

Scalar wave emission from particles radially infalling in a Schwarzschild black hole

Giorgio Di Russo

Hangzhou Institute for Advanced Study, UCAS, Hangzhou

Talk based on a work in progress with Donato Bini and Andrea Geralico

October 31, 2025

Main ideas and motivations

- Study Black Holes (BH) perturbations due some field have been studied both numerically and analytically.
- Scalar emission by a massive scalar particle in radial infall into a Schwarzschild BH has been studied in:
 - [Zerilli, PRD 2, 2141 \(1970\)](#) $\Rightarrow \omega \gg 1$;
 - [Mitsou, 1012.2028](#), [Silva, Tambalo et al, PDR 109, 024036 \(2024\)](#), [Barausse, Bert, Cardoso et al, 2106.09721](#) $\Rightarrow$ numerical;
 - In the last paper ℓ summation leads to Log divergencies addressed to point-like probe approximation.
 - Analytic self force on circular orbits: [1305.4884](#), [1312.2503](#), [1503.02334](#), [Bini, Damour, Geralico, Kavanagh](#).
 - Analytic self force on eccentric orbits [Bini, Damour, Geralico 1511.04533](#).
 - Analytic self force on hyperbolic obits [Geralico 2507.03442](#).
- Here I show analytical computations within the Post-Newtonian (PN) i.e. low velocities approximation.
- Both PN expanded and Mano-Suzuki-Takasugi (MST) solutions to the perturbation eqs will be employed.

Plan of the talk

- Recap on BHs perturbations theory in order to fix notation.
- Heun equations (HE) arising from the study of perturbations.
- The massive particle in radial fall in Schwarzschild geometry.
- Definition of energy loss at infinity and its computation with PN solutions: limitations and necessity of MST.
- MST method in a nutshell.
- Final results.
- Future directions: the gravitational case.

Black Holes Perturbation Theory: The Heun Equations

- Given the Einstein field equations

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} T_{\mu\nu} ,$$

- the linear perturbation can be studied

$$g_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow g'_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu} .$$

- In some cases the eqs at first order in the perturbation $h_{\mu\nu}$ become ODEs of the second order.
- For simplicity, one could alternatively study the propagation of scalar waves in the curved background

$$\square \Phi = \mu^2 \Phi, \quad \square = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \partial_\mu \sqrt{g} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu, \quad g = |\det(g_{\mu\nu})| ,$$

- which in some cases allows the separation between angular and radial dynamics.
- In $d = 4$ the separation is achieved through the ansatz

$$\Phi(t, r, \theta, \phi) = e^{-i\omega t + im\phi} R(r) S(\theta) .$$

- $S(\theta)$ are
 - Legendre polynomials in the spherically symmetric case (e.g. Schwarzschild);
 - Oblate spheroidal harmonics in the axially symmetric case (e.g. Kerr).
- In the vast majority of the cases, $R(r)$ are ODEs of Heun type (HE) with four regular singularities

$$\left[\frac{d^2}{dz^2} + \left(\frac{\gamma}{z} + \frac{\delta}{z-1} + \frac{\epsilon}{z-t} \right) \frac{d}{dz} + \frac{\alpha\beta z - p}{z(z-1)(z-t)} \right] W(z) = 0 ,$$

- where $\alpha + \beta + 1 = \gamma + \delta + \epsilon$. The normal (or canonical) form is achieved thanks to the redefinition

$$W(z) = z^{-\gamma/2} (1-z)^{-\delta/2} (t-z)^{-\epsilon/2} \psi(z) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \left[\frac{d^2}{dz^2} + Q_{HE} \right] \psi(z) = 0 .$$

Black Holes Perturbation Theory: The Heun Equations

- The Q -functions of the various confluences of the HE are ([Bonelli, Iossa et al 2201.04491](#)):

$$Q_{HE} = \frac{2\gamma - \gamma^2}{4z^2} + \frac{2\delta - \delta^2}{4(z-1)^2} + \frac{2\epsilon - \epsilon^2}{4(z-t)^2} + \frac{-\gamma\epsilon + 2p - \gamma\delta t - 2\alpha\beta z + \gamma\delta z + \gamma z\epsilon + \delta z\epsilon}{2(z-1)z(t-z)}$$

$$Q_{CHE} = -\frac{\epsilon^2}{4} + \frac{2\gamma - \gamma^2}{4z^2} + \frac{2\delta - \delta^2}{4(z-1)^2} + \frac{-\gamma\delta + \gamma\epsilon - 2p + 2\alpha z - \gamma z\epsilon - \delta z\epsilon}{2(z-1)z}$$

