delta A_{CP} = \Delta A_{\mathrm{Raw}} - \Delta A_{\mathrm{P}}^{\Lambda_b^0} - \Delta A_{\mathrm{D}}$$

$\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda_c^+(\to \Lambda\pi^+)\pi^-$
$A_{CP} \sim 0$

$$\mathcal{A}^{CP}(\Lambda_b^0/\Xi_b^0 \to f) \equiv \frac{\Gamma(\Lambda_b^0/\Xi_b^0 \to f) - \Gamma(\overline{\Lambda}_b^0/\overline{\Xi}_b^0 \to \overline{f})}{\Gamma(\Lambda_b^0/\Xi_b^0 \to f) + \Gamma(\overline{\Lambda}_b^0/\overline{\Xi}_b^0 \to \overline{f})}$$

Preliminary



$\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda K^+K^-$



$\bar{\Lambda}_b^0 \to \bar{\Lambda} K^+K^-$

$$\Delta A_{CP} = 0.083 \pm 0.023 \pm 0.016$$

First evidence for CP violation, 3.1σ

Evidence of CP violation in baryonic decays

CERN Seminar by W. Qian

New LHCb-PAPER-2024-043
in preparation

Signal channel

$$A^{S}_{CP} = A^{S}_{\mathrm{Raw}} - A^{\Lambda^0_b}_{\mathrm{P}} - A^{S}_{\mathrm{D}}$$

Control channel

$$A^{C}_{CP} = A^{C}_{\mathrm{Raw}} - A^{\Lambda^0_b}_{\mathrm{P}} - A^{C}_{\mathrm{D}}$$

$\Lambda^0_b \to \Lambda^+_c(\to \Lambda\pi^+)\pi^-$

$A_{CP}\sim 0$

$$\Delta A_{CP} = \Delta A_{\mathrm{Raw}} - \Delta A^{\Lambda^0_b}_{\mathrm{P}} - \Delta A_{\mathrm{D}}$$

$$\mathcal{A}^{CP}(\Lambda^0_b/\Xi^0_b \to f) \equiv \frac{\Gamma(\Lambda^0_b/\Xi^0_b \to f) - \Gamma(\bar{\Lambda}^0_b/\bar{\Xi}^0_b \to \bar{f})}{\Gamma(\Lambda^0_b/\Xi^0_b \to f) + \Gamma(\bar{\Lambda}^0_b/\bar{\Xi}^0_b \to \bar{f})}$$

Preliminary



$\Lambda^0_b \to \Lambda K^+K^-$



$\bar{\Lambda}^0_b \to \bar{\Lambda} K^+K^-$

$$\Delta A_{CP} = 0.083 \pm 0.023 \pm 0.016$$

First evidence for CP violation, 3.1σ

$\Lambda^0_b \to \Lambda K^+K^-$ yields



Preliminary

Evidence of CP violation in baryonic decays

CERN Seminar by W. Qian

New LHCb-PAPER-2024-043
in preparation

$\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda K^+ K^-$ yields



Preliminary

PRD107 (2023) 053009

Evidence of CP violation in baryonic decays

CERN Seminar by W. Qian

New LHCb-PAPER-2024-043 in preparation



$$\Delta A_{CP}(N^{*+}K^-) = 0.165 \pm 0.048 \pm 0.017$$

First evidence of CP violation in local resonant region, 3.2σ

$\Lambda_b^0 \to N^{*+}K^-$

$\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda K^+K^-$ yields



PRD107 (2023) 053009

Evidence of CP violation in baryonic decays

CERN Seminar by W. Qian

New LHCb-PAPER-2024-043 in preparation



$$\Delta A_{CP}(N^{*+}K^-) = 0.165 \pm 0.048 \pm 0.017$$

First evidence of CP violation in local resonant region, 3.2σ



region
$$\Delta A_{CP}(\Lambda\phi) = 0.150 \pm 0.055 \pm 0.021$$

Consistent with 0 within 2.5σ

Predicted CPV (resonant), ~1.5%

PRD107 (2023) 053009

See more details in Chenxu Yu's talk (Friday afternoon)

CP violation in $\Lambda_b^0 \to ph$

New LHCb-PAPER-2024-048
in preparation

- Sizeable CP violation found in $B^0_{(s)} \to h^+h'^-$
- Golden channel in baryon decays, with predictions of CPV ranges from few percent to 30%



