

# **Reconstructing HEP particle trajectories**: *from visible to 'invisible'*

Xiaocong Ai (Zhengzhou University)

PKU HEP Seminar and Workshop, June 4, 2025



# What is tracking

### A long road to an exciting paper in particle physics



Higgs discovery at CMS

# The crucial role of HEP offline software



# The crucial role of HEP offline software



The offline software is the "**converter**" from detector data to physics data to make physics discoveries (basically all physics analysis) possible!

0 150 m. (GeV)

110

# HEP offline software is about detectors

- To guide the design of often very sophisticated detectors
- To exploit (i.e. not to spoil) the maximum performance of the detectors
- To **detect** possible defects, malfunction, aging ... of the detectors



# What is track reconstruction (a.k.a. tracking)?

- Reconstruction (i.e. track finding) of charged tracks and measurement (i.e. track fitting) of their quantities, using the signals of trackers (usually in magnetic field):
  - Position
  - Momentum
  - Charge
  - Vertex
  - Velocity (dE/dx)







e.g. ATLAS Tracking

# Why tracking matters?

# Tracking is about vertex reconstruction

- Primary vertex reconstruction uses estimated track parameters of charged particles as inputs for:
  - Vertex finding
    - Associate tracks to vertices
  - Vertex fitting
    - Estimate vertex position



Tens to hundreds of additional proton–proton collisions accompanying the hard-scatter interaction, i.e. pile-up (μ)

### Tracks/vertices are not just about charged particles

- Jets and missing energy reconstruction
  - Better p<sub>T</sub> resolution for low pT tracks and angular resolution provided by tracker
  - Tracks/vertices are crucial for pile-up mitigation (needs precise jet-vertex association)
- Jet flavor-tagging (b, c or light-flavor jet)
  - Impact parameters, secondary vertices and length of flight
- Reconstruction of photon conversion vertex
  - Important input for  $e/\gamma$  discrimination
- Pivotal to track-based detector alignment



Tracking is challenging

### Much more dense environment

- Future colliders tend to have much increased luminosity => higher pileup  $\circ$  e.g. <µ> = 200 at HL-LHC, <µ> = 1000 at FCC-hh
- Much increased combinatorics, data rate and CPU needs
  - ~7k particles/event at HL-LHC





12

### More challenging tracking requirements

- Tracking of low pT tracks is very important at future flavor factories
  - e.g. tracking eff. > 50/90/99 % with pt > 50/100/300 MeV at STCF (important to probe CPV in  $\tau \rightarrow K_{c}\pi v_{\tau}$  and  $J/\psi \rightarrow \Lambda$  anti- $\Lambda$ )



An example of low- $p_T$  muon trajectory ( $p_T$  = 100 MeV, theta = 90) at STCF



# More complex tracking signatures

- Reconstruction of long-lived particles e.g. Λ, at flavor factories (e.g. BESIII and future STCF) is a non-trivial task
  - Precision can be much compromised without refined algorithms





Plots from Y.P. Pei

# More complex tracking signatures

- Tracking of long-lived particle signatures is import for New Physics search at LHC and future Higgs factory:
  - Displaced tracks
  - Disappearing tracks
  - Anomalous Ionization
  - Magnetic monopole
  - Fractional/multiple Electric Charge



Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A 377, 20190047

**Tracking strategies** 

### A helix trajectory in homogeneous magnetic field



$$\frac{d^2\mathbf{r}}{ds^2} = \frac{q}{p} \left[ \frac{d\mathbf{r}}{ds} \times \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}) \right]$$

Track propagation is solved numerically using fourth-order Runge-Kutta-Nyström method

# How to find & fit tracks ?



- Raw data converted to cluster/drift circle
- Formation of 3D space point

Identify measurements to individual tracks

- Global approach: Hough transform, Graph Neural Networks
- Local approach: Cellular automaton, Combinatorial Kalman Filter (CKF)

Estimate the track parameters

- Least-square fitter (superceded by Kalman filter, can resolve left/right ambiguity)
- Kalman-filter







### Tracking strategies are about detectors



# Tracking strategies are about tracks

• In addition to detector type/resolution, tracking strategies are mainly driven by track  $p_{\tau}$  , track multiplicity and track displacement