$$Q_{RCHE} = \frac{2\gamma - \gamma^2}{4z^2} + \frac{2\delta - \delta^2}{4(z-1)^2} + \frac{\gamma\delta + 2p}{2z} + \frac{2\beta - \gamma\delta - 2p}{2(z-1)}$$

$$Q_{DCHE} = -\frac{\delta^2}{4z^4} - \frac{(\gamma-2)\delta}{2z^3} + \frac{-\gamma^2 + 2\gamma - 2\delta - 4p}{4z^2} + \frac{2\alpha - \gamma}{2z} - \frac{1}{4}$$

$$Q_{RDCHE} = \frac{\epsilon}{z^3} - \frac{p}{z^2} + \frac{\beta}{z} - \frac{1}{4}$$

$$Q_{DRDCHE} = \frac{\epsilon}{z^3} - \frac{p}{z^2} + \frac{1}{z}$$

$C = \text{Confluent}, D = \text{Doubly}, R = \text{Reduced}$

- Physically the Q -function plays the role of effective potential thanks to the analogy with the non relativistic Schrödinger eq.
- The function $-Q$ always has a maximum, furthermore
 - Fuzzball geometries: an internal minimum ([Bianchi, GDR 2212.07504](#));
 - Asymptotically AdS geometries: external minimum ([Berti, Cardoso, Pani 0903.5311](#)).

Black Holes Perturbation Theory: The Heun Equations

- Radial dynamics :
 - HE (4 regular): AdS-KN BH ([Aminov, Arnaudo, Bonelli et al 2307.10141](#));
 - CHE (2 regular, 1 irregular): flat KN ([Bonelli, Iossa et al 2105.04483](#), [Bianchi, Consoli et al 2109.09804](#));
 - RCHE (2 regular, 1 irregular): CCLP, D1/D5 circular fuzzball, JMaRT ([Bianchi, GDR 2212.07504](#), [Bianchi, Di Benedetto, GDR et al 2305.00865](#));
 - DCHE (2 irregular) : eKN, D3-D3-D3-D3 BH;
 - RDCHE (2 irregular): eCCLP, BMPV;
 - DRDCHE a.k.a. Mathieu (2 irregular): D3, D1/D5 BHs ([Bianchi, Consoli 2105.04245](#), [Fioravanti, Gregori 2112.11434](#)).
 - Angular dynamics:
 - Spherically symmetric geometries: Spherical harmonics.
 - Axially symmetric geometries:
 - CHE: KN ([Berti, Cardoso, Casals 0511111](#));
 - RCHE: D1-D5 circular fuzzball, JMaRT.
- ([Bianchi, GDR 2203.14900](#))
- The classical observables of BH perturbation theory.
 - Quasi normal modes (QNMs) whose boundary conditions are:
 - BH: ingoing at horizon, outgoing at infinity;
 - Fuzzballs: regular at cap, outgoing at infinity;
 - AdS BH: ingoing at horizon, regular at infinity.
 - Tidal deformability ([GDR, Fucito, Morales 2402.06621](#))
 - Amplification factor ([Cipriani, Di Benedetto, GDR et al 2405.06566](#))
 - Wave form reconstruction ([Bianchi, Bini, GDR 2407.10868](#), [2411.19612](#), [2502.21040](#), [2506.04876](#))

Geodesic motion

- The metric of Schwarzschild geometry

$$ds^2 = -f(r)dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{f(r)} + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2) \quad , \quad f(r) = \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right) .$$

- The radial and equatorial motion implies $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ and $\phi = 0$, so that for time-like geodesics

$$ds^2 = -1 = -f(r)dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{f(r)} .$$

- Since the canonical momentum associated to t is conserved and interpreted as the energy

$$P_\mu = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{x}^\mu} \quad , \quad P_t = -f(r) \dot{t} = -E ,$$

- the equation of motion is

$$\frac{dr_p}{dt} = -\frac{1}{E} \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r_p(t)}\right) \sqrt{E^2 - 1 + \frac{2M}{r_p(t)}} .$$

- Considering particles initially at rest $E = 1$, the previous eq. admits an exact solution

$$\frac{t(r)}{2M} = -\frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{r}{2M}\right)^{3/2} \left(1 + \frac{6M}{r}\right) + \ln \left(\frac{\sqrt{\frac{r}{2M}} + 1}{\sqrt{\frac{r}{2M}} - 1} \right) .$$