- No clear asymmetry observed directly

$$A_{CP}^{pK^-} = (-1.14 \pm 0.67 \pm 0.36)\,\%$$

$$A_{CP}^{p\pi^-} = (-0.20 \pm 0.83 \pm 0.37)\,\%$$

CP violation in $\Lambda_b^0 \to ph$

New LHCb-PAPER-2024-048
in preparation

- Sizeable CP violation found in $B_{(s)}^0 \to h^+h'^-$
- Golden channel in baryon decays, with predictions of CPV ranges from few percent to 30%



- No clear asymmetry observed directly

$$A_{CP}^{pK^-} = (-1.14 \pm 0.67 \pm 0.36)\,\%$$

$$A_{CP}^{p\pi^-} = (-0.20 \pm 0.83 \pm 0.37)\,\%$$

Why CP violation so small?

$$A = a_1 e^{i(\delta_1+\phi_1)} + a_2 e^{i(\delta_2+\phi_2)} \qquad \bar{A} = a_1 e^{i(\delta_1-\phi_1)} + a_2 e^{i(\delta_2-\phi_2)}$$

$$A_{CP} = \frac{|A|^2 - |\bar{A}|^2}{|A|^2 + |\bar{A}|^2} \propto \sin(\delta_1-\delta_2)\sin(\phi_1-\phi_2) \qquad \delta_1 - \delta_2 \sim 0?$$

- Small strong phase difference?
- Cancellation between S, P waves? arXiv:2409.02821

See more details in Xinchen Dai's talk (Thursday afternoon)

What's more?

Phys. Rev. 108 (1959) 1645

- Decay parameter, first proposed by Lee and Yang (1959) to study hyperon decays



Chen Ning Yang

Tsung-Dao Lee

$$\alpha_{\mp} = \pm\frac{2\Re(S^*P)}{|S|^2 + |P|^2} = \pm\frac{2|S||P|\cos(\delta \pm \phi)}{|S|^2 + |P|^2}$$

$$\beta_{\mp} = \pm\frac{2\Im(S^*P)}{|S|^2 + |P|^2} = \pm\frac{2|S||P|\sin(\delta \pm \phi)}{|S|^2 + |P|^2}$$

$$\gamma = \frac{|S|^2 - |P|^2}{|S|^2 + |P|^2} \qquad \alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2 = 1$$

* δ, ϕ: strong and weak phase difference between S and P waves

- New CP observables

$$A_\alpha = \frac{\alpha_+ + \alpha_-}{\alpha_+ - \alpha_-} = -\tan(\delta)\tan(\phi) \qquad R_{\beta_1} = \frac{\beta_+ + \beta_-}{\alpha_+ - \alpha_-} = \tan(\phi) \qquad R_{\beta_2} = \frac{\beta_+ - \beta_-}{\alpha_+ - \alpha_-} = -\tan(\delta)$$

Decay parameters of $\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda_c^+ h^-$

arXiv:2409.02759

$\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda_c^+(\to \Lambda h^+)h^-, \Lambda \to p\pi^-$

$\alpha_c, \beta_c, \gamma_c: \Lambda_c^+ \to \Lambda h^+$

$\alpha_b: \Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda_c^+ h^-$



$\alpha_s: \Lambda \to p\pi^-$

$$\frac{d\Phi}{d\Omega} \propto (1 + \alpha_b\alpha_c \cos\theta_1 + \alpha_c\alpha_s \cos\theta_2 + \alpha_b\alpha_s \cos\theta_1 \cos\theta_2 - \alpha_b\gamma_c\alpha_s \sin\theta_1 \sin\theta_2 \cos\phi_2 + \alpha_b\beta_c\alpha_s \sin\theta_1 \sin\theta_2 \sin\phi_2)$$

- First determination of α in $\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda_c^+ h^-$ decays $\mathcal{O}(0.9\%)$
- Most precise determinations α, (β, γ)
- Confirmation of $\alpha(\Lambda \to p\pi^-)$ from BESIII
- Pave the way for other decay parameter measurements



See more details in Yuhao Wang's talk (Friday afternoon)

Summary

✓ LHCb dominates the world average of many measurements in CKM and CPV

✓ Various precise measurement of CP violation in beauty and charm decays using LHCb Run 1+2 data

✓ First evidence of CP violation in $B^+ \to J/\psi\pi^+$ and $\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda K^+K^-$

✓ Run 3 provides great opportunities for further test of the SM and search for new physics



Run 3 has collected more data than Run 1+2, a lot more new results to come!



