



MSSM Higgs search via bb decay at CMS experiment with

Run 2016 Ultra Legacy proton proton collision data

<u>Nazima Bi</u>, Ye Chen Institute of High Energy Physics, CAS Beijing

China LHC Physics Conference(CLHCP), Qingdao 11/15/2024





Motivation

- Data samples
- **Triggers**
- $\hfill\square$ Event selection
- □ Data / MC comparison
- □ Signal model
- □ Background model
- □ Systematic uncertainties
- □ Results & Interpretation
- □ Summary





Motivation



□ Heavy neutral Higgs bosons predicted in many BSM extensions e.g. 2HDM and MSSM

enhanced b-couplings in various scenarios of these models

 $\hfill\square$ Search for degenerate H and A in higher mass region:

- dominant decay mode $H/A \rightarrow bb^{-}$
- $\hfill\square$ b-associated production:
 - cross section enhanced by ~2tan2\$ in MSSM and up to 2tan2\$ in 2HDM
 - better background control when require at least 3 b jets

□ Main challenge: huge background rate from QCD multijet production

□ Run1+Run2 analyses achieved the best sensitivity in this channel to date :

aim to do parallel analysis with 13 TeV data







Analysis Strategy



- □ Focus on neutral Higgs bosons A/H
- Dedicated triggers requiring two online b-tagged jets
 - largely reduce rates of QCD events
- Event offline selection asks at least 3 jets to be b-tagged
- □ Search for a peak in dijet invariant mass (M12)
 - two leading pT b jets likely originate from Higgs
- □ Signal shape parametrized from MC
- Unified treatments to signal and background MC samples
 - correction and reshaping/calibration/scaling
- □ Full validation of background description
 - control trigger with little probability of signal production.
- Separate estimation of online selection impacts:
 - unified usage of bit selection.
 - online efficiency correction validation with defined control region.









Data & MC samples





Data Samples



Background

Data Samples

- □ Analysis performed on 35.7/fb at 13 TeV
 - golden JSON data collected in 2016
- □ Three datasets used (UL)
 - BTagCSV
 - JetHT
- □ Monte Carlo samples
- □ Signal events simulated with Powheg at 23

different higgs mass points.

- QCD
- Single Top
- Top pair production
- WJets
- ZJets
- DYJets







CMS





Triggers











Physics triggers for analysis (unprescaled)

HLT DoubleJetsC100 DoubleBTagCSV p026 DoublePFJetsC160

HLT DoubleJetsC100 DoubleBTagCSV p014 DoublePFJetsC100MaxDeta1p6

□ Control triggers (prescaled)

HLT PFJet140 v*

□ Two type of a control triggers (Background modeling):

- HLT PFJet200 v* for mass range (900,1400)GeV
- HLT PFJet260 v* for mass range (1400,2000) GeV







Event Selection







Event Selection



Signal Region

- Physics trigger bit
- □ 3 leading pT "Jets" loose ID
- □ 1st and 2nd jets
 - pT ≥ 170 GeV
 - |n| ≤ 2.1
 - Medium b tag WP

3rd jet

- pT > 170 GeV
- |η| ≤ 2.1
- Medium b-tag WP

Control Region

- $\hfill\square$ Physics trigger bit
- □ 3 leading pT "Jets" loose ID
- □ 1st and 2nd jets
 - pT > 170 GeV
 - |ŋ| < 2.1
 - Medium b tag WP
- 🛛 3rd jet
 - pT > 170 GeV
 - |ŋ| < 2.1
 - Medium b-tag WP







Signal Model







Signal Shape



- Signal shape (M12) from MC for mass of 400 2000 GeV
- □ Fitting Model: Novosibirsk function describes

Higgs peaks



Figure: Fitted Invariant Mass spectrum with Novosibirsk function





Data & MC Comparison







Data MC Comparison: 2 Jets



Data MC comparison of the vector sum of two leading jet invariant mass spectrum using control trigger and 2 offline leading jet criteria



Figure: Data MC comparison plots for 2016APV (left) and 2016 (right)



CLHCP 2024

Control Region with Pre-scaled

- Data MC comparison of the vector sum of two leading jet invariant mass spectrum using control trigger
- □ B-tagging scale factors are applied to correct for differences between the simulation and



Figure: Data MC comparison plots for 2016APV (left) and 2016 (right)

□ conclusion:

- Improvements in Data/MC agreement in 2bNo3b control region
- However background re-modeling still needed.

















\Box QCD Re-modeling: Reweight function



QCD reweighted from data in control region taken by control trigger

 $ReweightFunction = rac{N_{SignalRegionQCDMC(MSSMtriggered withall corrections)}}{N_{ControlRegionMC(PFJettriggered withall corrections)}}$

 $N_{QCD(SignalRegion)} = RF * N_{datafromControlRegion(PFJettriggered)}$









Figure: Comparison of background remodeling to simulation

□ Background re-modeling with QCD from prescaled data.

