Interpreting the Extremely Diffuse Stellar Distribution of the Nube Galaxy through Fuzzy Dark Matter

Peng-Fei Yin

Key laboratory of particle astrophysics, Institute of High Energy Physics, CAS

QingDao, 2025.05.11

In collaboration with Y. M. Yang, Z. C. Zhang, and X. J. Bi arXiv:2412.01307

# Outline

**The properties of fuzzy dark matter** 

• Observation of the almost dark galaxy Nube

• Procedure of the simulation

Simulation results and the FDM interpretation of the stellar distribution of Nube

# **Dark matter and Ultra light DM**



#### Wave DM and Fuzzy DM

**•** The number of DM particles in a de Broglie volume in the Galaxy

$$N \sim \frac{\rho \lambda^3}{m} = \frac{\rho}{m} \left(\frac{h}{m\nu}\right)^3 \sim \left(\frac{\rho}{0.4 \text{GeV/cm}^3}\right) \left(\frac{37 \text{eV}}{m}\right)^4 \left(\frac{220 \text{km/s}}{\nu}\right)^3$$

*N>> 1 when m << O(10) eV* 

Such bosonic DM can be effectively described by waves

De Broglie wavelength

$$\lambda = \frac{h}{mv} \sim 0.5 \text{kpc} \left(\frac{10^{-22} \text{eV}}{m}\right) \left(\frac{220 \text{km/s}}{v}\right)$$

Such DM has a wavelength at the galactic scale and is called fuzzy DM

Hu, Barkana, Gruzinov, astro-ph/0003365 Peebles, astro-ph/0002495 Hui, Ostriker, Tremaine, Witten, 1610.08297 Ferreira, 2005.03254 Hui, 2101.11735

## **Dynamics of FDM**

• A scalar field satisfies the Klein-Gordon equation

In the non-relativistic limit, the field can be expanded as

$$\phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m}} \left( \psi e^{-imt} + \psi^* e^{imt} \right)$$

 $\psi$  satisfies a Schrodinger-like equation (in a flat metric)

$$i \partial_t \psi = -rac{
abla^2}{2m} \psi + m \Phi \psi$$
 with  $k^2/m \ll m$ 

It is a complex field, but often referred to as the wave function

• The gravitational potential should satisfy the **Poisson** equation

$$\nabla^2 \Phi = 4\pi G\rho \qquad \rho = m |\psi|^2$$

**•** The evolution of FDM is determined by the Schrodinger-Poisson (SP) equations

## **Another description: fluid**

• *y* can be written as

$$\psi = \sqrt{
ho/m} e^{i\theta}$$

**•** It satisfies a conservation function

 $\partial_t \rho + \vec{\nabla} \cdot (\rho \vec{v}) = 0$ 

The velocity of fluid can be defined as

$$\vec{v} = \frac{1}{m} \vec{\nabla}\theta = \frac{i}{2m|\psi|^2} (\psi \vec{\nabla}\psi^* - \psi^* \vec{\nabla}\psi)$$

•  $\psi$  also satisfies an Euler-like equation (the Schrodinger equation is complex)

$$\partial_t \vec{v} + (\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \, \vec{v} = -\vec{\nabla} \Phi + \frac{1}{2m^2} \vec{\nabla} \left( \frac{\nabla^2 \sqrt{\rho}}{\sqrt{\rho}} \right)$$

The last term is referred to as the quantum pressure term

# **Properties of FDM: small scales**

 The differences between conventional CDM and FDM would be manifest in the system where the wave length is comparable to the system's size

FDM does not change the prediction at large scales and exhibits exotic properties at small scales (galactic scales)

• Consider an equilibrium system with the viral radius  $r \simeq GM/v^2$ The wavelength of FDM would be smaller than this radius  $\hbar^2 = 10^9 M_{\odot} (10^{-22} \text{ eV})^2$ 

$$r_{1/2} \ge 3.925 \frac{n}{GMm^2} = 0.335 \,\mathrm{kpc} \,\frac{10^{-}M_{\odot}}{M} \left(\frac{10^{-}\mathrm{ev}}{m}\right)$$

