



## CP violation in charmless $\Lambda_b^0$ decays at LHCb

#### Xinchen Dai on behalf of the LHCb Collaboration

CLHCP 2024 2024年11月14日

#### Why CPV in baryon decays

**CPV** is one of the necessary conditions for baryogenesis

CPV is well established in meson decays

 $\succ$  no significant deviation from SM prediction

 $\succ$  not strong enough to account for the baryogenesis

 $\square$  No CPV has been observed in baryon sector yet

 $\succ$  Evidence of CPV in  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow p\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-(3.3 \sigma)$  [Nat.Phys.13(2017)391]

- ≻ Updated measurement shows no CPV in  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow p\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-(2.9 \sigma)$
- $\succ$  New results from analysis of  $\Lambda_b^0$  →  $\Lambda hh'$  (<u>Chenxu's presentation</u>)

The Standard Model predicts similar CP violation in baryon and meson decays

Unlike mesons, only direct CPV occurs in baryon decays due to baryon number conservation

□ Searching for CPV in baryon decays:

- $\succ$  Test of the SM and the CKM mechanism
- > Explore new physics



#### Experimental methods & observables

 $\square Asymmetry in the yields of CP-conjugate processes \quad A_{raw} = \frac{N(H \to f) - N(H \to f)}{N(H \to f) + N(\overline{H} \to \overline{f})}$ 

 $\geq A_{CP} = A_{raw} - A_{prod} - A_{det} - A_{other}$  $\geq \Delta A_{CP} = A_{CP}^{signal} - A_{CP}^{control}$ 

□ Miranda technique: Measuring CPV on binned phase space

> asymmetry significance:  $S_{CP}^i = \frac{n_i - \alpha \bar{n}_i}{\sqrt{\alpha(n_i + \bar{n}_i)}}$ 

Energy test: A statistical T test to compare the baryon anti-baryon samples

$$\succ T \equiv \frac{1}{2n(n-1)} \sum_{i\neq j}^{n} \psi_{ij} + \frac{1}{2\bar{n}(\bar{n}-1)} \sum_{i\neq j}^{\bar{n}} \psi_{ij} - \frac{1}{n\bar{n}}$$

□ k-nearest neighbour (kNN):

$$\succ T \equiv \frac{1}{n_k(n_+-n_-)} \Sigma_{i=1}^{n_++n_-} \Sigma_k^{n_k} I(i,k)$$

□ Triple product asymmetry:

$$\succ A_{\hat{T}}(C_{\hat{T}}) = \frac{N(C_{\hat{T}} > 0) - N(C_{\hat{T}} < 0)}{N(C_{\hat{T}} > 0) + N(C_{\hat{T}} < 0)}, \ a_{CP}^{\hat{T} - odd} = \frac{1}{2}(A_{\hat{T}} - \bar{A}_{\hat{T}}), A_{CP} \propto cos\Delta\phi cos\Delta\delta$$

Amplitude analysis:

$$\succ A = \Sigma a_i A_i, \bar{A} = \Sigma \bar{a}_i \bar{A}_i, A_{CP} = \frac{|a_i|^2 - |\bar{a}_i|^2}{|a_i|^2 + |\bar{a}_i|^2}$$



