



Results on Hadron Spectroscopy from the Belle and Belle II Experiments

贾森 (东南大学)

on behalf of the Belle and Belle II Collaboration

第十届XYZ研讨会 2025年4月11-15日,湖南师范大学,长沙

SuperKEKB and Belle II





Nano-beam design: Beam squeezing: ×20 smaller; Beam current: ×2 larger Target peak luminosity: KEKB×30



Belle and Belle II Datasets

- Belle (1999 2012)
- Belle II RUN-I (2019 2023)
- Belle II RUN-II (2014 2025)

Integrated luminosity of B factories



17.5

Most data at or near the $\Upsilon(4S)$ resonance, and 19.6 fb⁻¹ near $\Upsilon(10753)$.

WORLD RECORD: 5. 1×10^{34} cm⁻²s⁻¹

Exp: 7-35 - All runs

In December 2024

Belle II Online luminosity

Bottomonium



Conventional bottomonium (pure bb states) Bottomonium-like states (mix of bb and BB) Exotic charged states (Z⁺_b)

- Below BB thresholds bottomonia are well described by the potential models.
- Above BB thresholds bottomonia express unexpected properties.

Outline:

- The study of $\Upsilon(10753)$ at Belle II
 - $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- \Upsilon(nS)$ [JHEP 07, 116 (2024)]
 - e^+e^- → $B\overline{B}$, $B\overline{B}^*$ and $B^*\overline{B}^*$ [JHEP 10, 114 (2024)]
 - e^+e^- →ωη_b(1S) [PRD 109, 072013 (2024)]
 - $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega \chi_{bJ}$ and $e^+e^- \rightarrow (\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)_{non-\omega} \chi_{bJ}$ [New]
 - e⁺e[−]→ηΥ(1S,2S) [New]
- Search for pentaquark states in $\Upsilon(1S, 2S)$ decays at Belle
 - $P_c \rightarrow pJ/\psi$ [arXiv: 2403.04340]
 - $P_{c\bar{c}s} \rightarrow \Lambda J/\psi$ [arXiv: 2502.09951]
- Bottomonium transitions
 - $h_b(2P) \rightarrow \eta \Upsilon(1S)$ [PRL 133, 261901 (2024)]
 - $h_b(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{bJ}(1P)$ [PRD 111, 011102 (2025)]
- $\Omega(2012)^- \rightarrow \Xi(1530)\overline{K} \rightarrow \Xi\pi\overline{K}$ [PLB 860, 139224 (2025)]
- Prospects at Belle II

The study of $\Upsilon(10753)$ at Belle II

More details can be found in Junhao's talk: $\Upsilon(10753)$ results at Belle II

Unique scan data near \sqrt{s} = 10.75 GeV



- In November 2021, Belle II collected 19 fb⁻¹ of unique data at energies above the Υ(4S): four energy scan points around 10.75 GeV.
- Belle II collected the data in the gaps between Belle energy scan points.
- Physics goal: understand the nature of the $\Upsilon(10753)$ energy region.

Updated measurement of $\Upsilon(10753) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\Upsilon(nS)$ at Belle II



Measurement of the energy dependence of the $e^+e^- \rightarrow B\overline{B}$, $B\overline{B}^*$ and $B^*\overline{B}^*$ cross sections



- $e^+e^- \rightarrow B\overline{B}$, $B\overline{B}^*$ and $B^*\overline{B}^*$ signals at $\sqrt{s} \sim 10.75$ GeV can be clearly observed
- Contribution of $\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B\overline{B}$ production via ISR is visible well (black dotted histograms)
- At $\sqrt{s} = 10.653$ GeV, the sharp cut of the data at right edge is due to threshold effect

Energy dependence of the cross sections [JHEP 10, 114 (2024)]



Solid curve – combined Belle + Belle II data fit Dashed curve – Belle data fit only New: rapid increase of $\sigma_{B^*\overline{B}^*}$ above the threshold

- Similar behaviour was seen for D^{*}D^{*} cross section (PRD 97, 012002 (2018))
- Possible interpretation: resonance or bound state (B*B* or bb) near threshold (MPL A 21, 2779 (2006))
- Also explains a narrow dip in $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow B\overline{B}^*)$ near $B^*\overline{B}^*$ threshold by destructive interference between $e^+e^- \rightarrow B\overline{B}^*$ and $e^+e^- \rightarrow B^*\overline{B}^* \rightarrow B\overline{B}^*$
- Expect channels $[\pi^+\pi^-\Upsilon(nS), \Upsilon(nS)\eta$, and $h_b(1P)\eta]$ could also be enhanced

Search for $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega \eta_b(1S)$ and $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega \chi_{b0}(1P)$

No clear $\eta_b(1S)$ and $\chi_{b0}(1P)$ signals are observed.



