



# The estimation methods of Beam Induced Backgrounds at CEPC

Haoyu SHI

2025.7.22

On Behalf of the BG Working Group



### Sources and Simulation Steps/Tools



- Single Beam
  - Touschek Scattering
  - Beam Gas Scattering(Elastic/inelastic)
  - Beam Thermal Photon Scattering
  - Synchrotron Radiation
- Luminosity Related
  - Beamstrahlung
  - Radiative Bhabha Scattering
- Injection
- SuperKEKB like sudden beam loss
- Failure Case(injection/extraction/Power Loss...)

Background	Generation	Tracking	Detector Simu.		
Synchrotron Radiation	BDSim/Geant4	BDSim/Geant4			
Beamstrahlung/Pair Production	Guinea-Pig++				
Beam-Thermal Photon	PyBTH[Ref]				
Beam-Gas Bremsstrahlung	PyBGB[Ref]	SAD	<u>CEPCSW/FLUKA</u>		
Beam-Gas Coulomb	BGC in <u>SAD</u>	SAD			
Radiative Bhabha	BBBREM				
Touschek	PyTSK with <u>SAD</u>				

For Ideal beam with high order magnet error Other errors like misalignment not included yet

- One Beam Simulated
- Simulate each background separately
- Whole-Ring generation for single beam BGs
- Multi-turn tracking(1000 turns)
  - Using built-in LOSSMAP
  - SR emitting/RF on
  - Radtaper on
  - No detector solenoid yet





- Acc. Design parameters
  - The number in red is we used in generators
- A dedicated version of acc. Lattice(SAD file)
  - The lattice file is given by Yiwei, then slice the components(-200m to 10m, shorter than 1cm) and add aperture by Haoyu.
- I'll take 3 examples, representing 3 kinds of sources(generators) we used.
  - Beamstralung/Pair Production
  - Beam Gas Scattering
  - Synchrotron Radiation(not finished yet today).

	Higgs		
Number of IPs	2		
Solenoid(T)	3		
Circumference (km)	99.955		
Half crossing angle at IP (mrad)	16.5		
Bending radius (km)	10.7		
SR power per beam (MW)	50		
Energy (GeV)	120		
Energy loss per turn (GeV)	1.8		
Bunch number	446		
Bunch spacing (ns)	277.0		
[ × 23.08 ns]	12		
Train gap [%]	63		
<b>Bunch population (10<sup>11</sup>)</b>	1.3		
Beam current (mA)	27.8		
Beta functions at IP b $_{x}^{*}/b_{y}^{*}$	0.3/1		
Emittance e /e (nm/nm)	0.64/1.3		
Betatron tune $n_{\rm e}/n_{\rm e}$	445/445		
Beam size at IP s. /s. (um/nm)	14/36		
Bunch length (natural/total) (mm)	2.3/4.1		
Energy spread (natural/total) (%)	0.10/0.17		
Energy acceptance (DA/RF) (%)	1.6/2.2		
Beam-beam parameters $x_v / x_v$	0.015/0.11		
Beam lifetime	10/10		
(Bhabha/beamstrahlung) (min)	40/40		
Beam lifetime requirement (min)	20		
Luminosity per IP (10 <sup>34</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	8.3		



### **Beamstrahlung/Pair Production**



W. Xu, Y. Tang

- Incoherent Pair Creation/Two photon...
- Charged Particles attract by the opposite beam emit photons(beamstrahlung), followed by an electron-positron pair production.
- Using Guinea-pig++(v1.2.1) as the generator and implementing the external magnetic field by code updating.
- The generator using center-of-mass frame, performing the interaction slice by slice. Currently only low-pt pairs will be simulated.
- All the particle information will be outputted. Only electrons/positrons will be used for next step(by transformed back to lab frame).



<pre>\$ACCELERATOR :: CEPC { energy=120; espread=0.0017; particles=13; beta_x=300; beta_y=1; sigma_x=14000; sigma_y=36; offset_x=0.; offset_y=0.; angle_x = 0.0165; sigma_z=4100; }</pre>	<pre>\$PARAMETERS:: ParAll {     n_x=64*4 ;     n_y=64*4 ;     n_z=61 ;     n_t=10 ;     cut_x=150*sigma_x.1;     cut_y=60.0*sigma_y.1;     cut_z=3.0*sigma_z.1 ;     n_m= 50000;     electron_ratio=1;     do_eloss = 1 ;     store_beam=1 ;     electron_ratio=1. ;     do_photons=1 ;     photon_ratio=1. ;     store_photons=1 ;     do_pairs= 1;     do_pairs= 1; </pre>	<pre>track_pairs=1; store_pairs=1; do_hadrons=1; store_hadrons=1; do_jets=1; store_jets=1; grids=7; beam_size = 1; pair_q2 = 2; pair_ratio = 1.; do_lumi=0; num_lumi=2000000; lumi_p=1e-28; do_bhabhas=0; rndm_seed=1; rndm_load=0; rndm_save =0; pair_ecut=0.000511; }</pre>
	do_pairs= 1;	}

