## DiPion LCDAs and $H_{l4}$ decays

Shan Cheng (程山)

Hunan University

第四届量子场论及其应用研讨会 @ SCNU, Guangzhou

Nov 18, 2024

### Overview

- I LCDAs in the "hard" processes
- II Dipion LCDAs at leading twist
- III Semileptonic  $B_{l4}$  decays
- IV Conclusion and Prospect

- The conformal group is the maximal extension of Poincaré group that leaves the light-cone invariant
- the conformal algebra in four-dimension has 15 generators: translations  $P_{\mu}$ , Lorentz rotation  $M_{\mu\nu}$ , dilatation D and special conformal translation  $K_{\mu}$
- In the parton model, hadrons are replaced by a bunch of collinear partons, consider only the quantum field "living" on the light-ray Φ(x) → Φ(αn)
- $\circ~{f P}_+$ ,  ${f M}_{-+}$ ,  ${f D}_{}$ , and  ${f K}_-$ , collinear subalgebra of the conformal algebra
- $\circ~$  introduce  $\mathbf{L}_{\pm}=\mathbf{L}_{1}\pm i\mathbf{L}_{2}=-i\mathbf{P}_{+}(\mathbf{K}_{-}/2),~\mathbf{L}_{0}(\mathbf{E})=i/2(\mathbf{D}\pm\mathbf{M}_{-+})$  satisfying  $[\mathbf{L}_{0},\mathbf{L}_{\mp}]=\mp\mathbf{L}_{\mp}$  and  $[\mathbf{L}_{-},\mathbf{L}_{+}]=-2\mathbf{L}_{0}$
- E counts the collinear twist of the field  $\Phi$ :  $[E, \Phi(\alpha)] = (l s)/2\Phi(\alpha)$ , commutes with all  $L_i$
- the collinear twist (dimension spin projection on the plus direction) is different from the geometric twist (dimension - spin) in full conformal algebra respecting full Lorentz symmetry
- DAs of definite collinear twist are well understood by the classification in terms of geometric twist
- LCDAs is a use of conformal symmetry in massless QCD
- keep in mind that the conformal symmetry of a quantum theory implies a vanished  $\beta$ -function
- only the case for the free theory  $\alpha_s \rightarrow 0$  and in the limit it essentially reduces to the parton model (large momentum transfers and large energies)

- In the "hard" processes, a certain hadron can be described by LCDAs at different (collinear) twist
- The structure of perturbative prediction for light-cone dominated processes reveals the underlying conformal symmetry of the QCD L
- $\circ~$  pQCD is the calculation of the scale dependence (evolution equations) of physical observables
- $\circ~$  the evolution equations of DA, GPD can be understood as the RGE for the light-cone operators
- The conformal partial expansion of hadron distribution amplitudes
- o similar to partial-wave expansion of wave function in quantum mechanism
- o invariance of massless QCD under conformal trans. VS rotation symmetry
- $\circ$  longitudinal  $\otimes$  transversal dofs VS angular  $\otimes$  radial dofs for spherically symmetry potential
- o transversal-momentum dependence (scale dependence) is governed by the RGE
- longitudinal-momentum dependence (orthogonal polynomials) is described in terms of irreducible representations of the collinear subgroup of conformal group  $SL(2, R) \cong SU(1, 1) \cong SO(2, 1)$
- This expansion was instrumental for the proof of the QCD factorization for the elastic and transition form factors

#### • Example I Pion electromagnetic form factor

- N<sup>2</sup>LO factorization at leading power of  $\Lambda^2_{QCD}/Q^2$  expansion, the light-cone projections on the leading-twist-collinear operators [Chen<sup>2</sup>, Feng, Jia 2312.17228]
- rigorous two-loop computation of leading-twist contribution in the hard-collinear factorization [Ji, Shi, Wang<sup>3</sup>, Yu 2411.03658]