Track p⊤

Towards a modern, efficient, accurate and fast common tracking software

# What is A Common Tracking Software (ACTS)



- A modern open-source detector-independent tracking toolkit for current&future HEP experiments based on LHC (and beyond) tracking experience
  - Deployed for data production by ATLAS, FASER, sPHENIX
  - Used for detector R&D by CEPC, STCF, EIC, ePIC, LDMX, LUXE, NA60+ ...
- A R&D platform for innovative tracking techniques (ML) & computing architectures (GPU)



ZZU is making core contribution to ACTS and leading the efforts for developing ACTS-based tracking algorithms for ATLAS, FASER, STCF and CEPC !

Figure from A. Salzburger

KEK Belle-2

# **ACTS design and features**

- Modern C++ 17 ( $\rightarrow$  20) concepts
- Detector and magnetic field **agnostic**
- Strict **thread-safety** to facilitate concurrency
- Flight time in track parameterization (facilitate **4D tracking**)
- Supports contextual condition
- Minimal dependency (only Eigen as algebra library)
- Highly configurable for usability
- Well documented and maintained





# ACTS tracking/vertexing/alignment modules



Figures from CSBS (2022) **6**, 8

- Track fitting:
  - (Extended) KF, Gaussian
     Sum Filter, Non-linear KF
  - Global chisq fitter
- Track finding
  - Seeding, Combinatorial Kalman Filter (CKF), Graph Neural Networks
  - Hough Transform
- Vertex finding&fitting
  - Primary vertex: AMVF, IVF
- KF-based Alignment prototype

# ACTS works well for silicon tracker

- ACTS works well for solid state silicon trackers. Lots of clients in the past three years:
  - ATLAS silicon and ITk, sPHENIX silicon, ALICE silicon, FASER, LDMX, ePIC ...
    - These are mostly about track parameters/measurements represented on a planar surface

#### ATLAS ITk



Figures from CSBS (2022) **6**, 8

#### sPHENIX silicon



#### FASER tracker



ACTS modules are already used for real data processing for ATLAS, sPHENIX, FASER

# ACTS also works for gaseous tracker

- ACTS is designed with the capability of working for **gaseous trackers** 
  - Drift chamber and Transition Radiation
     Tracker are represented with N-layer drift wire/tubes, e.g.
    - CEPC
    - STCF
    - ATLAS TRT
    - BESIII
  - Time Projection Chamber (TPC) is represented with fake Planar detectors, e.g. sPHENIX TPC







STCF Drfit chamber from STCF CDR arXiv:2303.15790

# **ACTS for ATLAS**

### **ATLAS current Inner Detector (ID)**



Figure 18: Visualization of simulation hits for z-r plane

ID (Pixel + SCT + TRT) geometry implemented in ACTS

### ATLAS future ITk in HL-LHC era (Run4)



ITk (pixel + strip) geometry implemented in ACTS

# ACTS for current ATLAS Run3

- ACTS-based vertex reconstruction already default in Run 3 data-taking and Run2 reprocessing
  - ACTS AMVF about 40% faster than Athena counterpart, with identical physics performance
- ACTS Kalman Filter (KF) track fitting implemented in standalone ACTS
  - Implementing Tracking Geometry of ATLAS TRT in Standalone ACTS
- Ongoing work to implement full ACTS track finding + fitting for ATLAS Run3



# **ACTS for ATLAS Run4**

• Acts chain consolidates, but a bit lower efficiency in central region and faster speed