Hui et. al, 1610.08297

The small structures are suppressed. There is a stationary state with minimal energy, referred to as soliton

The FDM halo is characterized by a profile with a soliton core and an NFW envelope

# **Property of FDM: interference**

 The wave function of FDM can be expanded as a superposition of different modes (eigenstates)

The interference between different modes results in many exotic effects



• Oscillation of the profile

• Exotic (unstable) structures

# An almost dark galaxy: Nube

 Almost dark galaxies are objects that have eluded detection by traditional surveys as the SDSS

Feedback effects may be *inefficient* in these galaxies. Thus they are very promising targets for exploring the nature of DM.



- Nube was discovered by the IAC Stripe82 Legacy Project in 2015 Fliri, Trujillo, 2015
   Properties measured by GTC and GBT were reported in 2023
- Distance ~107 Mpc and lifetime ~ 10.2 Gyr
- Stellar mass ~4\*10<sup>8</sup> M<sub>sun</sub>, and halfmass radius ~6.9kpc
- The most massive and extended object of its kind
- An isolated system

Montes et.al, 2310.12231

## **Extremely diffuse stellar distribution**



 Nube has a extremely diffuse stellar distribution compared with other galaxies with similar stellar mass

• Can be simply fitted by a soliton profile with  $r_c$ =6.6 kpc and m~10<sup>-23</sup>eV

## **Dynamic heating effect**



 The kinetic energies of stars would increase via gravitational scattering with structures of FDM

$$\Delta v \sim 2GM/(bv) \qquad M \sim 4\pi \rho (\lambda_{\rm dB}/2)^3/3 \qquad b \sim \lambda_{\rm dB}/2$$
  
rms  $\Delta v \sim 4 \,\rm km/s \left(\frac{T}{5 \,\rm Gyr}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{\rho}{0.01 \,\rm M_{\odot} \,\rm pc^{-3}}\right) \left(\frac{250 \,\rm km/s}{v}\right)^2 \left(\frac{10^{-22} \,\rm eV}{m}\right)^{3/2}$   
Hui, 2101.11735

This effect is referred to as the dynamic heating effect

## **Dynamic heating effect**



Yang, Bi, Yin, 2404.05375

- We use an effective diffusion model (in velocity space) to explain the observation of Nube
   Bar-Or, Fouvry, Tremaine, 1809.07673
- More precise analysis requires simulations

# **Initial condition of FDM simulation**

- Numerically solve the SP equations to derive the evolution of the FDM halo
- **•** The initial wave function as an initial condition is required
- Take the initial density profile

$$\rho_{\rm in}(r) = \begin{cases} \frac{\rho_c}{[1 + 0.091(r/r_c)^2]^8}, & r < kr_c \\ \frac{\rho_s}{(r/r_s)(1 + r/r_s)^2}, & r \ge kr_c \end{cases}$$

Two free parameters k and  $m_a$  (using the soliton core density and continuity conditions, and a constant  $r_s$ )

• Note that  $\psi = \sqrt{\rho/m}$  is incorrect, since it does not satisfy the SP equations We adopt the following procedure to construct the initial wave function Yavetz, Li, Hui, 2109.06125

#### **Initial wave function**

• Express the initial wave function as a sum of eigenstates of the Schrodinger equation

$$\psi(0, \mathbf{x}) = \sum_{nlm} |a_{nl}| e^{i\phi_{nlm}} \Psi_{nlm}(\mathbf{x})$$
$$\Psi_{nlm}(\mathbf{x}) = R_{nl}(r) Y_l^m(\theta, \varphi)$$

**•** The radial functions satisfy the Schrodinger function

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_a}\frac{d^2u_{nl}}{dr^2} + \left[\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_a}\frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} + m_a\Phi_{\rm in}\right]u_{nl} = E_{nl}u_{nl} \qquad u_{nl} \equiv rR_{nl}$$

