

Based on: *Phys.Rev.D* 110 (2024) 5, 054024;

第二十届全国中高能核物理大会, 2025年4月27日, 上海



Introduction : heavy-ion collision and magnetic fields

Thermal dilepton production in magnetized QGP
 Virtual photon polarization and dilepton anisotropy
 Results in a weak magnetic field
 Experimental report angular distributions of thermal

Experimental report angular distributions of thermal dileptons

Summary and outlook



Heavy-ion Collisions: a Little bang





A demonstration sketch of HIC from Shen Chun

- > Hadron Probe: p, K, π
- > Electromagnetic Probe: γ , e^+e^-

Oral talk: April 26th Chi Yang



Magnetic Field in Heavy-ion Collisions

Non-central Collisions



T. Bowman and J. Abramowitz/Brookhaven National Laboratory

- Generated from the relativistic motion of nucleus (spectators).
- From fluctuations of nucleons inside nucleus -- finite in central (small) collision.

Magnitude of vorticity and magnet field



Deng W T, Huang X G.PRC2012; Anping Huang, PRC2023 (April 26th)

Magnet field related phenomena

- Chiral Magnetic Effect(CME)
- Magnetic Catalysis
- > $\Lambda/\overline{\Lambda}$ polarization splitting
- Photon and dilepton production in magnetic field



Dilepton production in Heavy-ion Collisions





Source of dilepton in HIC

- Pre-equilibrium stage Drell-Yan process
- Dalitz decays of vector mesons
- Decays of heavy quarkonium
- > Ultra peripheral collision
- > Thermal dilepton production

Thermal Dilepton Production in magnetized QGP



Virtual Photon Polarization And Dilepton Anisotropy



Polarization axes



Aim:

helicity (HX) frame: the quantization axis is taken along the flight direction of the Vector particle itself.

$$\hat{z} = -\frac{\mathbf{h}_1 + \mathbf{h}_2}{|\mathbf{h}_1 + \mathbf{h}_2|}, \qquad \hat{y} = \frac{\mathbf{h}_1 \times \mathbf{h}_2}{|\mathbf{h}_1 \times \mathbf{h}_2|},$$

- Gottfried–Jackson (GJ) frame: the z axis is "simply" the direction of the momentum of one of the two colliding hadrons;
- The Collins–Soper (CS) frame : obtained by geometrically averaging the two beam directions;
- perpendicular helicity (PX) frame: If we take the other bisector, we obtain the PX frame

Calculate
$$W^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \int_{-1}^{1} \operatorname{dcos} \theta \int_{0}^{2\pi} \mathrm{d}\phi \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_q^2}{M^2}} f_q(p,\theta,\phi) f_{\bar{q}}(p,\pi-\theta,\phi+\pi) w^{\mu\nu}$$
 in HX frame



Thermal Dilepton VS Magnetic field

> Virtual Photon Polarization in HICs

(1702.05906Gordon Baym, 1802.02479 Enrico Speranza, 2309.03189 Florian Seck, Maurice Coquet 2309.00555)

- \succ Strong magnetic field region ($\mathrm{eB}{\sim}1-10m_{\pi}^2$)
 - One loop polarization function and spectral function(1812.10380Chowdhury Aminul Islam)

Dilepton production enhancement

(2109.000192310.11869 Aritra Das,1808.05176Snigdha Ghosh, 1601.04887N. Sadooghi)

> Ellipticity of Dilepton emission

(2205.00276Xinyang Wang,Igor A. Shovkovy 2311.17632Rajkumar Mondal)

 \succ Virtual photon polarization (less attention)

> Weak magnetic field region ($eB \sim 0.01 - 0.1 m_{\pi}^2$)

- Distribution function
- Dilepton production enhancement
- > Virtual photon polarization(our motivation)





