

CEPC TDAQ and Online

Fei Li
On behalf of CEPC TDAQ Group

中国科学院高能物理研究所
Institute of High Energy Physics
Chinese Academy of Sciences

Content

- Introduction
- Requirements
- Technology survey and our choices
- Technical challenges
- Previous experience on large facilities
- R&D efforts and results
- Detailed design
- Research team and working plan
- Summary

Introduction

- This talk is about the design and development of the TDAQ and online
- This talk relates to the Ref-TDR Ch 12.
- Questions for physics and simulation
  - What kind of events need to be saved?
  - How to identify these events?
  - Background level?
- Questions for each detectors and electronics
  - Raw data readout bandwidth?
  - How much latency is acceptable?
  - What trigger primitives can be provided?
  - Noise level itself?
  - Control and monitoring requirements?

Chapter 12 TDAQ and online

12.1 Introduction
12.2 Requirements and Design Considerations
12.2.1 Requirements
12.2.2 Event rate & background rate estimation
12.2.3 Technology survey
12.2.4 TDAQ policy consideration
12.2.5 TDAQ Interface with electronics
12.3 Trigger Simulation and Algorithms
12.4 Hardware Trigger
12.4.1 Previous experience on large facilities
12.4.2 System architecture
12.4.3 Common Trigger Board
12.4.4 Trigger Control and Distribution
12.4.5 Resource cost estimation
12.5 Software and High Level Trigger
12.6 Data Acquisition System
12.6.1 Previous experience on large facilities
12.6.2 Overview of System Functionality
12.6.3 Detector Readout
12.6.4 Dataflow
12.6.5 Network
12.6.6 Online Software
12.7 Detector Control System
12.8 Experiment Control System
12.9 Summary

Requirements: Physical Event Rate

- 8 Hz @ Higgs 240GeV(50MW)
  - Bunch crossing rate: 2.889 MHz
  - Higgs: ~0.02Hz
- 82 kHz @ Z pole 91GeV(50MW)
  - Bunch crossing rate: 43.3 MHz
- Physical event rates are sufficiently low relative to the bunch crossing rate.
- Keep physical events as more as possible
  - Through a rough selection of the relevant objects (jet, e, muon, tau,v, ...) and their combinations.
  - Detailed signal feature extraction and simulation studies are required.

	Higgs	Z	W	$t\bar{t}$
SR power per beam (MW)	50			
Bunch number	446	13104	2162	58
Bunch spacing (ns)	346.2 (×15)	23.1 (×1)	138.5 (×6)	2700.0 (×117)
Train gap (%)	54	9	10	53
Luminosity per IP (10^{34} cm^{-2} s^{-1})	8.3	192	26.7	0.8



Ref: CEPC Physics at a glance, Lomonosov Conference 2021, by Manqi Ruan

Requirements: Data Rate

- Data rate before trigger
  - < 1 TB/s @ Higgs
  - Several TB/s @Z
- Event size < 2 MB
  - Related to occupancy and read out window
- L1 trigger rate
  - O(1k) Hz @ Higgs
  - O(100k) Hz @ Z
- Storage rate after HLT
  - <100 Hz(200 MB/s) @Higgs
  - 100 kHz (200 GB/s) @Z

	Vertex	Pix(ITKB)	Strip (ITKE)	OTKB	OTKE	TPC	ECAL-B	ECAL-E	HCAL-B	HCAL-E	Muon
Channels per chip	512*1024	512*128	1024	128		128	8~16				
Data Width /hit	32bit	42bit	32bit	48bit		48bit	48bit				
Max Data rate / chip	2Gbps/chip	Avg. 3.53Mbps/chip	Avg. 21.5Mbps/chip	Avg: 2.9Mbps/chip	Avg: 38.8Mbps/chip	~70Mbps/module Inmost	~9.6Gbps/module @dual-end readout	Needs bkgrd rate	Needs bkgrd rate	Needs bkgrd rate	Needs bkgrd rate
Detector Channel/module	1882 chips @Stch &Ladder	30,856 chips 2204 modules	23008 chips 1696 modules	83160 chips 3780 modules	11520 chips 720 modules	492 Module	0.96M chn ~60000 chips 480 modules	Needs detector finalization	3.38M chn 5536 aggregation board	2.24M chn 1536 Aggregation board	Needs detector finalization
Avg Data Vol before trigger	474.2Gbps	101.7Gbps	298.8Gbps	249.1 Gbps	27.9Gbps	34.4Gbps	4.6Tbps (needs finalization)	Needs finalization	Needs finalization	Needs finalization	Needs finalization
Occupancy	2.2e-3	2.5e-4				2.8e-4	5.8e-1			1.95e-5	
Sum	5.8Tbps										

Preliminary background and data rate estimation

Technology survey

BELLE II



ATLAS Phase II



CMS Phase II



LHCb Phase II



- A few common backend boards (ATCA)
- Network or PCIe bus readout
- GPU/FPGA acceleration at HLT
  - GPU power has increased 1,000 times in the last decade
- Full software trigger @LHCb
  - Deal with higher occupancy and more accurate tracking.