 $A_{CP} \propto sin\Delta\phi sin\Delta\delta$ 

#### Overview of CPV in baryon decays

	Methods	Data	Paper
$\Lambda_b^0  o p K^-/p \pi^-$	$A_{CP}$	3fb <sup>-1</sup>	PLB 787 (2018) 124-133
$\Lambda_b^0 \to p K_s^0 \pi^-$	$A_{CP}$ , $\Delta A_{CP}$	$1 \text{fb}^{-1}$	JHEP 04 (2014) 087
$\Lambda_b^0 \to p D^0 K^-$	Miranda $S_{CP}^i$	9fb <sup>-1</sup>	PRD104 (2021) 112008
$\Lambda_b^0  o \Lambda h h'$	$A_{CP}$ , $\Delta A_{CP}$	3fb <sup>-1</sup>	JHEP05(2016)081
$\Lambda_b^0 \to p K^- \mu^+ \mu^-$	$\Delta A_{CP}$	3fb <sup>-1</sup>	<u>JHEP 06 (2017) 108</u>
$\Lambda_b^0  o \Lambda\gamma$	photon polarization asy.	3fb <sup>-1</sup>	PRD105 (2022) L051104
$\Lambda^0_b \to p h^- h^+ h^-$	$\Delta A_{CP}$ , TPA, Energy test	$3 \text{fb}^{-1} \& 6.6 \text{fb}^{-1}$	EPJC (2019) 79:745 PRD 102 (2020) 051101
$\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda_c^+ h^-$	decay parameters	9fb <sup>-1</sup>	arXiv:2409.02759
$\Xi_b^- \to p K^- K^+$	Amplitude analysis	5fb <sup>-1</sup>	Phys. Rev. D 104, 052010
$\Lambda_c^+ \to p K^- K^+ / p \pi^- \pi^+$	$\Delta A_{CP}$	$3 \text{fb}^{-1}$	<u>JHEP 03 (2018) 182</u>
$\Xi_c^0 \to p K^- \pi^+$	kNN	3fb <sup>-1</sup>	EPJC 2020, 80, 986
	C	P Violation in Baryon Do	cave at LHCb

Symmetry 2023, 15(2), 522

#### Overview of CPV in baryon decays

	Data	Institutions
$\Lambda^0_b \to p h \pi^0$	$9 f b^{-1}$	PKU/WHU/UCAS
$\Lambda_b^0 \to p K_s^0 \pi^-$	$9 f b^{-1}$	PKU/CCNU/UCAS
$\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda h h'$	$9 f b^{-1}$	UCAS/CCNU/PKU
$\Lambda_b^0 \to p h^- h^+ h^-$	$9 f b^{-1}$	PKU/CCNU/UCAS/IHEP

#### LHCb experiment



#### LHCb experiment

Trigger efficiency for hadron final states increased by factor of 2



□ Run I: ~3/fb @  $\sqrt{s}$ =7-8TeV □ Run II: ~6/fb @  $\sqrt{s}$  =13 TeV □ Run III: ~25/fb @  $\sqrt{s}$  = 13.6 TeV

$$\Box \frac{f_{\Lambda_b^0}}{f_u + f_d} = 0.259 \pm 0.018$$
$$\Box \text{ Average over } P_T \in [4, 25] \text{ GeV}$$
$$\text{and } \eta \in [2, 5] @ \sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$$

More charm baryons:  $\Lambda_c$ ,  $\Xi_c$  ...

Theoretical prediction for b-baryon CPV are at  $\sim 1\%$ Current statistics enable us to reduce the uncertainty to  $\sim 0.1\%$ 

CPV in  $\Lambda_b^0 \to pK^-/p\pi^-$ 

Phys.Lett.B 787 (2018) 124-133

Search for *CP* violation in  $\Lambda_b^0 \to p K^-$  and  $\Lambda_b^0 \to p \pi^-$  decays

Run1 3/fb

LHCb-PAPER-2024-048, Run I+II 9/fb

CPV in  $\Lambda_h^0 \to pK^-/p\pi^-$ 

■ Mediated by the same quark-level transitions contributing to  $B^0 \rightarrow hh$ , receiving similar contribution from  $b \rightarrow u$ (tree) and  $b \rightarrow d(s)$ (penguin) diagrams

□ Predicted CPV in  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow pK^-/p\pi^-$  up to ~30%