- $\circ~$  the N^2LO QCD correction to the short-distance coefficient function is enormous
- large uncertainty from  $a_2, a_4$  in pion meson LCDAs

- Example II Exclusive heavy-to-light decays  $B \rightarrow \pi l^+ \nu$  and  $B \rightarrow \rho l^+ \nu$
- $\circ~$  proportional to  $B \rightarrow \pi, \rho$  form factors obtained from LCSRs/LQCD
- $|V_{ub}|$  extracted from  $B^0 \to \pi^-, \rho^0 l^+ \nu$  has  $\sim 3\sigma$  deviation [Belle II 2407.17403]

$$\begin{split} |V_{ub}|_{B\to\pi l\nu} &= (3.93\pm0.19\pm0.13\pm0.19(\text{theo}))\times10^{-3} \quad [\text{LQCD}] \\ |V_{ub}|_{B\to\pi l\nu} &= (3.73\pm0.07\pm0.07\pm0.16(\text{theo}))\times10^{-3} \quad [\text{LQCD}+\text{LCSRs}] \\ |V_{ub}|_{B\to\rho l\nu} &= (3.19\pm0.12\pm0.18\pm0.26(\text{theo}))\times10^{-3} \quad [\text{LCSRs}] \end{split}$$

- LCSRs calculations do not consider the width effect of  $\rho$  in the  $\pi\pi$  invariant mass spectral or optimistically estimates the uncertainty from *B*-meson LCDAs
- The introduction of Dipion LCDAs and the 2πDAs LCSRs [SC, Khodjamirian, Virto 1709.0173, SC 1901.06071]
- $\circ~$  high partial waves give few percent contributions to  $B \to \pi\pi$  form factors
- $\circ~\rho^\prime,\rho^{\prime\prime}$  and NR background contribute  $\sim 20\%-30\%$  to  $\ensuremath{\textit{P}}\xspace$ -wave
- o qualitatively explains the  $|V_{ub}|$  tension obtained from  $B \rightarrow \pi, \rho l \nu$

• Chiral-even LC expansion with gauge factor [x, 0] [Polyakov 1999, Diehl 1998]

$$\langle \pi^{a}(k_{1})\pi^{b}(k_{2})|\overline{q}_{f}(zn)\gamma_{\mu}\tau q_{f}(0)|0\rangle = \kappa_{ab}\,k_{\mu}\int dx\,e^{iuz(k\cdot n)}\,\Phi_{\parallel}^{ab,ff'}(u,\zeta,k^{2})$$

- $\circ$   $n^2 = 0$ , index f, f' respects the (anti-)quark flavor, a, b indicates the electric charge
- $\circ$  coefficient  $\kappa_{+-/00}=1$  and  $\kappa_{+0}=\sqrt{2},\ k=k_1+k_2$  is the invariant mass of dipion state
- $\circ \ \ au = 1/2, au^3/2$  corresponds to the isoscalar and isovector  $2\pi {\sf DAs}$
- o higher twist  $\propto 1, \gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}$  have not been discussed yet,  $\gamma_{5}$  vanishes due to P-parity conservation

#### Three independent kinematic variables

momentum fraction u carried by anti-quark respecting to the total momentum of DiPion state

- longitudinal momentum fraction carried by one pion  $\zeta = k_1^+/k^+$ ,  $2q \cdot \bar{k} (\propto 2\zeta 1)$  and  $k^2$
- Normalization conditions  $\int_{0}^{1} du \, \Phi_{\parallel}^{l=1}(u, \zeta, k^{2}) = (2\zeta 1)F_{\pi}(k^{2})$  $\int_{0}^{1} du \, (2u 1)\Phi_{\parallel}^{l=0}(u, \zeta, k^{2}) = -2M_{2}^{(\pi)}\zeta(1 \zeta)F_{\pi}^{\text{EMT}}(k^{2})$