From G. Gaycken' slides at recent ATLAS tracking workshop



Execution time

### ACTS for Circular Electron Positron Collider (CEPC)

### **Circular Electron Positron Collider (CEPC)**

Operation mode			ZH	z	W+W-	tī
$\sqrt{s}$ [GeV]			240	91	160	360
Run time [years]			7	2	1	-
CDR (30 MW)		L / IP [×10 <sup>34</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ]	3	32	10	-
		∫ <i>L dt</i> [ab <sup>-1</sup> , 2 IPs]	5.6	16	2.6	-
		Event yields [2 IPs]	1×10 <sup>6</sup>	7×10 <sup>11</sup>	2×107	-
Run Time [years]			10	2	1	5
TDR (Latest )	30 MW	L / IP [×10 <sup>34</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ]	5.0	115	16	0.5
		∫ <i>L dt</i> [ab <sup>-1</sup> , 2 IPs]	13	60	4.2	0.65
		Event yields [2 IPs]	2.6×10 <sup>6</sup>	2.5×10 <sup>12</sup>	1.3×10 <sup>8</sup>	4×10 <sup>5</sup>
	50 MW	L / IP [×10 <sup>34</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ]	8.3	192	26.7	0.8
		∫ <i>L dt</i> [ab <sup>-1</sup> , 2 IPs]	21.6	100	6.9	1.0
		Event yields [2 IPs]	4.3×10 <sup>6</sup>	4.1×10 <sup>12</sup>	2.1×10 <sup>8</sup>	6×10 <sup>5</sup>

- Precision measurements of Higgs boson properties
- SM measurements: electroweak physics, QCD, flavor physics...
- Search for exotic decays of H, Z, B and T, and BSM

Far more than a Higgs factory !

### Tracking system of CEPC 4th concept



Tracker	Number of layers	Radius/  z  (mm)	σ <sub>x</sub> (μm)	σ <sub>y</sub> (μm)	Technology	
VXD	3 double layers	16-58	2.8/6/4/4/4/4	2.8/6/4/4/4/4		
SIT	SIT 4 layers SET 1 layer		7.2	86	Silicon (pixel/strip)	
SET			7.2	86		
FTD	5/7 layers at each endcap	467-2991	(2.8)/(2.8)/7.2/ 7.2/7.2/7.2/7.2	(7.2)/(7.2)/7.2/7. 2/7.2/7.2/7.2		
DC	100 layers	805-1795	110		Drift Chamber	

Silicon (VXD, SIT, SET, FTD) + Drift chamber



# **CEPC tracking requirements**

- Mostly >20 tracks per event (up to 100 tracks per event)
  - $\circ$  >99% tracking efficiency for  $p_{_{\rm T}}$  > 1 GeV
  - Impact track parameter resolution at ~ 5 um
  - Momentum resolution reaches per mille level in the range [ 10, 100] GeV



From CEPC CDR (arXiv: 1811.10545)

# **CEPC tracking performance**

Using ACTS FATRAS simulation (full tracking system)

- >=95% tracking efficiency for  $p_T > 1$  GeV in benchmark physics processes
  - 1-2% fake tracks and 10% duplicate tracks
- At  $p_T = 10$  GeV, central region ( $|\cos\theta| < 0.8$ ):
  - $\sigma(do) = 3 \,\mu m$ ,  $\sigma(zo) = 3.5 \,\mu m$ ,  $\sigma(p_T)/p_T = 0.16\%$





### **CEPC tracking performance**

Y. Z. Zhang, X. C. Ai, W. D. Li, T. Lin

Using CEPCSW full simulation

- ACTS has been successfully integrated to CEPCSW
- Performance is comparable with current baseline (can be slightly better than) CEPC tracking





### ACTS for Super Tau-Charm Facility (STCF)

### Super Tau-Charm Facility (STCF)

- A future e+e- collider in China operating at tau-charm region ( $\sqrt{s} = 2^7$  GeV) with peak lumi of 0.5 × 10<sup>35</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> (>x50 of current BEPCII collider)
  - A factory of charmonium (J/ $\psi$ ,  $\psi$ (3686), ...), open charm meson,  $\tau$  ...
- Physics topics:
  - QCD and Hadron spectroscopy (new hadrons, e.g. glueballs, hybrid hadrons...)
  - Flavor physics and CP violation
  - Exotic decays and new physics



### The detector and performance requirements



#### ITK (cylindrical MPGD/ CMOS MAPS)

Material < 0.01 X0,  $\sigma_{xv}$  < 100 um

#### **MDC** (drift chamber)

- Material < 0.05 X0
- $\sigma_{xy}$  < 130 um,  $\sigma_p/p$  < 0.5% at 1 GeV/c dE/dx resolution < 6%

