Probing Quantum decoherence through data from B meson decays

Based on arXiv: 2501.03136 and JHEP 05 (2024) 124

Neetu Raj Singh Chundawat (Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, China)

26 April 2025 @ Peking University

Collaborators:

Late Prof. Ashutosh Kumar Alok (IIT Jodhpur) Prof. S. Uma Sankar (IIT Bombay) Prof. Subhashish Banerjee (IIT Jodhpur) Dr. Jitendra Kumar (IIT Jodhpur) Saurabh Rai (IIT Jodhpur)





Prof. Ashutosh delivered a talk on "Investigating Quantum Decoherence at Belle II and LHCb" at Kavli IPMU, Tokyo, just 15 days before his untimely passing.

- 1. Theoretical Motivation
- 2. Effect of decoherence on the determination of Δm_d and Δm_s
- 3. Effect of decoherence on the determination of $\sin 2\beta$ and $\sin 2\beta_s$
- 4. Results from arXiv:2501.03136
- 5. A method to obtain the best limit on decoherence parameter.
- 6. Conclusions

The talk is based on our recent works "Experimental limits on quantum decoherence from B-meson systems", arXiv:2501.03136 and previous work "Probing quantum decoherence at Belle II and LHCb" published in JHEP 05, 124 (2024).

Theoretical Motivation

- Any system of particles interacts with its environment.
- The formalism of Open Quantum Systems is developed to describe this interaction.
- Density matrix formalism is used to describe the time evolution of the system.
- If the system consists of two particles which can oscillate into each other, then the formalism necessarily introduces a parameter which leads to quantum decoherence in the two state system.

P. Caban *et. al.* Unstable particles as open quantum systems, Phys. Rev. A**72** (2005) 032106.

• This decoherence arises due to the system-environment interactions.

Possible environment

System-environment interactions may arise at a fundamental level, such as the fluctuations in a quantum gravity space-time background [S.W. Hawking (1982); J. R. Ellis et. al. (1984); Huet-Peskin (1995)].

Neutral *B* mesons as an Open Quantum Systems

- We use an effective description which is phenomenological in nature. It is independent of the details of the actual dynamics between the system and environment.
- Within the framework of open quantum systems, the neutral *B* mesons are described as subsystems in interaction with an environment.
- The evolution of the complete system is given by the standard unitary operator.
- The dynamics of a *B* meson alone is obtained by a suitable integration over the environment degrees of freedom.
- Assuming the interaction between the B meson and the environment to be weak, the dynamics of the B meson subsystem can be described by quantum dynamical semigroups satisfying the condition of complete positivity.

- We are interested in the decays of B^0 and \bar{B}^0 mesons as well as $B^0\leftrightarrow\bar{B}^0$ oscillations.
- To describe the time evolution of all these transitions, we need a basis of three states: $|B^0\rangle$, $|\tilde{B}^0\rangle$ and $|0\rangle$, where $|0\rangle$ represents a state with no *B* meson. It characterizes the decayed state.
- We use the density matrix formalism to represent the time evolution of the B⁰ system: ρ_{B⁰}(0) is the initial density matrix for the state which starts out as B⁰. Similarly ρ_{B⁰}(0) is for B⁰.
- The time evolution of these matrices is governed by the Kraus operators $K_i(t)$ as operator-sum form $\rho(t) = \sum_i \kappa_i(t)\rho(0)\kappa_i^{\dagger}(t)$. These operators are constructed taking into account the decoherence in the system which occurs due to the evolution under the influence of the environment.
- The Kraus operators, initially developed for the K meson system, have been utilized in our analysis to explore the B meson systems.
 P. Caban *et. al.* An Open Quantum Systems Approach to the Evolution of Entanglement in K⁰ K
 ⁰ systems. Phys. Lett. A 363 (2007) 389.

- A meson initially in state $\rho_{B^0}(0) = |B^0\rangle \langle B^0|$ evolves in time to $\rho_{B^0}(t)$.
- The time evolution is implemented through the Kraus Operators $K_i(t)$ mentioned in the previous slide.
- There is a similar relation between $\rho_{\bar{B}^0}(0) = |\bar{B}^0\rangle \langle \bar{B}^0|$ and $\rho_{\bar{B}^0}(t)$.

