Higgs pair production and Perturbative Corrections

Haitao Li (李海涛)





HTL, Si, Wang, Zhang, Zhao, *arXiv*:2407.14716 HTL, Si, Wang, Zhang, Zhao, <u>JHEP 04 (2024) 002</u>

第四届量子场论及其应用研讨会

广州 2024.11.17





from tikz.net



measurements are crucial for refining our understanding of Higgs mechanism







Higgs self-coupling is a crucial parameter for Higgs potential and electroweak symmetry

$$V(H) = -\mu^2 H^{\dagger} H + \lambda^{\text{SM}} \left(H^{\dagger} H\right)^2 \qquad \lambda_{\text{SM}} = \frac{m_h^2}{2\nu^2}$$

Shape of the potential connected to the phase transition of the early universe from the unbroken to the broken electroweak symmetry

- with additional scalar particles (SUSY, additional singlets, etc)
- whether the Higgs is composite
- with first-order electroweak phase transition

The precise measurement of the Higgs self-coupling is one of top priorities of the current and future high-energy collider experiments

A deviation of the potential from the SM would directly point to new physics



Self-coupling can be directly studied via HH production processes



For VHH production at NNLO, see arXiv:1607.06382 and arXiv:1710.02464 by HTL, C.S.Li and J.Wang



Micco, Gouzevitch, Vernieri, Alison, arXiv:1910.00012



Higgs self-coupling can be measured indirectly (loop effects, HVV coupling, et al)



Single Higgs production and decay arXiv:1607.04251

McCullough, arXiv:1312.3322 Gorbahn Haisch, arXiv:1607.03773 Huang, Long, Wang, arXiv:1608.06619





At the LHC

explored in the ggF and VBF *I* production processes.

ATLAS, arXiv: 2406.09971



combination of single and double Higgs boson production

CMS, arXiv: 2407.13554

Hypothesis Other couplings Floating (κ_V , κ_2 , Floating (κ_V , κ_t , Floating (κ_V , κ_2 ,

	Best fit κ_{λ} value $\pm 1\sigma$		2σ interval	
	Expected	Observed	Expected	Observed
s fixed to the SM prediction	$1.0^{+4.6}_{-1.7}$	$3.1^{+3.0}_{-3.0}$	[-2.0, 7.7]	[-1.2, 7.5]
κ_{V}, κ_{f})	$1.0^{+4.7}_{-1.8}$	$4.5\substack{+1.8 \\ -4.7}$	[-2.2, 7.8]	[-1.7, 7.7]
$(\kappa_{\rm b},\kappa_{\rm \tau})$	$1.0^{+4.8}_{-1.8}$	$4.7\substack{+1.7\\-4.1}$	[-2.3, 7.7]	[-1.4, 7.8]
$\kappa_{\rm V}, \kappa_{\rm t}, \kappa_{\rm b}, \kappa_{\tau}, \kappa_{\mu})$	$1.0^{+4.8}_{-1.8}$	$4.7^{+1.7}_{-4.2}$	[-2.3, 7.8]	[-1.4, 7.8]



At future colliders

Uncertainty scenario	<i>κ</i> _λ 68% CI	<i>κ</i> _λ 95% CI	
No syst. unc.	[0.1, 2.6]	[-0.5, 6.4]	HL-
Baseline	[-0.5, 6.1]	[-1.6, 7.5]	
Theoretical unc. halved	[-1.2, 6.9]	[-2.6, 8.5]	HE-
Run 2 syst. unc.	[-1.2, 6.9]	[-2.8, 8.5]	