[PRD 109, 072013 (2024)]

- A 3rd polynomial for $\eta_b(1S)$
- A product of a 4th polynomial and a square root function for $\chi_{b0}(1P)$
- Polynomial orders are chosen with maximum p-values
- The yields for $\chi_{b1}(1P)$ and $\chi_{b2}(1P)$ are fixed [PRL 130, 091902 (2023)].

Tetraquark model in Ref. [CPC 43, 123102 (2019)]:This measurement and JHEP 10, 220 (2019): $\Gamma(\Upsilon(10753) \rightarrow \eta_b(1S)\omega) = 2.64^{+4.70}_{-1.69} \text{ MeV}$ $\sigma^B(\Upsilon(10753) \rightarrow \eta_b(1S)\omega) < 2.5 \text{ pb}$ $\Gamma(\Upsilon(10753) \rightarrow \Upsilon\pi^+\pi^-) = 0.08^{+0.20}_{-0.06} \text{ MeV}$ $\sigma^B(\Upsilon(10753) \rightarrow \Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-) \approx (3 \pm 1) \text{ pb}$

$e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega \chi_{bJ} \text{ and } e^+e^- \rightarrow (\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)_{non-\omega} \chi_{bJ} \text{ at Belle and Belle II}$



[Preliminary results]

Υ(10753) mass	(10756.1±4.3) MeV/c ²		
Ƴ(10753) width	(32.2 <u>±</u> 18.7) MeV		

The mass and width are consistent with those from $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\Upsilon(nS)$ measuremnt [JHEP 07, 116 (2024)].

$$\frac{\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \chi_{bJ}(1P)\omega)}{\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-)}$$

1.5 at $\sqrt{s} \sim 10.75 \text{ GeV}$ 0.15 at $\sqrt{s} \sim 10.867 \text{ GeV}$ This may indicate the difference in the
internal structures of $\Upsilon(10753)$ and $\Upsilon(5S)$.

```
The (\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)_{non-\omega}\chi_{bJ} excess maybe
due the cascade decay of
\Upsilon(10860,11020) \rightarrow Z_b\pi \rightarrow \chi_{bJ}\rho\pi
[PRD 90, 014036 (2014)]. 12
```

$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta \Upsilon(1S,2S)$ at $\sqrt{s} \sim 10.75~GeV$

After requiring $\Upsilon(2S)$ signal region, simultaneous fit to $M(\gamma\gamma)$ and $M(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ for each energy point. [Preliminary results]



- Combining all of the energy points, the signal yields for $\eta \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ and $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ are $6.0^{+1.7}_{-1.5}$ and $11.5^{+3.3}_{-2.8}$.
- The statistical significance is 6.4 σ for $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta \Upsilon(2S)$ at $\sqrt{s} \sim 10.75$ GeV.
- No clear signal is observed for $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta \Upsilon(1S)$.

Energy dependence of Born crosss for $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta \Upsilon(2S)$ [Preliminary results]



The Born cross section of $e^+e^- \to \eta \Upsilon(2S)$ around $B^*\overline{B}{}^*$ mass is relatively large.

Fit the with 3 different hypotheses: H_1 : only $\Upsilon(5S)$ [blue curve] H_2 : $\Upsilon(10753) + \Upsilon(5S)$ [Green curve] H_3 : $B^*\overline{B}^*$ bound state + $\Upsilon(10753)$ + $\Upsilon(5S)$ [Black curve]

The masses and widths of $B^*\overline{B}^*$ bound state, $\Upsilon(10753)$, and $\Upsilon(5S)$ are fixed [JHEP 10 (2024) 114].

The statistical significance of $B^*\overline{B}^*$ bound state is 4.3 σ [H₃ comapred to H₁].