- Setting $\eta = \frac{1}{c}$, the previous geodesic can be inverted in PN sense $r_p(t) \sim \frac{GM}{c^2} \sim \eta^2 \Rightarrow M \rightarrow M\eta^2$

$$r_p(t) = t^{2/3} \alpha - \frac{8}{9} \alpha^3 \eta^2 + \frac{16\alpha^5}{27t^{2/3}} \eta^4 + \frac{640\alpha^7}{2187t^{4/3}} \eta^6 - \frac{256\alpha^9}{10935t^2} \eta^8 + O(\eta^{10}) \quad , \quad \alpha = \left(\frac{9}{2}M\right)^{1/3} .$$

Scalar field sourced by a scalar charge

- The non-homogeneous wave equation to solve is

$$\square \Phi = -4\pi \rho ,$$

- where ρ is the scalar density and q is the scalar charge

$$\rho = q \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \delta^{(4)}(x^\alpha - x_p^\alpha(s)) ds \quad , \quad x_p^\alpha(s) = \left(t_p(s), r_p(s), \frac{\pi}{2}, 0 \right) .$$

- Integration of ρ is done in t and using the completeness of spherical harmonics

$$\rho = \frac{q f(r_p(t))}{r_p^2(t)} \delta(r - r_p(t)) \sum_{\ell m} Y_{\ell m}(\theta, \phi) Y_{\ell m}^* \left(\frac{\pi}{2}, 0 \right) .$$

- In terms of the energy-momentum tensor, the scalar density is defined

$$\rho = \sum_{\ell m} \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} e^{-i\omega t} \hat{T}_{\ell m}(\omega, r) Y_{\ell m}(\theta, \phi) = \sum_{\ell m} T_{\ell m}(t, r) Y_{\ell m}(\theta, \phi) .$$

- So the full non-homogeneous differential problem becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \square \psi &= \sum_{lm} \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} e^{-i\omega t} Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) \left[f(r) R_{lm\omega}'' + \frac{2(r-M)}{r^2} R_{lm\omega}' + \left(\frac{\omega^2}{f} - \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{r^2} \right) \right] \\ &= -4\pi \sum_{lm} \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} e^{-i\omega t} \hat{T}_{lm}(\omega, r) Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) Y_{lm}^* \left(\frac{\pi}{2}, 0 \right) , \end{aligned}$$

- where

$$\hat{T}_{lm}(\omega, r) = q \int dt e^{i\omega t} \frac{f(r_p(t))}{r_p^2(t)} \delta(r - r_p(t)) Y_{lm}^* \left(\frac{\pi}{2}, 0 \right) = q Y_{lm}^* \left(\frac{\pi}{2}, 0 \right) \mathcal{F}(r, \omega) .$$

Scalar field sourced by a scalar charge

- The equation is solved with Green function method

$$\mathcal{L}_{(r)} G_{lm\omega}(r, r') \equiv \left[\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{\Delta'(r)}{\Delta(r)} \frac{d}{dr} + \left(\frac{\omega^2 r^4}{\Delta^2(r)} - \frac{L}{\Delta(r)} \right) \right] G_{lm\omega}(r, r') = \frac{\delta(r - r')}{r'(r' - 2M)},$$

- where

$$G_{lm\omega}(r, r') = \frac{1}{W_{lm\omega}} [R_{\text{in}}(r) R_{\text{up}}(r') H(r' - r) + R_{\text{in}}(r') R_{\text{up}}(r) H(r - r')] \\ W_{lm\omega} = \Delta(r) [R_{\text{in}}(r) R'_{\text{up}}(r) - R_{\text{up}}(r) R'_{\text{in}}(r)].$$

- The particular solution for the radial equation reads

$$R_{lm\omega}(r) = -4\pi \int dr' G_{lm\omega}(r, r') r'^2 \hat{T}_{lm}(\omega, r').$$

- The radiated energy can be derived from the energy-momentum tensor which for massless complex scalars is

$$\frac{d^2 E}{dt d\Omega} = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left(r^2 T^{\text{scal}}_t \right) \quad , \quad 8\pi T_{\mu\nu}^{\text{scal}} = \Phi_{,\mu}^* \Phi_{,\nu} + \Phi_{,\mu} \Phi_{,\nu}^* - g_{\mu\nu} \Phi_{,\alpha}^* \Phi^{,\alpha}.$$

