



清華大學

CP violation in baryon decays at LHCb

Xinchen Dai

on behalf of the LHCb Collaboration

CLHCP 2024

2024年11月14日

Why CPV in baryon decays

□ CPV is one of the necessary conditions for baryogenesis

□ CPV is well established in meson decays

- no significant deviation from SM prediction
- not strong enough to account for the baryogenesis

□ However, no CPV has been observed in baryon sector yet


- Evidence of CPV in $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow p\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$ (3.3σ) [Nat.Phys.13(2017)391]
- Recent measurement shows no CPV in $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow p\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$ (2.9σ)

□ The Standard Model predicts similar CP violation in baryon and meson decays

□ Unlike mesons, only direct CPV occurs in baryon decays due to baryon number conservation

□ Searching for CPV in baryon decays:

- Test of the SM and the CKM mechanism
- Explore new physics



Experimental methods & observables

□ Asymmetry in the yields of CP-conjugate processes $A_{raw} = \frac{N(H \rightarrow f) - N(\bar{H} \rightarrow \bar{f})}{N(H \rightarrow f) + N(\bar{H} \rightarrow \bar{f})}$ $A_{CP} \propto \cos\Delta\phi \sin\Delta\delta$

➤ $A_{CP} = A_{raw} - A_{prod} - A_{det} - A_{other}$

➤ $\Delta A_{CP} = A_{CP}^{signal} - A_{CP}^{control}$

□ Miranda technique: Measuring CPV on binned phase space

➤ asymmetry significance: $S_{CP}^i = \frac{n_i - \alpha \bar{n}_i}{\sqrt{\alpha(n_i + \bar{n}_i)}}$

□ Energy test: A statistical T test to compare the baryon anti-baryon samples

➤ $T \equiv \frac{1}{2n(n-1)} \sum_{i \neq j}^n \psi_{ij} + \frac{1}{2\bar{n}(\bar{n}-1)} \sum_{i \neq j}^{\bar{n}} \psi_{ij} - \frac{1}{n\bar{n}}$

□ k-nearest neighbour (kNN):


➤ $T \equiv \frac{1}{n_k(n_+ - n_-)} \sum_{i=1}^{n_+ + n_-} \sum_k^{n_k} I(i, k)$

□ Triple product asymmetry:

➤ $A_{\hat{T}}(C_{\hat{T}}) = \frac{N(C_{\hat{T}} > 0) - N(C_{\hat{T}} < 0)}{N(C_{\hat{T}} > 0) + N(C_{\hat{T}} < 0)}$, $a_{CP}^{\hat{T}-odd} = \frac{1}{2}(A_{\hat{T}} - \bar{A}_{\hat{T}})$, $A_{CP} \propto \cos\Delta\phi \cos\Delta\delta$

□ Amplitude analysis:

➤ $A = \sum a_i A_i$, $\bar{A} = \sum \bar{a}_i \bar{A}_i$, $A_{CP} = \frac{|a_i|^2 - |\bar{a}_i|^2}{|a_i|^2 + |\bar{a}_i|^2}$



Overview of CPV in baryon decays



	Methods	Data	Paper
$\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow pK^-/p\pi^-$	A_{CP}	3fb^{-1}	PLB 787 (2018) 124-133
$\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow pK_S^0\pi^-$	$A_{CP}, \Delta A_{CP}$	1fb^{-1}	JHEP 04 (2014) 087
$\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow pD^0K^-$	Miranda S_{CP}^i	9fb^{-1}	PRD104 (2021) 112008
$\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda hh'$	$A_{CP}, \Delta A_{CP}$	3fb^{-1}	JHEP05(2016)081
$\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow pK^-\mu^+\mu^-$	ΔA_{CP}	3fb^{-1}	JHEP 06 (2017) 108
$\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda\gamma$	photon polarization asy.	3fb^{-1}	PRD105 (2022) L051104
$\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow ph^-h^+h^-$	ΔA_{CP} , TPA, Energy test	3fb^{-1} & 6.6fb^{-1}	EPJC (2019) 79:745 PRD 102 (2020) 051101
$\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ h^-$	decay parameters	9fb^{-1}	arXiv:2409.02759
$\Xi_b^- \rightarrow pK^-K^+$	Amplitude analysis	5fb^{-1}	Phys. Rev. D 104, 052010
$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK^-K^+/p\pi^-\pi^+$	ΔA_{CP}	3fb^{-1}	JHEP 03 (2018) 182
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow pK^-\pi^+$	kNN	3fb^{-1}	EPJC 2020, 80, 986