$q \overline{q} \rightarrow \gamma^*$ Process with weak EM Field

Quark distribution functions

$$f_q \sim n_{\rm eq} + f_{\rm EM}$$
$$f_{\rm EM} = \frac{c}{8\alpha_{\rm EM}} \frac{\sigma_{\rm el} n_{\rm eq} (1 - n_{\rm eq})}{T^3 p \cdot u} e Q_f F^{\mu\nu} p_\mu u_\nu$$

Static fluid have no magnet effect

$$f_{\rm EM} = \frac{c}{8\alpha_{\rm EM}} \frac{\sigma_{\rm el} n_{\rm eq} (1 - n_{\rm eq})}{T^3 p \cdot u} e Q_f B_y (p_x u_z - p_z u_x)$$

Spin Density Operator of Virtual Photon

$$\begin{split} \rho_{\lambda\lambda'}^{\gamma^*} &= (\epsilon^{\mu}(\lambda))^* W_{\mu\nu} \epsilon^{\nu}(\lambda') \qquad W^{\mu\nu} &= \langle w^{\mu\nu} \rangle \\ w^{\mu\nu} &= 2C_q (-q^2 g^{\mu\nu} + q^{\mu} q^{\nu} - \Delta p^{\mu} \Delta p^{\nu}) \end{split}$$

Dilepton rate with the weak EM Field

$$\frac{dR}{d^4q \times d^4x} = 4N_c \sum_f \int \frac{d^3p_1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3p_2}{(2\pi)^3} \delta^{(4)}(q-p_1-p_2) \times f_{q_f}(\mathbf{p}_1) f_{\bar{q}_f}(\mathbf{p}_2) \sigma_{q_f\bar{q}_f}(M) v_{12}$$

From Boltzmann equation, see 2311.03929

$$p^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}f + qF^{\mu\nu}p_{\mu}\frac{\partial}{\partial p^{\nu}}f = C[f] \sim \frac{f - n_{eq}}{\tau_{R}}$$





Anisotropic Coefficient λ_{θ} in Bjorken Flow



Anisotropic Coefficient λ_{θ} in Bjorken Flow

on of
ime
$$\lambda_{\theta}^{\text{Bjorken}}(B_{y,i}, M, q_T, \phi_{\gamma}, y) = \frac{\pi R_A^2 \int_{\tau_i}^{\tau_f} d\tau \tau \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\eta R^{\text{Cell}}(\tau, \eta) \lambda_{\theta}(\tau, \eta)}{\pi R_A^2 \int_{\tau_i}^{\tau_f} d\tau \tau \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\eta \left(\frac{dR}{d^4 x d^4 q}\right)}$$



Integrati

space t





Longitudinal polarization $\lambda_{\theta} < 0$

 $f_{\rm EM} \propto B_y p_x u_z$



Anisotropic Coefficient λ_{θ} in Bjorken Flow

Integration of space time
$$\lambda_{\theta}^{\text{Bjorken}}(B_{y,i}, M, q_T, \phi_{\gamma}, y) = \frac{\pi R_A^2 \int_{\tau_i}^{\tau_f} d\tau \tau \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\eta R^{\text{Cell}}(\tau, \eta) \lambda_{\theta}(\tau, \eta)}{\pi R_A^2 \int_{\tau_i}^{\tau_f} d\tau \tau \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\eta \left(\frac{dR}{d^4 x d^4 q}\right)}$$



space tir



Transverse polarization $\lambda_{\theta} > 0$

 $f_{\rm EM} \propto B_y p_x u_z$



Anisotropic Coefficient λ_{ϕ} in Bjorken Flow

- > The common parameters: $\frac{\sigma}{T} = 2$, M = 2GeV, y = 0;
- > In the vicinity of $q_T = 0 GeV$, λ_{ϕ} is significant;
- ➢ For $q_T \rightarrow 0 GeV$, quarks and antiquarks have anti-parallel momenta (tend to y_{HX} , $f_{EM} \propto B_y p_x u_z$);
 According to time reversal, momenta of lepton tend to y_{HX} as well;