#### **RICH** (CsI-MPGD) & **DTOF** (DIRC-like TOF)

PID  $\pi/K$  PID efficiency > 97% up to 2 GeV/c @mis-ID rate 2%

#### EMC (pure Csl + APD)

 $\sigma_{\rm E}^{}$  < 2.5%,  $\sigma_{
m pos}^{}$  < 5 mm,  $\sigma_{
m t}^{}$  < 300 ps @ 1 GeV

#### **MUD** (RPC + scintillator strips)

 $\mu$  PID efficiency > 95% with  $\pi \rightarrow \mu$  mis-ID rate < 3.3% (a) p = 1 GeV/c 40

# STCF tracking system



ITK (MPGD):  $\sigma_{r-\phi} \times \sigma_z \approx 100 \text{ um x } 400 \text{ um}$ 

### STCF tracking challenges

- Most physics processes have charged particles with  $p_{\tau} < 500$  MeV/c
  - $\circ$  More material effects  $\rightarrow$  worse resolution
  - Looping tracks with  $p_T < 130 \text{ MeV/c} \rightarrow \text{fake/duplicate tracks}$
- Long-lived particles ( $\Lambda$ , K<sub>s</sub>, ...) can decay outside ITK





# ACTS tracking strategy

- ACTS has been integrated into STCF offline software
  - Hough + GenFit has been well optimized
  - ACTS seeding + ACTS CKF is used as second tracking option at STCF
  - Hough (as seeding) + ACTS CKF is also being studied for long-lived particle tracking







Details about Hough + GenFit in <u>H. Zhou's CHEP2024 talk</u>

# ACTS tracking (seeding + CKF) efficiency

• >96% tracking efficiency for particles in the region  $|\cos\theta|<0.9$ , 50 MeV <  $p_{\tau}<100$  MeV for prompt tracks!



Particle requirements: nHits>=5, |cos**θ**|<0.94 Track requirements: nHits>=5, matchingProb > 0.5

### Hough Transform + ACTS tracking performance

- Hough transform is more robust against local hit loss/inefficiency
- ACTS has slightly better seeding efficiency if there are enough hits
- Efficiency loss can be recovered by using Hough as seeding



### **ACTS for FASER**

Detecting tracks from 'invisible' particles

### **FASER** physics goals

- **LLPs search:** dark photon (mainly from ~TeV forward  $\pi^0$ ,  $\pi^0 \rightarrow A^{\prime}\gamma$ ), Axion-like particles (ALPs) from e.g. high energy photons colliding with the TA(X)N...
- Direct collider neutrinos search:  $v_{e'}$ ,  $v_{\mu}$ ,  $v_{\tau}$  from hadron decays
  - Tracking plays a significant role in planned  $v_r$  search!



First direct observation of collider  $v_{\mu}$  neutrino PRL 131, 031801 (2023)

Search for dark photons at FASER, PLB 848 (2024) 138378

Search for ALP at FASER arXiv: 2410.10363

47

### **FASER detector**

• For detection of charged tracks, photons and neutrinos



# **FASER tracking system**

Sketch from Brian Petersen

8\*3 = 24

modules/station



- Including 4 tracker stations
- Each tracking station is made of 3 layers of double-sided silicon micro-strip detectors
  - coverage 24cm x 24cm
- Each layer has 8 SCT modules (same as ATLAS SCT modules)
  - 80 μm strip pitch, 40 mrad stereo angle



### The charged tracks from invisible particles to detect

- Single high-momentum (e.g. >30 GeV) lepton resulting from neutrino interaction with tungsten (e.g.  $v_u + N \rightarrow \mu + X$ )
- Two highly collimated (e.g. r<95mm) charged tracks (e.g. >20 GeV) from dark photon (e.g. A' → e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>)
- Also three tracks in final state (e.g. μ with dark scalar to dimu)



50

### **FASER tracking performance**

S. Zhang, X. Ai

• Still room for improvement (current placement of detector is not ideal due to the theta =0 singularity issue using ACTS track parameterization)



# Summary

# Summary

- Tracking is a challenging task and pivotal to HEP event reconstruction
- ACTS is targeting at ATLAS at Run4, but has been growing fast with worldwide clients, including collider/non-collider, HEP and nuclear physics experiment, with both promising physics and CPU performance
  - ATLAS, FASER, CEPC, STCF ...
  - Also interest from Belle-II/EIC/FCC-ee
- First implementation of ACTS for drift chambers in the past two years
- Full swing of ACTS optimization for ATLAS Run4
- Developing KF-based alignment for ATLAS Run4 alignment and beyond ... Please get in contact if you are interested in tracking with ACTS!