 $\circ \ \ \, \textit{F}^{\textit{em}}_{\pi}(0)=1, \ \ \, \textit{F}^{\rm EMT}_{\pi}(0)=1, \ \ \, \textit{M}^{(\pi)}_{2} \ \, \text{is the moments of SPDs}$ 

•  $2\pi \text{DAs}$  is decomposed in terms of  $C_n^{3/2}(2z-1)$  and  $C_\ell^{1/2}(2\zeta-1)$ 

$$\begin{split} \Phi^{l=1}(z,\zeta,k^2,\mu) &= 6z(1-z)\sum_{n=0,\text{even}}^{\infty}\sum_{l=1,\text{odd}}^{n+1} B_{n\ell}^{l=1}(k^2,\mu) C_n^{3/2}(2z-1) C_{\ell}^{1/2}(2\zeta-1) \\ \Phi^{l=0}(z,\zeta,k^2,\mu) &= 6z(1-z)\sum_{n=1,\text{odd}}^{\infty}\sum_{l=0,\text{even}}^{n+1} B_{n\ell}^{l=0}(k^2,\mu) C_n^{3/2}(2z-1) C_{\ell}^{1/2}(2\zeta-1) \end{split}$$

- $B_{n\ell}(k^2,\mu)$  have similar scale dependence as the  $a_n$  of  $\pi,\rho,f_0$  mesons
- Soft pion theorem relates the chirarlly even coefficients with  $a_n^{\pi}$

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{n+1} B_{n\ell}^{\parallel,l=1}(0) = a_n^{\pi}, \quad \sum_{\ell=0}^{n+1} B_{n\ell}^{\parallel,l=0}(0) = 0$$

- $2\pi$ DAs relate to the skewed parton distributions (SPDs) by crossing
- $\circ~$  moments of SPDs  ${\it M}_{\it N}^{\pi}$  is expressed in terms of  ${\it B}_{\it nl}({\it k}^2=0)$  in the forward limit
- In the vicinity of the resonance,  $2\pi$ DAs reduce to the DAs of  $\rho/f_0$
- $\circ~$  relation between the  $a^{\rho}_n$  and the coefficients  $B_{n\ell}$
- $\circ$   $f_{
  ho}$  relates to the imaginary part of  $B_{nl}(m_{
  ho}^2)$  divided by the strong coupling  $g_{
  ho\pi\pi}$

- What's the evolution from  $4m_{\pi}^2$  to large  $k^2 \mathcal{O}(m_c^2)$ , furtherly to  $\mathcal{O}(m_b \lambda_{QCD})$ ?
- Watson theorem of  $\pi$ - $\pi$  scattering amplitudes implies an intuitive way to express the imaginary part of  $2\pi$ DAs, leads to the Omnés solution of *N*-subtracted DR for the coefficients

$$B_{n\ell}^{I}(k^{2}) = B_{n\ell}^{I}(0) \operatorname{Exp}\left[\sum_{m=1}^{N-1} \frac{k^{2m}}{m!} \frac{d^{m}}{dk^{2m}} \ln B_{n\ell}^{I}(0) + \frac{k^{2N}}{\pi} \int_{4m_{\pi}^{2}}^{\infty} ds \frac{\delta_{\ell}^{I}(s)}{s^{N}(s-k^{2}-i0)}\right]$$

- $2\pi DAs$  in a wide  $k^2$  range is given by  $\delta'_{\ell}$  and a few subtraction constants
- The subtraction constants of  $B_{n\ell}(s)$  at low s (around the threshold)

(nl)	$B_{n\ell}^{\parallel}(0)$	$c_1^{\parallel,(\mathit{nl})}$	$\frac{d}{dk^2} \ln B_{n\ell}^{\parallel}(0)$	$B_{n\ell}^{\perp}(0)$	$c_1^{\perp,(nl)}$	$\frac{d}{dk^2} \ln B_{n\ell}^{\perp}(0)$
(01) (21) (23)	1 -0.113 → 0.218 0.147 → -0.038	0 -0.340 0	$1.46 \rightarrow 1.80$ 0.481 0.368	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0.113 \rightarrow 0.185 \\ 0.113 \rightarrow 0.185 \end{array}$	0 -0.538 0	$0.68 \rightarrow 0.60$ -0.153 0.153
(10) (12)	-0.556 0.556	-	0.413 0.413	-	-	-

o firstly studied in the low-energy EFT based on instanton vacuum [Polyakov '99]