Our choices

- Fewer and cleaner physical processes @CEPC
- Electronics framework schema
  - Full data transmission from Front-End Elec.
  - Connect trigger with Back-End Elec.
- Trigger solutions
  - Hardware trigger(L1) + high level trigger(HLT)
    - A single type of common hardware trigger board
      - Collect trigger primitives from BEE common boards
      - Send back trigger accept signal to BEE
    - Provide fast and normal trigger menu
    - Network readout



Main Technical Challenges

- High efficiency algorithms in trigger and background compression
  - 2.887MHz->O(1k)Hz @Higgs
  - 43.3MHz->O(100k)Hz @Z
- Trigger primitive synchronization control with asynchronous data readout from electronics
  - Manage data disorder due to data transfer queuing and delay
  - Align sub-detector data of each bunch crossing within limited time and resource

Previous experience with TDAQ Hardware

- Designed BESIII trigger system
  - Comprehensive trigger simulation/hardware design/core trigger firmware development
- GSI PANDA TDAQ R&D
  - Designed HPCN board for TDAQ
- Designed Belle2Link and HPCN V3 as ONSEN for Belle II
- Designed CPPF system for CMS Phase-I
  - Design MTCA board, Cluster finding and fanout to EMTF/OMTF
- Designing iRPC/RPC Backend/Trigger for CMS Phase-II
  - ATCA common Backend and trigger board

Extensive experience in TDAQ system design, algorithm and hardware development

Previous experience with DAQ&DCS

- BESIII DAQ & DCS
  - Running since 2008
- Dayabay experiment DAQ&DCS
  - Operated from 2011 to 2020
- LHAASO DAQ
  - Operated since 2019
  - Full software trigger
- JUNO DAQ&DCS
  - Two types of data stream
    - HW trigger for waveform
    - Software trigger for TQ hits
  - Online event classification



Extensive experience in DAQ&DCS development and operation, including software trigger

Previous experience with ML algorithm

Neural network used in ATLAS global trigger

- Example: tau reconstruction at the hardware trigger level
- Train the neural network (NN) with ROI
- Use hls4ml to convert NN model to hls project



Seed and cluster



hls4ml



HLT Acceleration on FPGA platform

μ+ μ- (particle gan)

GNN based tracking algorithm for BESIII/Belle II







Hit selection efficiency: 98.4%

Hit selection purity : 97.9%

Some experience in ML algorithm development on FPGA for L1 and HLT

R&D efforts and results

- Started the design of an ATCA common trigger board for CEPC
  - Based on a series of designed xTCA boards










Version	HPCN V1	HPCN V2	HPCN V3	CPPF	HPCN V4	Serenity	HPCN V5
Line rate	3Gbps	3Gbps	6Gbps	10Gbps	16Gbps	25Gbps	10-25Gbps
Num. of ch	8 ch	8ch	8-16ch	36ch	48ch	124ch	36-80 ch
Buffer	2GB	2GB	4GB	—	48GB	—	TBD
Ethernet	1GbE			1/10GbE	10GbE	100GbE	40-100GbE
Aplication	PANDA		Belle II/PXD	CMS Phase-I	CMS Phase-II		CEPC R&D
Time	2006-		2009-	2013-	2017-		2024-

Streaming Software Framework – RADAR

heteRogeneous Architecture of Data Acquisition and pRocessing

- **V1:** deployed in LHAASO (3.5 GB/s data rate), *software trigger mode*
- **V2:** upgraded for JUNO (40 GB/s data rate), *mixed trigger mode*
  - Containerized running
- **V3:** **CEPC-oriented** (~ TB/s data rate) , under development



- **Motivation:**
  - High-throughput data acquisition and processing
- **Current Status:**
  - Over a decade of work led to significant progress, validated through experiments
- **Recent Focus:**
  - **Heterogeneous online processing platforms with GPU**
  - **Real-time data processing acceleration solutions**
- **Expansion:**
  - **Application across various domains** (DAQ, triggering, control, etc.)
  - **Integration of AI technologies** (ML, NLP, expert systems, etc.)

- **General-purpose distributed framework**
- **Lightweight structure**
- **Plug-in modules design**
- **Microservices architecture**



Start to develop new version with GPU acceleration.

R&D efforts and results

Online HA Design



Dataflow HA Design



High Availability (HA):

1. data double backup
2. service elects its own master
3. master is responsible for load balancing
4. online provides node status monitoring services

When one **DP** crash:

1. if master node, re-election
2. or, re-transferring backed up data

Hierarchical Computing Strategy



GPU based online waveform reconstruction in JUNO



GPU based nHit trigger algorithm study



SIMD based CPU processing acceleration



Acceleration progress for waveform reconstruction and software trigger algorithm.