- The final expression reads

$$\Delta E = \int dt \frac{dE}{dt} = \int \sin \theta d\theta d\phi dt r^2 T^{\text{scal}}_t|_{t=-\infty} = - \int_{2M}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{dr} dr \frac{r^2 f(r_p(t))}{4\pi} \sum_{\ell m} \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \frac{d\omega'}{2\pi} \omega e^{-i(\omega - \omega')t} \mathcal{J}_{\ell m \omega \omega'}|_{r=r_p(t)}, \\ \mathcal{J}_{\ell m \omega \omega'}(r) = \text{Im} \left(R_{\ell m \omega}(r) \frac{d}{dr} R_{\ell m \omega'}^* \right).$$

PN solution of homogeneous equation

- The equation describing a massless scalar wave in Schwarzschild is

$$r(r - 2M)R''(r) + 2(r - 2M)R'(r) + \left[\frac{\omega^2 r^3}{r - 2M} - \ell(\ell + 1) \right] R(r) = 0.$$

- Recall that PN weights are

$$M \rightarrow M\eta^2, \quad \omega \rightarrow \omega\eta,$$

- where for the frequency, the PN weight is justified by $\frac{\omega}{c} \sim \frac{v}{c_p(t)} \sim \eta$.

- Solving order by order in η , we find IN and UP PN solutions

$$\begin{aligned} R_{IN}^{PN} = & r^\ell + \eta^2 r^{\ell-1} \left(\frac{r^3 \omega^2}{2(2\ell+2)} - \ell M \right) + \eta^4 \frac{r^{\ell-2}}{8} \left[\frac{r^6 \omega^4}{(2\ell+5)(2\ell+3)} + \frac{8(\ell-1)^2 \ell M^2}{2\ell-1} + \frac{4(\ell^2-5\ell-10)Mr^3 \omega^2}{(\ell+1)(2\ell+3)} \right] \\ & + \eta^6 \frac{r^\ell}{48} \left[\frac{r^6 \omega^6}{(2\ell+3)(2\ell+5)(2\ell+7)} - \frac{2Mr^3 \omega^4 (3\ell^3 - 27\ell^2 - 142\ell - 136)}{(\ell+1)(\ell+2)(2\ell+3)(2\ell+5)} \right. \\ & \left. + \omega^2 \left(\frac{96M^2 (15\ell^2+15\ell-11)}{(2\ell-1)(2\ell+1)^2(2\ell+3)} - \frac{96M^2 (15\ell^2+15\ell-11) \log(r)}{(2\ell-1)(2\ell+1)(2\ell+3)} \right) - \frac{16M^3 (\ell-2)^2 (\ell-1)\ell}{r^3(2\ell-1)} \right] + O(\eta^8), \end{aligned}$$

$$R_{UP}^{PN}(r, \ell) = R_{IN}^{PN}(r, -\ell - 1).$$

- Notice that PN solutions are real, so that they do not contribute to energy loss since

$$\mathcal{J}_{\ell m \omega \omega'}(r) = \text{Im} \left(R_{\ell m \omega}^{PN}(r) \frac{d}{dr} R_{\ell m \omega'}^{PN*}(r) \right) = 0.$$

MST solutions for homogeneous Teukolsky equation

- Original paper on MST solutions to homogeneous Teukolsky eq. (Kerr) ([Mano, Suzuki, Takasugi 9603020](#)).
- MST-type IN solution: ingoing boundary condition at $r = 2M$:

$$R(z) = e^{i\epsilon z} (-z)^{-i\epsilon} f(z) \quad , \quad \epsilon = 2M\omega \quad , \quad z = -\frac{r-2M}{2M} \quad .$$

- The Teukolsky eq becomes

$$\begin{aligned} z(1-z)f''(z) + [1-2i\epsilon - (2-2i\epsilon)z]f'(z) + (\nu+i\epsilon)(\nu+1-i\epsilon)f(z) = \\ = 2i\epsilon[-z(1-z)f'(z) + zf(z)] + [\nu(\nu+1) - \ell(\ell+1) + \epsilon^2 - i\epsilon]f(z) \quad , \end{aligned}$$

- where ν is the renormalized angular momentum (RAM) $\nu = \ell + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$.
- The solution can be written as

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} C_n f_{n+\nu}(z), \quad f_{n+\nu}(z) = {}_2F_1(n+\nu+1-i\epsilon, -n-\nu-i\epsilon, 1-2i\epsilon, z) \quad .$$

