

## **Detector & Performance**

Manqi Ruan



中國科學院為能物現研究所 Institute of High Energy Physics Chinese Academy of Sciences

Aug. 7<sup>th</sup>, 2024, CEPC Detector Ref-TDR Review

#### **Physics Study : Status**



#### **CEPC TDR para & Snowmass studies**

#### The Physics potential of the CEPC

Prepared for the US Snowmass Community Planning Exercise

(Snowmass 2021)

CEPC	Physics	Study	Group	

	$240{ m GeV}$	$V, 20 \text{ ab}^{-1}$	$360{ m GeV},1~{ m ab}^{-1}$			
	ZH	$\mathbf{vvH}$	ZH	vvH	eeH	
inclusive	0.26%		1.40%	\	\	
$H \rightarrow bb$	0.14%	1.59%	0.90%	1.10%	4.30%	
H→cc	2.02%		8.80%	16%	20%	
H→gg	0.81%		3.40%	4.50%	12%	
H→WW	0.53%		2.80%	4.40%	6.50%	
$H \rightarrow ZZ$	4.17%		20%	21%		
$H \to \tau \tau$	0.42%		2.10%	4.20%	7.50%	
$H  ightarrow \gamma \gamma$	3.02%		11%	16%		
$H  ightarrow \mu \mu$	6.36%		41%	57%		
$H \rightarrow Z\gamma$	8.50%		35%			
$\boxed{\mathrm{Br}_{upper}(H \to inv.)}$	0.13%					
$\Gamma_H$	1.	65%		1.10%		

#### Table 3.2: CEPC operation plan (@ 50 MW)

Particle	E <sub>c.m.</sub> (GeV)	$L \text{ per IP} (10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1})$	Integrated L per year (ab <sup>-1</sup> , 2 IPs)	Years	Total Integrated L (ab <sup>-1</sup> , 2 IPs)	Total no. of events
Η	240	8.3	2.2	10	21.6	$4.3  imes 10^6$
Z	91	192*	50	2	100	$4.1 \times 10^{12}$
W	160	26.7	6.9	1	6.9	$2.1  imes 10^8$
tt̄**	360	0.8	0.2	5	1.0	$0.6 imes10^6$

\* Detector solenoid field is 2 Tesla during Z operation. \*\*  $t\bar{t}$  operation is optional.

#### CEPC TDR





arXiv:2205.08553v1

#### **Physics Study : Status**







Table 2.1: Precision of the main parameters of interests and observables at the CEPC, from Ref. [1] and the references therein, where the results of Higgs are estimated with a data sample of 20 ab-1. The HL-LHC instings of 2000 ft-1 data used for

	Higgs			W, Z and top	
Observable	HL-LHC projections	CEPC precision	Observable	Current precision	CEPC precision
$M_H$	20 MeV	3 MeV	$M_W$	9 MeV	0.5 MeV
$\Gamma_H$	20%	1.7%	$\Gamma_W$	49 MeV	2 MeV
$\sigma(ZH)$	4.2%	0.26%	Mtop	760 MeV	O(10) MeV
$B(H \rightarrow bb)$	4.4%	0.14%	$M_Z$	2.1 MeV	0.1 MeV
$B(H \rightarrow cc)$	-	2.0%	$\Gamma_Z$	2.3 MeV	0.025 MeV
$B(H \rightarrow gg)$	-	0.81%	$R_b$	$3 \times 10^{-3}$	$2 \times 10^{-4}$
$B(H \rightarrow WW^*)$	2.8%	0.53%	R <sub>c</sub>	$1.7  imes 10^{-2}$	$1 \times 10^{-3}$
$B(H \rightarrow ZZ^*)$	2.9%	4.2%	$R_{\mu}$	$2 \times 10^{-3}$	$1  imes 10^{-4}$
$B(H \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-)$	2.9%	0.42%	$R_{\tau}$	$1.7  imes 10^{-2}$	$1  imes 10^{-4}$
$B(H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma)$	2.6%	3.0%	$A_{\mu}$	$1.5  imes 10^{-2}$	$3.5  imes 10^{-5}$
$B(H \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)$	8.2%	6.4%	$A_{\tau}$	$4.3  imes 10^{-3}$	$7 \times 10^{-5}$
$B(H \rightarrow Z\gamma)$	20%	8.5%	$A_b$	$2 \times 10^{-2}$	$2  imes 10^{-4}$
$Bupper(H \rightarrow inv.)$	2.5%	0.07%	N.,	$2.5 \times 10^{-3}$	$2 \times 10^{-4}$