[1] Glover, van der Bij 88; [2] Dawson, Dittmaier, Spira 98; [3] Shao, Li, Li, Wang 13; [4] Grigo, Hoff, Melnikov, Steinhauser 13; [5] de Florian, Mazzitelli 13; [6] Grigo, Melnikov, Steinhauser 14; [7] Grigo, Hoff 14; [8] Maltoni, Vryonidou, Zaro 14; [9] Grigo, Hoff, Steinhauser 15; [10] de Florian, Grazzini, Hanga, Kallweit, Lindert, Maierhöfer, Mazzitelli, Rathlev 16; [11] Borowka, Greiner, Heinrich, SPJ, Kerner, Schlenk, Schubert, Zirke 16; [12] Borowka, Greiner, Heinrich, SPJ, Kerner, Schlenk, Zirke 16; [13] Ferrera, Pires 16; [14] Heinrich, SPJ, Kerner, Luisoni, Vryonidou 17; [15] SPJ, Kuttimalai 17; [16] Gröber, Maier, Rauh 17; [17] Baglio, Campanario, Glaus, Mühlleitner, Spira, Streicher 18; [18] Grazzini, Heinrich, SPJ, Kallweit, Kerner, Lindert, Mazzitelli 18; [19] de Florian, Mazzitelli 18; [20] Bonciani, Degrassi, Giardino, Gröber 18; [21] Davies, Mishima, Steinhauser, Wellmann 18, 18; [22] Mishima 18; [23] Gröber, Maier, Rauh 19; [24] Davies, Heinrich, SPJ, Kerner, Mishima, Steinhauser 19, [25] Davies, Steinhauser 19, [26] Chen, Li, Shao, Wang 19, 19; 27] Davies, Herren, Mishima, Steinhauser 19, 21; [28] Baglio, Campanario, Glaus, Mühlleitner, Ronca, Spira 21; [29] Bellafronte, Degrassi, Giardino, Gröber, Vitti 22; [30] Davies, Mishima, Schönwald, Steinhauser, Zhang 22; [31] Davies, Mishima, Schönwald, Steinhauser 23; [32] Davies, Schönwald, Steinhauser, Vitti 24; [38] Heinrich, SPJ, Kerner, Stone, Vestner [39] Li, Si, Wang, Zhao 24

gg2HH

taken from Spira's talk at Higgs 2024









Improving results by matching

□ replace the EFT tree amplitude to one-loop ones in full theory

□ reweight loop amplitudes with

large top quark mass effects









Improving results by matching

□ replace the EFT tree amplitude to one-loop ones in full theory

□ reweight loop amplitudes with











Improving results by matching

□ replace the EFT tree amplitude to one-loop ones in full theory

□ reweight loop amplitudes with

large top quark mass effects



schemes by reweighing the differential cross section

$$\begin{split} & \boldsymbol{\boxtimes} \ N^{k}LO \oplus N^{l}LO_{m_{t}}: \text{ add the difference} \\ & d\sigma^{\mathbf{N}^{k}\mathbf{LO} \oplus \mathbf{N}^{l}\mathbf{LO}_{m_{t}}} = d\sigma_{m_{t}}^{\mathbf{N}^{l}\mathbf{LO}} + \Delta\sigma_{m_{t} \to \infty}^{k,l} \\ & \boldsymbol{\boxtimes} \ N^{k}LO_{B-i} \oplus N^{l}LO_{m_{t}}: \text{ weighted by } LO_{m_{t}} \text{ cross section} \\ & d\sigma^{\mathbf{N}^{k}\mathbf{LO}_{B-i} \oplus \mathbf{N}^{l}\mathbf{LO}_{m_{t}}} = d\sigma_{m_{t}}^{\mathbf{N}^{l}\mathbf{LO}} + \Delta\sigma_{m_{t} \to \infty}^{k,l} \frac{d\sigma_{m_{t}}^{\mathbf{LO}}}{d\sigma_{m_{t} \to \infty}^{\mathbf{LO}}} \\ & \boldsymbol{\boxtimes} \ N^{k}LO \otimes N^{l}LO_{m_{t}}: \text{ weighted by } N^{l}LO_{m_{t}} \text{ cross section} \\ & d\sigma^{\mathbf{N}^{k}\mathbf{LO} \otimes \mathbf{N}^{l}\mathbf{LO}_{m_{t}}} = d\sigma_{m_{t}}^{\mathbf{N}^{l}\mathbf{LO}} \frac{d\sigma_{m_{t} \to \infty}^{\mathbf{N}^{k}\mathbf{LO}}}{d\sigma_{m_{t} \to \infty}^{\mathbf{N}^{l}\mathbf{LO}}} = d\sigma_{m_{t}}^{\mathbf{N}^{l}\mathbf{LO}} + \Delta\sigma_{m_{t} \to \infty}^{k,l} \frac{d\sigma_{m_{t}}^{\mathbf{N}^{l}\mathbf{LO}}}{d\sigma_{m_{t} \to \infty}^{\mathbf{N}^{l}\mathbf{LO}}} \,. \end{split}$$