- As a consequence the ODE becomes a 3-terms recursion relation

$$\alpha_n C_{n+1} + \beta_n C_n + \gamma_n C_{n-1} = 0 \quad ,$$

$$\alpha_n = \frac{i\epsilon(n+\nu+1+i\epsilon)^2(n+\nu+1-i\epsilon)}{(n+\nu+1)(2n+2\nu+3)} \quad , \quad \gamma_n = -\frac{i\epsilon(n+\nu+i\epsilon)(n+\nu-i\epsilon)^2}{(n+\nu)(2n+2\nu-1)} \quad ,$$

$$\beta_n = -\ell(\ell+1) + (n+\nu)(n+\nu+1) + 2\epsilon^2 + \frac{\epsilon^4}{(n+\nu)(n+\nu+1)} \quad .$$

- The consistency of the 3-terms recursion can be written in form of a continuous fraction

$$\beta_0 - \frac{\alpha_{-1}\gamma_0}{\beta_{-1} - \frac{\alpha_{-2}\gamma_{-1}}{\beta_{-2} - \frac{\alpha_{-3}\gamma_{-2}}{\beta_{-3} - \dots}}} - \frac{\alpha_0\gamma_1}{\beta_1 - \frac{\alpha_1\gamma_2}{\beta_2 - \frac{\alpha_2\gamma_3}{\beta_3 - \dots}}} = 0 \quad .$$

MST solutions for homogeneous Teukolsky equation

- Continuous fraction can be solved perturbatively introducing the RAM

$$\nu = \ell + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \nu_n (M\omega)^n.$$

- UP solution: outgoing b.c.s at $R(r) \underset{r \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} e^{i\omega r}$. Introduce $z = \omega(r - 2M)$ and $R(z) = z^{-1}g(z)$.

$$z^2 g''(z) + [z^2 + 2\epsilon z - \nu(\nu+1)]g(z) = -\epsilon z(g''(z) + g(z)) + \epsilon g'(z) - \frac{\epsilon(1+\epsilon^2)}{z}g(z) + [\lambda - \nu(\nu+1) - 3\epsilon^2]g(z).$$

- As for the IN solution we can manage the RHS of the previous eq as a (small) source.
- Solution of the LHS can be written in terms of Coulomb functions

$$g(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{C}_n g_{n+\nu}(z) \quad , \quad g_{n+\nu}(z) = e^{-iz} (2z)^{n+\nu} z^{\frac{\Gamma(n+\nu+1+i\epsilon)}{\Gamma(2n+2\nu+2)}} \Phi(n+\nu+1+i\epsilon, 2n+2\nu+2, 2iz).$$

- Analogously to the IN, plugging the previous ansatz in the ODE, we have again a 3-terms recursion

$$\tilde{\alpha}_n \tilde{C}_{n+1} + \tilde{\beta}_n \tilde{C}_n + \tilde{\gamma}_n \tilde{C}_{n-1} = 0.$$

- IN and UP are matched if the coefficients of the recursions coincide

$$\alpha_n = \tilde{\alpha}_n \quad , \quad \beta_n = \tilde{\beta}_n \quad , \quad \gamma_n = \tilde{\gamma}_n.$$

- This happens automatically with IN and UP constructed in such way.
- In Schwarzschild ($a = s = 0$), RAM is $\nu_{2n+1} = 0$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and

$$\nu_2 = -\frac{2\ell^2 (15\ell^2 + 15\ell - 11)}{(2\ell - 1)(2\ell + 1)(2\ell + 3)}$$

$$\nu_4 = -\frac{2(\ell(\ell+1)(5\ell(\ell+1)(21\ell(\ell+1)(8\ell(\ell+1)(22\ell(\ell+1) - 125) + 1479) - 16525) + 8733) + 3240)}{\ell(\ell+1)(2\ell-3)(2\ell-1)^3(2\ell+1)^3(2\ell+3)^3(2\ell+5)}$$

...