Event by event unbinning reweight has been performed for QCD components

Nazima

CLHCP 2024





Systematic Uncertainty





Major Systematic Uncertainty



 $\hfill\square$ There are many source of systematics:

Experimental Uncertainties:

- Luminosity: Relates to the precision of luminosity measurement in data.
- Kinematical Trigger: Arises from the efficiency of triggers based on particle kinematics.
- Pileup: Involves uncertainties due to overlapping events in the detector, especially at high collision rates.
- Jet: Involves uncertainties in jet energy scale, resolution, and reconstruction in the detector.
- BtagSF (B-tagging Scale Factor): Refers to the calibration of the b-tagging algorithm and how well it performs in data vs. simulation.
- □ Simulated Sample Uncertainty:
 - Background Modeling: Refers to the accuracy of background predictions, often derived from simulated processes or data-driven methods.
- These uncertainties are incorporated into the final fitting model to ensure accurate measurements and interpretations.





Prefit Distributions





Figure: Prefit Distributions together with Data/BKG comparison. 2016APV(Left); 2016(Right)



CLHCP 2024





ahahahahahahahaha

ահահահահահահահահ

IIIIII

2400

Year2016, 13 TeV, pp collision

Signal

Background

Signal: Syst.+ Stat.

Background: Syst. + Stat.

1800

2000

Background: Syst.

1600

Signal: Syst.

Data

Private work (CMS data), Postfit

CMS



Figure: Postfit Distributions together with Data/BKG comparison. 2016APV(Left); 2016(Right)

400

600

800

1000

1200

Invariant Mass of two Leading Jets (GeV)

1400

Nazima (IHEP)

CLHCP 2024

22 11/15/2024



Year2016 Combined



□ Upper limits of production cross section of MSSM Higgs.



Conculsion

No signal is observed

Nazima

CLHCP 2024







- presented a search for high mass Higgs bosons in association with b quarks,
 - specifically decaying into a b-quark pair, using the 2016 Ultra-legacy dataset
 - collected at 13 TeV
- This analysis was conducted using a very conservative method, which has impacted the overall sensitivity and results.
- study contributes to the ongoing effort to explore BSM extensions and enhances our understanding of high mass Higgs bosons



















BACKUP









Two Higgs Doublet Model



- Two Higgs Doublet Models (2HDM) extend Higgs sector beyond the SM by including
- two complex Higgs doublets, leads to 5 physical Higgs bosons

H+, H-, A (CP-odd), H and h (CP-even)

□ There are 4 types of 2HDM which lead to natural flavor conservation, they differ in the way how 2 Higgs doublet fields couple to SM particles



□ MSSM has the same Higgs sector structure as 2HDM type-II

• described with two free parameters m_A and $\tan\beta \left(\frac{\nu_u}{\nu_d}\right)$ at tree leve





Physics objects



- □ Standard primary vertex (PV) identification:
 - offline slimmed primary vertex
 - |z| < 24 cm, |dxy| < 2cm (w.r.t. beam spot), n.d.o.f. in vertex fit > 4
 - not fake vertex
- Standard particle-flow (PF) anti-kT (R=0.4) jets with pile-up correction
 - slimmedJets: charged-hadron subtraction + per-event pile-up energy density and jet area ('L1FastJet correction') corrections
 - particle-jet based L2L3 corrections and (data only) L2L3Residual corrections
 - pT > 10 GeV
 - looseID
- b-tagging: combined secondary vertex (CSVv2) algorithm with medium working point





Signal Shape





Figure: Fitted Parameters of the Novosibirsk functions for all Higgs mass points



CLHCP 2024





Figure: Offline selection cut flows with QCD and other background under each of MSSM Higgs samples



CMS

11/15/2024 30





Trigger efficiency is measured with control triggered datasets
 trigger efficiency has been defined



Figure: Rapid Trigger Efficiency Estimation or Year2016APV (left) and Year2016 (right)



CMS

MSSMHbb Triggered: Signal Region



- □ Comparison of the vector sum of two leading jet invariant mass spectrum
- MSSM Hbb trigger "HLT DoubleJetsC100 DoubleBTagCSV p026 DoublePFJetsC160 v*" and
 3 offline leading b-tagging jet criteria
- □ B-tagging scale factors are applied to correct for differences between the simulation and





Control Region with MSSMHbb Trigger



- □ MSSM Hbb trigger "HLT DoubleJetsC100 DoubleBTagCSV p026 DoublePFJetsC160 v*" and
 - 2 offline leading b-tagging jets plus 3 nonb-tagging jet criteria
- □ B-tagging scale factors are applied to correct for differences between the simulation and



Figure: Data MC comparison plots for 2016APV (left) and 2016 (right)



MSSMHbb Triggered: Signal Region (MC only)



- □ Comparison of the vector sum of two leading jet invariant mass spectrum
- MSSM Hbb trigger "HLT DoubleJetsC100 DoubleBTagCSV p026 DoublePFJetsC160 v*" and
 3 offline leading b-tagging jet criteria
- □ B-tagging scale factors are applied to correct for differences between the simulation and



Figure: Comparison plots for 2016APV (left) and 2016 (right)







□ MSSMHbb Triggered: remodeled Year2016APV signal region.