.0612259 0.0591942 -0.991371 692816 943170 -1.87855e+07 2 .0220215 -0.000199544 0.999757 15888.6 -3147.64 1.85906e+07 2 .0137677 0.99866 345501 -237053 0489243 - 0 -0.078768 0.0388432 -0.981538 -1.8562e+06 880178 -1.8447e+07 2 .175451 0.000663776 0.0158455 0.99987 -321487 280972 1.85267e+07 2 .0777844 0.0138809 -0.996766 946709 203412 -1.85022e+07 2 0400881 0.165798 -0.985211 307249 3.18647e+06 .0407513 -0.999038 -541025 686750 -0.0160617 0.0780727 0.000670598 -0.99644 805787 5741.27 -1.82346e+07 2 -0.497803 0.0224504 -0.0032968 -0.999742 -28992 -42013.6 -1.82188e+07 2 -0.00033949 0.037606 -0.99925 -362817 0556455 670562 -1 .82677e+07

The time used for generating 2000BX is ~ 1 week.



### Beam Gas Bremsstrahlung Scattering

CEPC

Y. Teng

- Bremsstrahlung scattering with residual gas. Written in Python.
- Rate  $\propto P, Z$ , related to Z of the gas(Currently assuming H<sub>2</sub>)

$$\sigma_{brem} = 4\alpha r_e^2 \left[\frac{4}{3} \left( ln \frac{1}{\eta} - \frac{5}{8} \right) F(Z) + \frac{1}{9} Z(Z+1) \left( ln \frac{1}{\eta} - 1 \right) \right] \qquad \frac{1}{\tau} = \sigma \rho_{gas} c = \sigma c \frac{P}{k_B T}$$

 $\frac{d\sigma}{d\eta} = \frac{4\alpha r_e^2}{E\eta} \left\{ \left[ \frac{4}{3} (1-\eta) + \eta^2 \right] F(Z) + \frac{1}{9} Z(Z+1)(1-\eta) \right\}, \quad F(Z) = Z^2 \ln \frac{183}{Z^{\frac{1}{3}}} + Z \ln \frac{1194}{Z^{\frac{2}{3}}}.$ 



- It should generate beam particles and photon. Currently beam particles only. Only energy changed after the scattering, the position and direction keeps unchanged.
- Lowest delta energy is set to 0.016(energy acceptance).
- Using 10<sup>-7</sup> Pa uniform distribution of the vacuum level. Assume 5 off-angle particles could be generated per cm. Calculate the number of particles generated per slice component, then get the initial distribution after scattering.
- Tracking 1000 turns, output the information of all lost particles.
- Calculate loss rate using beam lifetime provided by acc. Colleague(~200 h @ Higgs), the scale the loss number in the IR to per second.

```
Loss Rate = \frac{Loss Number}{Loss Time} = \frac{Bunch number * Particles per Bunch * (1 - e^{-1})}{Beam Lifetime}
```





- The SR photons are produced by beam particles directly in CEPCSW.
  - The geometry in CEPCSW is extended to -150m~150m(only beam pipe)
  - The magnetic field is added into the geometry using the parameters given by accelerator group. Currently, the last upstream and first downstream bending magnet and the detector solenoid(uniform) is integrated. The final focusing field is on-going.
  - Using a dedicated physics list(including the X-ray reflection, the photelectric, Compton scattering, Rayleigh scattering and pair production), recording the SR photons generated and tracking them, perform the detector simulation directly.
- Changing mitigation methods to test the effectiveness.
  - Due to the limitation of computing resources, the total SR photons simulated is 10<sup>10</sup> usually(~1 day). Therefore, the hit density in all main sub-detectors should be 0.
  - When a "optimistic" version comes, 10<sup>11</sup> or even 10<sup>12</sup> SR photons will be simulated.

# The way to perform detector simulation



- All the BG samples have some relationship to time. Pair BG samples are generated per BX. Single BG samples can be translated to per unit time.
- The data file outputted by generators will be converted into root file.
- Currently, the detector simulation is performed by CEPCSW. We have pair production and 4 types of single beam.
- We perform the simulation using 20000BX(10 BX per task, 2000 tasks. Thus, we have 2000 root files as result).
  - For pair BG, we have 2000 BX in total, and randomly choose 10 per task.
  - For single BG, we have some samples per type. A Poisson sampling will be conducted(the average number can be calculated using the rate) to determine how many single BG particles could be chosen at 10BX window(2770ns @ Higgs).
- Currently, the simulation will be run by Haoyu, while the digitization and analysis will be run separately by sub-detector people(Hancen, Zhan, Xin, Weizheng, Haopeng, Renjie, etc.).