LHCb Experiment at CERN
Run / Event: 255623 / 300064
Data recorded: 2022-11-25 09:40:16 GMT



Thank you

Back up slides

LHCb detector

General purpose detector specialised in beauty and charm hadrons

proton beam





$2 < \eta < 5$



LHCb performance:
JINST 14 (2019) P04013

LHCb detector

General purpose detector specialised in beauty and charm hadrons

- Daughters of b & c hadron decays: $p_T \sim \mathcal{O}(1\ \mathrm{GeV}/c)$, flight distance L~1mm





$2 < \eta < 5$

LHCb performance:
JINST 14 (2019) P04013



LHCb detector

General purpose detector specialised in beauty and charm hadrons

- Daughters of b & c hadron decays: $p_T \sim \mathcal{O}(1\ \mathrm{GeV}/c)$, flight distance L~1mm



$2 < \eta < 5$

Precise vertex measurements, $\sigma(IP_x)$~ 35 μm

Excellent decay time resolution ~ 43 fs for B_s^0



Excellent particle identification
$\epsilon(K) \approx 95\,\%$
misID $p(\pi \to K) \approx 5\,\%$
$\epsilon(\mu) \approx 97\,\%$



Excellent momentum resolution ~ 0.5%

LHCb performance:
JINST 14 (2019) P04013



Control of penguin contribution

- $\sigma(\phi_s) \sim 0.016$ comparable with the theoretical estimation of $\Delta\phi_s^{penguin} \sim 1^\circ \approx 0.017$, better control of penguin effect necessary
- Combined analysis of penguin contributions in ϕ_s and ϕ_d $(\sin 2\beta)$, using SU(3) flavour symmetry

$$\phi_d = \sin(2\beta^{\text{tree}}) + \Delta\phi_d{}^{\text{penguin}} + \phi_d{}^{\text{NP}}$$

$$\phi_s = \phi_s^{\text{tree}} + \Delta\phi_s^{\text{penguin}} + \phi_s^{NP}$$







J.Phys.G 48 (2021) 6, 065002

Method to measure ΔA^{CP}

- CP asymmetries

$$A^{CP}(B^{\mp} \longrightarrow J/\psi\pi^{\mp}) = \boxed{a^{\mathrm{raw}}(B^{\mp} \longrightarrow J/\psi\pi^{\mp})} - \boxed{a^{\mathrm{prod}}(B^{\mp})} - \boxed{a^{\mathrm{det}}(\pi^{\mp})} - \boxed{a^{\mathrm{PID}}(\pi^{\mp})}$$

$$A^{CP}(B^{\mp} \longrightarrow J/\psi K^{\mp}) = \boxed{a^{\mathrm{raw}}(B^{\mp} \longrightarrow J/\psi K^{\mp})} - \boxed{a^{\mathrm{prod}}(B^{\mp})} - \boxed{a^{Det}(K^{\mp})} - \boxed{a^{\mathrm{PID}}(K^{\mp})}$$

Raw asymmetries from mass fit

Production asymmetries largely cancelled

Detection asymmetries from D^+ control data

PID asymmetries from PIDCorr

- CP asymmetry difference

$$\Delta A^{CP} \equiv A^{CP}(B^{\mp} \longrightarrow J/\psi\pi^{\mp}) - A^{CP}(B^{\mp} \longrightarrow J/\psi K^{\mp})$$

$$= \Delta a^{\mathrm{raw}} - \cancel{\Delta a^{\mathrm{prod}}} - \Delta a^{\mathrm{det}} - \Delta a^{\mathrm{PID}}$$