□ Background re-modeling with QCD from prescaled data.

□ Event by event unbinning reweight has been performed for QCD components.





Data MC Comparison: 2b Jets



- Data MC comparison of the vector sum of two leading b-tagging jet invariant mass spectrum
- □ control trigger HLT PFJet140 v*and 2 offline leading b-tagging jet criteria
- □ B-tagging scale factors are applied to correct for differences between the simulation and



Figure: Data MC comparison plots for 2016APV (left) and 2016 (right)







QCD Re-modeling: Reweight function (Year2016)



mass region	[0,900] GeV	[900,1400]GeV	[1400,2400] GeV
control trigger	HLT_PFJet140_v*	HLT_PFJet200_v*	HLT_PFJet260_v*
additional requirement	-	leading jet pt>230GeV	leading jet pt>280 GeV
		2nd jet pt>230GeV	2nd jet pt>280 GeV







□ Control region by control trigger (Year2016APV)



mass region	[0,900] GeV	[900,1400]GeV	[1400,2400] GeV
control trigger	HLT_PFJet140_v*	HLT_PFJet200_v*	HLT_PFJet260_v*
additional requirement	2	leading jet pt>230GeV	leading jet pt>280 GeV
		2nd jet pt>230GeV	2nd jet pt>280 GeV







□ MSSMHbb Triggered: remodeled Year2016 signal region.



- □ Background re-modeling with QCD from prescaled data.
- Event by event unbinning reweight has been performed for QCD
 - components





Nuisance Parameters: JEC

Year2016



Year2016APV



□ Following JETMET POG official recommendation:

https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMSPublic/WorkBookJetEnergyCorre ctions#JetCorUncertainties

Nazima

CLHCP 2024



Nuisance Parameters: JEC



Year2016APV



Year2016

CMS Simulation



Nuisance Parameters: JEC

Year2016

9

Year2016APV



□ QCD Reweighting function derived with JEC variance.

□ top-related, W+jets, DY and Z+jets from simulation.



Nuisance Parameters: btag SFbc Correlated

Year2016APV



Year2016

CMS Simulation

Nuisance Parameters: btag SFbc Correlated



Year2016APV





CMS Simulation



Nuisance Parameters: btag SFbc Correlated



Year2016APV



Year2016

13 TeV, pp collision

ingle

Z+jets

bTagSFbcCorrelated

bTagSFbcCorrelat

QCD: PS Control reweighted

QCD Reweighting function derived with bTagHf variance.

□ top-related, W+jets, DY and Z+jets from simulation.



Nuisance Parameters: btag SFbc Uncorrelated

Year2016APV



Year2016

CMS Simulation

Nuisance Parameters: btag SFbc Uncorrelated



Year2016APV



Year2016

CMS Simulation





Nuisance Parameters: btag SFbc Uncorrelated



Year2016APV



Year2016



□ QCD Reweighting function derived with bTagLf variance.

□ top-related, W+jets, DY and Z+jets from simulation.

Nazima

CMS

CLHCP 2024

Nuisance Parameters: BKGFit

CMS

Year2016APV



Year2016



QCD Reweighting functions derived with retriving fitting errors.
 top-related, W+jets, DYand Z+jets from simulation.

CMS Postfit Distributions with Signal injected Asimov: Signal Uncertainties



50

11/15/2024



CLHCP 2024

PostFitShapesFromWorkspace, withoption '-freeze'

Nazima (IHEP)

CMS Postfit Distributions with Signal injected Asimov: Background Uncertainties





PostFitShapesFromWorkspace, withoption '-freeze'



CLHCP 2024



Upper Limits

Year2016



Year2016APV

Private work (CMS data)



Figure: Year 2016 APV and Year 2016 upper limits of production cross section of MSSM Higgs.



CLHCP 2024



Signal+Background Asimov Toy



Background Only Asimov Toy



- best fit of background only Asimov toy agrees with inputs, r(cross-section):
 0.0190051 -0.0190051/+1.14692
- best fit of signal+background Asimov toy agrees with inputs, r(cross-section):
 2.80881 -2.80881/+1.22086



An example of Closure Test(Year2016)

Signal+Background Asimov Toy



Background Only Asimov Toy



- best fit of background only Asimov toy agrees with inputs, r(cross-section):
 0.0190051 -0.0190051/+1.14692
- best fit of signal+background Asimov toy agrees with inputs, r(cross-section):
 2.80881 2.80881/+1.22086



Impacts of Nuisance Parameters

Year2016



Year2016APV





Year2016 Combined



Impacts produced by signal injected Asimov datasets 2016 MSSM Hbb Ultra-Legacy signal MC samples as inputs