- Science Merit quantified by simulation & phenomenology studies:
  - Higgs White Paper, etc: Precisions exceed HL-LHC ~ 1 order of magnitude
  - EW: Precision improved from current limit by 1-2 orders of magnitudes
  - Flavor, sensitive to NP of energy scale of 10 TeV or above (Flavor White Paper, summarizing ~ 40 benchmarks)
  - Sensitive to varies of NP signal

Chinese Physics C Vol. 43, No. 4 (2019) 04300

Precision Higgs physics at the CEPC

Fenfen An(安芬芬)<sup>420</sup> Yu Bai(白羽)<sup>6</sup> Chunhui Chen(陈春晖)<sup>20</sup> Xin Chen(陈新)<sup>5</sup> Zhenxing Chen(陈振兴)<sup>3</sup>

Joso Guimaraes da Costa<sup>4</sup> Zhenwei Cui(崔振儀)<sup>3</sup> Yaquan Fang(方亚泉)<sup>453(2)</sup> Chengdong Fu(付成称)<sup>4</sup>

2000 Uminates ac costa Zzenwe Cut (完成現代) Tajuar Fai(D 2元米) Chengong Fu(F)(KH) Jun Gao(高俊)<sup>11</sup> Yanyan Gao(高徳意)<sup>12</sup> Yunaming Gao(高原宁)<sup>3</sup> Shaofing Gr(高副特)<sup>1,23</sup> Jayin Gu(祝徳翁)<sup>13</sup> Fangi Cuo(宏方政)<sup>14</sup> Jun (Du(常年)<sup>14</sup> Tao Han(特章)<sup>14</sup> Hongjian He(阿红龍)<sup>11,19</sup> Xianke He(何息种)<sup>19</sup> Xiaogang He(何小朝)<sup>11,523</sup> Jifeng Hu(胡總幹)<sup>16</sup>

Shih-Chieb Hwi(常士法)<sup>13</sup> Shan Jin(金山)<sup>3</sup> Maoqiang Jing(附茂進)<sup>15</sup> Suunita Jyotishmati<sup>10</sup> Ryuta Kinch<sup>4</sup> Chia-Ming Kuo(察家稅)<sup>11</sup> Peizhu Lai(赖培策)<sup>13</sup> Boyang Li(李博林)<sup>4</sup> Congqiao Li(李昭乔)<sup>13</sup> Gang Li(李明)<sup>48,4</sup>

Mang Kuan(後堂寺) \*\* Alex Schtty Lanyou Shan(中後な) Jangyana She(史得)(京) Xan Shi(史代) Shafang Su(音服形)\*\* Dayong Wang(王大勇)\* Jan Wang(王第)\* Linatao Wang(王連術)\*\*7\*7 Yifang Wang(王振形)\*\* Yuqian Wet(観嘆賞\* Yure Xu(行役)\* Haijun Yang(倚重第)\*\*\*\* Weining Yao(地方民)\*\* Dan Yu(于丹)\*\* Kali Zhang(芳賀栗)\*\*\*\*

Mingrui Zhao(赵明锐)<sup>2</sup> Xianghu Zhao(赵祥虎)<sup>4</sup> Ning Zhou(周宁)<sup>10</sup>

Xinggini Zando (M. 1997). Assingini Zando (Kr 1997). Sing Zando (M. 1997). Sing Zando (M. 1997). Submitty of Singian and Singia (Zando (M. 1997). Chan Institute of Amou Energy, Briging (2018). Chan Colland Chan Institute of College (Singia (Chan) (Chan Northern (F. 1998). Spress Department, Yanghua University, Brign University of Change Physics, Arolysis Department, Yanghua University, Brign University of Change Physics, Arolysis Department, Yanghua University, Brign University of Chan Readowy of Sission (Chan). Hamping 21(20), Chan and Policito Science and Tachology University of Sonth Chan, Hamping 21(20), Chan Domentor of Theodomy University of Sonth Chan, Hamping 21(20), Chan Domentor of Theodomy Channel Chan. Nationa 21(20), Chan Domentor of Theodomy Chan (Chan). Nationa 21(200), Chan