We derive numerous eigenstates ( $O(10^3)$  or more) for combinations of n and l (omit the m dependence)

• Require the following condition and solve coefficients  $a_{nl}$ 

$$\rho_{\text{out}}(r) = \frac{m_a}{4\pi} \sum_{nl} (2l+1) |a_{nl}|^2 R_{nl}^2(r) = \rho_{\text{in}}(r)$$

• Assign random phases  $\phi_{nl}$  to each mode

#### **Initial wave function**



**•** The derived wave function can well reproduce the input profile

# **Initial stellar condition**

- Stars are taken to be particles in the simulation (10<sup>5</sup> particles here)
- **•** The initial position and velocity of each particle are required
- \* Randomly generate positions of particles based on input stellar profile Take Plummer profile with two parameters here  $\rho_{\star}(r) = (3M_{\star}/4\pi r_{\star}^3)(1 + r^2/r_{\star}^2)^{-5/2}$
- Solve the Eddington formula to derive the energy distribution of particles in an equilibrium system

$$f(\mathcal{E}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}\pi^2} \frac{d}{d\mathcal{E}} \int_0^{\mathcal{E}} \frac{d\Phi_0}{\sqrt{\mathcal{E} - \Phi_0}} \frac{d\rho_\star}{d\Phi_0}$$

Randomly generate velocities based on this distribution

• We have tested the stability of this configuration of particles under a static initial potential

## **Simulation: evolving the system**

 We use the PYULTRALIGHT package, which adopts the pseudo-spectral method, to evolve the FDM wave function, satisfying the SP equations

Edwards, Kendall, Hotchkiss, Easther, 1807.04037

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\psi}(\tilde{t} + \Delta \tilde{t}_{\text{FDM}}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}) &= \exp\left[-\frac{i\Delta \tilde{t}_{\text{FDM}}}{2}\widetilde{\Phi}(\tilde{t} + \Delta \tilde{t}_{\text{FDM}}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}})\right] \\ &\times \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left\{\exp\left(-\frac{i\Delta \tilde{t}_{\text{FDM}}}{2}k^2\right)\mathcal{F}\left[\exp\left[-\frac{i\Delta \tilde{t}_{\text{FDM}}}{2}\widetilde{\Phi}(\tilde{t}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}})\right]\widetilde{\psi}(\tilde{t}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}})\right]\right\} \\ \widetilde{\Phi}(\tilde{t}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}) &= \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left\{-\frac{1}{k^2}\mathcal{F}[4\pi |\widetilde{\psi}(\tilde{t}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}})|^2]\right\} \end{split}$$

- We use the fourth-order Runge-Kutta method to calculate the position and velocity of each star, satisfying the Newton's second law
- We perform the simulation in a (200 kpc)<sup>3</sup> box with a resolution of 512<sup>3</sup> time step for FDM: 0.97 Myr, for stars: 0.097 Myr
   Omit the gravity of stars

## **Evolution results**



• Our simulation results show the expansion of the stellar distribution driven by the dynamical heating effect

#### **Random walk of soliton**



Relative coordinate of the soliton center (solid) and stellar mass center (dashed) concerning the halo mass center

# **Explanation of the Nube observation**



- **•** 2D stellar distribution derived from the simulation
- The Nube observation can be explained by an FDM mass of  $\sim O(10^{-23})eV$



**•** FDM results in many exotic effects at small scales

 Almost dark and isolated galaxies provide very promising opportunities to test the nature of DM. Nube is such a good target

 We use the dynamical heating effect of FDM to explain extremely stellar distribution of the galaxy Nube. Our results suggest that FDM mass of ~O(10<sup>-23</sup>)eV offers a plausible explanation.

• We will test this effect in more dark galaxies, and check the constraints on the FDM mass from observations of dwarf satellite galaxies in Milky Way.

Thank you