credit: Manshu Li

γ measurements

B decay	D decay	Ref.	Dataset	Status since Ref. [14]
$B^\pm \to Dh^\pm$	$D \to h^\pm h'^\mp$	[35]	Run 1&2	*As before*
$B^\pm \to Dh^\pm$	$D \to h^+h^-\pi^+\pi^-$	[19]	Run 1&2	**New**
$B^\pm \to Dh^\pm$	$D \to K^\pm\pi^\mp\pi^+\pi^-$	[36]	Run 1&2	*As before*
$B^\pm \to Dh^\pm$	$D \to h^\pm h'^\mp\pi^0$	[37]	Run 1&2	*As before*
$B^\pm \to Dh^\pm$	$D \to K^0_S h^+h^-$	[38]	Run 1&2	*As before*
$B^\pm \to Dh^\pm$	$D \to K^0_S K^\pm\pi^\mp$	[39]	Run 1&2	*As before*
$B^\pm \to D^*h^\pm$	$D \to h^\pm h'^\mp$ (PR)	[35]	Run 1&2	*As before*
$B^\pm \to D^*h^\pm$	$D \to K^0_S h^+h^-$ (PR)	[20]	Run 1&2	**New**
$B^\pm \to D^*h^\pm$	$D \to K^0_S h^+h^-$ (FR)	[21]	Run 1&2	**New**
$B^\pm \to DK^{*\pm}$	$D \to h^\pm h'^\mp$	[22]†	Run 1&2	**Updated**
$B^\pm \to DK^{*\pm}$	$D \to h^\pm\pi^\mp\pi^+\pi^-$	[22]†	Run 1&2	**Updated**
$B^\pm \to DK^{*\pm}$	$D \to K^0_S h^+h^-$	[22]†	Run 1&2	**New**
$B^\pm \to Dh^\pm\pi^+\pi^-$	$D \to h^\pm h'^\mp$	[40]	Run 1	*As before*
$B^0 \to DK^{*0}$	$D \to h^\pm h'^\mp$	[23]	Run 1&2	**Updated**
$B^0 \to DK^{*0}$	$D \to h^\pm\pi^\mp\pi^+\pi^-$	[23]	Run 1&2	**Updated**
$B^0 \to DK^{*0}$	$D \to K^0_S h^+h^-$	[24]	Run 1&2	**Updated**
$B^0 \to D^\mp\pi^\pm$	$D^+ \to K^-\pi^+\pi^+$	[41]	Run 1	*As before*
$B^0_s \to D^\mp_s K^\pm$	$D^+_s \to h^+h^-\pi^+$	[25, 42]†	Run 1&2	**Updated**
$B^0_s \to D^\mp_s K^\pm\pi^+\pi^-$	$D^+_s \to h^+h^-\pi^+$	[43]	Run 1&2	*As before*

D decay	Observable(s)	Ref.	Dataset	Status since Ref. [14]
$D^0 \to h^+h^-$	ΔA_{CP}	[44–46]	Run 1&2	*As before*
$D^0 \to K^+K^-$	$A_{CP}(K^+K^-)$	[46–48]	Run 2	*As before*
$D^0 \to h^+h^-$	$y_{CP} - y_{CP}^{K^-\pi^+}$	[49, 50]	Run 1&2	*As before*
$D^0 \to h^+h^-$	ΔY	[51–54]	Run 1&2	*As before*
$D^0 \to K^+\pi^-$ (double tag)	$R^\pm$, $(x'^\pm)^2$, $y'^\pm$	[55]	Run 1	*As before*
$D^0 \to K^+\pi^-$ (single tag)	$R_{K\pi}$, $A_{K\pi}$, $c^{(\prime)}_{K\pi}$, $\Delta c^{(\prime)}_{K\pi}$	[27, 56]	Run 1&2	**Updated**
$D^0 \to K^\pm\pi^\mp\pi^+\pi^-$	$(x^2+y^2)/4$	[57]	Run 1	*As before*
$D^0 \to K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-$	x, y	[58]	Run 1	*As before*
$D^0 \to K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-$	x_{CP}, y_{CP}, Δx, Δy	[59]	Run 1	*As before*
$D^0 \to K^0_S\pi^+\pi^-$	x_{CP}, y_{CP}, Δx, Δy	[60, 61]	Run 2	*As before*
$D^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	ΔY^{eff}	[26]	Run 2	**New**

Time-dependent CPV in $B^0 \to D^+D^-$

New

arXiv: 2409.03009

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\Gamma(t,d)}{\mathrm{d}t} \propto e^{-t/\tau_{B^0}}\left(1 + d\,C_{D^+D^-}\cos\Delta m_d t - d\,S_{D^+D^-}\sin\Delta m_d t\right)$$



- CP asymmetry observed in $B^0 \to D^+D^-$ for the first time with a significance exceeding 6σ

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} S_{D^+D^-} &= -0.552 \pm 0.100\,(\text{stat}) \pm 0.010\,(\text{syst}) \\ C_{D^+D^-} &= 0.128 \pm 0.103\,(\text{stat}) \pm 0.010\,(\text{syst}) \end{aligned}}$$

