

C.Zhang/23Dec2024

Precision of secondary-vertex

- Remaining question about SV precision
 - With $K_s^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^-$ events, SV precision is worse by one order of magnitude compared to the primary vertex, and pull distribution has long tails
 - The long tails are due to the missing first hit



- primary vertex
 - Precision vs. angle between tracks
 - $\phi = 0^{\circ} \sim 60^{\circ} \sim 120^{\circ} \sim 180^{\circ} \sim \dots$
 - Particle-gun muon pair

$$\mu_1: \theta = 85^{\circ}, \phi =$$

•
$$\mu_2: \theta = 85^\circ, \phi =$$



Summary & To do

- Study the missing first hit issue
- To understand how vertex precision changes with angles make plots for them
 - As already observed the precision of x, y and z is sensitive to ϕ , θ in different ways

After removing the first missing hit issue, SV precision is still worse than the

In the PV study, particle-gun phi direction was separated evenly,

 $0^{\circ} \sim 10^{\circ}$

 $= i \times 10^{\circ} \sim (i+1) \times 10^{\circ}, i = 1,2,3,4...$

• by SV was performed



SV inclusive reconstruction in jet

- No prior assumption about the vertex is available. The main focus is on identifying the tracks that originate from a vertex

0.2

0.1

0.0

0.02

0



- Move to real jets, SV could be easy after jet clustering
 - Official jet object is not available

0.19

Number of reconstruced vertices

0.01

0.04

0.2

0.1

0.0

0.03

Number of reconstruced vertices

SV reconstruction in exclusive decay

• Tried to test the algorithm with particle gun $B^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0 D^0$.

MCParticle = (vector<edm4hep::MCParticleData>*)0x556f25201380 MCParticle.PDG = 521, 211, 111, -421MCParticle.generatorStatus = 1, 0, 0, 0MCParticle.charge = 0.000000, 1.000000, 0.000000, 0.000000MCParticle.time = 0.000000, 0.000840, 0.000840, 0.000840MCParticle.mass = 0, 139.57, 134.977, 1864.84MCParticle.vertex.x = 0, -0.0212692, -0.0212692, -0.0212692MCParticle.vertex.y = 0, -0.199971, -0.199971, -0.199971 MCParticle.vertex.z = 0, 0.0455068, 0.0455068, 0.0455068MCParticle.endpoint.x = -0.0212692, -1389.7, -0.02124, -0.0738265MCParticle.endpoint.y = -0.199971, -1304.02, -0.199992, -0.586076MCParticle.endpoint.z = 0.0455068, 2263.07, 0.0455278, -0.0177253MCParticle.momentum.x = -0.776017, -0.609544, 0.535444, -0.702096MCParticle.momentum.y = -7.296922, -1.755202, -0.383862, -5.157839MCParticle.momentum.z = 1.660535, 2.120303, 0.384929, -0.844697

- Current SW doesn't record truth information for tertiary decays when the generator is set to be particle-gun
- To do: Will develop a tool to extract interesting events from Zqq. Like a real flavour analysis

```
MCParticle.simulatorStatus = 201326593, 1073741824, 1073741824, 1073741824
MCParticle.momentumAtEndpoint.x = -0.776197, -0.0000000, 0.535444, -0.702096
MCParticle.momentumAtEndpoint.y = -7.296903, -0.0000000, -0.383862, -5.157839
MCParticle.momentumAtEndpoint.z = 1.660535, 0.000000, 0.384929, -0.844697
```

Hadron PID

Efficienc

0.9

0.8

0.7

0.6

0.5

0.3

0.2

- Bug fix by Xiantian Ma for the code of ToF reconstruction
- PID performance between particle-gun and Zqq events is comparable
- To do
 - More concern for the low pT region
 - A strange behavior of ToF measurements has been observed in the low pT region
 - In high pT region combined PID is slightly worse than TPC only



PID efficiency comparison with ParticleGun



Lepton PID

- Identify electron using E_{ecal}/p_{trk} , muon using E_{Ecal}/E_{Hcal}
- Preliminary results look fine
- Todo
 - Some technique issues about truth-matching need to be understood
 - Discuss interface connecting this calorimeter PID with TPC+TOF PID





Muon Identification