Department of Physics, Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Hong Kong Kavii [Ph/U (WPJ), UTLAS, The University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-4583, Japan "Wron. Inshine of Nuclear Sciences, University of Belgrade, Belgrade 11000, Serbia and Institute for Collider Particle Physics, University of the Wittstersrand, Johanneoberg

Supported by de National Key Pupping for SAT Reseath and Devrlopment CHEYTADHOUND, CAS Center do Kacellinare in Particle Popuis to Subio of the Tex Donound Tolaton Pupping for SAT Reseath and Devrlopment (CHEYTADHOUND, CAS Center do Kacellinare in (PSUSHIS) Imme (YASHS)10702; Ker Research Ponging of Frontier Science, CAS OUVZDY-SSW-SELBODY; Chimore Academy of Science Special for Popies (USH URIVESSNITON); de Norman National Science Frontistien of Chimate Academy of Science Special for Popies (USH URIVESSNITON); de Norman National Science Frontistien of Chimate Academy of Science Special for Popies (USH URIVESSNITON); de Norman National Science Frontistien of Chimate Academy of Science Special for Popies (USH URIVESSNITON); de Norman National Science Frontistien of Chimate Academy of Science Special for Popies (USH URIVESSNITON); de Norman National Science Frontistien of Chimate Academy of Science Special for Popies (USH URIVESSNITON); de Norman National Science Frontistien of Chimate Academy of Science Special for Popies (USH URIVESSNITON); de Norman National Science Frontistien of Chimate Academy of Science Special for Popies (USH URIVESSNITON); de Norman National Science Frontistien of Chimate Academy of Science Special for Popies (USH URIVESSNITON); de Norman National Science Frontistien of Chimate Academy of Science Special for Popies (USH URIVESSNITON); de Norman National Science Frontistien of Chimate Academy of Science Special for Popies (USH URIVESSNITON); de Norman National Science Frontistien of Chimate Academy of Science Special for Popies (USH URIVESSNITON); de Norman National Science Frontistien of Chimate Academy of Science Special for Popies (USH URIVESSNITON); de Norman National Science Frontistien of Chimate Academy of Science Special for Popies (USH URIVESSNITON); de Norman National Science Frontistien of Chimate Academy of Science Special for Popies (USH URIVESSNITON); de Norman National Science Frontistien of Chimate Academy of Science Academy of Science Academy of Science A

School of Physics and In

1) E-mail: fangyog@ibrp ac cn 2) E-mail: jaagu@mai-mainz.de 3) E-mail: h.gang@mail.ibrp.a 4) E-mail: zlinphys@umil.edu

-mail zhanskliftshep ac co

Received 9 November 2018, Revised 21 January 2019, Published online 4 March 2019

titute, Shanghai 200240, Chin r und Interdisciplinary Science on Key Laboratory Of Particle Physics and Particle Irreduction (MOR), Shandeng U Quggboa 20627, China et Excellence & Mainz Institute of Thoretical Physics, Johannes Gottaberg-Universität Mainz, Mainz 55128, Ge

termination of the second s

043002-1

iversity, Nanjing 210096, China nity, KLPPAC-MoE, SKLPPC, Shanghai 200240, China

001: CAS Center for Excellence in Particle Physics n of China(11675202); the Hundred Talent Programs of Chin fance, LLC (DE-AC02-07CH11359); the NSF(PHY1620074

Haifeng Li(李海峰)<sup>12</sup> Liang Li(李亮)<sup>10</sup> Shu Li(李数)<sup>11,10</sup> Tong Li(李通)<sup>12</sup> Qiang Li(李强)<sup>3</sup> Hao Liang(梁浩)<sup>46</sup> 

Physics arameters

hvsics Obiect

Particle

sks & calorimeter hits

Fast

Detector Hits

Detector Hit

Recor

Nhizai

Detector

## **Higgs signature @ CDR baseline**



#### **Update-1: Jet Origin identification.**

## **Jet Origin ID**



- Jet origin id: 11 categories (5 quarks + 5 antiquarks, + gluon)
- Jet Flavor Tagging + Jet Charge measurement + s, gluon, u & d -tagging
- Di-jet events (vvH, H->2jet & Z->qq) simulated with CEPC CDR baseline & reconstructed with Arbor
- Input: Pid & 4-momentum of all reconstructed particle + impact parameters for charged ones (~o(50) reco Particles)

#### **Physics benchmarks: H->ss**



#### Physics benchmarks: H→cc & Vcb



- vvH, H $\rightarrow$ cc: 3%  $\rightarrow$  1.7% (**Preliminary**)
- Vcb:  $0.75\% \rightarrow 0.45\%$  (muvqq channel. evqq: 0.6%, combined 0.4%)