Time dependent density matrices

$$\frac{\rho_{B^0}(t)}{\frac{1}{2}e^{-\Gamma t}} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{ch} + e^{-\lambda t}a_c & (\frac{q}{p})^*(-a_{sh} - ie^{-\lambda t}a_s) & 0\\ (\frac{q}{p})(-a_{sh} + ie^{-\lambda t}a_s) & |\frac{q}{p}|^2(a_{ch} - e^{-\lambda t}a_c) & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \rho_{33}(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\frac{\rho_{\bar{B^0}}(t)}{\frac{1}{2}e^{-\Gamma t}} = \begin{pmatrix} |\frac{p}{q}|^2(a_{ch} - e^{-\lambda t}a_c) & (\frac{p}{q})(-a_{sh} + ie^{-\lambda t}a_s) & 0\\ (\frac{p}{q})^*(-a_{sh} - ie^{-\lambda t}a_s) & a_{ch} + e^{-\lambda t}a_c & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \rho_{33}'(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

- The mixing of B^0 and \bar{B}^0 forms two mass eigenstates $B_L^0 = pB^0 + q\bar{B}^0$ and $B_H^0 = pB^0 q\bar{B}^0$ (light and heavy states of B mesons) with complex coefficients p and q, satisfying the condition $|p|^2 + |q|^2 = 1$.
- These states have masses m_L and m_H and decay widths Γ_L and Γ_H respectively. We also define $\Gamma = (\Gamma_L + \Gamma_H)/2$, $\Delta\Gamma = \Gamma_L \Gamma_H$ and $\Delta m = m_H m_L$.
- The quantities in the boxed equations of the previous slide are

$$a_{ch} = \cosh\left(\frac{\Delta\Gamma t}{2}\right), \ a_{sh} = \sinh\left(\frac{\Delta\Gamma t}{2}\right), \ a_c = \cos\left(\Delta m t\right) \ \mathrm{and} \ a_s = \sin\left(\Delta m t\right).$$

- λ is the decoherence parameter, arising due to the interaction of the *B* meson with the environment.
- Non-zero value of λ leads to the loss of the perfect coherence, usually assumed, between B⁰ and B
 ⁰ in the time evolution of the mass eigenstates B_L and B_H.
- $\rho_{33}(t)$ and $\rho'_{33}(t)$ are some functions of parameters defined above, $2(e^{\Gamma t} a_{ch})$ in the limit $p/q \rightarrow 1$. However, they do not contribute to the present analysis.

- In the formalism of density matrices, any physical observable of the neutral B-meson system is described by a suitable hermitian operator O.
- Its evolution in time can be obtained by taking its trace with the density matrix $\rho(t)$.

Of particular interest are those observables \mathcal{O}_f that are associated with the decay of a *B*-meson into final states 'f'. In the $|B^0\rangle$, $|\overline{B^0}\rangle$, and $|0\rangle$ basis, \mathcal{O}_f is represented by

$$\mathcal{O}_f = \left(egin{array}{ccc} |A(B^0 o f)|^2 & A(B^0 o f)^*A(ar{B^0} o f) & 0 \ A(B^0 o f)A(ar{B^0} o f)^* & |A(ar{B^0} o f)|^2 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}
ight).$$

Here the entries are written in terms of the two independent decay amplitudes $A(B^0 \to f) \equiv A_f$ and $A(\bar{B^0} \to f) \equiv \bar{A}_f$.

The Probability that an initial B^0 meson decays, at time t, into a given state f is given by $P_f(B^0; t) = \operatorname{Tr} [\mathcal{O}_f \rho_{B^0}(t)]$. Similarly, $P_f(\bar{B^0}; t) = \operatorname{Tr} [\mathcal{O}_f \rho_{\bar{B}^0}(t)]$.

We are now equipped with with all the pieces required for the calculation of effects of decoherence on various important B physics observables.