Overview of CPV in baryon decays

	Data	Institutions
$\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow ph\pi^0$	9fb^{-1}	北大/武大/国科大
$\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow pK_S^0\pi^-$	9fb^{-1}	北大/华师/国科大
$\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda hh'$	9fb^{-1}	国科大/华师/北大
$\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow ph^-h^+h^-$	9fb^{-1}	北大/华师/国科大/高能所

LHCb experiment

- Dedicated to b physics
Precision measurements of CPV & CKM angles
- Single-arm forward spectrometer
 b production at small polar angles
- Angle: 10~300mrad



precise tracking, vertexing system


- Vertex Locator detector
- Upstream tracker
- Scintillating fibre tracker
- Muon

high-efficiency PID

- RICH
- ECAL
- HCAL
- Muon

- New FE and DAQs for all subdetector
- Fully software trigger at 40MHz on GPU+CPU

LHCb experiment



- Run I: $\sim 3/\text{fb}$ @ $\sqrt{s}=7\text{-}8\text{ TeV}$
- Run II: $\sim 6/\text{fb}$ @ $\sqrt{s}=13\text{ TeV}$
- Run III: $\sim 25/\text{fb}$ @ $\sqrt{s}=13.6\text{ TeV}$

- $\frac{f_{\Lambda_b^0}}{f_u+f_d} = 0.259 \pm 0.018$

- Average over $P_T \in [4, 25]\text{ GeV}$ and $\eta \in [2, 5]$ @ $\sqrt{s}=13\text{ TeV}$

More charm baryons: $\Lambda_c, \Xi_c \dots$

CPV in $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow pK^- / p\pi^-$

Phys.Lett.B 787 (2018) 124-133


Search for CP violation in
 $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow pK^-$ and $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow p\pi^-$ decays

Run1 3/fb

LHCb-PAPER-2024-048, Run I+II 9/fb

CPV in $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow pK^- / p\pi^-$

- Mediated by the same quark-level transitions contributing to B^0
- Predicted CPV in $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow pK^- / p\pi^-$ up to $\sim 30\%$



	$\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow pK^-$	$\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow p\pi^-$
Yu et al. arXiv:2409.02821	-5.8%	4.1%
Geng et al. PRD 102(2020), 034033	6.7%	-4.4%
Hsiao et al. PRD 95 (2017) 9, 093001	$(5.8 \pm 0.2)\%$	$(-3.9 \pm 0.2)\%$
Zhu et al. PRD 99 (2019) 5, 054020	$(10.1^{+1.3}_{-2})\%$	$(-3.37^{+0.29}_{-0.37})\%$
Lu et al. PRD 80, 034011 (2009)	$(-5^{+26}_{-5})\%$	$(-31^{+43}_{-1})\%$
CDF	$(-10 \pm 8 \pm 4)\%$	$(6 \pm 7 \pm 3)\%$

$$A_{CP}(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow pK^-) = (-2.0 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.9)\%$$

$$A_{CP}(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow p\pi^-) = (-3.5 \pm 1.7 \pm 2.0)\%$$

$$\Delta A_{CP} = A_{CP}(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow pK^-) - A_{CP}(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow p\pi^-) = 0.014 \pm 0.022 \pm 0.010$$

CPV in $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow pK^-/p\pi^-$ (New)

□ Update CP measurement using combined Run I and Run II data (9fb^{-1})

□ For Run I data: $A_{CP}^{pK} = A_{raw} - A_{det}^p - A_{det}^K - A_{PID}^{pK} - A_{trigger}^{pK} - A_P^{\Lambda_b^0}$