Search for pentaquark states in $\Upsilon(1S, 2S)$ decays at Belle

More details can be found in Shiming's talk: Exotic states from $\Upsilon(1S, 2S)$ decays

Search for $P_c \to pJ/\psi$ in $\Upsilon(1S,2S)$ decays at Belle

- OZI suppressed decays of $\Upsilon(1S)$ and $\Upsilon(2S)$ rich in gluons:

[arXiv:2403.04340]

- enhanced baryon production
- Pentaquarks?
- Select inclusive $\Upsilon(1S, 2S) \rightarrow pJ/\psi + X$ decays, then search for $P_c \rightarrow pJ/\psi$ in M(pJ/ ψ)

No pentaquarks are found.

- only very slight excesses in the place of the LHCb results [PRL 122, 222001 (2019)].



Evidence of $P_{c\bar{c}s}(4459)$ at Belle





- Select inclusive $\Upsilon(1S, 2S) \rightarrow J/\psi\Lambda + X$ decays, then search for $P_{cs} \rightarrow J/\psi\Lambda$ in $M(J/\psi\Lambda)$
- 4.0σ local significance with free mass and width

Mass	(4471.7±4.8±0.6) MeV/c ²
Width	(21.9±13.1±2.7) MeV

- 3.3σ significance with the Gaussian constraints from LHCb measurement [Sci. Bull. 66, 1278 (2021)]

$$-2\ln\mathcal{L} + \frac{(m-m_0)^2}{\sigma_{m_0}^2} + \frac{(\Gamma-\Gamma_0)^2}{\sigma_{\Gamma_0}^2}$$

Bottomonium transitions

Evidence of $h_b(2P) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\eta$ decay and search for $h_b(1P, 2P) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^0$ [PRL 13, 261901 (2024)]

Motivation:

Based on the QCD multipole expansion, $h_b(2P) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\eta$ decay is of great interest as its rate is suppressed by the heavy quark spin symmetry.



Evidence of $h_b(2P) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\eta$ decay and search for $h_b(1P, 2P) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^0$ [PRL 13, 261901 (2024)] [PRL 13, 261901 (2024)]



Search for $h_b(\text{2P}) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{bJ}(1P)$





[PRD 111, L011102 (2025)]

Full reconstruction: $\Upsilon(10860) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-h_b(2P)$, $h_b(2P) \rightarrow \gamma_1\chi_{bJ}(1P), \chi_{bJ}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma_2\Upsilon(1S), \Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$

The upper limits at 90% C.L.

Channel	\mathcal{B}
$ \begin{array}{l} h_b(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b2}(1P) \\ h_b(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b1}(1P) \\ h_b(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(1P) \end{array} $	$<1.3 \times 10^{-2}$ $<5.4 \times 10^{-3}$ $<2.7 \times 10^{-1}$

$\Omega(2012)^- \rightarrow \Xi(1530)\overline{K} \rightarrow \Xi\pi\overline{K}$

Discovery of $\Omega(2012)^{-}$

The $\Omega(2012)$ was first observed by Belle in $\Xi \overline{K}$ final states [PRL 121, 052003 (2018)], and was confirmed by BESIII [PRL 134, 131903 (2025)] and ALICE [arXiv: 2502.18063].



molecule.



$\Omega(2012)^- \to \Xi(1530)\overline{K} \to \Xi\pi\overline{K}$

[PLB 860, 139224 (2025)]

The Flatté-like function [PRD 81, 094028 (2010)]

$$T_n(M) = \frac{g_n k_n(M_n)}{|M_n - m_{\Omega(2012)} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=2,3} g_j [\kappa_j(M_j) + ik_j(M_j)]|^2}$$

• g_n is the effective coupling of to the *n*-body final state.

• k_n and κ_n parameterize the real and imaginary parts of the $\Omega(2012)^-$ self-energy.