Preliminary Trigger Simulation with ECal

- Physical events signature at ECal
  - Energy deposition is relatively large and concentrated
- Trigger primitive and condition
  - Two clusters with the highest energy
  - Ecal/HCal barrel >0.5GeV
  - ECal end-cap >5GeV
  - Hcal end-cap >50Gev
- Trigger efficiency
  - nnaa:100%
  - nnbb:100%
  - nnaZ:99.7%
  - nntautau:96.7%
  - nnWW:99.1%
  - nnZZ:95.8%
  - Beambkg:4.8%













Preliminary Trigger Simulation with Muon

- Left: 2000 background events(10BX), Right: 1000 ZH→nnμμ events
- Up：Barrel
  - Number of hits(Barrel) > 10
  - nnμμ efficiency:100%
  - Background: 19%
- Down：Endcap
  - Higher background hits









A lot of simulation and research need to be done

Design of Hardware Trigger Structure

- Trigger primitive(TP)
  - Extracted by BEE
- Local detector trigger
  - Sub energy and tracking…
- Global trigger
  - E-sum and tracking
  - Fast trigger(FT) and L1A generation on demand
- TCDS (Trigger Clock Distribution System)
  - Distribute clock and fast control signals to BEE
- Which detectors participate in trigger needs to be studied



Preliminary design of the common Trigger Board

- Common Trigger board function list
  - ATCA standard
  - Virtex-7 FPGA
  - Optical channel: 10-25 Gbps/ch
  - Channel number:36-80 channels
  - Optical Ethernet port: 40-100GbE
  - DDR4 for mass data buffering
  - SoC module for board management
  - IPMC module for Power management



Preliminary design of TCDS and Readout

- TCDS/TTC
  - Clock, BC0, Trigger, orbit start signal distribution
  - Full, ERR signal feed back to TCDS/TTC and mask or stop L1A
- Data readout from BEE
  - Read out directly or concentrated by DCTD board
  - Depending on the size of the data volume



- TCDS-Trigger Clock Distribution System
- TTC- Trigger, Timing and Control
- DCTD-Data Concentrator and Timing Distribution
- BEE-Backend board Electronic

Architecture Design of DAQ

- Compatible design with or without HW trigger
- Full COTS(commercial-off-the-shelf) hardware
- Readout interface and protocol
  - Ethernet 100Gbps
  - TCP or RDMA
- RADAR software framework
  - Heterogeneous computing
- GPU/FPGA acceleration for HLT
- Disk or memory buffer
  - Decouple computing environments
  - Complete offline algorithm can be run online



Preliminary design of DCS

Requirements



Preliminary Design



BESIII Detector Control System *Based on LabVIEW*



Existed Solutions

JUNO DCS

Based on EPICS

Designed framework based on existed solutions

Preliminary design of ECS

Main components of the Experiment Control System





- R&D progress from JUNO and BESIII
  - 3D Visualization Monitoring
  - AI shift assistant based on LLM+RAG (TAOChat)
  - ROOT-based Online Visualization System
- Unified control and monitoring for all system
  - TDAQ, DCS, electronics, accelerator and others



Research Team

- 15 staff of IHEP TDAQ group
- DAQ
  - Fei Li (DAQ, team leader)
  - Hongyu Zhang (readout)
  - Xiaolu Ji (online processing)
  - Minhao Gu (software architecture)
- Trigger
  - Zhenan Liu (trigger schema)
  - Jingzhou Zhao (hardware trigger)
  - Boping Chen (simulation/algorithm)
  - Sheng Dong (firmware/DCS)
- DCS/ECS
  - Si Ma
- IHEP Students(20 totally)
  - 2 PhD and 3 master
- New member planned
  - 1 staff next year
  - 2 postdoc
- Collaborators
  - Qidong Zhou (HLT, SDU)
  - Yi Liu (HLT, ZZU)
  - Junhao Yin(HLT, NKU)
  - 3 students planned
- We're looking for more collaborators

Gathering manpower for R&D, 9 staff and 5 students involved part of the time

Working plan

- TDR related
  - Basic trigger simulation and algorithm study
    - Background event study and basic algorithm scheme for each detector
  - Detailed hardware trigger and interface design
  - Finalize TDAQ and online design scheme
- R&D directions
  - Trigger hardware, fast control and clock distribution
  - TB/s level high throughput software framework(RADAR)
    - FPGA/GPU acceleration and heterogeneous computing
    - Memory-based distributed buffer
  - Detailed trigger simulation and algorithm
  - ML/AI algorithm application
    - Trigger/data compression/ AI operation and maintenance
  - ROCE/RDMA readout protocol and smart NIC
- Joined DRD WP7.5(Backend systems and COTS components) as an observer.

Summary

- Following sub detectors design and simulation
- Completed architecture design of TDAQ and online
  - Conventional hardware and high level trigger – default choice
- No show-stopper found for TDAQ and online scheme
  - Challenges: efficient trigger algorithm and handling TB/s data at manageable hardware scale
- More R&D efforts needed to move forward

Thank you for your attention!

中国科学院高能物理研究所
Institute of High Energy Physics
Chinese Academy of Sciences