➤ All the nuisance asymmetries studied using data driven method and existing inputs

➤ Updated $A_P^{\Lambda_b^0}$ and A_{det}^p , improve the precision

□ For Run II data: $A_{CP}^{pK} = \Delta A_{raw} - \Delta A_{det}^p - \Delta A_{det}^K - \Delta A_{PID}^{pK} - \Delta A_{trigger}^{pK} + A_{det}^{\pi^-} + A_{det}^{\pi^+} + A_{CP}^{\Lambda_c^+ \pi^-}$

➤ $A_P^{\Lambda_b^0}$ cancelled by control channel $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+(pK^-\pi^+)\pi^-$





➤ reweight over the kinematic of the Λ_b^0 in control samples.

□ New data driven method developed to correct $A_{trigger}^{pK}$

□ Better control of uncertainties from PID

CPV in $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow pK^- / p\pi^-$ (New)

- Simultaneously fit to eight $m(ph)$ spectra
 - (RunI, RunII) \otimes ($\Lambda_b^0, \bar{\Lambda}_b^0$) \otimes ($pK^-, p\pi^-$)
- Signal: Johnson + gaussian: shape fixed from MC
- Mis-ID bkg: KDE on simulated samples.
- Part.reco.bkg: Argus or simulated samples
- Comb.bkg: ARGUS or RapidSim-generated samples



CPV in $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow pK^- / p\pi^-$ (New)

□ New Run I results:

Statistically dominated!

$$A_{CP}^{pK} = (-0.27 \pm 1.55 \pm 0.57)\%$$

$$A_{CP}^{p\pi} = (-0.59 \pm 1.86 \pm 0.53)\%$$

□ New Run II results:

$$A_{CP}^{pK} = (-1.39 \pm 0.75 \pm 0.41)\%$$





$$A_{CP}^{p\pi} = (0.42 \pm 0.93 \pm 0.42)\%$$

□ Combined results:



$$A_{CP}^{pK} = (-1.14 \pm 0.67 \pm 0.36)\%$$

$$A_{CP}^{p\pi} = (0.02 \pm 0.83 \pm 0.37)\%$$

No evidence of CP violation!



Theoretical explanation



$\frac{1^+}{2} \rightarrow \frac{1^+}{2} + 0^-$
S wave & P wave

$0^- \rightarrow 0^- + 0^-$
S wave only!

- ❑ The cancellation between different partial wave turns in small net direct CPV
- ❑ A partial-wave CPV of similar magnitude to that in B mesons is predicted.

	$\Lambda_b \rightarrow p\pi^-$	$\Lambda_b \rightarrow pK^-$
Br	3.3×10^{-6}	2.9×10^{-6}
A_{CP}^{dir}	4.1%	-5.8%
A_{CP}^S	0.15	-0.05
A_{CP}^P	-0.07	-0.23
α	-0.81	0.38
β	0.26	-0.65
γ	-0.52	0.66
A_{CP}^α	0.046	0.20
A_{CP}^β	2.12	-9.34
A_{CP}^γ	-0.12	0.10

$$\alpha \equiv \frac{2\text{Re}(S \times P)}{|S|^2 + |P|^2}$$

$$\beta \equiv \frac{2\text{Im}(S \times P)}{|S|^2 + |P|^2}$$

$$\gamma \equiv \frac{|S|^2 - |P|^2}{|S|^2 + |P|^2}$$

Outlook

□ The polarization of Λ_b^0 at the LHC is consistent with zero [PLB 724 \(2013\) 27-35](#)



□ A sample of Λ_b^0 decay from heavier b baryons can be used to probe the CPV in decay parameters and partial-waves

Signal yields (5.5fb^{-1}):

$$N(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ \pi^-) = 85 \pm 13$$

$$N(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-) = 103 \pm 15$$

Observation of $\Xi_b^- \rightarrow \Lambda_b^0 \pi^-$ [PRD108 \(2023\) 7, 072002](#)