 $\circ~$  updated with the kinematical constraints and the new a\_2^{\pi} , a\_2^{
ho}~[SC '19,'23]

• We are now at leading twist, subleading twist LCDAs are not known yet

# Semileptonic $B_{l4}$ decays

### $B \to \pi\pi$ form factors and $B \to [\rho^+ \to] \pi^+ \pi^0 l \bar{\nu}$ decay

- $H_{l4}$  decays have rich observables, nontrivial tests of SM [Faller '14]
- Different exclusive  $b \rightarrow u$  processes help in the  $|V_{ub}|$  determination



- First measurement of  $D^0 
  ightarrow \pi^+\pi^-e^+e^-$  [LHCb-PAPER-2024-047, prelim.]
- $\circ~(4.53\pm1.38)\times10^{-7}~{\rm in}~\rho/\omega$  and  $(3.84\pm0.96)\times10^{-7}~{\rm in}~\phi$
- $c \rightarrow u$ -typed FCNC upper limit  $0.7 \times 10^{-5}$  [BES III '18]
- $D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^0 \mu^+ \nu$  S-wave accounts ~ 2.06%, (0.729 ± 0.014 ± 0.011) % [BESIII 2403.10877]
- Dynamics of  $B_{l4}$  is governed by the  $B \rightarrow \pi\pi$  form factors
- A big task for the practitioners of QCD-based methods
- First Lattice QCD study of the B → ππlν transition amplitude in the region of large q<sup>2</sup> and ππ invariant mass near the ρ resonance [Leskovec et.al. 2212.08833[hep-lat]]

### $B \rightarrow \pi\pi$ form factors and $B \rightarrow [\rho^+ \rightarrow] \pi^+ \pi^0 l \bar{\nu}$ decay

- DiPion LCDAs will shine a light on the width effect encounted in FP (multibody *B* meson decays,  $B \rightarrow [\pi\pi] l\nu$ ,  $b \rightarrow sll$ ,  $c \rightarrow ull$ ,  $D\pi$  system  $\cdots$ ) and the controversial structure of scalar meson ?
- How large of  $\rho$  contribution in *P*-wave  $B \rightarrow \pi \pi$  transition ? How about the contributions from high partial waves ?
- $B \rightarrow \pi \pi$  form factors [Hambrock, Khodjamirian '15]

$$\begin{split} i\langle \pi^{+}(k_{1})\pi^{0}(k_{2})|\bar{u}\gamma_{\nu}(1-\gamma_{5})b|\bar{B}^{0}(p)\rangle &= F_{\perp}(q^{2},k^{2},\zeta) \frac{2}{\sqrt{k^{2}}\sqrt{\lambda_{B}}} i\epsilon_{\nu\alpha\beta\gamma} q^{\alpha} k^{\beta} \bar{k}^{\gamma} \\ &+ F_{t}(q^{2},k^{2},\zeta) \frac{q_{\nu}}{\sqrt{q^{2}}} + F_{0}(q^{2},k^{2},\zeta) \frac{2\sqrt{q^{2}}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{B}}} \left(k_{\nu} - \frac{k \cdot q}{q^{2}}q_{\nu}\right) \\ &+ F_{\parallel}(q^{2},k^{2},\zeta) \frac{1}{\sqrt{k^{2}}} \left(\bar{k}_{\nu} - \frac{4(q \cdot k)(q \cdot \bar{k})}{\lambda_{B}} k_{\nu} + \frac{4k^{2}(q \cdot \bar{k})}{\lambda_{B}} q_{\nu}\right) \end{split}$$