#### **Update-2: 1-1 corresponding reco.**

#### Reconstruct precisely all final state particle within detector acceptance (space, time, & energy) = Significantly Suppress Confusion of current PFA + High-efficiency particle identification of reconstructed particle

#### **PFA Goal: BMR < 4% & pursue 3%**



#### BMR @ CDR & AURORA: 3.7% & 2.9%



12

#### **Pid of all final state particle...**

 $nCluHit != 0 \& E > 1 GeV \& |cos\theta| < 0.9$ 



At vvH, H->gg events @ 240 GeV, Using AURORA, No TPC dE/dx Digitization.

13

#### **Impact on Jol**

M11 2

PID  $l^{\pm}, K^{\pm}$ 

0.75-0.77 0.73-0.75 0.70-0.73

0.67-0.70 0.65-0.67 0.60-0.65

0.50-0.60 0.38-0.50

0.34-0.38

0.30-0.34 0.25-0.30 0.21-0.25

0.20-0.21

0.18-0.20

0.17-0.18

0.14-0.17

0.11 - 0.14

0.10-0.11 0.09-0.10

0.085-0.09

0.075-0.08

0.07-0.075

0.06-0.07

0.05-0.06

0.04-0.05

0.03-0.04

0.02-0.03

0.01-0.02 0.009

0.008

0.007 0.006 0.005

0.004

0.003

0.002

0.001

			_									
	b-	0.738	0.167	0.034	0.026	0.005	0.003	0.002	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.018
	b-	0.167	0.737	0.026	0.034	0.003	0.004	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.003	0.018
	с -	0.015	0.015	0.740	0.057	0.037	0.032	0.026	0.010	0.009	0.017	0.043
	ē-	0.015	0.015	0.055	0.741	0.032	0.037	0.010	0.026	0.016	0.010	0.043
	s -	0.003	0.003	0.020	0.018	0.541	0.104	0.030	0.082	0.062	0.045	0.092
מש	5 -	0.002	0.003	0.018	0.021	0.101	0.543	0.085	0.028	0.044	0.062	0.092
	u -	0.002	0.003	0.019	0.012	0.044	0.132	0.375	0.057	0.079	0.168	0.109
	ū ·	0.003	0.002	0.011	0.020	0.132	0.043	0.062	0.368	0.166	0.084	0.108
	d -	0.003	0.003	0.012	0.020	0.111	0.093	0.083	0.223	0.261	0.080	0.110
	d -	0.003	0.003	0.020	0.013	0.093	0.113	0.226	0.079	0.076	0.265	0.110
	G -	0.015	0.014	0.025	0.025	0.053	0.053	0.043	0.044	0.033	0.035	0.661
		b	$\frac{1}{b}$	Ċ	ċ	s	5	ů	ū	d	$\frac{1}{d}$	Ġ
						P	redicte	ed				

							Μ	11	4	ł	PID	t∸, F
1	ь-	0.761	0.146	0.034	0.022	0.005	0.003	0.002	0.003	0.003	0.002	0.018
i	<del>Б</del> -	0.155	0.750	0.024	0.033	0.003	0.005	0.003	0.003	0.002	0.003	0.018
	с-	0.016	0.014	0.751	0.049	0.042	0.033	0.021	0.008	0.009	0.017	0.039
	<del>.</del> -	0.015	0.017	0.051	0.745	0.034	0.044	0.008	0.022	0.016	0.010	0.039
1	s -	0.004	0.002	0.025	0.018	0.635	0.101	0.020	0.052	0.036	0.036	0.071
True	<u>s</u> -	0.002	0.003	0.019	0.024	0.101	0.637	0.050	0.019	0.036	0.035	0.073
,	u -	0.003	0.003	0.017	0.008	0.031	0.092	0.400	0.063	0.095	0.183	0.105
1	<del>u</del> -	0.003	0.003	0.009	0.015	0.089	0.03	0.067	0.396	0.191	0.092	0.105
	d -	0.003	0.003	0.01	0.015	0.068	0.065	0.097	0.195	0.365	0.073	0.105
	<del>d</del> -	0.003	0.003	0.017	0.01	0.066	0.068	0.204	0.095	0.075	0.353	0.107
(	G -	0.015	0.014	0.024	0.023	0.049	0.049	0.044	0.044	0.042	0.041	0.655
		b	$\frac{1}{b}$	c	ī	s Di	- - - 	u u	ū	d	$\overline{d}$	Ġ