More data expected from LHCb upgrade I+II

Conclusion

- Search for CPV in b-baryon is a frontier of flavor physics
- More contributions from the LHCb China team
- Best measurement of CPV in $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow ph^-$
- Further investigation is needed to understand CPV in baryon decays
- More data in LHCb upgrade I is coming
- Many new analyses coming soon

Backup

$$\text{CPV in } \Lambda_b^0 (\Xi_b^0) \rightarrow p h^- h^+ h^-$$

Eur. Phys. J. C (2019) 79:745

Measurements of *CP* asymmetries in
charmless four-body Λ_b^0 and Ξ_b^0
decays

Run I 3/fb




CPV in $\Lambda_b^0 (\Xi_b^0) \rightarrow ph^-h^+h^-$

- Follow the path of the observation of CPV in charmless multibody decays of B mesons
- Dominant diagrams with amplitudes of similar magnitude
- Contain rich resonance structures, both in the two- or three-body baryonic invariant-mass spectra
- Large CPV expected due to the strong-phase differences induced by the interference patterns
- Six decay modes from 0.5-10K signals
- CP observables: $\Delta A_{CP} = A_{CP} - A_{CP}^{con.}$

Charmless decay	Quark transition	Charmed decay	Quark transition
$\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow p\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$	$b \rightarrow u\bar{u}d$ (T + P)	$\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow (\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow p\pi^-\pi^+)\pi^-$	$b \rightarrow c\bar{u}d$ (T)
$\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow pK^-\pi^+\pi^-$	$b \rightarrow u\bar{u}s$ (T + P)	$\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow (\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK^-\pi^+)\pi^-$	$b \rightarrow c\bar{u}d$ (T)
$\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow pK^-K^+\pi^-$	$b \rightarrow d\bar{s}s$ (T + P)	$\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow (\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow p\pi^-\pi^+)\pi^-$	$b \rightarrow c\bar{u}d$ (T)
$\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow pK^-K^+K^-$	$b \rightarrow s\bar{s}s$ (T + P)	$\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow (\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK^-\pi^+)\pi^-$	$b \rightarrow c\bar{u}d$ (T)
$\Xi_b^0 \rightarrow pK^-\pi^+\pi^-$	$b \rightarrow u\bar{u}d$ (T + P)	$\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow (\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK^-\pi^+)\pi^-$	$b \rightarrow c\bar{u}d$ (T)
$\Xi_b^0 \rightarrow pK^-\pi^+K^-$	$b \rightarrow s\bar{d}d / b \rightarrow u\bar{u}s$ (P / T)	$\Xi_b^0 \rightarrow (\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow pK^-\pi^+)\pi^-$	$b \rightarrow c\bar{u}d$ (T)
		$\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow (\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK^-\pi^+)\pi^-$	$b \rightarrow c\bar{u}d$ (T)
		$\Xi_b^0 \rightarrow (\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow pK^-\pi^+)\pi^-$	$b \rightarrow c\bar{u}d$ (T)

Signal channels



Control channels



CPV in $\Lambda_b^0 (\Xi_b^0) \rightarrow ph^-h^+h^-$

Simultaneous fit to 6 decay modes

Example: $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow pK^-\pi^+\pi^-$



Global CPV measurement:

- $\Delta A_{CP}(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow p\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-) = (1.1 \pm 2.5 \pm 0.6)\%$
- $\Delta A_{CP}(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow pK^-\pi^+\pi^-) = (3.2 \pm 1.1 \pm 0.6)\%$
- $\Delta A_{CP}(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow pK^-K^+\pi^-) = (6.9 \pm 4.9 \pm 0.8)\%$
- $\Delta A_{CP}(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow pK^-K^+K^-) = (0.2 \pm 1.8 \pm 0.6)\%$
- $\Delta A_{CP}(\Xi_b^0 \rightarrow pK^-\pi^+\pi^-) = (17 \pm 11 \pm 1)\%$
- $\Delta A_{CP}(\Xi_b^0 \rightarrow pK^-\pi^+K^-) = (-6.8 \pm 8.0 \pm 0.8)\%$