[PRL117 (2016) 261801, BaBar PRD79 (2009) 032002] [Belle PRD85 (2012) 091106]

- LHCb combination:

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} S_{D^+D^-} &= -0.549 \pm 0.085\,(\text{stat}) \pm 0.015\,(\text{syst}) \\ C_{D^+D^-} &= 0.162 \pm 0.088\,(\text{stat}) \pm 0.009\,(\text{syst}) \end{aligned}}$$







CP violation in charm sector

- GIM mechanism very effective for charm decays, SM loops highly suppressed
- Tiny weak phases in first two generations of CKM matrix
- Oscillation and CPV ($\leq 10^{-3}$)
- Long distance contribution comparable/larger than short distance





CP violation in charm sector

- GIM mechanism very effective for charm decays, SM loops highly suppressed
- Tiny weak phases in first two generations of CKM matrix
- Oscillation and CPV ($\lesssim 10^{-3}$)
- Long distance contribution comparable/larger than short distance





Breakthroughs by LHCb thanks to huge statistics:

First observation of CPV in $D^0 \to h^+h^-$ decays

$\Delta A_{CP} = A_{CP}(K^+K^-) - A_{CP}(\pi^+\pi^-) = (-15.4 \pm 2.9)\times10^{-4}$ [PRL(2019)211803]

Evidence of CPV in $D^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$ decay

$A_{CP}(\pi^+\pi^-) = (23.2 \pm 6.1)\times10^{-4}\ (3.8\sigma)$ [PRL(2023)211803]

Direct CP violation in $D^+ \to K^+K^-\pi^+$

New arXiv:2409.01414

- Search for localised CP violation in the phase space of $D^+ \to K^+K^-\pi^+$ (S) decay
- Control channel $D_s^+ \to K^+K^-\pi^+$ (C) to subtract nuisance asymmetries

$$\boxed{\Delta A_{CP}^{i} = A_{\rm raw}^{i,S} - A_{\rm raw}^{i,C} - \Delta A_{\rm raw}^{\rm global}}$$



Direct CP violation in $D^+ \to K^+K^-\pi^+$

New arXiv:2409.01414

- Search for localised CP violation in the phase space of $D^+ \to K^+K^-\pi^+$ (S) decay
- Control channel $D_s^+ \to K^+K^-\pi^+$ (C) to subtract nuisance asymmetries

$$\boxed{\Delta A^i_{CP} = A^{i,S}_{\text{raw}} - A^{i,C}_{\text{raw}} - \Delta A^{\text{global}}_{\text{raw}}}$$

$$A^{\phi\pi^+}_{CP|S} = (0.95 \pm 0.43 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-3}$$
$$A^{\bar{K}^{*0}K^+}_{CP|S} = (-0.26 \pm 0.56 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-3}$$



p-values (2.3-14.1%) compatible with no CPV



Direct CP violation in $D^+ \to K^+K^-\pi^+$

New arXiv:2409.01414

- Search for localised CP violation in the phase space of $D^+ \to K^+K^-\pi^+$ (S) decay
- Control channel $D_s^+ \to K^+K^-\pi^+$ (C) to subtract nuisance asymmetries

$$\Delta A_{CP}^{i} = A_{\text{raw}}^{i,S} - A_{\text{raw}}^{i,C} - \Delta A_{\text{raw}}^{\text{global}}$$

- Test-statistic to extract a p-value for the hypothesis of no localised CP violation

$$\chi^2(\mathcal{S}_{\Delta_{CP}}) = \sum_i^{N_{\text{bins}}} (\mathcal{S}_{\Delta_{CP}}^i)^2, \qquad \mathcal{S}_{\Delta_{CP}}^i = \frac{\Delta A_{CP}^i}{\sigma_{\Delta A_{CP}^i}}$$



$D^+ \to K^+K^-\pi^+$ (S)



$D_s^+ \to K^+K^-\pi^+$ (C)

Direct CP violation in $D^+ \to K^+K^-\pi^+$

New arXiv:2409.01414

- Search for localised CP violation in the phase space of $D^+ \to K^+K^-\pi^+$ (S) decay
- Control channel $D_s^+ \to K^+K^-\pi^+$ (C) to subtract nuisance asymmetries

$$\Delta A_{CP}^{i} = A_{\rm raw}^{i,S} - A_{\rm raw}^{i,C} - \Delta A_{\rm raw}^{\rm global}$$