	$\Lambda_b^0 \to p K^-$	$\Lambda_b^0  o p\pi^-$
<u>Yu et al. arXiv:2409.02821</u>	-5.8%	4.1%
<u>Geng et al.</u> PRD 102(2020), 034033	6.7%	-4.4%
<u>Hsiao et al.</u> PRD 95 (2017) 9, 093001	$(5.8 \pm 0.2)\%$	(-3.9 ± 0.2 )%
<u>Zhu et al.</u> <u>PRD 99 (2019) 5, 054020</u>	$(10.1^{+1.3}_{-2})\%$	$(-3.37^{+0.29}_{-0.37})\%$
<u>Lu et al.</u> PRD 80, 034011 (2009)	$(-5^{+26}_{-5})\%$	$(-31^{+43}_{-1})\%$
CDF	$(-10 \pm 8 \pm 4)\%$	$(6 \pm 7 \pm 3)\%$

 $A_{CP}(\Lambda_b^0 \to pK^-) = (-2.0 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.9)\%$  $A_{CP}(\Lambda_b^0 \to p\pi^-) = (-3.5 \pm 1.7 \pm 2.0)\%$ 

 $\Box \ \Delta A_{CP} = A_{CP} \left( \Lambda_b^0 \to p K^- \right) - A_{CP} \left( \Lambda_b^0 \to p \pi^- \right) = 0.014 \pm 0.022 \pm 0.010$ 

CPV in 
$$\Lambda_b^0 \to pK^-/p\pi^-$$
(New)

 $\Box$  Update CP measurement using combined Run I and Run II data (9fb<sup>-1</sup>)

**D** For Run I data:  $A_{CP}^{pK} = A_{raw} - A_{det}^{p} - A_{det}^{K} - A_{PID}^{pK} - A_{trigger}^{pK} - A_{P}^{\Lambda_{b}^{0}}$ 

> All the nuisance asymmetries studied using data driven method and existing inputs

> The precision of Run 1 has improved thanks to the updated measurements of  $A_P^{\Lambda_b^0}$  and  $A_{det}^p$ 

■ For Run II data: 
$$A_{CP}^{pK} = \Delta A_{raw} - \Delta A_{det}^{p} - \Delta A_{det}^{K} - \Delta A_{PID}^{pK} - \Delta A_{trigger}^{pK} + A_{det}^{\pi^{-}} + A_{det}^{\pi^{+}} + A_{det}^{\Lambda_{c}^{+}\pi^{-}}$$
  
 $> A_{P}^{\Lambda_{b}^{0}}$  cancelled by control channel  $\Lambda_{b}^{0} \rightarrow \Lambda_{c}^{+}(pK^{-}\pi^{+})\pi^{-}$   
 $>$  reweight over the kinematic of the  $\Lambda_{b}^{0}$  in control samples.

 $\square$  New data driven method developed to correct  $A_{trigger}^{pK}$ 

Better control of uncertainties from PID

#### 2024/11/13

### CPV in $\Lambda_b^0 \to pK^-/p\pi^-$ (New)

- □ Simultaneously fit to eight m(*hh*) spectrums >  $K^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}, K^{+}K^{-}, \pi^{+}\pi^{-}, pK^{-}, \bar{p}K^{+}, p\pi^{-}, \bar{p}\pi^{+}$
- Signal: Johnson + two gaussian: shape fixed from MC
- Cross-feed bkg: KDE on simulated samples, yields are fixed from signal yields with PID mis-ID efficiency
- Part.reco.bkg: Argus or simulated samples