Chen, HTL, Shao, Wang, arXiv:1909.06808, arXiv:1912.13001

inclusive total cross sections



Di-Higgs decay with a measurement function F_{I}

Cross section for Di-Higgs production and decay

$$\sigma_{\text{pro}+\text{dec}(X_1,X_2)}^{(n)} = \left(\sigma_{\text{pro}} \frac{1}{\Gamma_{H_1 \to X_1}} \frac{1}{\Gamma_{H_2 \to X_2}} \Gamma_{\text{dec}(X_1,X_2)} \bigg|_{\text{expanded to } \alpha_s^n} \right) \times R(H_1 \to X_1) R(H_2 \to X_2) \,.$$

expanded in series of α_s

NLO corrections as sum of corrections to production and decay individually

$$\sigma^{(1)}_{\rm pro+dec(b\bar{b},\gamma\gamma)} = \sigma^{\rm pro(1)}_{\rm pro+dec(b\bar{b},\gamma\gamma)} + \sigma^{\rm dec(1)}_{\rm pro+dec(b\bar{b},\gamma\gamma)}$$

production part
$$\sigma_{\text{pro+dec}(b\bar{b},\gamma\gamma)}^{\text{pro}(1)} = \sigma_{\text{pro}}^{(1)} \frac{1}{\Gamma_{H_1 \to b\bar{b}}^{(0)}} \frac{1}{\Gamma_{H_2 \to \gamma\gamma}^{(0)}} \Gamma_{\text{dec}(b\bar{b},\gamma\gamma)}^{(0)} \times R(H_1 \to b\bar{b})R(H_2 \to \gamma\gamma)$$

$$\Gamma_{\rm dec} \equiv \int d\Gamma_{H_1} \int d\Gamma_{H_2} \ F_J$$

HTL, Si, Wang, Zhang, Zhao, JHEP 04 (2024) 002 12/19



 $\sigma_{\rm pro+dec(b\bar{b},\gamma\gamma)}^{\rm dec(1)} = \sigma_{\rm pro}^{(0)} \frac{1}{\Gamma_{H_1 \to b\bar{b}}^{(0)}} \frac{1}{\Gamma_{H_2 \to \gamma\gamma}^{(0)}} \Gamma_{\rm dec(b\bar{b},\gamma\gamma)}^{(0)} \left(\frac{J}{J}\right)$

	without decays	with decays but no cuts		with decays and cuts	
		$\mathrm{LO}^{\mathrm{dec}}$	$\delta \mathrm{NLO}^{\mathrm{dec}}$	$\mathrm{LO}^{\mathrm{dec}}$	$\delta \mathrm{NLO}^{\mathrm{dec}}$
$\mathrm{LO}^{\mathrm{pro}}_{\infty}$	$17.07^{+31\%}_{-22\%}$	$0.02257^{+31\%}_{-22\%}$	0	$0.01257^{+30\%}_{-22\%}$	$-0.00175^{+42\%}_{-28\%}$
$\mathrm{LO}_{m_t}^{\mathrm{pro}}$	$19.85^{+28\%}_{-20\%}$	$0.02624^{+28\%}_{-20\%}$	0	$0.01395^{+27\%}_{-20\%}$	$-0.00261^{+39\%}_{-27\%}$
$\delta \mathrm{NLO}^\mathrm{pro}_\infty$	$14.86^{+6\%}_{-7\%}$	$0.01964^{+6\%}_{-7\%}$	_	$0.01064^{+6\%}_{-7\%}$	—
$\delta \mathrm{NLO}_{m_t}^\mathrm{pro}$	$13.08^{+4\%}_{-8\%}$	$0.01729^{+4\%}_{-8\%}$	_	$0.00914^{+4\%}_{-8\%}$	—
Full NLO result					
NLO_{∞}	$31.93^{+18\%}_{-15\%}$	$0.04221^{+18\%}_{-15\%}$		$0.02146^{+15\%}_{-14\%}$	
NLO_{m_t}	$32.93^{+14\%}_{-13\%}$	$0.04354^{+14\%}_{-13\%}$		$0.02047^{+10\%}_{-11\%}$	

