



Measurement of charged jet spectrum and v_2 in Pb-Pb collisions at 5.36 TeV with ALICE

Yubiao Wang (for the ALICE collaboration)

Central China Normal University

26/04/2025

Motivation

In the QGP, high-energy partons lose energy while traversing the medium.

- This energy loss is predicted to depend on the path length through the QGP.
- Observing a correlation between energy loss and path length would provide crucial insight into the underlying mechanisms.



Jet v_2 quantifies the azimuthal anisotropy of jet yields and serves as a key observable to probe this pathlength dependence.

Observables



- Elliptic flow (v_2) : quantifies the azimuthal anisotropy of jet (or particle) yields with respect to the reaction plane.
- Low p_T: participation in collective motion and thrmalization of heavy quarks
- > High $p_{\rm T}$: path-length dependence of energy loss

- The interaction region created in the collision has an initial spatial anisotropy
- Which is converted into a momentum anisotropy of the emitted particles due to the pressure gradients

Out-of-plane

$$E\frac{d^{3}N}{d\varphi} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{d^{2}N}{p_{T}dp_{T}dy} \left\{ 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} v_{n} \cos[n(\varphi - \Psi_{n})] \right\}$$

$$v_{2} = \left\langle \cos[2[\varphi - \Psi_{2}]] \right\rangle$$
jet v₂
· In-plane:
$$-\pi/4 < \varphi < \pi/4, 3\pi/4 < \varphi < 5\pi/4$$
· Out-of-plane:
$$\pi/4 < \varphi < 3\pi/4, 5\pi/4 < \varphi < 7\pi/4$$
In-plane



- The systematic uncertainties in the ALICE results are large
- The overlap is limited.

The current measurements at LHC shows positive jet v_2 but with poor precision, especially ALICE results

ALICE Run3 update



- Excellent PID capabilities.
- Reconstruction of particles down to low momenta.
- ITS2: pointing resolution of ~35 μ m (at 1 GeV/*c*)
- MFT: Vertexing in forward direction.
- GEM-TPC: high rates up to 50 kHz in Pb-Pb with continuous readout.



Overall data taking efficiency:

- pp: 92% physics ready
- Pb-Pb: 81% physics ready

Continuous readout significantly enhances data-taking capabilities but also brings several challenges (pile-up,) Occupancy cut

- Suppress pile-up and non-physical background
- Improve the estimation of background density
- Enhance the reliability of jet reconstruction

ALICE Detector in Run 3



- 2023 Pb-Pb collisions, 5.36 TeV (497.1 TB)
- Track reconstruction by ITS and TPC
- Event plane reconstruction by T0A and T0C

Final result

• Event plane constructed by Q-vector :

 $\Psi_{\text{EP,n}} = 1/n * \arctan(Q_{n,y}/Q_{n,x})$ $Q_{n,x} = \sum_{i} \omega_{i} \sin(n\varphi_{i}), \quad Q_{n,x} = \sum_{i} \omega_{i} \sin(n\varphi_{i})$ $\omega_{i}: \text{ gain equalization, } \varphi_{i}: \text{ Track angle, } n: \text{ Fourier order}$

• Event plane resolution was determined by 3-sub-event method:

 $\mathcal{R}_2 = \left\langle \cos[2(\Psi_{\rm EP,2} - \Psi_2)] \right\rangle$

- The Event Plane distribution should be flat after Q-vector corrections.
- > Recentered:

Adjusts the event plane's position to align with the true event center.

> Twisted:

Accounts for possible rotational effects caused by detector asymmetry or other factors.

> Corrected:

Corrects possible deformations in the event plane to restore symmetry.



Event Plane Calibration



Flow vector from detector measurement: ٠

 $\Psi_{\rm EP,2} = 1/2 * \arctan(Q_{2,y}/Q_{2,x})$

The distribution that has become flat after full • steps correction for different detectors FT0A, FT0C and FT0M.

2

Event Plane Resolution



Obtain event plane resolution using 3-sub-event methodDetector:FT0C(- $3.3 < \eta < -2.1$)Reference:FT0A($3.7 < \eta < 5.0$)TPC ($\eta > 0$)FT0M(combined A & C sides)TPC ($\eta < 0$)

$$\mathcal{R}_2 = \left\langle \cos[2(\Psi_{\rm EP,2} - \Psi_2)] \right\rangle$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{2} \approx \sqrt{\frac{\left\langle \cos[2(\Psi_{\text{EP},2}^{\text{Det}} - \Psi_{\text{EP},2}^{\text{RefA}})] \right\rangle \left\langle \cos[2(\Psi_{\text{EP},2}^{\text{Det}} - \Psi_{\text{EP},2}^{\text{RefB}})] \right\rangle}{\left\langle \cos[2(\Psi_{\text{EP},2}^{\text{RefA}} - \Psi_{\text{EP},2}^{\text{RefB}})] \right\rangle}}$$

• The event plane resolution peaks at around 80% for the 20-30% centrality class, decreasing for both more central and more peripheral collisions.

Background subtraction for jet measurements



The jet we want

The jet we get

In heavy-ion collisions, a huge number of particles are produced.