□ Comb.bkg: exponential



### CPV in $\Lambda_h^0 \to pK^-/p\pi^-$ (New)

400 LHCb 2018 Magnet Down LHCb 2018 Magnet Down Data Data Fit Fit  $\Lambda_h^0 \rightarrow pK^ \overline{\Lambda}{}^{0}_{h} \rightarrow K^{+}\overline{p}$  $\frac{2}{350}$  Preliminary  $\frac{2}{350}$  Preliminary □ New Run I results:  $B_s^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^ B^0_s \rightarrow K^+K^-$ Statistically dominated!  $\overline{B^0} \to \pi^+ K^ B^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi$ 000 Candidate 000 Candidat 220  $\Lambda^0_b \to p\pi^ \overline{\Lambda}{}^{0}_{h} \to \pi^{+}\overline{p}$  $A_{CP}^{pK} = (-0.27 \pm 1.55 \pm 0.57)\%$ ---· Comb. bkg. ---· Comb. bkg. --- Part. reco. bkg. Part. reco. bkg.  $A_{CP}^{p\pi} = (-0.59 \pm 1.86 \pm 0.53)\%$ 200 200 150 150 100 100 5.4 5.6 5.8 6.0 6.2 5.2 5.4 5.6 5.8 6.0 5.2  $m(K^+\bar{p})$  [GeV/ $c^2$ ]  $m(pK^{-})$  [GeV/ $c^2$ ] MeV/c<sup>2</sup> Candidates / 10 MeV/c 005 007 LHCb 2018 Magnet Down LHCb 2018 Data Data Magnet Down - Fit Fit Candidates / 10 N  $\Lambda_h^0 \rightarrow p\pi$  $\overline{\Lambda}{}^{0}_{b} \rightarrow \pi^{+}\overline{p}$  $B^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^ B^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi$ Preliminary Preliminary  $\Lambda_{h}^{0} \rightarrow pK^{-}$  $\overline{\Lambda}{}^{0}_{b} \rightarrow K^{+}\overline{p}$ ---· Comb. bkg. ---· Comb. bkg. --- Part. reco. bkg. --- Part. reco. bkg. 150 150 100 100 5.4 5.6 5.8 6.0 6.2 52 5.4 5.6 5.8 6.0 6.2  $m(p\pi^{-})$  [GeV/ $c^2$ ]  $m(\pi^+\bar{p})$  [GeV/c<sup>2</sup>]

□ New Run II results:

 $A_{CP}^{pK} = (-1.39 \pm 0.75 \pm 0.41)\%$  $A_{CP}^{p\pi} = (0.42 \pm 0.93 \pm 0.42)\%$ 

**Combined results:** 

 $A_{CP}^{pK} = (-1.14 \pm 0.67 \pm 0.36)\%$  $A_{CP}^{p\pi} = (0.02 \pm 0.83 \pm 0.37)\%$ 

No evidence of CP violation!

#### Theoretical explanation



The cancellation between different partial wave turns in small net direct CPV

■ A partial-wave CPV of similar magnitude to that in *B* mesons is predicted.

	$\Lambda_b  o p \pi^-$	$\Lambda_b  o p K^-$
Br	$3.3 imes10^{-6}$	$2.9  imes 10^{-6}$
$A_{CP}^{ m dir}$	4.1%	-5.8%
$A^S_{CP}$	0.15	-0.05
$A^P_{CP}$	-0.07	-0.23
α	-0.81	0.38
eta	0.26	-0.65
$\gamma$	-0.52	0.66
$\overline{A^{lpha}_{CP}}$	0.046	0.20
$A^{eta}_{CP}$	2.12	-9.34
$A_{CP}^{\gamma}$	-0.12	0.10

$$\frac{1}{2} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} + 0^{-}$$
S wave & P wave 
$$0^{-} \rightarrow 0^{-} + 0^{-}$$
S wave only!

$$\alpha \equiv \frac{2Re(S \times P)}{|S|^2 + |P|^2} \qquad \beta \equiv \frac{2Im(S \times P)}{|S|^2 + |P|^2} \qquad \gamma \equiv \frac{|S|^2 - |P|^2}{|S|^2 + |P|^2}$$

2024/11/13

#### Outlook

The polarization of  $\Lambda_b^0$  at the LHC is consistent with zero PLB 724 (2013) 27-35

■ A sample of  $\Lambda_b^0$  decay from heavier *b* baryons can be used to probe the CPV in decay parameters and partial-waves

Signal yields  $(5.5 \text{fb}^{-1})$ :  $N(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ \pi^-) = 85 \pm 13$  $N(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-) = 103 \pm 15$ 