Statistical uncertainty dominated, consistent with CP conservation at 1% precision

Local CPV measurement:

- $\Delta A_{CP}(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow pa_1(1260)) = (-1.5 \pm 4.2 \pm 0.6)\%$
- $\Delta A_{CP}(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow N(1520)\rho) = (2.0 \pm 4.9 \pm 0.4)\%$
- $\Delta A_{CP}(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Delta^{++}\pi^+\pi^-) = (0.1 \pm 3.2 \pm 0.6)\%$
- $\Delta A_{CP}(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow pK_1(1410)) = (4.7 \pm 3.5 \pm 0.8)\%$
- $\Delta A_{CP}(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda(1520)\rho) = (0.6 \pm 6.0 \pm 0.5)\%$
- $\Delta A_{CP}(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow N(1520)K^*(892)) = (5.5 \pm 2.5 \pm 0.5)\%$
- $\Delta A_{CP}(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Delta^{++}K^+\pi^-) = (4.4 \pm 2.6 \pm 0.6)\%$
- $\Delta A_{CP}(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda(1520)\phi) = (4.3 \pm 5.6 \pm 0.4)\%$
- $\Delta A_{CP}(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow pK^-\phi) = (-0.7 \pm 3.3 \pm 0.7)\%$

$$\text{CPV in } \Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow p\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$$

Nature Physics 13, 391–396 (2017)

**Measurement of matter-antimatter
differences in beauty baryon decays**

Run I 3/fb

Phys. Rev. D 102 (2020) 051101

**Search for CP violation and
observation of P violation in
 $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow p\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$ decays**

Run I+II (2011-2017) 6.6/fb

CPV in $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow p\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$

- Search for CPV with scalar triple-product asymmetries, \hat{T} flips the direction of first state momenta and spin

$$C_{\hat{T}} \equiv \vec{p}_p \cdot (\vec{p}_{h_1} \times \vec{p}_{h_2}), \quad \bar{C}_{\hat{T}} \equiv \vec{p}_{\bar{p}} \cdot (\vec{p}_{\bar{h}_1} \times \vec{p}_{\bar{h}_2})$$

- Data divided into 4 subsamples: $C_{\hat{T}} > 0, C_{\hat{T}} < 0, -\bar{C}_{\hat{T}} > 0, -\bar{C}_{\hat{T}} < 0$

$$A_{\hat{T}}(C_{\hat{T}}) = \frac{N(C_{\hat{T}} > 0) - N(C_{\hat{T}} < 0)}{N(C_{\hat{T}} > 0) + N(C_{\hat{T}} < 0)} \quad \bar{A}_{\hat{T}}(\bar{C}_{\hat{T}}) = \frac{\bar{N}(-\bar{C}_{\hat{T}} > 0) - \bar{N}(-\bar{C}_{\hat{T}} < 0)}{\bar{N}(-\bar{C}_{\hat{T}} > 0) + \bar{N}(-\bar{C}_{\hat{T}} < 0)}$$

- $A_{\hat{T}}$ and $\bar{A}_{\hat{T}}$ are not clean CPV observables, FSI effects can introduce fake asymmetries.

- Define the clean CP-violating observable:


$$a_{CP}^{\hat{T}\text{-odd}} = \frac{1}{2}(A_{\hat{T}} - \bar{A}_{\hat{T}}) \quad a_P^{\hat{T}\text{-odd}} = \frac{1}{2}(A_{\hat{T}} + \bar{A}_{\hat{T}}) \quad A_{CP}^f \equiv \frac{\Gamma(H_b \rightarrow f) - \Gamma(\bar{H}_b \rightarrow \bar{f})}{\Gamma(H_b \rightarrow f) + \Gamma(\bar{H}_b \rightarrow \bar{f})}$$

$\propto \sin\phi\cos\delta$

$\propto \sin\phi\sin\delta$

Does not require a non-zero strong phase difference!