Effect of decoherence on meson anti-meson mixing

- Consider a final state f such that it occurs in the decay of only B^0 meson. Charged current semi-leptonic decays are a good example of such states.
- If the B meson at production (time t = 0) is tagged as B⁰, it can decay into f only if it survived as B⁰ at the time of decay t.
- On the other hand, if the B meson at t = 0 is tagged as a B
 ⁰, then it can decay into f only if it oscillated into B⁰ at the time of decay t.
- This naturally leads to the definitions of survival and oscillation probabilities as

Survival and Oscillation Probabilities

$$P_{\rm sur}(t) = \frac{e^{-\Gamma t}}{2} \left[\cosh(\Delta\Gamma t/2) + \cos(\Delta m t) \right],$$

$$P_{\rm osc}(t) = \frac{e^{-\Gamma t}}{2} \left| \frac{q}{p} \right|^2 \left[\cosh(\Delta\Gamma t/2) - \cos(\Delta m t) \right]$$

Decoherence in the Measurement of Δm_d

- LHCb, CDF and D0 experiments determine Δm_d by measuring $P_{sur}(t)$ and $P_{osc}(t)$ as function of proper decay time t.
- In the presence of decoherence, these probabilities are modified to

Survival and Oscillation Probabilities

$$\begin{split} P_{\rm sur}(t,\lambda) &= \frac{e^{-\Gamma t}}{2} \left[\cosh(\Delta\Gamma_d t/2) + e^{-\lambda t} \cos(\Delta m_d t) \right], \\ P_{\rm osc}(t,\lambda) &= \frac{e^{-\Gamma t}}{2} \left| \frac{q}{p} \right|^2 \left[\cosh(\Delta\Gamma_d t/2) - e^{-\lambda t} \cos(\Delta m_d t) \right]. \end{split}$$

• Note that the decay width Γ multiplies the whole expression whereas the decoherence term λ multiplies only the oscillating term, which depends on Δm_d .

- For the B_d system, the Standard Model (SM) predicts |q/p| to be very close to 1 and $\Delta\Gamma_d$ is negligibly small.
- The value of Δm_d is determined from the survival/oscillation probabilities by assuming perfect coherence ($\lambda = 0$), in addition to the above two SM based assumptions.
- However, the time evolution from open quantum systems point of view shows that these probabilities depend on the decoherence parameter λ .
- One must do a two parameter fit with Δm_d and λ of the above expressions, rather than a one parameter fit with just Δm_d .

The true value of Δm_d is modified when the decoherence parameter λ is included in the fit.

- Three years ago, the LHCb Collaboration published the most precise determination of $B_s \bar{B_s}$ oscillation frequency. Nature Phys. 18, no.1, 1-5 (2022).
- In addition to $P_{sur}(t)$ and $P_{osc}(t)$, they have also used the mixing asymmetry



where

$$\delta_B = \frac{1 - \left|\frac{q}{p}\right|^2}{1 + \left|\frac{q}{p}\right|^2}.$$

In the plot below, the left panel shows $P_{sur}(t)$ (in blue) and $P_{osc}(t)$ (in red) as a function of the proper decay time t. The right panel shows the mixing asymmetry as a function of t modulo $2\pi/\Delta m_s$.



We note that the fit in the right panel is essentially a cosine function. The effect of $\Delta\Gamma_s$ and δ_B , in the fit to $A_{\min}(t)$, is not very significant.

Decoherence in the Measurement of Δm_s

- In the presence of decoherence, the survival and the oscillation probabilities get modified in exactly the same way they got modified for the B_d system.
- The mixing asymmetry now has a more complicated form given by

Time dependent mixing asymmetry
$$A_{\min}(t,\lambda) = \frac{P_{sur}(t,\lambda) - P_{osc}(t,\lambda)}{P_{sur}(t,\lambda) + P_{osc}(t,\lambda)} = \frac{e^{-\lambda t} \cos(\Delta m_s t) + \delta_B \cosh(\Delta \Gamma_s t/2)}{\cosh(\Delta \Gamma_s t/2) + \delta_B e^{-\lambda t} \cos(\Delta m_s t)}.$$

- The net effect is to replace $\cos(\Delta m_s t)$ in the mixing asymmetry by $e^{-\lambda t} \cos(\Delta m_s t)$.
- Fitting the survival/oscillation probabilities or $A_{\rm mix}$, including decoherence, will be a complicated process because the effects of $\Delta\Gamma_s$ and δ_B can not be neglected.