MST solutions

$$R_{IN}^{\ell=0}(r) = \frac{7}{9} - \frac{7}{54} r^2 \omega^2 \eta^2 - \frac{7}{27} i(12\gamma_E - 1) M \omega \eta^3 + \frac{7r\omega^2(r^3\omega^2 - 200M)\eta^4}{1080} + \frac{7iM\omega(72M + (12\gamma_E - 1)r^3\omega^2)\eta^5}{162r} \\ - \frac{\eta^6\omega^2 \left(7r^6\omega^4 - 258720M^2 \log\left(\frac{2M}{r}\right) + 96M^2 \left(2940\gamma_E^2 - 1226 - 490\gamma_E - 245\pi^2 \right) - 6664Mr^3\omega^2 \right)}{45360} + O(\eta^7),$$

$$R_{UP}^{\ell=0} = -\frac{7i}{18r\omega} + \frac{7\eta}{18} + \frac{7i}{18} \left(\frac{r\omega}{2} - \frac{M}{r^2\omega} \right) \eta^2 - \frac{7(r^3\omega^2 + 12M(1 + \gamma_E - i\pi))}{108r} \eta^3 \\ - \frac{7i\eta^4 \left(32M^2 + 12(13 - 4\gamma_E)Mr^3\omega^2 - 96Mr^3\omega^2 \log(2r\omega) + r^6\omega^4 \right)}{432r^3\omega} + O(\eta^5),$$

$$R_{IN}^{\ell=1} = \frac{r}{M} - \left(\frac{r^3\omega^2}{10M} + 1 \right) \eta^2 + i(5 - 4\gamma_E)r\omega\eta^3 + \frac{r^2\omega^2}{280} \left(\frac{r^3\omega^2}{M} - 196 \right) \eta^4 + \frac{i}{10} (4\gamma_E - 5)\omega(10M + r^3\omega^2)\eta^5 \\ - \frac{\eta^6 r \omega^2}{27291600M} \left[1008 \left(463303 - 541500\gamma_E + 216600\gamma_E^2 - 18050\pi^2 \right) M^2 - 1635330Mr^3\omega^2 + 1805r^6\omega^4 \right. \\ \left. - 69138720M^2 \log\left(\frac{2M}{r}\right) \right] + O(\eta^7),$$

$$R_{UP}^{\ell=1} = -\frac{i}{2r^2\omega^2} - \frac{i}{4} \left(\frac{4M}{r^3\omega^2} + 1 \right) \eta^2 + \left(\frac{r\omega}{6} + \frac{M(1 - \gamma_E + i\pi)}{r^2\omega} \right) \eta^3 - \frac{i\eta^4}{80r^4\omega^2} \left(80Mr^3\omega^2 + 144M^2 - 5r^6\omega^4 \right) \\ + \eta^6 \left[-\frac{16iM^3}{5r^5\omega^2} + \frac{i \left(185333 + 54150\gamma_E^2 + 108300i\pi - 63175\pi^2 - 3610i\gamma_E(30\pi - 49i) \right) M^2}{54150r^2} \right. \\ \left. - \frac{iM \left(19M - 10r^3\omega^2 \right) \log(2r\omega)}{15r^2} + \frac{1}{72} i(24\gamma_E - 61)Mr\omega^2 - \frac{1}{288} ir^4\omega^4 \right] + O(\eta^7),$$

...

Some technicalities and final result

- The energy loss at infinity

$$\Delta E = - \int_{2M}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{dr} \frac{r^2 f(r_p(t))}{4\pi} \sum_{\ell m} \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \frac{d\omega'}{2\pi} \omega e^{-i(\omega - \omega')t} \text{Im} \left(R_{\ell m \omega}(r) \frac{d}{dr} R_{\ell m \omega'}^* \right).$$

- Starting from MST solution, the procedure is the following:
 - Compute the (constant) Wronskian and the Green function;
 - Compute the radial function $R_{\ell m \omega}(r)$;
 - Compute $\text{Im}(R \partial_r R^*)$ on the orbit $r = r_p(t)$;
 - Fourier transform in ω and ω' and integration in t .

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\omega t} t^s dt = \frac{i^s}{|\omega|^{s+1}} \Gamma(1+s) (\text{sgn}(\omega) - 1) \sin(\pi s),$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\omega t} \log(t) t^s dt = \frac{i^s}{2|\omega|^{s+1}} \Gamma(1+s) (\text{sgn}(\omega) - 1) \left[(i\pi - \log(\omega^2) + 2\psi^{(0)}(s+1)) \sin(\pi s) + 2\pi \cos(\pi s) \right].$$

- The final result involving $\ell = 0, 1, 2$ MST contributions

$$\Delta E = \frac{q^2 \eta^3}{\alpha^3} \left[\frac{143}{20} - \frac{33}{5} \gamma_E + \left(\frac{43081}{280} - 84 \gamma_E \right) \eta^2 + \left(-\frac{83271}{5600} + \frac{63}{4} \gamma_E \right) \sqrt{3} \pi \eta^3 \right. \\ \left. + \left(-\frac{2727625}{1008} + \frac{644453}{1680} \gamma_E \right) \eta^4 + \left(\frac{403824}{6125} - \frac{933}{5} \gamma_E \right) \sqrt{3} \pi \eta^5 \right] + O(\eta^8).$$