- $\circ \ \ \lambda = \lambda(\textit{m}_{\textit{B}}^2,\textit{k}^2,\textit{q}^2)$  is the Källén function
- $\circ \quad q \cdot \mathbf{k} = (\mathbf{m}_B^2 \mathbf{q}^2 \mathbf{k}^2)/2 \text{ and } \mathbf{q} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{k}} = \sqrt{\lambda} \beta_\pi(\mathbf{k}^2) \cos \theta_\pi/2 = \sqrt{\lambda} \left( 2\zeta 1 \right)$
- o  $\beta_{\pi}(k^2) = \sqrt{1 4m_{\pi}^2/k^2}, \, \theta_{\pi}$  is the angle between the 3-momenta of the neutral pion and the B-meson in the dipion rest frame

#### $B \rightarrow \pi \pi$ form factors

• I<sub>ℓℓ</sub>'

Starting with the correlation function

$$F_{\mu}(k_{1}, k_{2}, q) = i \int d^{4} x e^{iq \cdot x} \langle \pi^{+}(k_{1}) \pi^{0}(k_{2}) | T\{j_{\mu}^{V-A}(x), j_{5}(0)\} | 0 \rangle$$
  
$$\equiv \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} q^{\nu} k_{1}^{\rho} k_{1}^{\sigma} F^{V} + q_{\mu} F^{(A,q)} + k_{\mu} F^{(A,k)} + \bar{k}_{\mu} F^{(A,\bar{k})}$$

• Take  $F'_{\perp}(q^2, k^2, \zeta)$  as an example

$$\frac{F_{\perp}^{l}(q^{2},k^{2},\zeta)}{\sqrt{k^{2}}\sqrt{\lambda_{B}}} = \frac{m_{b}}{\sqrt{2}f_{B}m_{B}^{2}f_{2\pi}^{\perp}(2\zeta-1)} \int_{u_{0}}^{1} \frac{du}{u} \Phi_{\perp}^{l}(u,\zeta,k^{2}) e^{-\frac{s(u)+m_{B}^{2}}{M^{2}}}$$

- $\circ$  the Chiral-odd DiPion LCDAs  $\Phi_{\perp}^{ab,ff'}(u,\zeta,k^2)$ • partial wave expansion  $F_{\perp,\parallel}(k^2,q^2,\zeta) = \sum_{\ell} \sqrt{2\ell+1} F_{\perp\parallel}^{(\ell)}(k^2,q^2) P_{\ell}^{(1)}(\cos\theta_{\pi})/\sin\theta_{\pi}$
- The leading result is obtained by using the orthogonality relation of the Legender polynomials

$$\begin{split} F_{\perp}^{(\ell)}(k^2,q^2) &= \frac{\sqrt{k^2}}{\sqrt{2}f_{2\pi}^{\perp}} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_B} m_b}{m_B^2 f_B} e^{\frac{m_B^2}{M^2}} \sum_{n=0,2,\cdots} \sum_{\ell'=1,3}^{n+1} I_{\ell\ell'} B_{n\ell'}^{\perp}(k^2,\mu) J_n^{\perp}(q^2,k^2,M^2,s_0^B) \\ I_{\ell\ell'} &\equiv -\frac{\sqrt{2\ell+1}(\ell-1!)}{2(\ell+1)!} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{dz}{z} \sqrt{1-z^2} P_{\ell}^{(1)}(z) P_{\ell'}^{(0)}(z) \\ J_n^{\perp}(q^2,k^2,M^2,s_0^B) &= \int_{u_0}^1 du e^{\frac{-s}{M^2}} 6(1-u) C_n^{3/2}(2u-1) \\ \circ \quad I_{\ell\ell'} &= 0 \text{ when } \ell > \ell', I_{11} = 1/\sqrt{3}, I_{13} = -1/\sqrt{3}, I_{15} = 4/(5\sqrt{3}) \\ \circ \quad \ell' &= 1, \text{ asymptotic DAs, P-wave term retains in the DiPion LCDAs \end{split}$$