HTL, Si, Wang, Zhang, Zhao, JHEP 04 (2024) 002 and in preparation

$$\frac{d\Gamma_{H_1 \to b\bar{b}}^{(1)} F_J}{d\Gamma_{H_1 \to b\bar{b}}^{(0)} F_J} - \frac{\Gamma_{H_1 \to b\bar{b}}^{(1)}}{\Gamma_{H_1 \to b\bar{b}}^{(0)}} \right) \times R(H_1 \to b\bar{b})R(H_2 \to \gamma\gamma)$$











EW corrections

Top-Yukawa-induced and Higgs self couplinginduced corrections



Muhlleitner, Schlenk, Spira arXiv:2207.02524 Davies, Mishima, Schonwald, Steinhauser, Zhang arXiv:2207.02587 Heinrich, Jones, Kerner, Stone, Vestner, arXiv:2407.04653

EW correction with $1/m_t$ expansion

Davies, Schönwald, Steinhauser, Zhang, 2308.01355

complete EW corrections obtained numerically

μ	$M_{HH}/2$	$\sqrt{p_T^2+m_H^2}$	m_{H}
LO	19.96(6)	21.11(7)	25.09(8)
NLO	19.12(6)	20.21(6)	23.94(8)
${\cal K}$ factor	0.958(1)	0.957(1)	0.954(1)

Bi, Huang, Huang, Ma, Yu, PRL, 2024 see Huai-Min Yu's talk on Tue.





O Higher-order EW corrections include Feynman diagrams with one or more triple Higgs or quadruple Higgs vertices.

O These corrections exhibit a distinct functional dependence on the Higgs self-coupling.

$$V^{\rm SM}(\Phi) = -\mu^2 (\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi) + \lambda (\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi)^2$$

EFT approach $V^{\text{NP}}(\Phi) \equiv \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{c_{2n}}{\Lambda^{2n-4}} \left(\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi - \frac{1}{2} v^2 \right)^n$ only depends on κ_3 and κ_4 linearly

$$\begin{split} \kappa_3 &\equiv \frac{\lambda_3}{\lambda_3^{\text{SM}}} = 1 + \frac{c_6 v^2}{\lambda \Lambda^2} \equiv 1 + \bar{c}_6, \\ \kappa_4 &\equiv \frac{\lambda_4}{\lambda_4^{\text{SM}}} = 1 + \frac{6c_6 v^2}{\lambda \Lambda^2} + \frac{4c_8 v^4}{\lambda \Lambda^4} \equiv 1 + 6\bar{c}_6 + \bar{c}_8. \end{split}$$

If one count the power exactly, cross section only depends on κ_3 and κ_4 linearly

Davies, Mishima, Schonwald, Steinhauser and Zhang arXiv:2207.02587





O Higher-order EW corrections include Feynman diagrams with one or more triple Higgs or quadruple Higgs vertices.