#### More data expected from HL-LHC

#### Conclusion

- Search for CPV in b-baryon is a frontier of flavor physics
- More contributions from the LHCb China team
- Best measurement of CPV in  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow ph^-$
- Further investigation is needed to understand CPV in baryon decays
- More data in Run3+4 is coming
- Many new analyses coming soon

#### Backup

CPV in  $\Lambda_b^0(\Xi_b^0) \to ph^-h^+h^-$ 

Eur. Phys. J. C (2019) 79:745

Measurements of CP asymmetries in charmless four-body  $\Lambda_b^0$  and  $\Xi_b^0$  decays

Run I 3/fb

CPV in  $\Lambda_h^0(\Xi_h^0) \to ph^-h^+h^-$ 

- Follow the path of the observation of CPV in charmless multibody decays of B mesons
- Dominant diagrams with amplitudes of similar magnitude
- □ Contain rich resonance structures, both in the two- or three-body baryonic invariant-mass spectra
- □ Large CPV expected due to the strongphase differences induced by the interference patterns
- □ Six decay modes from 0.5-10K signals

 $\square CP observables: \Delta A_{CP} = A_{CP} - A_{CP}^{con.}$ 

			1
Charmless decay	Quark transition	Charmed decay	Quark transition
$\Lambda^0_b \to p \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	$b \rightarrow u \overline{u} d (T + P)$	$ \qquad \qquad$	$b \rightarrow c \overline{u} d$ (T)
$\Lambda_b^0 \to p K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	$b \rightarrow u \overline{u} s \; (T + P)$	$\Lambda^0_b \to (\Lambda^+_c \to p K^- \pi^+) \pi^-$	$b \rightarrow c \overline{u} d$ (T)
$\Lambda_b^0 \to p K^- K^+ \pi^-$	$b \rightarrow d\overline{s}s \ (T + P)$	$\Lambda_b^0 \to (\Lambda_c^+ \to p \pi^- \pi^+) \pi^-$	$b \rightarrow c \overline{u} d$ (T)
$\Lambda^0_b \to p K^- K^+ K^-$	$b \rightarrow s \overline{s} s \ (T + P)$	$\Lambda^0_b \to (\Lambda^+_c \to p K^- \pi^+) \pi^-$	$b \rightarrow c \overline{u} d$ (T)
$\varXi^0_b \to p K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	$b \rightarrow u \overline{u} d \; (\mathrm{T} + \mathrm{P})$	$\Lambda^0_b \to (\Lambda^+_c \to p K^- \pi^+) \pi^-$	$b \rightarrow c \overline{u} d$ (T)
		$\Xi_b^0 \to (\Xi_c^+ \to p K^- \pi^+) \pi^-$	$b \rightarrow c \overline{u} d$ (T)
$\varXi^0_b \to p K^- \pi^+ K^-$	$b \rightarrow s \overline{d} d / b \rightarrow u \overline{u} s$ ( P / T)	$\Lambda^0_b \to (\Lambda^+_c \to p K^- \pi^+) \pi^-$	$b \rightarrow c \overline{u} d$ (T)
		$\Xi_b^0 \to (\Xi_c^+ \to p K^- \pi^+) \pi^-$	$b \rightarrow c \overline{u} d$ (T)
Signal channels		Control channels	
<i>u</i>	→ <i>u</i>	<i>u</i>	
$\Lambda^0 d$ —	<b>→</b> d	40 V 1	







CPV in 
$$\Lambda_b^0(\Xi_b^0) \rightarrow ph^-h^+h^-$$
  
is simultaneous fit to 6 decay modes  
Example:  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow pk^-\pi^+\pi^-$   

$$\int_{0}^{0} \int_{0}^{0} \int_{0}^{0}$$

CPV in  $\Lambda_h^0 \to p\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$ 

Nature Physics 13, 391–396 (2017)

Measurement of matter-antimatter differences in beauty baryon decays

Run I 3/fb

Phys. Rev. D 102 (2020) 051101

Search for *CP* violation and observation of *P* violation in  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow p \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$  decays