- Reconstructed field up to $O(\eta^8)$ (physically non relevant)

$$\Phi(t) = \frac{qM^{2/3}}{t^{5/3}} \eta^3 \left[-\frac{2\sqrt[3]{2}}{3^{5/3}} - \frac{4M^{1/3}}{3t^{1/3}} \eta + \frac{320}{81} \frac{2^{2/3} M^{2/3}}{\sqrt[3]{3} t^{2/3}} \eta^2 - \frac{M \left(704 \log\left(\frac{4M}{3t}\right) + 3\pi \left(704i + 416\sqrt{3} + 183\pi \right) + 6400 \right)}{324 \cdot 6^{2/3} t} \eta^3 \right].$$

Outlooks: the gravitational perturbations setup

- The presence of the particle in the background reflects in the presence of a energy momentum tensor

$$\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}, \quad G_{\mu\nu}(\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}) = G_{\mu\nu}(g_{\mu\nu}) + \delta G_{\mu\nu}(h_{\mu\nu}) + O(h^2), \quad \tilde{T}_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu} + \delta T_{\mu\nu}.$$

- Since the background is Ricci flat, the perturbations of Einstein equations are

$$f_{\mu} = h_{\mu\alpha}{}^{;\alpha}, \quad -\frac{1}{2}h_{\mu\nu}{}^{;\alpha}{}_{;\alpha} + f_{(\mu;\nu)} - R_{\alpha\mu\beta\nu}h^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2}h_{;\mu;\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}(f_{\lambda}{}^{;\lambda} - h_{;\lambda}{}^{;\lambda}) = 8\pi\delta T_{\mu\nu}.$$

- The separation of radial and angular equations is done through the decomposition in tensorial spherical harmonics

$$\begin{aligned} h = e^{-i\omega t} \sum_{\ell m} & \left[f(r)H_{0\ell m}(r)a_{\ell m}^{(0)} - i\sqrt{2}H_{1\ell m}(r)a_{\ell m}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{f(r)}H_{2\ell m}(r)a_{\ell m} - \frac{i}{r}\sqrt{2\ell(\ell+1)}h_{0\ell m}^{(e)}(r)b_{\ell m}^{(0)} \right. \\ & + \frac{\sqrt{2\ell(\ell+1)}}{r}h_{1\ell m}^{(e)}(r)b_{\ell m} + \sqrt{\frac{\ell(\ell+1)(\ell-1)(\ell+2)}{2}}G_{\ell m}(r)f_{\ell m} + \left(\sqrt{2}K_{\ell m}(r) - \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{\sqrt{2}}G_{\ell m}(r) \right) g_{\ell m} \\ & \left. - \frac{\sqrt{2\ell(\ell+1)}}{r}h_{0\ell m}(r)c_{\ell m}^{(0)} + \frac{i\sqrt{2\ell(\ell+1)}}{r}h_{1\ell m}(r)c_{\ell m} + \frac{\sqrt{2\ell(\ell+1)(\ell-1)(\ell+2)}}{2r^2}h_{2\ell m}(r)d_{\ell m} \right], \\ \delta T = \sum_{\ell m} & \left[A_{\ell m}^{(0)}a_{\ell m}^{(0)} + A_{\ell m}^{(1)}a_{\ell m}^{(1)} + A_{\ell m}a_{\ell m} + B_{\ell m}^{(0)}b_{\ell m}^{(0)} + B_{\ell m}b_{\ell m} + Q_{\ell m}^{(0)}c_{\ell m}^{(0)} + Q_{\ell m}c_{\ell m} + D_{\ell m}d_{\ell m} + G_{\ell m}^{(s)}g_{\ell m} + F_{\ell m}f_{\ell m} \right], \end{aligned}$$

- where $\{a_{\ell m}^{(0)}, a_{\ell m}^{(1)}, a_{\ell m}, b_{\ell m}^{(0)}, b_{\ell m}, c_{\ell m}^{(0)}, c_{\ell m}, d_{\ell m}, g_{\ell m}, f_{\ell m}\}$ are the tensorial spherical harmonics.
- Explicit expressions for tensorial harmonics are available in [Nakano, Sago, Sasaki, gr-qc/0208060](#).
- Odd sector $(-1)^{\ell+1}$: $\{a_{\ell m}^{(0)}, c_{\ell m}, d_{\ell m}\}$; Even sector $(-1)^{\ell}$: $\{a_{\ell m}^{(0)}, a_{\ell m}^{(1)}, a_{\ell m}, b_{\ell m}^{(0)}, b_{\ell m}, g_{\ell m}, f_{\ell m}\}$.
- In the even sector Regge-Wheeler gauge can be imposed $H_{2\ell m}(r) = 0$.