#### **Update-3: detector**

## **Det. Concepts: CDR to TDR**



	CDR	Ref-TDR
	Inner radius of 16 mm	Inner radius of 11 mm
VTX	Material Budget:	Material Budget:
	0.15% * 6 + 0.14% (beampipe) = 1.05 X0	0.06% * 4 (inner) + 0.25*2 (outer) + 0.16% (beampipe) = 0.9 X0
		TPC with 0.5 mm * 0.5 mm readout
Main Tracker	TPC with 1 mm * 6 mm readout	Required to have dE/dx or dN/dx with relative accuracies of 3%
		(Drift Chamber with the capability of dN/dx as alternative)
ToF	-	LGAD, with 50 ps per MIP
ECAL	Si-W-ECAL: $rac{17\%}{\sqrt{E/GeV}} \oplus 1\%$	Xbar-ECAL: $\frac{3\%}{\sqrt{E/GeV}} \oplus 1\%$
HCAL	RPC-Iron: $\frac{60\%}{\sqrt{E/GeV}} \oplus 2\%$	Glass-Iron: $\frac{40\%}{\sqrt{E/GeV}} \oplus 2\%$

16

#### Pid via ToF + dE/dx or dN/dx



• dE/dx or dN/dx with relevant uncertainty of 3% + ToF of 50 ps: eff & purity of Kaon id > 95%

## dE/dx or dN/dx @ ref-TDR goal

#### **Performance from simulation**

- Full simulation framework of pixelated TPC developed using Garfied++ and Geant4 at IHEP
- Investigating the  $\pi/\kappa$  separation power using reconstructed clusters, a  $3\sigma$  separation at 20GeV with 50cm drift length can be achieved



#### DC cell Develop sophisticated software tools for DC PID simulation Experimental measu Garfield++ based rameterized simulati Transfer Function rea. Respons of Preamplifier of Noise Events IFFT Wire Analog A/D Noise Digital reamnlifi waveform Generato Wavefor Converte Digitization International collaboration of the beam test Waveform reconstruction with ML He + $iC_4H_{10}$ 30 cn (domain adaptation) 90/10, 85/15, 1 cm 80/20 μ beam 1.5 cm 15um (Mo+Au) 15 cm 20um (W+Au) . . . . . . 25µm (W+Au) 1 cm

**DC R&D efforts and results** 

- A major goal for the Ref-TDR Gaseous Tracker is the Pid: to achieve 3% dE/dx or dN/dx performance.
- Promising results, to be validated with further studies, especially test beam.
- Gaseous Tracker inner radius: to be optimized.

#### **VTX and Jet Flavor/Charge measurement**







- Compared to CDR, VTX at TDR:
  - Inner radius reduced by 40% (16 mm -> 11 mm)
  - Material reduced by 10% (1.05 -> 0.9 X0)
- Tr(Mig): 2.64 -> 2.68

•

•

•

- H->cc accuracy improved by ~5%
- Vcb accuracy improved by ~10%



## **Xstal ECAL**



- BMR at ref-TDR: not far from CDR (BMR of 3.7%).
- **To control the confusion (fake particles, etc) is the critical: Need optimization + reconstruction development.**

#### **Glass scintillator HCAL**



1<sup>st</sup>, 50% from Confusion, 25% from detector resolution & 25% from acceptance, for BMR of 3.7% at CDR

2<sup>nd</sup>, HCAL resolution dominant the uncertainties from detector resolution:

TDR HCAL: Glass Scintillator - Iron with thickness of 6 lambda (compared to GRPC - Iron of 5 lambda) BMR of 3.4% (2\*2 cm<sup>2</sup> cell) & 3.5% (4\*4 cm<sup>2</sup> cell)

#### **Summary**

- CEPC Physics studies: Well quantified Physics Merits
- Detector + Performance: CDR baseline has excellent performance for Higgs measurements. With Updates of:
- Embrace AI trends: JoI & 1-1 correspondence
- Tool: CEPC Delphes Card (see Kaili's talk)
- Iterate with Detector R&D
- To quantify & to ameliorate the impact of Beam induced background, the readout, especially at Z pole
- CEPC New Physics WP Study: essential to demonstrate & quantify the discover power
- Science reach on top of anticipated reach at LHC & current boundary: Key conclusion + plots
- Requirements on Acc. Luminosity, Polarization
- Bottle neck of performance (identification efficiency purity, resolution...) for your measurement
- Experimental Systematics: Luminosity, identification
- Theoretical Uncertainties: Model dependence, modeling & High Precision Calculation