# Future "tracking" events

- The 2nd Workshop on Track Reconstruction in Particle Physics Experiments, July 21-23, 2025, Huizhou, https://indico.impcas.ac.cn/e vent/146
- Connecting The Dots 2025, Nov. 10 - 14, 2025, Tokyo, Japan, https://indico.cern.ch/event/ 1499357/



The 2nd Workshop on Track Reconstruction in Particle Physics Experiments

July 21-23, 2025 Huizhou, Guangdong (July 21 registration) Hosted by the Institute of Modern Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences

This workshop aims to bring together experts from around the world to discuss major track reconstruction strategies in particle physics experiments and their applications in experiments such as BESIII, ATLAS, BELLE II, and LHCb. Additionally, we will explore developments in track reconstruction for future particle physics experiments like CEPC and STCF to foster exchange and collaboration of methods and technologies among different experiments. We will delve into the challenges of track reconstruction and discuss potential solutions.

This workshop is jointly organized by the Institute of Modern Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences (IMP); Zhengzhou University (ZZU); Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences (IHEP); Shandong University (SDU); Sun Yat-sen University (SYU); Tsinghua University (Tsinghua); and the University of Science and Technology of China (USTC).

We sincerely invite you to participate and provide your valuable insights. The registration deadline is July 1, 2025.

Link to register: https://indico.impcas.ac.cn/event/146

Organizing Committee: Xiaocong Ai (ZZU), Aiqiang Guo (IMP), Zhen Hu (Tsinghua), singtao Huang (SDU), Weidong Li (IHEP), Teng Li (SDU), Yi Liu (ZZU), Xiaoshuai Qin SDU), Shengsen Sun (IHEP), Liangliang Wang (IHEP), Linghui Wu (IHEP), Lailin Xua JSTQ, Hongtao Yang (USTQ, Jin Zhang (SYU)

Conference Contact:

Aiqiang Guo : guoaq@impcas.ac.cn

Qin Xue (Conference Secretary): xueqin@impcas.ac.cn





# Q&A

### Backup

# ACTS application strategies

#### Geometry transformation is the first step



# ACTS tracking geometry

- ACTS tracking geometry is simplified from full simulation geometry for fast track propagation
- Different concrete surfaces types for various tracking detectors
  - A surface has shape, bounds, rotation+translation, local coordinates and its unique identifier...



# Tracking geometry for drift chamber

• Currently, layer-based geometry model is used, i.e. drift wires are associated to concentric Acts::Layer (suboptimal for navigation. More intelligent model will be tried)



Figure from NIMA 620 (2010) 518



Example of three layers of drift wires

### CEPC tracker geometry in ACTS format



### STCF tracker geometry in ACTS format



### Open Data Detector in ACTS

- Open Data Detector (ODD) in ACTS is a full silicon tracker with realistic material description
- An Open Drift Chamber prototype has been implemented



#### https://gitlab.cern.ch/acts/OpenDataDetector More details <u>here</u>



ODD: Pixel + Drift Chamber ?



# The ACTS tracking geometry

#### Figures from CSBS (2022) 68



- Tracking geometry is simplified from detailed full simulation geometry for fast navigation, but with material effects well taken into account
- Navigation via hierarchical arrangement of the detector elements:
  - Legacy model: surfaces -> layers -> volumes
  - Layerless model: surfaces -> volumes (with grid indexed search)

# ACTS community

• 10~15 active developers on Core project







ACTS is one of the four projects in IRIS-HEP (Institute for Research and Innovation in Software for High Energy Physics)

 \$25M, i.e. ~0.17 B CNY, funded by National Science Foundation

https://iris-hep.org

### STCF performance with Hough Transform



65

0.9

0.8

0.7

0.6

0.5

0.4

0.3

0.2

0.1

### **CEPC** Detector Conceptual Designs

CEPC CDR Baseline Design (Particle Flow Approach)