Effect of decoherence on determination of CP violation parameters

CP violation in B decays

The CKM phase in 3×3 quark mixing matrix manifests in the *B* meson systems in three different ways:

1. CP violating in mixing:
$$P(B^0 \to \bar{B}^0) \neq P(\bar{B}^0 \to B^0)$$



- B_d^0 oscillations are fast! 2 million times a second
- And B_s^0 are even faster, 35 times faster
- Mass and flavour eigenstates not the same:

$$|B_{H}^{0}\rangle = p|B^{0}\rangle - q|\bar{B}^{0}\rangle \quad |B_{L}^{0}\rangle = p|B^{0}\rangle + q|\bar{B}^{0}\rangle$$

• CP violation in mixing occurs if $|q/p| \neq 1$

2. CP violation in decay: $P(B \to f) \neq P(\bar{B} \to \bar{f})$

CP violation in decay occurs if

$$\left|\frac{\bar{A}_{\bar{f}}}{A_f}\right| \neq 1$$

where

$$A_f \equiv \langle f | H | P \rangle$$
 and $\bar{A}_{\bar{f}} \equiv \langle \bar{f} | H | \bar{P} \rangle$.

In charged meson decays, no mixing is involved. In such a situation, an observable CP violating quantity is

$$A_{f\pm} \equiv \frac{\Gamma(P^- \to f^-) - \Gamma(P^+ \to f^+)}{\Gamma(P^- \to f^-) + \Gamma(P^+ \to f^+)} \,.$$

A nonvanishing $A_{f\pm}$ is often termed "direct" CP violation.

3. CP violation through mixing-decay interference: $P(B^0 \to \bar{B}^0 \to f) \neq P(\bar{B}^0 \to B^0 \to f)$



• This type of CP violation occurs if $\operatorname{Im}(\lambda_f) \neq 0$, where

$$\lambda_f \equiv rac{q}{p} rac{ar{A}_f}{A_f},$$

where $\bar{A}_f \equiv \langle f | H | \bar{P} \rangle$.

The CP violating parameters, sin 2β and sin 2β_s, are related to third type of CP violation. Their measurement requires construction of time-dependent CP-asymmetry.

Unitarity triangles

The unitarity of the CKM matrix implies the relation $V^{\dagger}V = 1$. This can be viewed as conditions on combinations of CKM elements in a complex plane.

The CKM matrix satisfies three *distinct* relations of the form $[V^{\dagger}V]_{ij} = 0$ ($i \neq j$). These give rise to three different unitarity triangles.

For example,

$$V_{ub}^* V_{ud} + V_{cb}^* V_{cd} + V_{tb}^* V_{td} = 0.$$

This relation may be represented as a triangle in the complex plane, whose sides are the three complex quantities $V_{ub}^* V_{ud}$, $V_{cb}^* V_{cd}$, and $V_{tb}^* V_{td}$. This triangle is:



sin 2 β is obtained through a time-dependent analysis of $B^0_d \rightarrow J/\psi \ K_S$ decay.

Decoherence in CP asymmetry in $B^0/\bar{B^0} \rightarrow f_{CP}$ decays

Let us consider $B^0/\bar{B^0} \to f_{CP}$ decays where, f_{CP} can be $J/\psi K_S$ or D^+D^- final states for B^0_d and $\psi \phi$ for B^0_s meson.

The operator for these decay modes can be written as

$$\mathcal{O}_{f_{CP}} = |A_f|^2 \left(egin{array}{ccc} 1 & (rac{p}{q})\lambda_f & 0 \ (rac{p}{q})^*\lambda_f^* & |rac{p}{q}|^2|\lambda_f|^2 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}
ight)$$

 λ_f is the phase invariant quantity defined as:

$$\lambda_f = \frac{q}{p} \frac{\bar{A_f} \left(\equiv A(\bar{B^0} \to f_{CP}) \right)}{A_f \left(\equiv A(B^0 \to f_{CP}) \right)}$$