Outlooks: the gravitational perturbations setup

- The sourced depend on the specific geodesic we are considering.
- To be concrete for radial falling geodesics, the odd sector (Regge-Wheeler) is not modified by the sources

$$r(r-2M)R_{odd}''(r) + 2MR_{odd}'(r) + \left(\frac{\omega^2 r^3}{r-2M} + \frac{6M}{r} - \ell(\ell+1) \right) R_{odd}(r) = 0.$$

- The even sector is described by Zerilli eq.

$$\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)^2 Z''(r) + \frac{2M}{r^2} \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right) Z'(r) + \left(\omega^2 - V_{Zerilli}(r)\right) Z(r) = S_Z(r),$$

$$V_{Zerilli}(r) = \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right) \frac{72M^3 + 36M^2 r(\ell-1)(\ell+2) + r^2(\ell-1)^2(\ell+2)^2 (6M + r\ell^2 + r\ell)}{r^3(r(\ell+2)(\ell-1) + 6M)^2},$$

$$S_Z(r) = \frac{16\pi(r-2M)^2}{r(\ell+2)(\ell-1) + 6M} A_{\ell m}(r) + \frac{16\sqrt{2}M\pi(2M-r)(6M - (\ell^2 + \ell + 4)r)}{r\omega(r(\ell+2)(\ell-1) + 6M)^2} A_{\ell m}^{(1)}(r)$$

$$+ \frac{8\sqrt{2}\pi(r-2M)^2}{\omega(r(\ell+2)(\ell-1) + 6M)} \frac{dA_{\ell m}^{(1)}(r)}{dr}.$$

- The sources specialized to radial fall are

$$A_{\ell m}(r, t) = \mu u_t(t) \left(\frac{dr_p(t)}{dt} \right)^2 \frac{\delta(r - r_p(t))}{(r-2M)^2} Y_{\ell m}^* \left(\frac{\pi}{2}, 0 \right),$$

$$A_{\ell m}^{(0)}(r, t) = \mu u_t(t) \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)^2 \frac{\delta(r - r_p(t))}{r^2} Y_{\ell m}^* \left(\frac{\pi}{2}, 0 \right),$$

$$A_{\ell m}^{(1)}(r, t) = \sqrt{2}i\mu u_t(t) \frac{dr_p(t)}{dt} \frac{\delta(r - r_p(t))}{r^2} Y_{\ell m}^* \left(\frac{\pi}{2}, 0 \right).$$

Outlooks: the gravitational perturbations setup

- Via the Chandrasekhar transformation Zerilli equation can be transformed to Regge-Wheeler

$$R_{\text{even}}(r) = \mathcal{A}_1(r)Z(r) + \mathcal{A}_2(r)\frac{dZ(r)}{dr}, \quad S_{RW}(r) = \mathcal{A}_1(r)S_Z(r) + \mathcal{A}_2(r)\frac{dS_Z(r)}{dr},$$
$$\mathcal{A}_1(r) = \Lambda + \frac{9M^2 \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)}{r(\lambda r + 3M)}, \quad \mathcal{A}_2 = -3M \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right), \quad \Lambda = \lambda(\lambda + 1), \quad \lambda = \frac{(\ell - 1)(\ell + 2)}{2}.$$

- After this transformation, homogeneous equations of both even and odd sectors are identical.
- So that PN and MST solutions are the same.
- In the even sector there are non-homogeneous terms due to the sources.
- These results are obtained from [Nakano, Sago, Sasaki, gr-qc/0208060](#).
- In the previous paper most (not ALL!) of the results appearing in [Zerilli, PRD 2, 2141 \(1970\)](#) are corrected.

Conclusions

- Computations done in this framework are of valuable interest for people in amplitudes community.
- Contrarily to these computations, amplitudes are non perturbative in η and exact in G .
- Hyperbolic motion requires both PN (small η) and PM (small G) expansions.
- However high PM computations (many loops) are accessible.

Thank you for attention!