

General information

- **Reminder: timeline for CEPC Detector Ref-TDR**
 - October 21-23, 2024: [First IDRC Review Meeting](#)
 - First rehearsal on Oct. 8: [link](#)
 - Second rehearsal on Oct. 17: [link](#)
 - By the end of 2024: Ref-TDR draft ready
 - Early 2025: International Review
 - Mid-2025: Release of the Ref-TDR
- **From rehearsal, we were requested to add more BSM benchmarks**
 - Long Lived Particles, to motivate muon detector
 - $H \rightarrow \text{LLP}$, smuon searches
 - MET related process
 - We have already $H \rightarrow \text{inv}$
 - Could also add $H \rightarrow \text{aa} \rightarrow 4\gamma$: to quantify separation power and resolution of Ecal
- **More comments/suggestions are welcome**

General information

- Current computing time for Sim+Digi+Tracking+Reco in V240901
 - still missing digi+reco of endcap calo
 - ~1 minute/event, for vvH(gg)
 - at least 200 events/job is OK
 - speed and memory seems manageable
 - **1 million events feasible with 1000 CPUs in a single day**
 - will test 4jets events
- Objects performance studies and physics analyses are encouraged
 - Tracking of full acceptance available
 - Analyses can begin with barrel region selection
 - Recipes for PID and Jets will be provided
- Two task forces created, please join the studies
 - Tracking/PID: contact Chenguang Zhang
 - Jets/Clusters: contact Zebing Wang/Kaili Zhang

Tracking/PID performance studies

- **Differential tracking efficiency/resolution**
 - Tracking efficiency/resolution vs p_T and/or $\cos\theta$
 - @different level: Vertex+ITK+TPC+OTK, Vertex+ITK, TPC only, etc.
 - Tracking angular resolutions vs p_T and/or $\cos\theta$
 - detector design requirements: $p_T > \sim 100\text{MeV}$, $|\cos(\theta)| < 0.99$, $\delta(p_T) \sim 0.1\%$ in barrel
- **Differential resolution of track impact parameters**
 - $dx, dy, dz, \delta(d_0/z_0)$ vs p_T , etc.
 - detector design requirements: in the barrel $\delta(d_0/z_0) \sim 3$ micro meter at 20 GeV
- **Differential PID capability: eff, mis-ID rates, purity**
 - 1d/2d distributions on eff/mis-ID vs. $p_T/\cos\theta$
 - and for different particles (π, k, p, e, μ)
 - with/without TOF
 - relative resolution of dE/dX
 - detector design requirements:
 - $\text{eff} * \text{purity} > 90\%$ for all charged Kaon with $E > 2\text{GeV}$ (@Z pole).
 - \sim relative resolution of dE/dX (or dN/dx) be better than 3%.
 - ToF of 50ps
 - efficiency $> 99\%$ for 3-prong tau
- **$\nu\nu H(\mu\mu)$: H invariant mass resolutions in barrel and endcap**
- **$Z(\mu\mu)H$: recoil mass resolutions in barrel and endcap**

Jet/Clusters performance studies

- **Differential efficiency, and energy/angular resolution for photon, neutron, charge hadrons**
 - detector design requirements:
 - EM resolution: $3\%/ \sqrt{E} \otimes 0.5\%$ (Ref:JHEP12(2022)135)
 - Had resolution: $50\%/ \sqrt{E} \otimes 2\%$ (Ref:CDR baseline performance)
- **Differential efficiency and energy/angular resolution for jet**
 - and for different jet reconstruction algorithms
- **H->diphoton** mass resolutions for barrel and endcap
- π^0 eff and resolution vs. $p_T/\cos\theta$
- **dijet** resolution for different flavors, versus $p_T/\cos\theta$