Therefore the probability rate that an initial state $B^0/\bar{B^0}$ decays into final state f_{CP} is given by

$$\frac{P_{f_{CP}}(B^{0};t)}{\frac{1}{2}e^{-\Gamma t}|A_{f}|^{2}} = (1+|\lambda_{f}|^{2})\cosh\left(\frac{\Delta\Gamma t}{2}\right) + (1-|\lambda_{f}|^{2})e^{-\lambda t}\cos\left(\Delta mt\right) \\ -2\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{f})\sinh\left(\frac{\Delta\Gamma t}{2}\right) - 2\operatorname{Im}(\lambda_{f})e^{-\lambda t}\sin\left(\Delta mt\right).$$

Decoherence in CP asymmetry in $B^0/\bar{B^0} \rightarrow f_{CP}$ decays

$$\begin{array}{ll} \displaystyle \frac{P_{f_{\mathcal{C}P}}(B^0;t)}{\frac{1}{2}e^{-\Gamma t}|A_f|^2|\frac{p}{q}|^2} & = & \left(1+|\lambda_f|^2\right)\cosh\left(\frac{\Delta\Gamma t}{2}\right) - \left(1-|\lambda_f|^2\right)e^{-\lambda t}\cos\left(\Delta m t\right) \\ & -2\mathrm{Re}(\lambda_f)\sinh\left(\frac{\Delta\Gamma t}{2}\right) + 2\mathrm{Im}(\lambda_f)e^{-\lambda t}\sin\left(\Delta m t\right) \,. \end{array}$$

CP asymmetry in the interference of mixing and decay

$$\mathcal{A}_{f_{CP}}(t) = \frac{P_{f_{CP}}(B^0; t) - P_{f_{CP}}(\bar{B^0}; t)}{P_{f_{CP}}(B^0; t) + P_{f_{CP}}(\bar{B^0}; t)}$$

Neglecting CP violation in mixing (setting $|q/p|^2 = 1$), we get

$$\mathcal{A}_{f_{CP}}(t) = rac{A_{\mathrm{CP}}^{\mathrm{dir},\,\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{CP}}}\cos\left(\Delta mt
ight) + A_{\mathrm{CP}}^{\mathrm{mix},\,f_{CP}}\sin\left(\Delta mt
ight)}{\cosh\left(rac{\Delta\Gamma t}{2}
ight) + A_{\Delta\Gamma}^{f_{CP}}\sinh\left(rac{\Delta\Gamma t}{2}
ight)}e^{-\lambda t}\,.$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{CP}}^{\mathrm{dir,\,f_{CP}}} = \frac{1 - |\lambda_{f_{CP}}|^2}{1 + |\lambda_{f_{CP}}|^2}, \quad \mathcal{A}_{\Delta\Gamma}^{f_{CP}} = -\frac{2\mathrm{Re}(\lambda_{f_{CP}})}{1 + |\lambda_{f_{CP}}|^2}, \quad \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{CP}}^{\mathrm{mix,\,f_{CP}}} = -\frac{2\mathrm{Im}(\lambda_{f_{CP}})}{1 + |\lambda_{f_{CP}}|^2},$$

Decoherence in CP asymmetry in $B^0/\bar{B^0} \rightarrow f_{CP}$ decays

This time-dependent *CP* asymmetry with $\lambda = 0$ is used to determine quantities like $\sin 2\beta$ and $\sin 2\beta_s$ where $\beta \equiv \arg \left[-(V_{cd} V_{cb}^*)/(V_{td} V_{tb}^*) \right]$ and $\beta_s \equiv \arg \left[-(V_{ts} V_{tb}^*)/(V_{cs} V_{cb}^*) \right]$ are the angles of the unitarity triangle.

Determination of $\sin 2\beta$

For e.g., the most statistically precise measurement of sin 2β is obtained through a time-dependent analysis of $B^0_d \to J/\psi K_S$.

For this decay channel, $\Delta \Gamma_d \approx 0$, $|\lambda_f| \approx 1$ and $\text{Im}(\lambda_f) \approx \sin 2\beta$ which gives

 $\mathcal{A}_{f_{CP}}(t) \approx \sin 2\beta \sin \left(\Delta m_d t\right).$

In the presence of decoherence, this asymmetry becomes

$$\mathcal{A}_{f_{CP}}(t)pprox \left[e^{-\lambda t}\sin 2eta
ight]\sin\left(\Delta m_{d}t
ight).$$

The coefficient of sin $(\Delta m_d t)$ is $e^{-\lambda t} \sin 2\beta$ and not $\sin 2\beta$!