- Test-statistic to extract a p-value for the hypothesis of no localised CP violation

$$\chi^2(\mathcal{S}_{\Delta_{CP}}) = \sum_i^{N_{\rm bins}} (\mathcal{S}^i_{\Delta_{CP}})^2, \qquad \mathcal{S}^i_{\Delta_{CP}} = \frac{\Delta A^i_{CP}}{\sigma_{\Delta A^i_{CP}}}$$

- p-values (2.3-14.1%) compatible with absence of localised CP violation in Dalitz plot



$D^+ \to K^+K^-\pi^+$ (S)



$D_s^+ \to K^+K^-\pi^+$ (C)



Time-dependent CP violation in $D^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

Phys. Rev. Lett. 133 (2024) 101803

- First measurement of time-dependent CP violation in SCS mode





$$A_{CP}(f_{CP},t) \equiv \frac{\Gamma_{D^0\to f_{CP}}(t) - \Gamma_{\bar{D}^0\to f_{CP}}(t)}{\Gamma_{D^0\to f_{CP}}(t) + \Gamma_{\bar{D}^0\to f_{CP}}(t)} \approx a^{\mathrm{dir}}_{f_{CP}} + \Delta Y_{f_{CP}} \frac{t}{\tau_{D^0}}.$$

$$A_{\mathrm{meas}}(\langle t/\tau_{D^0}\rangle_i) \equiv \frac{N^i_{D^0} - N^i_{\bar{D}^0}}{N^i_{D^0} + N^i_{\bar{D}^0}}$$



$$\Delta Y_{f_{CP}} \approx \frac{\eta_{f_{CP}}}{2}\left[\left(\left|\frac{q}{p}\right| + \left|\frac{p}{q}\right|\right) x\sin\phi - \left(\left|\frac{q}{p}\right| - \left|\frac{p}{q}\right|\right) y\cos\phi\right]$$

- No evidence for time-dependent CP violation, constant with world average

$$\Delta Y \equiv \eta_{CP}\Delta Y_{f_{CP}} = (-1.3 \pm 6.3 \pm 2.4) \times 10^{-4}$$

Time-dependent CP violation in $D^0 \to K\pi$

LHCb-PAPER-2024-008

- Interference between mixing and decay for favoured RS and suppressed WS decays

$$R^{+}_{K\pi} = \frac{\Gamma(D^0(t) \to K^+\pi^-)}{\Gamma(\overline{D^0} \to K^-\pi^+)}; \quad R^{-}_{K\pi} = \frac{\Gamma(\overline{D^0}(t) \to K^-\pi^+)}{\Gamma(D^0 \to K^+\pi^-)};$$

DCS over CF amplitude

$$R^{\pm}_{K\pi}(t) \approx \boxed{R_{K\pi}}(1 \pm A_{K\pi}) + R_{K\pi}(1 \pm A_{K\pi})(c_{K\pi} \pm \Delta c_{K\pi})\left(\frac{t}{\tau_{D^0}}\right) + (c'_{K\pi} \pm \Delta c'_{K\pi})\left(\frac{t}{\tau_{D^0}}\right)^2$$

CPV observables: $A_{K\pi}$ (in decays), $\Delta c_{K\pi}$ (in interference), $\Delta c'_{K\pi}$ (in mixing).

Mixing observables: $c_{K\pi}$, $c'_{K\pi}$

Time-dependent CP violation in $D^0 \to K\pi$

arXiv:2407.18001

- Measured with yields: RS ~400 M, WS ~1.6 M







$R_{K\pi}$	$(343.1 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{-5}$
$c_{K\pi}$	$(51.4 \pm 3.5) \times 10^{-4}$
$c'_{K\pi}$	$(13.1 \pm 3.7) \times 10^{-6}$
$A_{K\pi}$	$(-7.1 \pm 6.0) \times 10^{-3}$
$\Delta c_{K\pi}$	$(3.0 \pm 3.6) \times 10^{-4}$
$\Delta c'_{K\pi}$	$(-1.9 \pm 3.8) \times 10^{-6}$

Mixing parameter
Evidence of non 0

No CPV

$$c_{K\pi} \approx y_{12} \cos\phi_f^\Gamma \cos\Delta_f + x_{12} \cos\phi_f^M \sin\Delta_f$$