- \blacktriangleright Signal jets are reconstructed with the background particles.
- \blacktriangleright Estimate background $p_{\rm T}$ density (ρ) except for jet area to subtract the background from the signal jets

 $k_{\rm T}$ clustering is used to estimate the event-by-event median background density, ρ , which is defined as:

$$\rho = median\{\frac{p_{\mathrm{T,k_t}}}{A_{\mathrm{k_t}}}\}$$

 p_{T, k_t} : transverse momentum of all k_t cluster

 A_{k_t} : area in (η, ϕ) -plane for all kt cluster

Area-based method:

Removes the event-wise median background contribution to the jet transverse momentum by estimating the background $p_{\rm T}$ density and assuming it is uniformly distributed across the jet area.

$$p_{\mathrm{T,jet}}^{\mathrm{sub}} = p_{\mathrm{T,jet}}^{\mathrm{raw}} - \rho * A_{\mathrm{jet}}$$



The soft particle background for jets is not uniform for azimuthal angle (φ).

> The background calculation should take the φ dependency into account.

The local rho is estimated using tracks except the leading jet eta region.

In this analysis, a following equation is used

- $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{corr}} = p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{raw}} \rho_{\mathrm{local}} * A$
- $\rho(\varphi) = \rho_0 \times (1 + 2\{v_2^{\text{obs}}\cos(2[\varphi \Psi_{\text{EP},2}]) + v_3^{\text{obs}}\cos(3[\varphi \Psi_{\text{EP},3}])\})$
 - $\Psi_{EP,2}$ and $\Psi_{EP,2}$ could get in "Event plane reconstruction"
 - $\rho_0, v_2^{\text{obs}}, v_3^{\text{obs}}$ are fitting value.





$$\rho(\varphi) = \rho_0 \times (1 + 2\{v_2^{\text{obs}}\cos(2[\varphi - \Psi_{\text{EP},2}]) + v_3^{\text{obs}}\cos(3[\varphi - \Psi_{\text{EP},3}])\})$$
$$\rho_{\text{local}}(\varphi) = \frac{\langle \rho \rangle}{2R\rho_0} \int_{\varphi - R}^{\varphi + R} \rho(\varphi) d\varphi$$

After reconstructing the second and third-order event planes (EP2 and EP3), these were used as inputs for the fit.

$\rho(\varphi)$ Fitting Parameter	Value
ρ_0 (fit) = par[0]	47.164 ± 1.132
v_2^{obs} (fit) = par[1]	0.0849 ± 0.016
v_3^{obs} (fit) = par[3]	-0.050 ± 0.017
X ² /NDF	1.089
p-value	0.327

The background can fluctuate in different regions of the collision. We investigate these differences by evaluating the differences in local and event-wise background density.



- We expect the local rho's $\delta p_{\rm T}$ should be smaller than the median one.
- Median ρ shows strong φ-dependence in background estimation
- Local ρ reduces the φ -dependence significantly

The Random cone is created once per event except the leading jet region.



Random-Cone method: $\delta p_{\rm T} = \sum_i p_{{\rm T},i}^{\rm track} - \langle \rho \rangle \cdot A$

 $p_{T,i}$: transverse momentum of tracks in cone Random R=0.2 cones are reconstructed in each event with and without leading jet

 Excluding the leading jet region helps suppress upward fluctuations in the background estimation. The right-hand-side tail, originating from real jets, is significantly reduced.

Background fluctuation from different background estimation



 $\langle \rho \rangle$ subtraction: Background fluctuations depend on φ

•
$$\delta p_{\mathrm{T}} = \sum_{i} p_{\mathrm{T},i}^{\mathrm{track}} - \rho_{\mathrm{local}}(\varphi) \cdot A$$
 • $\delta p_{\mathrm{T}} = \sum_{i} p_{\mathrm{T},i}^{\mathrm{track}} - \langle \rho \rangle \cdot A$

•
$$\rho_{\text{local}}(\varphi) = \frac{\langle \rho \rangle}{2R\rho_0} \int_{\varphi-R}^{\varphi+R} \rho_{\text{ch}}(\varphi) d\varphi$$

 ρ_{local} subtraction: Accounts for φ dependence

 \blacktriangleright With $\langle \rho \rangle$ subtraction, the fluctuation shows φ dependence, while using ρ_{local} the φ dependence fluctuation disappears.



- Projection region: in-plane: $-\pi/4 < \varphi < \pi/4$, $3\pi/4 < \varphi < 5\pi/4$ out-of-plane: $\pi/4 < \varphi < 3\pi/4$, $5\pi/4 < \varphi < 7\pi/4$
- The projected $\delta p_{\rm T}$ distribution are consistent when using $\rho_{\rm local}$
- A small φ dependence is found when using $\langle \rho \rangle$ for subtraction

Unfolding correction

- Unfolding is used to correct the distortion and smearing of the jet $p_{\rm T}$ caused by limited detector resolution and background fluctuations
- To obtain the true jet from the reconstructed jet, unfolding is performed as shown equation:

$$p_{\mathrm{T,jet}}^{\mathrm{truth}} = R^{-1} p_{\mathrm{T,jet}}^{\mathrm{rec}}$$

R is the combined response matrix, formed by multiplying the detector and background fluctuation matrices.

$$R = R_{\rm det} \otimes R_{\rm fluc}$$

- The detector response matrix is obtained from PYTHIA + GEANT4 simulations of pp collisions under Pb–Pb conditions. It will be further smeared to reflect Pb–Pb detector effects.
- Next step: combine this response matrix with background-fluctuations matrix in order to perform unfolding to get the truth-level jet spectra.



Summary and Outlook



- Measure the charged-particle jet spectrum in Pb–Pb collisions during Run 3 to increase the overlap with ATLAS's RAA results.
- Fully unfolded physical results is on the way.
- Further study the impact of background on jet analyses by embedding jets from Monte-Carlo simulations into real minimum-bias Pb-Pb Data.
- In jet v2 analysis will use different detector combinations for EP resolution and incorporate into systematics study.

Thanks for your attention 18