The measurement of $\sin 2\beta$ (and $\sin 2\beta_s$) is masked by the presence of decoherence.

Estimation of λ_d and λ_s from the *B* meson data of LHCb (arXiv: 2501.03136)

Mixing asymmetry in B_d system

- In order to obtain an estimate of the decoherence parameter λ, the data on survival/oscillation probabilities or the CP asymmetry, should be expressed as functions of the proper decay time t.
- For most of the published data, the independent variable is not *t*.
- The following two LHCb papers, the time-dependent mixing asymmetry in B_d system is indeed given as a function of t: arXiv:1604.03475 and arXiv:2309.09728. We used these data to obtain an estimate of λ_d
- Assuming |q/p| = 1, the time-dependent mixing asymmetry, with decoherence, is

$$A_{\min}(t,\lambda_d) = \frac{\cos(\Delta m_d t)}{\cosh(\Delta \Gamma_d t/2)} e^{-\lambda_d t}.$$

Fitting this expression to the experimental data will yield an estimate of λ_d

Validating the Parameter Estimation (arXiv:1604.03475)

- We set |q/p| = 1 and take the decay width difference $\Delta \Gamma_d$ to be zero.
- The measurement of the oscillation frequency of B_d -mesons are from the decays $B_d^0 \rightarrow D^{(*)-} \mu^+ \nu_\mu X$ and $B_d^0 \rightarrow D^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu X$.
- The results are reported for four different tagging efficiencies across 2011 and 2012 runs.
- We first validate our method by reproducing the reported results (only with the best tagging quality data) by putting the decoherence parameter to zero.
- We obtained the oscillation frequency $\Delta m_d = 0.494 \pm 0.007 \text{ ps}^{-1}$ against the reported result $\Delta m_d = 0.505 \pm 0.002 \pm 0.001 \text{ ps}^{-1}$.

CP Asymmetry in B_d system

- In addition to mixing asymmetry, neutral mesons also exhibit CP-asymmetry where mesons can decay into states of definite CP.
- Neglecting CP violation in mixing, the time-dependent CP asymmetry in systems having decoherence is:

$$\mathcal{A}_{f_{CP}}(t,\lambda_d) = \frac{A_{CP}^{\mathrm{dir},\,f_{CP}}\cos\left(\Delta m_d t\right) + A_{CP}^{\mathrm{mix},\,f_{CP}}\sin\left(\Delta m_d t\right)}{\cosh\left(\Delta\Gamma_d t/2\right) + A_{\Delta\Gamma}^{f_{CP}}\sinh\left(\Delta\Gamma_d t/2\right)} e^{-\lambda_q t} ,$$

• where

$$A_{\mathrm{CP}}^{\mathrm{dir,\,f_{CP}}} = \frac{1 - |\lambda_{f_{CP}}|^2}{1 + |\lambda_{f_{CP}}|^2}, \ A_{\Delta\Gamma}^{f_{CP}} = -\frac{2\mathrm{Re}(\lambda_{f_{CP}})}{1 + |\lambda_{f_{CP}}|^2}, \ A_{\mathrm{CP}}^{\mathrm{mix,\,f_{CP}}} = -\frac{2\mathrm{Im}(\lambda_{f_{CP}})}{1 + |\lambda_{f_{CP}}|^2}.$$

• The denominator of the $A_{f_{CP}}(t, \lambda_d)$ simplifies to 1 in the limit $\Delta \Gamma_d$ is neglected.

The procedure for extracting the decoherence parameter is the same as for mixing asymmetry.