$\Omega(2012)^- \to \Xi(1530)^0 K^- \to \Xi^- \pi^+ K^-$	6.97 ± 0.07	267 ±
$\Omega(2012)^- \to \Xi(1530)^- \bar{K}^0 \to \Xi^- \pi^0 \bar{K}^0$	1.06 ± 0.01	7 ± 2
$\Omega(2012)^- \to \Xi(1530)^- \bar{K}^0 \to \Xi^0 \pi^- \bar{K}^0$	1.74 ± 0.02	23 ± 5
$\Omega(2012)^- \to \Xi(1530)^0 K^- \to \Xi^0 \pi^0 K^-$	0.63 ± 0.01	12 ± 3

which predicts comparable rates for $\Omega(2012)^-$ decay to Ξ(1530) \overline{K} and Ξ \overline{K} [PRD 98 (2018) 054009, PRD 98 (2018) 056013, PRD 98 (2018) 076012]. 24

Data-taking plan and prospects



- Until 2026, about 1 ab^{-1} data, comparable to Belle
- Until 2029, about 4 ab^{-1} data.

Charmonium-like states:

- B decay (B $\rightarrow KX_{c\bar{c}}$)
- Initial-state radiation (ISR)
- Two-photon process
- Double charmonium

Bottomonium-like states:

- Decays of higher mass states (e.g. Υ(5S,6S))
- Direct production via operation at a lower center-of-mass energy.



Summary

- Belle II started operation in 2019, and the peak luminosity has achieved $\sim 0.5 \times 10^{35} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$.
- Belle II is getting more exciting hadron physics results, especially on $\Upsilon(10753)$ and bottomonium transitions.
- The Belle dataset has not been surpassed by Belle II but now analyses combine the two data from the two experiments.
- Stay tuned for many results on spectroscopy from Belle II in the next few years.

Thanks for your attention!



Search for X_b



for X_b [PRL 130, 091902 (2023)] $\Upsilon(1S)(\to e^+e^-/\mu^+\mu^-)$

- No significant X_b signal is observed.
- The peaks are the reflections of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega \chi_b$

From simulated events with $m(X_b) = 10.6 \text{ GeV/c}^2$ The yield is fixed at the upper limit at 90% C.L.

Upper limits at	\sqrt{s} (GeV)	10.653	10.701	10.745	10.805
90% C.L. on (a^+a^-)	$m(X_b) = 10.6 \text{ GeV/c}^2$	0.46	0.33	0.10	0.14
$\begin{array}{c} \sigma_{\rm B}(e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma X_{\rm b}) \\ \mathcal{B}(X_{\rm b} \rightarrow \omega \Upsilon(1S)) \\ (\rm pb) \end{array}$	$m(X_b) = (10.45, 10.65) \text{ GeV/c}^2$	(0.14, 0.55)	(0.25, 0.84)	(0.06, 0.14)	(0.08, 0.37) 28

Discussion

[PRL 130, 091902 (2023)]

 $\frac{\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \chi_{bJ}(1P)\omega)}{\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow Y(nS)\pi^+\pi^-)} \sim -\frac{1.5 \text{ at } \sqrt{s} = 10.745 \text{ GeV [PRL 130, 091902 (2023)]}}{\sim 0.15 \text{ at } \sqrt{s} = 10.867 \text{ GeV [PRL 113, 142001 (2014)]}}$

□Y(5S) and Y(10753) have same quantum numbers and similar masses, but the difference on the above ratio is large. This may indicate the difference in the internal structures of these two states.

$$\frac{\sigma(e^+e^- \to \chi_{b1}^{(1P)\omega})}{\sigma(e^+e^- \to \chi_{b2}^{(1P)\omega})} = 1.3 \pm 0.6 \text{ at } \sqrt{s} = 10.745 \text{ GeV [PRL 130, 091902 (2023)]}$$

Contradicts the expectation for a pure D-wave bottomonium state of 15 [Phys. Lett. B 738, 172 (2014)]

An observation of 1.8σ difference with the prediction for a S–D–mixed state of 0.2 [Phys. Rev. D 104, 034036 (2021)]

Signal yields $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta \Upsilon(1S)$





Fig. 1. The $\Xi^-\pi^+$ mass distributions in $\Xi^-\pi^+K^-$ events in data (points) and in simulations using the models of the previous analysis [41] (solid blue line) and this work (solid red line), with each simulation normalized to have an exaggerated $\mathcal{R}_{\Xi\bar{K}}^{\Xi\pi\bar{K}}$ value of 4. The red arrow shows the mass requirement of this analysis; the blue arrows show the mass requirement of the previous analysis [41].