



Measurement of the Higgs boson cross section and Width with the ATLAS detector

Ehsan Musajan University of Science and Technology of China

The 10th China LHC Physics Conference November 14, 2024

Introduction



- Early Run3 $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ fiducial cross-section
 - First look at Higgs Boson at 13.6TeV with ATLAS experiment.
 - Quick analysis with data taken during $2022 \rightarrow 31.4$ fb⁻¹.
 - Combined with $H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 41$ channel to measure $\sigma(pp \rightarrow H)$.

Eur. Phys. J. C 84 (2024) 78

• Higgs width measurement

- First to constraint Higgs boson width based on Higgs-Top Yukawa coupling.
- Using the Run2 data set \rightarrow up to 140 fb⁻¹.

arXiv:2407.10631 Submitted to PLB









Early Run-3 $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ fiducial cross-session



Early Run-3 $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ fiducial XS



- SM predicted Total cross-section of Higgs boson at 13.6 TeV
 - $\sigma(pp \rightarrow H)_{\rm SM} = 59.9 \pm 2.6 \text{ pb}$







~~~ Y

~~~~γ

W

- Di-photon final state:
 - Small branching ratios of Higgs boson decays into two photons.
 - Excellent mass reconstruction and photon identification efficiencies of the ATLAS detector.
 - Loop induced decay mode

•••••• Y

t/b/τ

*t/b/*τ

 $t/b/\tau$

Analysis strategy

ATLAS EXPERIMENT

- Fiducial region definition
 - Two highest E_T candidates are used to build a diphoton system.
 - Fiducial region defined closely to the detector acceptance.
 - Correction Factor $(C_{\mathcal{F}})$: 71.6%
 - ► Acceptance (*A*): 49.7%

Total phase space



- Fit procedure
 - The cross-section is measured via an analytic fit to the di-photon mass spectrum

$$\mathcal{L}\left(m_{\gamma\gamma}; \nu^{\mathrm{sig}}, \nu^{\mathrm{bkg}}\right) = \frac{e^{-\nu}}{n!} \prod_{j}^{n} \frac{1}{\nu} \left[\nu^{\mathrm{sig}} \mathcal{S}\left(m_{\gamma\gamma}^{j}; \theta_{k}\right) + \nu^{\mathrm{bkg}} \mathcal{B}\left(m_{\gamma\gamma}^{j}\right)\right]$$



Parameters of the signal model derived from simulation

Background estimation

- The main sources of background are the non-resonant production of prompt and isolated di-photons ($\gamma\gamma$) and the γ + *j*et and jet+jet processes.
 - γ j and j j
 - \rightarrow derived from data control samples.

γγ

- \rightarrow directly derived from the bkg MC after applying the event selection.
- A. Full simulated template by Geant4.
- B. Template introduced the detector response by Normalizing Flow (NF) method
 - \rightarrow A generative machine-learning model.
 - S/B is ~ 1% level, background modeling is important.
 - Rely on the large stats simulated sample.
 - Detector simulation is computationally expensive.
 - Benefit from fast machine-learning.







Background estimation

Normalizing flow (NF) Method \rightarrow To achieve



 $P_{T,pred}^{\gamma}$

 ϕ_{pred}^{γ}

=

=

=

 $P^{\gamma}_{T,truth}$

 η_{truth}^{γ}

 ϕ_{truth}^{γ}

Training



Nov 14. 2024 - CLHCP 2024

Ehsan Musajan (USTC)

Background modeling

- Background model
 - $M_{\gamma\gamma}$ bkg is a smoothly falling spectrum.
 - Parameterized by an empirical function selected using the bkg MC templates.

Nov 14. 2024 - CLHCP 2024

- Spurious signal study \rightarrow S + B fit on the Bkg only template.
 - → Select the a background function from candidates.
 - $exp(-\frac{m_{\gamma\gamma}}{\alpha_1} \frac{m_{\gamma\gamma}^2}{\alpha_1})$ is selected.
 - → Determine the associated systematic uncertainty.
 - 6.2% of the expected SM signal yield.







$H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ Fiducial XS result and combination



- The cross-section of the SM prediction at 13.6 TeV
 - $\sigma(pp \rightarrow H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma)_{\text{fid},SM} = 67.6 \pm 3.7 \text{ fb}$
 - $\sigma(pp \rightarrow H)_{SM} = 59.9 \pm 2.6 \text{ pb}$
- Results
 - $\sigma_{fid} = 76 \pm 11(stat.)^{+9}_{-7}(syst.)$ fb

| Source | Uncertainty [%] |
|---|-----------------|
| Statistical uncertainty | 14.0 |
| Systematic uncertainty | 10.3 |
| Background modelling (spurious signal) | 6.0 |
| Photon trigger and selection efficiency | 5.8 |
| Photon energy scale & resolution | 5.5 |
| Luminosity | 2.2 |
| Pile-up modelling | 1.2 |
| Higgs boson mass | 0.1 |
| Theoretical (signal) modelling | <0.1 |
| Total | 17.4 |

• Combination

•
$$\sigma_{tot} = 67^{+12}_{-11}$$
 ($H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$)
• $\sigma_{tot} = 46 \pm 12$ ($H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4l$)

 $\sigma(pp \rightarrow H) = 58.2 \pm 8.7 = 58.2 \pm 7.5(stat.) \pm 4.5(syst.) \text{ pb}$







Higgs width measurement

Higgs width measurement



• Higgs width measurements from the line shape and lifetime are not precise enough to approach SM value \rightarrow For $m_H = 125 \text{ GeV} \rightarrow \Gamma_H^{SM} = 4.1 \text{ MeV}$

• Combined on- and off-shell measurements translate into a constraint on the Higgs width.