Validating the Parameter Estimation (arXiv:2309.09728)

- To this end, we used the LHCb measurement of CP-asymmetry in $B^0_d \to \psi K^0_S$ decays.
- We first set the decoherence parameter to be zero
- We obtained the values of CP-violating parameters to be $A_{\rm CP}^{\rm dir,\,f_{\rm CP}} = -0.005 \pm 0.012$ and, $A_{\rm CP}^{\rm mix,\,f_{CP}} = 0.715 \pm 0.014$.
- These values were found to be in good agreement with the published results, $A_{\rm CP}^{\rm dir,\,f_{\rm CP}} = -0.004 \pm 0.012$ and, $A_{\rm CP}^{\rm mix,\,f_{\rm CP}} = 0.724 \pm 0.014$.

Estimation of λ_d from a Combined Fit of Mixing and CP asymmetries

We performed a combined fit to the time-dependent mixing and CP-asymmetry data.

Results of the Combined Fit without decoherence

- The oscillation frequency was found to be $\Delta m_d = 0.494 \pm 0.007 \text{ ps}^{-1}$.
- The CP-violating parameters were found to be $A_{\rm CP}^{\rm dir,\,f_{\rm CP}} = -0.010\pm0.018$ and, $A_{\rm CP}^{\rm mix,\,f_{CP}} = 0.711\pm0.020$.
- The value of χ^2/dof for this fit was found to be 2.84.

Results of the Combined Fit with decoherence

- The oscillation frequency was found to be $\Delta m_d = 0.469 \pm 0.005 \text{ ps}^{-1}$.
- The CP-violating parameters were found to be $A_{\rm CP}^{\rm dir,\,f_{\rm CP}} = -0.005 \pm 0.021$ and, $A_{\rm CP}^{\rm mix,\,f_{CP}} = 0.836 \pm 0.038$.
- The decoherence parameter was found to be λ_d = 0.055 ± 0.009 ps⁻¹. The χ²/dof for the fit was 1.76, which indicates a better fit as compared to one with vanishing decoherence parameter.

Estimation of λ_s from B_s -meson data

We used the data for mixing asymmetry from an old LHCb paper (arXiv:1308.1302, Eur. Phys. C (2013) 73:2655). The left panel of figure-7 of this paper is reproduced below.



Estimation of λ_s from B_s -meson data

From the figure in the previous slide, we generated the following figure:



Estimation of λ_s from B_s -meson data

- As in the case of B_d meson, we assumed |q/p| = 1.
- Unlike in the case of B_d meson, the value of $\Delta\Gamma$ is not neglected.
- The decay width difference was kept constant at its current world average value of $\Delta\Gamma_s=0.083\pm0.005~{\rm ps}^{-1}.$

Results

- We first validated the applicability of our method by taking $\lambda_s = 0$. We obtained the oscillation frequency to be $\Delta m_s = 18.65 \pm 0.32 \text{ ps}^{-1}$ with $\chi^2/dof = 1.74$.
- λ_s was now floated as a free parameter in the fit and we obtained $\Delta m_s = 18.85 \pm 0.33 \text{ ps}^{-1}$ and $\lambda_s = 1.72 \pm 0.52 \text{ ps}^{-1}$, with $\chi^2/dof = 1.02$.



Precision Measurement of B_s-meson Oscillation Frequency

Recently, the LHCb Collaboration published a paper (Nature Phys. **18** 1-5 (2022), arXiv:2104.04421) on the precise determination of $B_s - \vec{B_s}$ oscillation frequency.



Final Comment

- As we can see, the *B_s* oscillation data of LHCb from the 2021 paper has a far greater statistical weightage compared to that from 2013 paper.
- We were unable to convert the data from the 2021 paper into a form that can be used to make a fit.
- The data in the previous figure is directly in the form $P_{sur}(t)$ and $P_{osc}(t)$.
- The strongest constraint on λ_s can be obtained by fitting the above data to the formulae below:

Survival and Oscillation Probabilities

$$P_{\rm sur}(t,\lambda_s) = \frac{e^{-\Gamma t}}{2} \left[\cosh(\Delta\Gamma_s t/2) + e^{-\lambda_s t} \cos(\Delta m_s t) \right],$$

$$P_{\rm osc}(t,\lambda_s) = \frac{e^{-\Gamma t}}{2} \left| \frac{q}{p} \right|^2 \left[\cosh(\Delta\Gamma_s t/2) - e^{-\lambda_s t} \cos(\Delta m_s t) \right]$$