Both strong phase and weak phase differences are needed



CPV in $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow p\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$



- CPV integrated over the whole phase space:

$\triangleright a_{CP}^{T-odd} = (-0.7 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.2)\%$



- Asymmetries for different binning scheme:

- \triangleright A: 16 bins of polar and azimuthal angle of proton and $\Delta^{++}(\rightarrow p\pi^+)$
- \triangleright B: asymmetries as a function of $|\Phi|$ angle
- \triangleright 1: $m(p\pi^-\pi^+) > 2.8\text{GeV}$, dominated by $a_1(1260)$
- \triangleright 2: $m(p\pi^-\pi^+) < 2.8\text{GeV}$, dominated by N^{*+}



- χ^2 taking into account statistical and systematic effects
- In B₂ region, deviation from CP conservation 2.9 σ . CPV not established

CPV in $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow p\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$

- Energy test is a model-independent unbinned test sensitive to local differences between two samples
- Provide superior discriminating power between different samples than traditional χ^2 test

$$T \equiv \frac{1}{2n(n-1)} \sum_{i \neq j}^n \psi_{ij} + \frac{1}{2\bar{n}(\bar{n}-1)} \sum_{i \neq j}^{\bar{n}} \psi_{ij} - \frac{1}{n\bar{n}}$$

- $\psi_{ij} = e^{-d_{ij}^2/2\delta^2}$: d_{ij} is their Euclidean distance in phase space, δ the distance scale probed using the energy test
- The p-value is calculated using a permutation method

Distance scale δ	1.6 GeV ² /c ⁴	2.7 GeV ² /c ⁴	13 GeV ² /c ⁴
p-value (CP conservation, P even)	3.1×10^{-2}	2.7×10^{-3}	1.3×10^{-2}
p-value (CP conservation, P odd)	1.5×10^{-1}	6.9×10^{-2}	6.5×10^{-2}
p-value (P conservation)	1.3×10^{-7}	4.0×10^{-7}	1.6×10^{-1}

marginally consistent with the CP-conserving

- A new test is statistic is defined as $Q = p_1 p_2 p_3$, significance for CPV $< 3\sigma$

$$\text{CPV in } \Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow p D^0 [K^+ \pi^-] K^-$$

Phys. Rev. D104 (2021) 112008

Studies of beauty baryon decays to
 $D^0 p h^-$ and $\Lambda_c^+ h^-$ final states

Run I+II 9/fb

CPV in $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow p D^0 [K^+ \pi^-] K^-$





□ $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow p D^0 [K^+ \pi^-] K^-$ receives contributions from $b \rightarrow c$ (DCS) and $b \rightarrow u$ of similar magnitude

□ The interference between these two amplitudes is expected to be large

□ Interference is anticipated to be amplified in $\Lambda^*(pK^-)$ region

$$\left| \frac{\mathcal{M}(B^- \rightarrow K^- D^0 [\rightarrow f])}{\mathcal{M}(B^- \rightarrow K^- \bar{D}^0 [\rightarrow f])} \right|^2 \approx \left| \frac{V_{cb} V_{us}^*}{V_{ub} V_{cs}^*} \right|^2 \left| \frac{a_1}{a_2} \right|^2 \frac{Br(D^0 \rightarrow f)}{Br(\bar{D}^0 \rightarrow f)} \approx$$

$$\approx \left| \frac{0.22}{0.08} \right|^2 \left| \frac{1}{0.26} \right|^2 0.0077 \sim 1,$$



□ Asymmetry in the full PHSP:

$$A_{CP} = 0.12 \pm 0.09^{+0.02}_{-0.03}$$

□ Asymmetry in the low $M(pK^-)$ region:

$$A_{CP} = 0.01 \pm 0.16^{+0.03}_{-0.02}$$

Consistent with CP conservation!