Run I+II (2011-2017) 6.6/fb

CPV in 
$$\Lambda_b^0 \to p\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$$

□ Search for CPV with scalar triple-product asymmetries,  $\hat{T}$  flips the direction of fir state momenta and spin

$$C_{\widehat{T}} \equiv \vec{p}_p \cdot (\vec{p}_{h_1} \times \vec{p}_{h_2}), \ \overline{C}_{\widehat{T}} \equiv \vec{p}_{\overline{p}} \cdot (\vec{p}_{\overline{h}_1} \times \vec{p}_{\overline{h}_2})$$

**D** Data divided into 4 subsamples:  $C_{\hat{T}} > 0, C_{\hat{T}} < 0, -\overline{C_{\hat{T}}} > 0, -\overline{C_{\hat{T}}} < 0$ 

$$A_{\widehat{T}}(C_{\widehat{T}}) = \frac{N(C_{\widehat{T}} > 0) - N(C_{\widehat{T}} < 0)}{N(C_{\widehat{T}} > 0) + N(C_{\widehat{T}} < 0)} \qquad \overline{A}_{\widehat{T}}(\overline{C}_{\widehat{T}}) = \frac{\overline{N}(-\overline{C}_{\widehat{T}} > 0) - \overline{N}(-\overline{C}_{\widehat{T}} < 0)}{\overline{N}(-\overline{C}_{\widehat{T}} > 0) + \overline{N}(-\overline{C}_{\widehat{T}} < 0)}$$

 $\square A_{\hat{T}}$  and  $\bar{A}_{\hat{T}}$  are not clean CPV observables, FSI effects can introduce fake asymmetries.  $\square$  Define the clean CP-violating observable:

Does not require a non-zero strong phase difference!

Both strong phase and weak phase differences are needed

С

Particle,  $C_T > 0$ 

Π

Particle,  $C_T < 0$ 

IV

Anti-Particle,  $-C_{T} < 0$ 

Anti-Particle,  $-C_{T}$ >

CPV in  $\Lambda_b^0 \to p\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$ 

CPV integrated over the whole phase space:

$\triangleright$	$a_{CP}^{T-odd}$	= (	(-0.7	$\pm 0.7$	± 0.2)%
------------------	------------------	-----	-------	-----------	---------



- Asymmetries for different binning scheme:
  - → A: 16 bins of polar and azimuthal angle of proton and  $\Delta^{++}(\rightarrow p\pi^+)$
  - > B: asymmetries as a function of  $|\Phi|$  angle
  - ► 1:  $m(p\pi^{-}\pi^{+}) > 2.8 GeV$ , dominated by  $a_1(1260)$
  - $\succ$  2: *m*(*p*π<sup>−</sup>π<sup>+</sup>) < 2.8*GeV*, dominated by *N*<sup>\*+</sup>



CPV in 
$$\Lambda_b^0 \to p\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$$

□ Energy test is a model-independent unbinned test sensitive to local differences between two samples □ Provide superior discriminating power between different samples than traditional  $\chi^2$  test

$$T \equiv \frac{1}{2n(n-1)} \sum_{i\neq j}^{n} \psi_{ij} + \frac{1}{2\bar{n}(\bar{n}-1)} \sum_{i\neq j}^{\bar{n}} \psi_{ij} - \frac{1}{n\bar{n}} \qquad \Box \quad \psi_{ij} = e^{-d_{ij}^2/2\delta^2} : d_{ij} \text{ is their Euclidean distance in phase space, } \delta \text{ the distance scale probed using the energy test}$$

□ The p-value is calculated using a permutation method

Distance scale $\delta$	$1.6 \ { m GeV^2}/c^4$	$2.7~{ m GeV^2}/c^4$	$13 \ { m GeV^2}/c^4$	marginally consistent with
p-value (CP conservation, P even)	$3.1  imes 10^{-2}$	$2.7 imes10^{-3}$	$1.3  imes 10^{-2}$	the CP-conserving
p-value ( $CP$ conservation, $P$ odd)	$1.5  imes 10^{-1}$	$6.9 imes10^{-2}$	$6.5 imes10^{-2}$	8
p-value ( $P$ conservation)	$1.3  imes 10^{-7}$	$4.0  imes 10^{-7}$	$1.6  imes 10^{-1}$	