Higgs width with tttt and On-shell Higgs



- Rely on tree-level Higgs-Top Yukawa coupling
 - Unlike the current analysis based on κ_V , κ_t not affected by the presence of unknown colored particles.
- Off-shell Higgs in four-tops process gives dependence on κ_t .



• Assumes κ_t remains the same between the on-shell and off-shell regimes.

Off-shell part : tītī process

- Target at Multi-lepton final state
 - Template based fit with Signal Region + different Control Regions.
 - GNN used to separate signal/background processes.
 - $6.1(4.3)\sigma$ significance



•
$$\mu = 1.9^{+0.8}_{-0.5}$$

• Interpreted into κ_t measurement \rightarrow 95% CL upper limit: 2.3





On-shell part : Higgs Coupling Combination



- The full Higgs combination published in Nature
 - A simultaneous fit of many individual production times branching fraction measurements.
 - Covering all major Higgs production and decay modes at LHC.
- ttH Multi-lepton channel is removed from the on-shell part due to overlap with tttt measurement.



Nature 607(2022)52

Combination result



• Perform a full likelihood combination of the two input workspaces



arXiv:2407.10631 Submitted to PLB



• 95% CL upper limit [MeV]: 445(75)

• Strong correlation between R_{Γ} and κ_t

Summary



- First measurement of the $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ fiducial cross-section with ATLAS at 13.6 TeV!
 - Extrapolated to total phase space to measure $\sigma_{tot}(pp \rightarrow H)$
 - Combined with $H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4l$ measurement
 - SM prediction: $\sigma(pp \rightarrow H)_{SM} = 59.9 \pm 2.6 \text{ pb}$
 - Combination: $\sigma(pp \to H) = 58.2 \pm 8.7 = 58.2 \pm 7.5(stat.) \pm 4.5(syst.) \text{ pb}$
- First constraint on Higgs boson width based on both on-shell and off-shell production processes involving the Higgs-top Yukawa coupling.
 - The observed (expected) 95% CL upper limit for Higgs Boson total width is 445 (75) MeV.
 - Could benefit from the more precise top-Higgs coupling measurement during Run3 and HL-LHC.





Thanks!



Photons

| Leading (sub-leading) $p_{\rm T}^{\gamma}$ | $p_{\rm T}^{\gamma}/m_{\gamma\gamma} > 0.35(0.25)$ |
|--|--|
| Pseudorapidity | $ \eta < 2.37$ and outside $1.37 < \eta < 1.52$ |
| Isolation ($\Delta R = 0.2$) | $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{iso}}/E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\gamma} < 0.05$ |

Di-photon system

Mass window $105 \text{ GeV} < m_{\gamma\gamma} < 160 \text{ GeV}$

Event selection

• Preselection

- $|\eta| < 2.37$, excluding $1.37 < |\eta| < 1.52$
- Loose working point
- Events with at least two photon candidates, each with ET > 25 GeV
- ▶ If more than 2, the two highest-E_T candidates are used

• Primary vertex selection

- Selected using a neural-network algorithm
- Selection efficiency of 71.4% for ggF
- Direction of the two photon candidates is re-computed after primary vertex is selected
- Improves the di-photon invariant mass resolution by $\sim 8\%$

• Event selection

- $E_T^{\gamma 1}/m_{\gamma \gamma} \ge 0.35$ and $E_T^{\gamma 2}/m_{\gamma \gamma} \ge 0.25$
- Tight working point
- Track and calorimeter isolation requirements in $\Delta R = 0.2$ cone
- $M_{\gamma\gamma}$ should be in the range of 105–160 GeV

• Selected events in the 2022 Run3 data sample is $307\ 996 \rightarrow$ The selection efficiency: 36%





Signal modeling



- **Signal model**
 - **Double-Sided Crystal Ball function**



- Shape parameters are determined from a fit to the signal MC samples and are kept fixed in the fit to the data.
- Normalization parameter N is determined in the fit to the data.

Systematic uncertainties

- Affected by several sources of uncertainty

 - $\label{eq:shape-of-the-mapping} \textbf{Shape of the } m_{\gamma\gamma} \mbox{ signal distribution } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \\ \\ \end{array} \right. \mbox{ Photon-energy resolution } \\ \\ \end{array} \right. \mbox{ Higgs boson mass } \end{array}$

- Background modeling
 - Quantified through the spurious signal yield described in the last page
- Correction factor CF
 Uncertainties related to photon trigger efficiency
 Identification and isolation selections
 Uncertainty in the pile-up modeling
 Other theoretical uncertainties
- Uncertainty in the luminosity measurement
- Uncertainty in the $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ branching ratio



Higgs width measurement

1958 CONTRACTOR OF THE REAL PRIME NO

- Higgs width Γ_H : predicted by theory once the Higgs mass is given
 - ► For $m_H = 125 \text{ GeV} \rightarrow \Gamma_H^{SM} = 4.1 \text{ MeV}$
 - Deviation from predicted value will indicate new physics.
- Width measurements from the lineshape and lifetime are not precise enough to approach SM value. → Detector resolution