CPV in $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow pK^- \mu^+ \mu^-$

JHEP 06 (2017) 108


Observation of the decay
 $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow pK^- \mu^+ \mu^-$ and a search for
CP violation

Run I: 3/fb


CPV in $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow pK^-\mu^+\mu^-$

- ▣ Search for CPV in FCNC process
- ▣ Dominated by loop diagrams
- ▣ new heavy particles could provide additional weak phases
- ▣ sensitive to CPV effects from physics beyond the SM
- ▣ direct CP asymmetry:


$$\Delta A_{CP} = A_{CP}(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow pK^-\mu^+\mu^-) - A_{CP}(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow pK^-J/\psi)$$



$$\Delta A_{CP}(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow pK^-\mu^+\mu^-) = (-3.5 \pm 5.0 \pm 0.2)\%$$



Triple product asymmetry



$$a_{CP}^{T-odd} = (1.2 \pm 5.0 \pm 0.7)\%$$

CPV in $\Xi_b^- \rightarrow pK^-K^+$

Phys. Rev. D 104, 052010


Search for *CP* violation
in $\Xi_b^- \rightarrow pK^-K^-$ decays

Run I: 3/fb

Run II: 2/fb (2015-2016)


CPV in $\Xi_b^- \rightarrow pK^-K^-$

- Charmless $b \rightarrow u, b \rightarrow s$ transition
- Study CPV over PHSP using model dependent amplitude analysis



Approximately 685 candidates with a purity of 67% are retained for amplitude analysis

CPV in $\Xi_b^- \rightarrow pK^-K^+$



Component	$A^{CP} (10^{-2})$
$\Sigma(1385)$	-27 ± 34 (stat) ± 73 (syst)
$\Lambda(1405)$	-1 ± 24 (stat) ± 32 (syst)
$\Lambda(1520)$	-5 ± 9 (stat) ± 8 (syst)
$\Lambda(1670)$	3 ± 14 (stat) ± 10 (syst)
$\Sigma(1775)$	-47 ± 26 (stat) ± 14 (syst)
$\Sigma(1915)$	11 ± 26 (stat) ± 22 (syst)

No evidence of CPV, larger samples are needed.

CPV in $\Lambda_c^0 \rightarrow pK^-K^+ / p\pi^-\pi^+$

JHEP 03 (2018) 182

A measurement of the *CP*
asymmetry difference between
 $\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK^-K^+$ and $p\pi^-\pi^+$ decays

Run I: 3/fb



CPV in $\Lambda_c^0 \rightarrow pK^-K^+ / p\pi^-\pi^+$

$$V_{\text{CKM}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} & \lambda & A\lambda^3(\rho - i\eta) \\ -\lambda & 1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} & A\lambda^2 \\ A\lambda^3(1 - \rho - i\eta) & -A\lambda^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \delta_{V_{\text{CKM}}}$$

- complementary to measurements in b -hadrons
- CPV only occur in SCS decays at the $O(10^{-3})$ level
- FSI, NP and SU(3)F breaking could enhance the CPV

$$\delta_{V_{\text{CKM}}} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{8}\lambda^4 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2}A^2\lambda^5(1 - 2(\rho + i\eta)) & -\frac{1}{8}\lambda^4(1 + 4A^2) & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2}A\lambda^5(\rho + i\eta) & \frac{1}{2}A\lambda^4(1 - 2(\rho + i\eta)) & -\frac{1}{2}A^2\lambda^4 \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^6)$$

Search for CPV in cabibbo suppress decay $\Lambda_c^0 \rightarrow pK^-K^+ / p\pi^-\pi^+$



\sqrt{s}	Polarity	Int. lumi. [pb^{-1}]	pK^-K^+ yield	$p\pi^-\pi^+$ yield
7 TeV	Up	422 ± 7	2880 ± 70	$18\,450 \pm 190$
7 TeV	Down	563 ± 9	3940 ± 80	$25\,130 \pm 230$
8 TeV	Up	1000 ± 11	9040 ± 120	$57\,730 \pm 350$
8 TeV	Down	992 ± 11	9330 ± 120	$60\,080 \pm 360$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta A_{CP}^{wgt} &= A_{CP}(pK^-K^+) - A_{CP}(p\pi^-\pi^+) \\ &= (0.30 \pm 0.91 \pm 0.61)\% \end{aligned}$$