#### $B ightarrow \pi \pi$ form factors

• How large of *P*-wave contribution to  $B \rightarrow \pi \pi$  FFs ( $\ell = 1$ ) ?

$$R_{\ell} \equiv F_{\perp}^{(\ell>1)}(k^2, q^2) / F_{\perp}^{(\ell=1)}(k^2, q^2)$$

- How much  $\rho$  contained in P-wave  $B \rightarrow \pi\pi$  FFs  $(\ell = 1, \ell' = 1)$  ?
- Short-distance part of the correlation:  $\mu = 3$  GeV without NLO correction  $f_B = 207 \frac{+9}{-17}$  MeV,  $M^2 = 16.0 \pm 4.0$  GeV<sup>2</sup>  $\leftrightarrow s_0^B = 37.5 \pm 2.5$  GeV<sup>2</sup> [P. Gelhausen '13]



- $\star$  High partial waves give few percent contributions to  $B \rightarrow \pi\pi$  form factors
- $\star~
  ho^\prime,
  ho^{\prime\prime}$  and NR background contribute  $\sim 20\%-30\%$  to P-wave

#### $B \rightarrow \pi \pi$ form factors

- 30% smaller than the result obtained from *B*-meson LCSRs [SC, Khodjamirian and Virto 1701.01663]
- high twist contributions ?
- large uncertainty from *B*-meson LCDAs ?



 $q^2 (\text{GeV}^2)$ 

Comparison with the B meson LCSRs

$$\begin{split} F_{\mu}(k_{1},k_{2},q) &= i \int d^{4} x e^{i q \cdot x} \langle \pi^{+}(k_{1}) \pi^{0}(k_{2}) | \mathrm{T}\{j_{\mu}^{V-A}(x), \beta_{5}^{0}(0)\} | 0 \rangle \\ F_{\mu\nu}(k,q) &= i \int d^{4} x e^{i k \cdot x} \langle 0 | \mathrm{T}\{\overline{d}(x) \gamma_{\mu} u(x), \mathrm{T}\{j_{\mu}^{V-A}(x)\} | \overline{B}^{0}(q+k) \rangle \end{split}$$

- $\circ~$  At the current accuracy, they give same order plots of  $B \to \pi^+ \pi^0$  FFs
- $\circ~$  For the P–wave FFs, they both predict sizable non- $\rho$  contribution (  $\sim 10\%)~\rho', \rho'', \cdots~$  and NR background
- B-meson LCSRs can not predict the contributions from higher partial waves, but it indeed exist while is small in the DiPion LCSRs
- B-meson LCSRs rely on the resonance model (an inverse problem), DiPion LCSRs is currently limited by the poor knowledge of DiPion LCDAs

#### Conclusion and Prospect

- The introduction of DiPion LCDAs provides an opportunity to study the width effects and the structures of nonstable mesons in  $H_{l4}$  processes
- o a new booster on the accurate calculation in flavor physics
- $\circ\;$  improvement study in the CKM determinations and the flavor anomalies
- DiPion LCDAs study is at leading twist so far QCD definitions and double expansion
- o determine the parameters by low energy effective theory and data constraints
- evolution of  $k^2$  from the threshold to large scale  $\mathcal{O}(m_c^2, m_b \lambda_{QCD})$
- $\circ~$  universal phase shift in  $\pi\pi$  scattering and heavy decay ?
- Go further to high twist LCDAs, not only to match the precise measurement
- $\circ \ B \to \pi \pi l \nu, B \to [\rho \rho \to] \to 4\pi, \ D_s \to \pi \pi l \nu, D \to K \pi \mu \nu, \ D \to \pi \pi e^+ e^- \text{ et al.}$

### Thank you for your patience.