# BG Level at Higgs/Z with mitigation



- We have obtained a preliminary estimate of the beam-induced background levels in Higgs/Low-Lumi-Z/High-Lumi-Z mode with latest CEPCSW.
  - The VXD results taken the SR into account. No safety factor.
  - The relatively changes are used for MDI group to check the effectiveness of the mitigation methods (collimators, shielding, etc.)
  - The exact number in the table could be used as a ref. for other sub-systems, BUT SHOULD NOT be treated as a solid foundation of the design.

Sub-Detectors	Ave. Hit Rate			Max. Hit Rate			Max. Occupancy Per BX(%)		
	Higgs	Low-Lumi-Z	High-Lumi-Z	Higgs	Low-Lumi-Z	High-Lumi-Z	Higgs	Low-Lumi-Z	High-Lumi-Z
VXD(MHz/cm <sup>2</sup> )	2.5(0.11)	9.4(0.31)	58(2.0)	2.8	19	126	0.0023	0.0021	0.0022
ITK- B/E(kHz/cm <sup>2</sup> )	0.45/1.4	0.85/2.7	5.0/16	3.5/30	3.6/26	22/160	0.0032/0.001	6.4e-3/2.5e-3	6.4e-3/2.5e-3
TPC(kHz/cm <sup>2</sup> )	1.2	2.6	16	13	12	76	0.075	0.071	0.22
OTK- B/E(kHz/cm <sup>2</sup> )	0.37/0.94	0.66/1.5	4.0/9.2	1.6/11	1.2/7.0	7.2/42	2.1e-3/3.7e-2	4.6e-4/6.0e-3	4.6e-4/6.0e-3
ECal- B/E(MHz/bar)	0.0068/0.031	0.011/0.050	0.068/0.32	0.86/3.6	0.33/6.4	1.9/40	0.8/3.5	0.2/0.9	0.2/0.8
HCal- B/E(kHz/gs cell)	0.0023/0.12	0.0042/0.12	0.026/0.76	7.0/320	12/170	70/960	4.0e-4/4.0e-2	4.0e-4/3.0e-3	3.0e-4/3.0e-3
Muon- E(Hz/cm <sup>2</sup> )	2.6	1.0	6.8	27	32	110	0.72	0.08	0.12



CEPC

- What is the definition of "Hit"?
  - What is the basic unit of a dedicated detector?
- How to calculate the average hit rate?
- How to calculate the maximum hit rate?
- How to calculate the maximum occupancy?
- What's the results of all information above?





- H.Lu
- A hit means a point provided by the CEPCSW in a dedicated position with energy deposition ("conbineHits=on").
- The basic unit used in estimation is sensor(cell/repeated sensor unit).
  - The area of sensor in Layer1-4 is 3.296\*8.049mm<sup>2</sup>, while in Layer 5-6 is 12.8\*25.6mm<sup>2</sup>
  - It's chosen by the detector based on the electronic read-out design.
- The hit density is calculated by counting the number of hit on a basic unit(a sensor) per BX(ave. number of 20000BX we currently have).
- The average hit density the average of all the hit densities on same layer per BX.
- The maximum hit density is the highest number of all the sensors on same layer per BX.
- However, the occupancy is calculated at sensor level. It is the ratio of fired pixels in one sensor. The max. is the highest number.



#### The results of VTX per Layer



H. Lu

Layer	Ave. Hit Rate MHz/cm <sup>2</sup>	Max. Hit Rate MHz/cm <sup>2</sup>	Ave. Hit Rate×C MHz/cm <sup>2</sup>	Max. Hit Rate×C MHz/cm <sup>2</sup>	Ave. Data Rate Mbps/cm <sup>2</sup>	Max. Data Rate Mbps/cm <sup>2</sup>	
Higgs:	DataRate = Hit	Rate ×32 bit / pixel	× ClusterSize @	(Bunch Spacing:	346ns, 53 %Gap,	$25 \times 25 \ \mu m^2/ \text{ pixel})$	
1	2.45	2.79	8.17	10.48	261.29	335.36	
2	0.67	1.07	2.18	3.48	69.59	111.41	
3	0.17	0.35	0.62	1.19	19.68	38.21	
4	0.08	0.18	0.32	0.98	10.25	31.39	
5	0.03	0.15	0.11	0.74	3.41	23.73	
6	0.02	0.09	0.07	0.41	2.37	13.24	
Zmode: DataRate = HitRate $\times$ 32 bit / pixel $\times$ ClusterSize @(Bunch Spacing: 69ns, 9 %Gap, 25 $\times$ 25 $\mu$ m <sup>2</sup> / pixel)							
1	9.35	18.68	42.45	88.23	1358.33	2823.36	
2	0.89	1.47	3.73	7.54	119.24	241.36	
3	0.31	0.75	1.45	5.99	46.49	191.75	
4	0.19	0.47	0.95	4.86	30.50	155.50	
5	0.05	0.10	0.20	0.45	6.40	14.38	
6	0.04	0.07	0.15	0.38	4.80	12.17	