# Thank you for your attention!



中國科學院為能物招加完所 Institute of High Energy Physics Chinese Academy of Sciences

Aug. 7<sup>th</sup>, 2024, CEPC Detector Ref-TDR Review

#### **Summary**

- Intensive CEPC Physics studies
- Well quantified Physics Merits
- Iterates with Detector R&D
- CEPC Ref-TDR detector provides
- Pid: critical for Physics.
- Better VTX: improves precisions on benchmark analysis by 10-20%
- PFA Compatible Calorimeter with larger sampling: HCAL improves the BMR by ~10%,
  - Xbar ECAL: pattern recognition is challenging.
- To do:
- To quantify & to ameliorate the impact of Beam induced background, the readout, especially at Z pole
- To develop Smart Reco. Algo, especially with AI tools.

## **Physics Benchmarks at CDR & TDR**

	1			
	Processes @ c.m.s.	Domain	Anticipated relative accuracies/up	@Ref TDR
			limit with CDR baseline detector +	
			TDR Luminosity, with Jol	
Н→сс			1.7%	1.6%
H→ss [1]	vvH @ 240 GeV	Higgs	95% up limit of 0.75E-3	95% up limit of 0.70E-3
H→sb [1]			95% up limit of 0.22E-3	95% up limit of 0.20E-3
H→inv [2]	qqH	Higgs/NP	95% up limit of 0.13%	Same
Vcb [3]	WW→lvqq @ 240/160 GeV	Flavor	0.4%	0.36%
W fusion Xsec [2]	vvH @ 360 GeV	Higgs	1.1%	Same
$\alpha_s$	Z→tautau @ 91.2 GeV	QCD	NAN	Theoretical Uncertainty Dominant
CKM angle $\gamma - 2\beta$	Z→bb, B→DK @ 91.2 GeV	Flavor	NAN	~o(0.1 - 1) degree
Weak mixing angle [4]	Z@ 91.2 GeV	EW	2.4E-6 using 1 month data (~ 2E11 Z)	~ tiny improvement due to VTX
Higgs recoil [5]	IIH	Higgs	$\delta m$ = 2.5 MeV	Same
			$\delta\sigma/\sigma$ = 0.25%/0.4% (wi/wo qqH)	
H→bb, gg [2]	vvH + qqH	Higgs	bb: 0.14% -> 0.13%	bb: 0.12%
			gg: 0.81% -> 0.65%	gg: 0.62%
			(wi/wo Jol)	
H→di muon [2]	qqH	Higgs	6.4%	Same
H→di photon [2]	qqH	Higgs	3%	1.8%
W mass & Width [6]	W threshold scan @160 GeV	EW	0.7 MeV & 2.4 MeV @ 6 iab	Same
Top mass & Width [7]	Top threshold scan @360 GeV	EW	9 MeV & 26 MeV @ 100 ifb	Same
Bs→ υυφ [8]	91.2 GeV	Flavor	0.9% (1.8%@Tera-Z)	Same, if object recon. ~ CDR
Bc $\rightarrow \tau v$ [9]	91.2 GeV	Flavor	0.35% (0.7%@Tera-Z)	Same, if object recon. ~ CDR
$B0 \rightarrow 2\pi^0$ [10]	91.2 GeV	Flavor	NAN	0.3%, need to validate photons finding

Higgs to di photon precisions improves significantly, if low mass tail tamed.

- Physics measurements using Jol, etc, benefit from better VTX and has 5-10% improvements
  - Here we assume the TDR BMR could eventually reach ~ CDR
- If BMR of 3% achieved, precisions of most benchmarks could be further improved for 5-10%
  - The Pattern reco. capability of Xbar ECAL is still a concern. Need further development & validations.