 $\square$  A new test is statistic is defined as  $Q = p_1 p_2 p_3$ , significance for CPV <  $3\sigma$ 

CPV in  $\Lambda_h^0 \to pD^0[K^+\pi^-]K^-$ 

Phys. Rev. D104 (2021) 112008

## Studies of beauty baryon decays to $D^0ph^-$ and $\Lambda_c^+h^-$ final states

Run I+II 9/fb

$$\operatorname{CPV} \operatorname{in} \Lambda_b^0 \to p D^0 [K^+ \pi^-] K^-$$

 $\Box \Lambda_b^0 \to p D^0 [K^+ \pi^-] K^- \text{ receives contributions from } b \to c \text{ (DCS) and} \\ b \to u \text{ of similar magnitude}$ 

□ The interference between these two amplitudes is expected to be large

□ Interference is anticipated to be amplified in  $\Lambda^*(pK^-)$  region



$$\left| \frac{\mathcal{M}(B^- \to K^- D^0[\to f])}{\mathcal{M}(B^- \to K^- \overline{D}^0[\to f])} \right|^2 \approx \left| \frac{V_{cb} V_{us}^*}{V_{ub} V_{cs}^*} \right|^2 \left| \frac{a_1}{a_2} \right|^2 \frac{Br(D^0 \to f)}{Br(\overline{D}^0 \to f)} \approx \\ \approx \left| \frac{0.22}{0.08} \right|^2 \left| \frac{1}{0.26} \right|^2 0.0077 \sim 1 ,$$

□ Asymmetry in the full PHSP:  $A_{CP} = 0.12 \pm 0.09^{+0.02}_{-0.03}$ 

□ Asymmetry in the low  $M(pK^{-})$  region:  $A_{CP} = 0.01 \pm 0.16^{+0.03}_{-0.02}$ 

Consistent with CP conservation!

CPV in  $\Lambda_b^0 \to pK^-\mu^+\mu^-$ 

JHEP 06 (2017) 108

# Observation of the decay $\Lambda_b^0 \to p K^- \mu^+ \mu^-$ and a search for CP violation

Run I: 3/fb

CPV in  $\Lambda_h^0 \to p K^- \mu^+ \mu^-$ 

□ Search for CPV in FCNC process

Dominated by loop diagrams

□ new heavy particles could provide additional weak phases

□ sensitive to CPV effects from physics beyond the SM

 $\Box \text{ direct CP asymmetry:} \\ \Delta A_{CP} = A_{CP} (\Lambda_b^0 \to pK^-\mu^+\mu^-) - A_{CP} (\Lambda_b^0 \to pK^-J/\psi)$ 





CPV in  $\Xi_h^- \to pK^-K^+$ 

Phys. Rev. D 104, 052010

## Search for $C\!P$ violation in $\varXi^-_b \to p K^- K^-$ decays

Run I: 3/fb Run II: 2/fb (2015-2016)

CPV in  $\Xi_b^- \to pK^-K^-$ 

- Charmless  $b \rightarrow u, b \rightarrow s$  transition
- Study CPV over PHSP using model dependent amplitude analysis



Approximately 685 candidates with a purity of 67% are retained for amplitude analysis

CPV in  $\Xi_b^- \to pK^-K^+$ 



Component	$A^{CP}~(10^{-2})$
$\Sigma(1385)$	$-27 \pm 34 \; (\text{stat}) \pm 73 \; (\text{syst})$
$\Lambda(1405)$	$-1 \pm 24 \; (\text{stat}) \pm 32 \; (\text{syst})$
$\Lambda(1520)$	$-5 \pm 9 \text{ (stat)} \pm 8 \text{ (syst)}$
$\Lambda(1670)$	$3 \pm 14 \text{ (stat)} \pm 10 \text{ (syst)}$
$\Sigma(1775)$	$-47 \pm 26 \; (\text{stat}) \pm 14 \; (\text{syst})$
$\Sigma(1915)$	$11 \pm 26 \text{ (stat)} \pm 22 \text{ (syst)}$

No evidence of CPV, larger samples are needed.