CPV in $\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow pK^- \pi^+$



Eur. Phys. J. C 2020, 80, 986

Search for *CP* violation in
 $\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow pK^- \pi^+$ decays using
model-independent techniques

Run I: 3/fb

CPV in $\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow pK^-\pi^+$ (S_{CP} method)



- Search for CPV using model independent binned/unbinned method



$$S_{CP}^i = \frac{n_+^i - \alpha n_-^i}{\sqrt{\alpha(n_+^i + n_-^i)}}$$


$$\alpha = \frac{n_+}{n_-} \text{ account for production asymmetry}$$

$$\chi^2 \equiv \sum (S_{CP}^i)^2$$





The p-values using χ^2 test are larger than 32% consistent with no evidence for CPV

CPV in $\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow pK^- \pi^+$ (kNN method)





$$T = \frac{1}{n_k(n_+ + n_-)} \sum_{i=1}^{n_+ + n_-} \sum_{k=1}^{n_k} I(i, k) \quad \mu_T = \frac{n_+(n_+ - 1) + n_-(n_- - 1)}{n(n - 1)}$$

Arising from production and detection asymmetry



Region	Definition
R0	Full Dalitz plot
R1	$M^2(K^- \pi^+) < 0.7 \text{ GeV}^2/c^4$
R2	$0.7 \leq M^2(K^- \pi^+) < 0.9 \text{ GeV}^2/c^4$
R3	$0.9 \leq M^2(K^- \pi^+) < 1.3 \text{ GeV}^2/c^4$
R4	$M^2(K^- \pi^+) \geq 1.3 \text{ GeV}^2/c^4, M^2(pK^-) < 2.4 \text{ GeV}^2/c^4$
R5	$M^2(K^- \pi^+) \geq 1.3 \text{ GeV}^2/c^4, 2.4 \leq M^2(pK^-) < 3.2 \text{ GeV}^2/c^4$
R6	$M^2(K^- \pi^+) \geq 1.3 \text{ GeV}^2/c^4, 3.2 \leq M^2(pK^-) < 3.8 \text{ GeV}^2/c^4$
R7	$M^2(K^- \pi^+) \geq 1.3 \text{ GeV}^2/c^4, M^2(pK^-) \geq 3.8 \text{ GeV}^2/c^4$
R8	$0.7 \leq M^2(K^- \pi^+) < 0.9 \text{ GeV}^2/c^4, M^2(pK^-) < 4 \text{ GeV}^2/c^4$
R9	$0.7 \leq M^2(K^- \pi^+) < 0.9 \text{ GeV}^2/c^4, M^2(pK^-) \geq 4 \text{ GeV}^2/c^4$
R10	$M^2(K^- \pi^+) \geq 1.3 \text{ GeV}^2/c^4, M^2(pK^-) < 3.2 \text{ GeV}^2/c^4$
R11	$M^2(K^- \pi^+) \geq 1.3 \text{ GeV}^2/c^4$



no significant deviation from the hypothesis of CP symmetry

CPV in $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda\gamma$


Phys. Rev. D105 (2022) L051104

**Measurement of the photon
polarization in $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda\gamma$ decays**

Run II: 6/fb

CPV in $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda \gamma$

- FCNC decay is sensitive to new heavy particles in the loop
- Due to the chirality of the electroweak interaction, the photons produced in $b(\bar{b})$ quark are predominantly left(right) handed polarized
 - $\alpha_\gamma = \frac{\gamma_L - \gamma_R}{\gamma_L + \gamma_R}$
- A discrepancy in the absolute value of the photon polarization in b and \bar{b} decays would be a hint of CP asymmetry



Distribution of $\cos\theta_p$ for $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda \gamma$ and $\bar{\Lambda}_b^0 \rightarrow \bar{\Lambda} \gamma$ decays

$$\alpha_\gamma = 0.82 \pm 0.23 \pm 0.13$$

$$\alpha_\gamma(\Lambda_b^0) = 0.55 \pm 0.32 \pm 0.10$$

$$\alpha_\gamma(\bar{\Lambda}_b^0) = 1.26 \pm 0.42 \pm 0.20$$

consistent with CP symmetry