

$H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \text{ progress}$

CEPC Physics Performance Wednesday Working Meeting

Yaquan Fang, Fangyi Guo, Kaili Zhang, Yang Zhang, Han Wang, <u>Mohamed Reda Mekouar</u> July 4, 2025

Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences

Use of <u>ATLAS official tool for combination</u> to verify our results and improve the study sensitivity:

- Considering our BDTG training and from output, fitting for each bin using **quickFit**
- Fixed background issue (previously using unweighted data)

• For signal



$q ar q \gamma \gamma$ sub-channel



$u \bar{\nu} \gamma \gamma$ sub-channel



$\mu^+\mu^-\gamma\gamma$ sub-channel



Table 1: Expected statistical precision on $\sigma(ZH) \times Br(\rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$ from Asimov data fitting in the three channels and their combination with 20 ab⁻¹ data.

	$\Delta(\sigma imes ext{Br})/(\sigma imes ext{Br})_{SM}$
$q \bar{q} \gamma \gamma$	0.048
$\mu^+\mu^-\gamma\gamma$	0.188
$ u \bar{ u} \gamma \gamma$	0.056
Combined	0.036

Corrected results (w/ weighted bkg data) much closer to 2D model but not as sensitive \rightarrow need further investigating (different selections for better background rejection?)

Additional selections

In analysis package, all signal mixed and developing an event discriminator with flags for each signal:

- $\mu^+\mu^-\gamma\gamma$ sub-channel:
 - jet-veto: $N_{PFO} < 20$

2 muons: 2 leading energy charged PFOs (exactly 2 charged PFOs to veto all other charged particles) & $|m_{\mu\mu} - m_Z| < 10 \text{ GeV}$ neutrino veto: ($|E_{missing}| < 10 \text{ GeV} \& |M_{missing}| < 10 \text{ GeV}$)

· $u \bar{\nu} \gamma \gamma$ sub-channel:

jet-veto: $N_{PFO} < 20$

0 charged particle required to get rid of all tracks (selections on missing energy/mass in cutflow)

- $q\bar{q}\gamma\gamma$ sub-channel:
 - 2 jets!

Contamination matrix



Little to no contamination between channels after using these flags

- Keeping the same cutflow as previously with some selections to consider depending on background efficiency ($M_{recoil}^{\gamma\gamma}$ in $\mu^+\mu^-$ channel for example)
- For signal, all channels with practically same number of Final selected events except $\mu^+\mu^-$ as the new flag for our two muons has an efficiency of 66.85 % (vs. previously Exclusive 2 photons & 2 muons: 72.01 % (7201 events)
- To be run on background after using new flags for sub-channeld (Different package: FastSim Delphes file structure different than CEPCSW reco files) \rightarrow expected somewhat better rejection especially for $\nu \bar{\nu} \& \mu^+ \mu^-$ channels

- Currently smearing the data to evaluate main systematics: PER, PES, γ eff. by order of priority (will do it with new selections)
- · Adapting analysis package to background ntuples
- Considering other backgrounds to get full background shape (mainly 4-fermions and checking 2-fermions FullSim data)
- Training BDTG after these new selections for better sensitivity (new variables to account for in $\nu\bar{\nu}$ sub-channel)

Thank you!

Back-up

Back-up