- A hit means a fired crystal bar(the energy deposition higher than the threshold) in a certain time(per BX, 277ns @ Higgs currently).
- Currently, only the simulated time information is considered.
- The basic unit in estimation is crystal bar.
- The hit density is the ratio of fired time over all simulated times(using 2000BX we have).
- The average hit density the average of all the hit densities in whole detector.
- The maximum hit density is the highest number of the hit density among all the crystal bars.
- However, the occupancy is the ratio of fired crystal bars in a certain time(per BX).





- For Higgs, the bunch spacing is 277ns.
  - Therefore, the numbers of BX per second could be calculated. It is

No of 
$$BX = \frac{1 s}{277 ns} \approx 3.61 \times 10^6$$

• However, the gap of the beam train is 63%, it means only 37% of the space in the ring contains the beam. So, the actual number of the BX would be

Actual No of 
$$BX \cong \frac{1 s}{277 ns} \times 37\% \approx 1.33 \times 10^6$$

- For the calculation of average hit rate from BX to Hz, we take 1.4e6 as the scale factor, while for the maximum, we take 3.6e6 as the scale factor.
  - To be discussed.





- The hit in TPC means the number voxels(500um\*500um\*2mm) with contains electron("fired voxels"). It can be counted.
  - The deposited energy(by CEPCSW) converted to primary electron-ion pairs, then, the primary electrons undergo drift, diffusion and voxelization processes in a certain time(34us).
- The basic unit of calculation in R is layer(10 voxel, 5mm in R), with whole range in Z.
- The hit density is calculated per layer(using the area at the endcap) in 34us
  - Eg, the layer between 63.5~64cm in R, the area is ~115.40cm<sup>2</sup>
- The average is the integration of whole detector region divided by whole area of the endcap.
- The maximum is the integration of one layer(5mm in R)
  - In most of the cases, it should be the inner most layer. Therefore, usually calculated the numbers from the inner most layer directly.
  - Max. hit density = number of fired voxels / area at the endcap.
- The occupancy is the ratio of fired voxels in a certain time(34us).
  - The total number of voxel is  $\sim 4.5 \times 10^9$

X. She

### Backup



#### Beam Gas Coulomb Scattering

- Coulomb scattering with residual gas. Built-in code in SAD(code by us).
- Rate  $\propto P, Z, T^{-1}, E^{-1}, R^{-2}$ , related to beta function

$$\sigma_{matt} = \frac{4\pi Z^2 r_e^2}{\gamma^2} \left(\frac{1}{\theta_{min}^2 + \theta_1^2}\right) = \frac{4\pi Z^2 r_e^2}{\gamma} \cdot \frac{192\beta_s \beta_{max}}{192\gamma R^2 + \beta_s \beta_{max} Z^{2/3}} \qquad \frac{1}{\tau} = \sigma \rho_{gas} c = \sigma c \frac{P}{k_B T}$$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\theta} = \frac{8\pi Z^2 r_e^2}{\gamma^2} \frac{\theta}{\left(\theta^2 + \theta_1^2\right)^2}, \qquad \theta_{\min} = \frac{H}{\sqrt{\beta_s \beta_{\max}}}.$$

$$= \sigma \rho_{gas} c = \sigma c \frac{P}{k_B T}$$



Y. Teng

Coulomb



- Only theta changed after the scattering, the position keeps unchanged.
- Highest theta is set to 0.001 (need to be changed to perform the scan).
- Using 10<sup>-7</sup> Pa uniform distribution of the vacuum level. Assume 5 off-angle particles could be generated per cm. Calculate the number of particles generated per slice component, then get the initial distribution after scattering.
- Tracking 1000 turns, output the information of all lost particles.
- Calculate loss rate using beam lifetime provided by acc. Colleague(16 h @ Higgs), the scale the loss number in the IR to per second.

 $Loss Rate = \frac{Loss Number}{Loss Time} = \frac{Bunch number * Particles per Bunch * (1 - e^{-1})}{Ream Lifetime}$ 

- In SAD, aperture is treated as an element, rather than the parameter of an element. SAD will mark the particle as lost when its position outside of the aperture.
- As shown in the right figure, the mismatch between the "real" loss position(2) and the outputted loss position(3) will implement error. The slice of the component could mitigate it.
- By changing the aperture setting, we can add collimators. Currently only two apertures(before and after the components) have been added. More will be done in future, when we study the collimators carefully.