## **Challenges & Team**

#### Challenges:

- Impact of Beam induced background (~ Nov. 2024)
- To further validate & verify the Pattern reco. performance (~ Dec. 2024)
- High data rate @ Z pole: need to reconstruct in Space time (PFA in space time)
- Core team: ~ 5 staffs + 3 Postdocs + 5 Students + 2 Visitors
- Performance: with sub-detector team
- Algorithms: collaboration with PKU, LLR & CERN
- Benchmark: in pace with physics white paper efforts: ~ > 20 staffs from ~ 10 Universities
  - Higgs: Yaquan Fang (IHEP) + HEF team
  - Flavor Physics: Tao Liu (HKUST), Lorenzo (NKU), Shanzhen Chen(IHEP) etc
  - New Physics: Xuai Zhuang (IHEP), Mengchao Zhang (JNU)
  - EW: Zhijun Liang (IHEP), Jiayin Gu (FuDan U), Siqi Yang (USTC)
  - QCD: Zhao Li (IHEP), Meng Xiao (ZJU), Huaxing Zhu (PKU)
- Physics studies in pace with ECFA physics focus studies.

#### **Physics Benchmarks & Global Performances**

	Processes @ c.m.s.	Domain	Relevant Det. Performance	
H→ss/cc/sb	vvH @ 240 GeV	Higgs	PFA + Jet Origin Id (JoI)	
H→inv	qqH	Higgs/NP	PFA	
Vcb	WW→lvqq @ 240/160 GeV	Flavor	Jol + Pid (Lepton, tau)	
W fusion Xsec	vvH @ 360 GeV	Higgs	PFA + Jol	
$\alpha_s$	Z→tautau @ 91.2 GeV	QCD	PFA: Tau & Tau final state id	
CKM angle $\gamma - 2\beta$	Z→bb, B→DK @ 91.2 GeV	Flavor	PFA + JoI + Pid (Kaon)	
Weak mixing angle	Z@ 91.2 GeV	EW	Jol	
Higgs recoil	IIH	Higgs	Pid (Lepton), track dP/P	
H→bb, gg	vvH + qqH	Higgs	PFA + JoI + Color Singlet id	
H→di muon	qqH	Higgs	PFA, Leptons id, Tracking	
H→di photon	qqH	Higgs	PFA, Photons id, EM resolution	
W mass & Width	W threshold scan @160 GeV	EW	Beam energy	
Top mass & Width	Top threshold scan @360 GeV	EW	Beam energy	
$Bs \rightarrow vv\phi$	91.2 GeV	Flavor	Object ( $\phi$ ) in jets; MET	
$Bc \rightarrow \tau v$	91.2 GeV	Flavor	Object ( $ au$ ) in jets; MET	
$B0 \rightarrow 2\pi^0$	91.2 GeV	Flavor	$\pi^0$ in jets; EM resolution	

- PFA is required by most of the benchmarks, emphasize global Detector reconstruction performance
- BMR < 4% required, to pursue 3%
- Object identification: need to efficient reconstruct and identify final state particles (1-1 correspondence)
- Kaon id with eff and purity > 95%
- Capable to find composited objects in jets.
- Sub-Det level performance
- Tracking: ~0.1% momentum resolution
- EM resolution: ~1% level
- VTX: position resolution ~ 5  $\mu m$

• New concepts (Jet origin id & color singlet id) emerges, need to establish their relevance to algorithm & sub-detector configuration & performance