Angular distributions of thermal dileptons in nuclear collision

- Results in Collins–Soper (CS) frame;
- The centrality-integrated net mass spectrum after background subtraction ;
- neutral meson decays, η, ω, φ, the excess dimuons are isolated by subtracting them from the total (except for the ρ)



Anisotropic Coefficient λ_{θ}



(NA60 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 222301 (2009),

Quarkonium Polarization In Nuclear Collisions At The LHC



Phys.Lett.B 815 (2021) 136146



- Pb–Pb collisions;
- > A slight transverse polarization at low $p_T(\sim 2.1\sigma)$;
- > The sign of λ_{θ} have a transition;

Posters:

38.Tianyang Li ;42.Jiayun Xiang;62. Zhishun Chen;78Haoyu Liang;127.Baoshan Xi; 185.Guowei Yan.



Summary

Conclusions

- In a Bjorken flow, a weak magnetic field induced virtual photon polarization;
- >Anisotropic Coefficient λ_{θ} , λ_{ϕ} depend on the reference frame; Helicity frame relate to azimuthal angle of virtual photon ϕ_{γ}
- >In the vicinity of $q_T = 0 GeV$, magnetic effects is more significant;
- For $\phi_{\gamma} = 0$, π , a sign of λ_{θ} has a transition at transverse momentum $q_{T,C}$;

≻Outlook

- >A realistic fluid with vorticity; Construct a quantities for practical measurement;
- >In a rotating medium, Elliptic flow v_2 of the dilepton production is induced by rotation(*Phys.Rev.D* 105 (2022) 5, 054014);How about the Virtual Photon Polarization in a rotating medium?







Dilepton production in a rotating medium



Ellipticity of Dilepton emission in a rotating QCD medium



- > In low angular velocity region, positive elliptical flow v_2 is induced;
- > In high angular velocity region, negative elliptical flow v_2 is induced.



Virtual Photon Polarization



Angular distributions of thermal dileptons in nuclear collision

Anisotropic Coefficient λ_{ϕ}



(NA60 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 222301 (2009)

Quantities in helicity frame

$$(p_{1}^{\mu})_{\mathrm{HX}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{M}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{M^{2} - 4m_{q}^{2}}\sin\theta_{\mathrm{HX}} \times \cos\phi_{\mathrm{HX}} \\ \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{M^{2} - 4m_{q}^{2}}\sin\theta_{\mathrm{HX}} \times \sin\phi_{\mathrm{HX}} \\ \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{M^{2} - 4m_{q}^{2}}\cos\theta_{\mathrm{HX}} \end{pmatrix}^{2} \cdot (u \cdot p_{1})_{\mathrm{HX}} = \frac{p}{qM} \left(q^{2}u_{t} - q_{x}u_{x}\omega - q_{y}u_{y}\omega - q_{z}u_{z}\omega\right)\cos\theta \\ + \frac{1}{q}p(\cos\phi_{\gamma}q_{z}u_{x} - q_{t}u_{z} + \sin\phi_{\gamma}q_{z}u_{y})\cos\phi\sin\theta \\ - \frac{1}{2}(q_{x}u_{x} + q_{y}u_{y} + q_{z}u_{z} - u_{t}\omega) - p(\sin\phi_{\gamma}u_{x} - \cos\phi_{\gamma}u_{y})\sin\theta\sin\phi,$$

$$(F^{\mu\nu}p_{1,\mu}u_{\nu})_{\mathrm{HX}} = \frac{1}{qM} B_{y} \left[p(-q_{z}u_{x} + q_{t}\cos\phi_{\gamma}u_{z})\omega\cos\theta - p(q_{t}u_{x} + \cos\phi_{\gamma}q_{z}u_{z})M\cos\phi\sin\theta \right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} B_{y} \left[(-q_{z}u_{x} + q_{x}u_{z}) + 2p\sin\phi_{\gamma}u_{z}\sin\theta\sin\phi \right]$$