O These corrections exhibit a distinct functional dependence on the Higgs self-coupling.

$$V^{\text{SM}}(\Phi) = -\mu^2(\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi) + \lambda$$
EFT approach
$$V^{\text{NP}}(\Phi) \equiv \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{c_{2n}}{\Lambda^{2n-4}} \left(\Phi^{\dagger} \mathsf{d} \right)$$

$$\kappa_3 \equiv \frac{\lambda_3}{\lambda_3^{\text{SM}}} = 1 + \frac{c_6 v^2}{\lambda \Lambda^2} \equiv 1 + \bar{c}_6,$$

$$\kappa_4 \equiv \frac{\lambda_4}{\lambda_4^{\text{SM}}} = 1 + \frac{6c_6 v^2}{\lambda \Lambda^2} + \frac{4c_8 v^4}{\lambda \Lambda^4} \equiv 1 + 6\bar{c}_6 + \bar{c}_8.$$

Davies, Mishima, Schonwald, Steinhauser and Zhang arXiv:2207.02587





EW corrections

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\rm H} &= (D_{\mu}\phi_{0})^{\dagger}(D^{\mu}\phi_{0}) + \mu_{0}^{2}(\phi_{0}^{\dagger}\phi_{0}) - \lambda_{0}(\phi_{0}^{\dagger}\phi_{0})^{2}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{\rm H} &= \frac{1}{2}Z_{\phi}(\partial_{\mu}H)^{2} - \left(-\frac{1}{2}Z_{\mu^{2}}Z_{\phi}Z_{v}^{2}\mu^{2}v^{2} + \frac{1}{4}Z_{\lambda}Z_{\phi}^{2}Z_{v}^{4}\lambda v^{4}\right) - (Z_{\lambda}Z_{\phi}^{2}Z_{v}^{3}\lambda v^{3} - Z_{\mu^{2}}Z_{\phi}Z_{v}\mu^{2}v)H \\ &- \left(\frac{3}{2}Z_{\lambda}Z_{\phi}^{2}Z_{v}^{2}\lambda v^{2} - \frac{1}{2}Z_{\mu^{2}}Z_{\phi}\mu^{2}\right)H^{2} - \overline{Z_{\kappa_{3\rm H}}Z_{\lambda}Z_{\phi}^{2}Z_{v}\lambda_{3\rm H}vH^{3}} - \left[\frac{1}{4}Z_{\kappa_{4\rm H}}Z_{\lambda}Z_{\phi}^{2}\lambda_{4\rm H}H^{4} + \cdots, \right] \end{aligned}$$



 $\delta\sigma_{\rm ggF,EW}^{\kappa_{\lambda}} = (0.075\kappa_{\lambda_3}^4 - 0.158\kappa_{\lambda_3}^3 - 0.006\kappa_{\lambda_3}^2\kappa_{\lambda_4} - 0.058\kappa_{\lambda_3}^2 + 0.070\kappa_{\lambda_3}\kappa_{\lambda_4} - 0.149\kappa_{\lambda_4}) \text{ fb}$

 $\delta\sigma_{\rm VBF,EW}^{\kappa\lambda} = \left(0.0215\kappa_{\lambda_3}^4 - 0.0324\kappa_{\lambda_3}^3 - 0.0019\kappa_{\lambda_3}^2\kappa_{\lambda_4} - 0.0043\kappa_{\lambda_3}^2 + 0.0151\kappa_{\lambda_3}\kappa_{\lambda_4} - 0.0211\kappa_{\lambda_4}\right) \text{fb}$



EW corrections



OEW corrections include higher order terms in κ_{λ}

OLarge effects observed for large κ_{λ}

- The upper limit by the ATLAS and CMS 0 collaboration on κ_{λ} is 6.6 and 6.49
- With EW correction, the , the upper limit is 0 narrowed down to 5.4 and 5.37





- Measurement about Higgs self-coupling is a cornerstone for understanding electroweak 0 symmetry breaking and probing new physics.
- **O** A precision study for HH production and decay is required
- **O** A method proposed to extract the κ_{λ} dependence in the cross section
- A better constraint obtained after considering EW corrections O

Thank you!!

Conclusion