#### **Physics Benchmarks using CDR baseline**

	Processes @ c.m.s.	Domain	Anticipated relative accuracies/up limit with CDR	
			baseline detector + TDR Luminosity, with Jol	[1] H. Liang, et al, PHYSICAL REVIEW
H→cc	_		1.7%	LETTERS 132, 221802 (2024)
H→ss [1]	vvH @ 240 GeV	Higgs	95% up limit of 0.75E-3	$[2] CEDC Dive D \neq Community White Drives$
H→sb [1]			95% up limit of 0.22E-3	[2] CEPC Phy-Det Snowmass white Paper,
H→inv [2]	qqH	Higgs/NP	95% up limit of 0.13%	arXiv:2205.08553v1
Vcb [3]	WW→lvqq @ 240/160 GeV	Flavor	0.4%	[3] H. Liang. Ph.D thesis
W fusion Xsec [2]	vvH @ 360 GeV	Higgs	1.1%	[1] 7 Theo at al Chinese Physics C Vol 17
$\alpha_s$	Z→tautau @ 91.2 GeV	QCD	NAN	[4] Z. Zhuo, et u., Chinese I hysics C vol. 47,
CKM angle $\gamma - 2\beta$	Z→bb, B→DK @ 91.2 GeV	Flavor	NAN	No. 12 (2023) 123002
				[5] Z. Yang, et al., Chinese Physics C Vol. 41,
Weak mixing angle [4]	Z@ 91.2 GeV	EW	2.4E-6 using 1 month of Z pole data (~ 2E11 Z)	$N_0 = 2 (2017) 023003$
Higgs recoil [5]	ШН	Higgs	$\delta m$ = 2.5 MeV	100.2(2017)025005
			$\delta\sigma/\sigma$ = 0.25%/0.4% (wi/wo qqH)	
H→bb, gg [2]	vvH + qqH	Higgs	bb: 0.14% -> 0.13%	[6] P. Shen, et al., Eur. Phys. J. C (2020)
			gg: 0.81% -> 0.65%	80.66
			(wi/wo Jol)	$[71,7]$ $I = 4 \pi I = \pi V = 2007 12177$
H→di muon [2]	qqH	Higgs	6.4%	[/] Z. Li, et al., $arXiV:220/.121//$
H→di photon [2]	qqH	Higgs	3%	[8] Y. Wang, et al., PHYSICAL REVIEW D
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			105 114036 (2022)
W mass & Width [6]	W threshold scan @160 GeV	EW	0.7 MeV & 2.4 MeV @ 6 iab	103, 114030(2022)
Top mass & Width [7]	Top threshold scan @360 GeV	EW	9 MeV & 26 MeV @ 100 ifb	[ [9] I. Zheng, et al., Chinese Physics C Vol. 45,
			-	No. 2 (2021) 023001
Bs→ υυφ [8]	91.2 GeV	Flavor	0.9% (1.8%@Tera-Z)	[10] Y Wang et al JHEP12(2022)135
Bc→ τυ [9]	91.2 GeV	Flavor	0.35% (0.7%@Tera-Z)	
$B0 \rightarrow 2\pi^0$ [10]	91.2 GeV	Flavor	NAN	

## **Back Up**

#### **BMR Decomposition**



1<sup>st</sup>, 50% from Confusion, 25% from detector resolution & 25% from acceptance, for BMR of 3.7% at CDR

- 2<sup>nd</sup>, HCAL resolution dominant the uncertainties from detector resolution: TDR HCAL: Glass Scintillator - Iron with thickness of 6 lambda (compared to GRPC - Iron of 5 lambda) BMR of 3.4%
- 3<sup>rd</sup>, Leading contribution: Confusion from shower Fragments (fake particles), need better Pattern Reco. Mostly can be reduced by AI enhanced Arbor at SiW ECAL + GS HCAL: <u>BMR of 2.9%</u>

#### **JOI: validation & comparison**



Stable at different Hadronization model, different simulation method (Geant 4 & Delphes - Fast Sim)

Referee: A "game changer" and opens new horizon for precise flavor studies at all future experiments

#### **JOI: tagging efficiency & flip rates**



Kaon id: a must

Could be calibrated on Z->qq events, and is relatively stable VS hadronization models, etc

## **Challenges**

- More realistic collision environments: Beam induced background, Primary IP reco, etc
  - To be addressed by a few benchmark performance study wi. Beam induced background & to be included in TDR
- Event overlap in time (Z pole):
  - To be solved by PFA in Space time: Future Plan.
- More Realistic Digitization, including Noise & TDAQ effects
  - +
- Further Optimization (5D Calorimerter, Time resolution, cell configuration, etc)
  - To be addressed by joint study with Sub-detector & Software team (Long term plan)
  - Al enhanced reco. algorithm. will be the key.

# **T.o.C.** at Ref TDR

- Introduction: Physics requirements
- Recap of sub-detector performance, tracking, Pid, etc
- Detector global Performance:
  - BMR
  - Jol
  - Pid
  - Outlook: 1-1 correspondence reco.
- Physics Benchmarks
- Challenges & Plan
- Teams
- Summary

## Fake particle veto using AI



## **BMR of ~ 4% at TDR baseline**

#### Physics performance: $H \rightarrow gg$



• Physics process:  $ee \rightarrow ZH \rightarrow \nu\nu gg$  in  $\sqrt{s} = 240$  GeV

• Full reconstruction in CEPC detector: Silicon + TPC tracker, crystal ECAL, glass tile HCAL.





- BMR at ref-TDR: not far from CDR (BMR of 3.7%).
- **To control the confusion (fake particles, etc) is the critical: Need optimization + reconstruction development.**
- One solution is to add a few timing & positioning layers.