CPV in 
$$\Lambda_c^0 \to pK^-K^+/p\pi^-\pi^+$$

JHEP 03 (2018) 182  
**A measurement of the** *CP*  
**asymmetry difference between**  

$$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK^-K^+$$
 and  $p\pi^-\pi^+$  decays  
Run I: 3/fb

- complementary to measurements in *b*-hadrons
- CPV only occur in SCS decays at the  $O(10^{-3})$  level
- FSI, NP and SU(3)F breaking could enhance the CPV

$$\delta_{V_{\rm CKM}} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{8}\lambda^4 & 0 & 0\\ \frac{1}{2}A^2\lambda^5(1-2(\rho+i\eta)) & -\frac{1}{8}\lambda^4(1+4A^2) & 0\\ \frac{1}{2}A\lambda^5(\rho+i\eta) & \frac{1}{2}A\lambda^4(1-2(\rho+i\eta)) & -\frac{1}{2}A^2\lambda^4 \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^6)$$



Search for CPV in cabibbo suppress decay  $\Lambda_c^0 \rightarrow pK^-K^+/p\pi^-\pi^+$ 



 $\Delta A_{CP}^{wgt} = A_{CP}(pK^-K^+) - A_{CP}(p\pi^-\pi^+)$ = (0.30 ± 0.91 ± 0.61)%

CPV in  $\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow p K^- \pi^+$ 

Eur. Phys. J. C 2020, 80, 986

#### Search for *CP* violation in $\Xi_c^+ ightarrow pK^-\pi^+$ decays using model-independent techniques <sub>Run I: 3/fb</sub>

CPV in 
$$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow pK^-\pi^+$$
 ( $S_{CP}$  method)

• Search for CPV using model independent binned/unbinned method



$$S_{CP}^{i} = \frac{n_{+}^{i} - \alpha n_{-}^{i}}{\sqrt{\alpha(n_{+}^{i} + n_{-}^{i})}}$$

 $\alpha = \frac{n_+}{n_-}$  account for production asymmetry

$$\chi^2 \equiv \Sigma (S_{CP}^i)^2$$

The p-values using  $\chi^2$  test are larger than 32% consistent with no evidence for CPV

#### CPV in $\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow p K^- \pi^+$ (kNN method)



no significant deviation from the hypothesis of CP symmetry

CPV in  $\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda \gamma$ 

Phys. Rev. D105 (2022) L051104

## Measurement of the photon polarization in $\Lambda^0_b\to\Lambda\gamma$ decays

Run II: 6/fb

CPV in  $\Lambda_h^0 \to \Lambda \gamma$ 

- FCNC decay is sensitive to new heavy particles in the loop
- Due to the chirality of the electroweak interaction, the photons produced in  $b(\overline{b})$  quark are predominantly left(right) handed polarized
  - $\alpha_{\gamma} = \frac{\gamma_L \gamma_R}{\gamma_L + \gamma_R}$
- A discrepancy in the absolute value of the photon polarization in b and  $\overline{b}$  decays would be a hint of CP asymmetry



Distribution of  $cos\theta_p$  for  $\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda\gamma$  and  $\overline{\Lambda}_b^0 \to \overline{\Lambda}\gamma$  decays

 $\alpha_{\gamma} = 0.82 \pm 0.23 \pm 0.13$  $\alpha_{\gamma}(\Lambda_b^0) = 0.55 \pm 0.32 \pm 0.10$  $\alpha_{\gamma}(\overline{\Lambda}_b^0) = 1.26 \pm 0.42 \pm 0.20$ 

consistent with CP symmetry